



Muthanna Ahmed  
Abdul Halim Mohammed

# Adsorption Of Light Hydrocarbons By Molecular Sieves

**Muthanna Ahmed  
Abdul Halim Mohammed**

**Adsorption Of Light Hydrocarbons By  
Molecular Sieves**



**LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing**

## **Impressum / Imprint**

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek: Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Alle in diesem Buch genannten Marken und Produktnamen unterliegen warenzeichen-, marken- oder patentrechtlichem Schutz bzw. sind Warenzeichen oder eingetragene Warenzeichen der jeweiligen Inhaber. Die Wiedergabe von Marken, Produktnamen, Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen u.s.w. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutzgesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek: The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Any brand names and product names mentioned in this book are subject to trademark, brand or patent protection and are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders. The use of brand names, product names, common names, trade names, product descriptions etc. even without a particular marking in this works is in no way to be construed to mean that such names may be regarded as unrestricted in respect of trademark and brand protection legislation and could thus be used by anyone.

Coverbild / Cover image: [www.ingimage.com](http://www.ingimage.com)

Verlag / Publisher:

LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing

ist ein Imprint der / is a trademark of

AV Akademikerverlag GmbH & Co. KG

Heinrich-Böcking-Str. 6-8, 66121 Saarbrücken, Deutschland / Germany

Email: [info@lap-publishing.com](mailto:info@lap-publishing.com)

Herstellung: siehe letzte Seite /

Printed at: see last page

**ISBN: 978-3-659-36160-9**

Zugl. / Approved by: Baghdad, Baghdad University, 2013

Copyright © 2013 AV Akademikerverlag GmbH & Co. KG

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. / All rights reserved. Saarbrücken 2013

**Muthanna Ahmed  
Abdul Halim Mohammed**

**Adsorption Of Light Hydrocarbons By Molecular Sieves**



# **ADSORPTION OF LIGHT HYDROCARBONS BY MOLECULAR SIEVES**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BAGHDAD IN PARTIAL  
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR  
THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
IN CHEMICAL ENGINEERING**

**BY  
MUTHANNA JABBAR AHMED  
(B.SC. & M.SC. IN CHEMICAL ENGINEERING)  
2005**

## Summary

Equilibrium adsorption isotherms were obtained for pure methane and ethane on 4A molecular sieve zeolite at 301°K. The pure component experimental data of these gases agreed well with the Langmuir and Freundlich equations.

Multi component adsorption isotherms for methane, ethane, and propane mixture on 4A molecular sieve zeolite at 301°K, and propane, n-butane, and i-butane mixture on 5A molecular sieve zeolite at 301°K were obtained experimentally. Both the extended Freundlich and modified extended Langmuir equations correlated the multi component experimental data fairly well. Other equations were also considered for both mixtures.

Breakthrough curves for methane, ethane, and propane mixture on 4A molecular sieve zeolite, and propane, n-butane, and i-butane mixture on 5A molecular sieve zeolite were obtained experimentally at various flow rates, concentrations, and compositions. The equilibrium and kinetic models were used to predict the breakthrough curves for both multi component mixtures. The equilibrium model gives a satisfactory fit for both mixtures. The model equations were solved by a numerical method based on backward finite difference with a fixed gridding technique.

The simple pressure swing adsorption cycle was used successfully to separate propane from methane, ethane, and propane mixture using 4A molecular sieve as adsorbent, and to separate i-butane from propane, n-butane, and i-butane mixture using 5A molecular sieve zeolite as adsorbent. The principle of separation was based on the difference in size of molecules. Where 4A molecular sieve zeolite adsorbs methane and ethane but not propane, and 5A molecular sieve zeolite adsorbs propane and n-butane but not i-butane. The performance of pressure swing

adsorption cycle was represented by the purity and productivity of products. The measured value of purity and productivity of products are compared with those predicted by the equilibrium model. The results support the ability of equilibrium model to simulate the process performance variables for different operating conditions studied at the ambient temperature.

The effect of feed flow rate, concentration, and composition on products purity and productivity were examined experimentally and theoretically. The experimental results show that decreasing feed flow rate and adsorbate composition or increasing feed concentration increases purity, while increasing feed flow rate and concentration or decreasing adsorbate composition increases productivity.



## Nomenclature

### Notation

A	Cross sectional area of adsorption column ( $\text{cm}^2$ )
$a_p$	Specific surface area ( $1/\text{cm}$ )
B	Langmuir equation coefficient ( $\text{L}/\text{mmole}$ )
$B_{ii}, B_{ij}$	Modified extended Langmuir equation coefficients ( $\text{L}/\text{mmole}$ )
C	Gas phase concentration ( $\text{mmole}/\text{L}$ )
$C_b$	Bulk gas phase concentration ( $\text{mmole}/\text{L}$ )
$C_s$	Surface gas phase concentration ( $\text{mmole}/\text{L}$ )
$D_e$	Effective diffusivity ( $\text{cm}^2/\text{min}$ )
$D_p$	Pore diffusion coefficient ( $\text{cm}^2/\text{min}$ )
$D_s$	Surface diffusion coefficient ( $\text{cm}^2/\text{min}$ )
d	Diameter of adsorption column (cm)
$d_c$	Diameter of cylindrical particle (cm)
$d_p$	Diameter of spherical particle (cm)
F	Molar flow rate ( $\text{mmole}/\text{min}$ )
G	Gas mass velocity ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{min}$ )
g	Gravitational acceleration ( $\text{cm}/\text{min}^2$ )
$j_D$	Chilton and Colburn j-factor
K	Freundlich equation coefficient ( $\text{L}^n/\text{mmole}^{n-1} \cdot \text{g}$ )
$K_B$	BET equation coefficient
$K_f$	Film mass transfer coefficient ( $\text{cm}/\text{min}$ )
$K_G$	Mass transfer parameter in linear driving force model ( $1/\text{min}$ )
$K_i$	Fritz and Schlunder equation coefficient
$K_{ij}$	Extended Freundlich and Sheindorf equations coefficient
$k_a$	Rate constant for adsorption ( $\text{L}/\text{g} \cdot \text{min}$ )
$k_d$	Rate constant for desorption ( $\text{mmole}/\text{g} \cdot \text{min}$ )
L	Length of adsorption column (cm)
l	Length of cylindrical particle (cm)
M	Molecular weight ( $\text{g}/\text{mole}$ )
n	Freundlich equation parameter
$n_{ii}, n_{ij}$	Fritz and Extended Freundlich equations parameters
Q	Volumetric flow rate ( $\text{L}/\text{min}$ )
q	Adsorbate loading or adsorbed phase concentration ( $\text{mmole}/\text{g}$ )
Re	Reynolds number
r	Radial coordinate of spherical particle (cm)
Sc	Schmidt's number
T	Temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )
t	Time (min)
u	Interstitial gas velocity ( $\text{cm}/\text{min}$ )
$u_c$	Wave velocity through the bed ( $\text{cm}/\text{min}$ )

$u_s$	Superficial gas velocity (cm/min)
$V_c$	Cylinder volume (L)
$V_l$	Methanol volume (L)
$V_y$	Mercury volume (L)
$W$	Mass of adsorbent (g)
$Y$	Adsorbate composition or mole fraction (%)
$y$	component composition or mole fraction (%)
$Z$	Compressibility factor
$z$	Axial coordinate (distance from the bed inlet) (cm)

## Greek

$\varepsilon$	void fraction
$\theta$	Fraction of surface coverage
$\mu$	Viscosity of gas (g/cm.min)
$\rho$	Density (g/L)
$\alpha_{ij}$	Selectivity to adsorb component i over j
$\alpha_{ji}$	Selectivity to adsorb component j over i

## Subscripts

$a$	Adsorption step
$b$	Bed
$d$	Desorption step
$E$	Effluent
$f$	Film
$g$	gas
$H$	Highest level of cycle
$I$	Influent
$i, j, n$	Component i, j, and n, respectively
$L$	Lowest level of cycle
$m$	Mono layer
$o$	Over all
$op$	Operation condition
$p$	Particle
$s$	Solid
$we$	Weakly adsorbed component in effluent stream
$*$	Saturation condition

## Abbreviations

ASTM	American standard for testing methods
BET	Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller equation
GC	Gas-solid chromatography
IUPAC	International union of pure and applied chemistry

---

LDF	Linear driving force
LRC	Loading ratio correlation
LSAC	Less selectively adsorbed component
MSZ	Molecular sieve zeolite
MSAC	More selectively adsorbed component
MTBE	Methyl-tert-butyl ether
MTZ	Mass transfer zone
PSA	Pressure swing adsorption
PZ	Plateau zone
TCD	Thermal conductivity detector
TSA	Thermal swing adsorption
TSF	Texaco selective finishing
TZ	Transfer zone
WAC	Weakly adsorbed component

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
Acknowledgments	i
Summary	ii
Nomenclature	iv
Contents	vii
Chapter One Introduction	1
Chapter Two Literature survey	6
2.1 Separation process selection	6
2.1.1 Physical absorption process	7
2.1.2 Cryogenic process	7
2.1.3 Membrane process	8
2.1.4 Physical adsorption process	10
2.2 Physical adsorption separation processes classification	11
2.2.1 Adsorbate concentration	11
2.2.2 Modes of operation	12
2.2.3 Adsorbent regeneration method	13
2.3 Adsorbents	17
2.3.1 Adsorbent characteristics	17
2.3.1.1 Capacity	17
2.3.1.2 Selectivity	18
2.3.1.3 Pore size	18
2.3.1.4 Densities and void fractions	19
2.3.2 Adsorbent selection	19
2.3.3 Adsorbent classes	20
2.3.3.1 Molecular sieve zeolite	20
2.4 Adsorption dynamics	22
2.5 Adsorption mechanism	24
2.5.1 External film resistance	25
2.5.2 Macro pore resistance	26
2.5.3 Micro pore resistance	26
2.6 Adsorption isotherms	27
2.6.1 Pure component isotherm	29
2.6.1.1 Langmuir isotherm	30
2.6.1.2 Freundlich isotherm	31
2.6.1.3 Sips isotherm	31
2.6.1.4 BET isotherm	32
2.6.2 Multi component isotherm	32
2.6.2.1 Extended Langmuir isotherm	33
2.6.2.2 Fritz and Schlunder isotherm	34

2.6.2.3	Sheindorf isotherm	34
2.6.2.4	Loading ratio correlation (LRC)	35
2.6.3	Adsorption isotherm measurement	36
2.7	Adsorption kinetics (Breakthrough curves)	37
2.8	Pressure swing adsorption (PSA) process	40
2.8.1	PSA process principles	40
2.8.2	PSA process performance	43
2.8.3	Hydrocarbon recovery by PSA process	44
2.9	Adsorption modeling	46
2.9.1	Equilibrium model	47
2.9.2	Kinetic model (LDF model)	49
2.10	Numerical methods	50
	Chapter Three Experimental work	54
3.1	Materials	54
3.1.1	Adsorbates	54
3.1.2	Adsorbents	55
3.1.3	Auxiliaries	55
3.2	Apparatuses	55
3.2.1	Adsorption equilibrium isotherm measurement apparatus	56
3.2.2	Adsorption kinetics and PSA process apparatus	57
3.3	Procedures	60
3.3.1	Adsorption equilibrium isotherm measurement procedure	60
3.3.2	Adsorption kinetics procedure	61
3.3.3	Pressure swing adsorption procedure	62
3.4	Analysis	63
3.4.1	Gas mixture analysis	63
3.4.1.1	Apparatus and procedure	63
3.4.1.2	Calibration and calculations	65
3.4.2	Densities and void fractions calculation	67
	Chapter Four Mathematical work	68
4.1	Mathematical model	68
4.1.1	Assumptions	68
4.1.2	Balance equations	69
4.1.3	Equilibrium relationship	70
4.1.4	Initial and boundary conditions	70
4.1.5	Parameters estimation	71
4.2	Mathematical model solution	71
4.2.1	Finite difference techniques	72
4.2.2	Equilibrium model	73
4.2.3	Kinetic model (LDF model)	75
	Chapter Five Results and discussions	77
5.1	Methane, ethane, and propane system	77

5.1.1	Equilibrium isotherms	77
5.1.1.1	Pure component adsorption isotherms	77
5.1.1.2	Multi component adsorption isotherms	81
5.1.1.3	Selectivity	84
5.1.2	Adsorption kinetics	86
5.1.2.1	Effect of feed flow rate	90
5.1.2.2	Effect of feed concentration	93
5.1.2.3	Effect of feed composition	95
5.1.3	Separation of propane by PSA process	98
5.1.3.1	Effect of feed flow rate	98
5.1.3.2	Effect of feed concentration	100
5.1.3.3	Effect of feed composition	102
5.2	Propane, n-butane, and i-butane system	104
5.2.1	Equilibrium isotherms	104
5.2.1.1	Pure component adsorption isotherms	104
5.2.1.2	Multi component adsorption isotherms	107
5.2.1.3	Selectivity	110
5.2.2	Adsorption kinetics	112
5.2.2.1	Effect of feed flow rate	115
5.2.2.2	Effect of feed concentration	117
5.2.2.3	Effect of feed composition	119
5.2.3	Separation of i-butane by PSA process	121
5.2.3.1	Effect of feed flow rate	121
5.2.3.2	Effect of feed concentration	123
5.2.3.3	Effect of feed composition	125
	Chapter Six Conclusions and Recommendations	127
6.1	Conclusions	127
6.2	Recommendations for further work	128
	References	129
	Appendices	A.1-I.1

As a result of the rapid development in the field of petrochemicals, the recovery of light hydrocarbons such as methane, ethane, propane, n-butane and i-butane to be used as a fuel or petrochemical feed stocks has been necessary. As petroleum gases such as natural gas, deethanizer or depropanizer overhead mixtures, and liquefied petroleum gas contain these light hydrocarbons, they can be used as a source for the recovery of these components. Ethane and propane constitute an important source for the manufacture of polyethylene and polypropylene <sup>(1)</sup>. N-butane has been replacing benzene as the raw material for producing maleic anhydride. It is less expensive, more available, and non-toxic. I-butane find expanded applications in the production of methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE), methacrylate, and butyl rubber. MTBE is currently used as a gasoline additive because of its octane enhancement properties and aid in reduction of pollutant emissions <sup>(2)</sup>.

The last four decades have witnessed a tremendous growth of gas adsorption processes that have made adsorption systems a key tool both for purification and for bulk separation in chemical, biochemical and petroleum industries. The growth is a result of a series of significant scientific and engineering developments, initiated by the invention of synthetic molecular sieve zeolites and the adsorption cycles. These inventions were followed by a succession of technological and theoretical advances. Among them are the development of more efficient adsorption cycles, new and improved adsorbents, theories of adsorption from mixtures, and theories on multi component adsorber dynamics. The main advantages of adsorption as a separation technique, compared with the other processes are that in many cases a much higher selectivity can be obtained by adsorption than by any of the other techniques, and adsorbents have a relatively high capacity for volatile materials, even at low concentration <sup>(3)</sup>.

Gas adsorption processes involve the attraction of a gas to the surface of solid. Depending upon the type of attraction forces between the gas molecules and the molecules of the solid, adsorption may be classified as physical adsorption (Vander Waals adsorption) or chemisorption (activated adsorption). Physical adsorption from a gas occurs when the intermolecular attractive forces between molecules of an adsorbent and the gas are greater than those between molecules of the gas itself. Physical adsorption, which may be a monomolecular layer, or may be two, three or more layers thick (multi-molecular), occurs rapidly. In contrast, chemisorption involves the formation of chemical bonds between the adsorbent and adsorbate. Chemisorption from a gas generally takes place only at temperatures greater than 473°K and may be slow. The attractive forces causing the physical adsorption are generally weaker than those of chemical bonds and are such that, by increasing the temperature of the adsorbent or reducing the adsorbate concentration, the adsorbate can be desorbed <sup>(4)</sup>.

Gas solid contact can be accomplished in a number of ways, such as in fixed beds, fluidized beds, and moving beds. Generally, it is preferable to operate an adsorption process continuously, but the difficulties associated with the handling of solids often make fixed beds more attractive, as well as, it is simple, inexpensive to construct and give minimum attrition in the adsorbent <sup>(5)</sup>.

Commercial adsorbents which are generally used for the adsorption separation processes include organic adsorbents such as activated carbon and polymers, and inorganic adsorbents such as silica gel, activated alumina, and molecular sieve zeolites. Zeolites are distinct from other adsorbents in that for each type, there is no distribution of pore size because the crystal lattice into which the adsorbate molecules can or cannot enter is precisely uniform. For this reason, zeolites are capable of



separating effectively on the basis of molecular size. Zeolites separate not only by molecular size and shape, but also by polarity. Thus, they can also separate molecules of similar size <sup>(6)</sup>.

Adsorbate desorption is quite important in the adsorption separation processes. First, because desorption allows recovery of adsorbates in those separations where they are valuable, and second, because it regenerate the adsorbent to be reused for further cycles. According to adsorbent regeneration method, adsorption separation processes are classified as, pressure swing adsorption processes (PSA), temperature swing adsorption processes (TSA), displacement- purge swing processes, and inert-purge swing processes <sup>(7)</sup>.

In PSA process, which is initially invented by Skarstrom <sup>(8)</sup> as a two bed process for air drying, the adsorption step is carried out by contacting the feed gas with the adsorbent in a fixed bed at the highest concentration level of the cycle. The more selectively adsorbed components (MSAC) are retained in the bed, and gas stream enriched in the less selectively adsorbed components (LSAC) of the feed mixture is produced as bed effluent. The desorption is achieved by lowering the total concentration of the bed or by flowing a gas stream enriched in the (LSAC) of the feed gas through the bed or by combination of these methods. The column effluents during the desorption steps are gas stream enriched in the (MSAC) of the feed mixture.

The adsorptive separation is achieved by one of the three mechanisms, steric, kinetic or equilibrium effect. The steric effect derives from the molecular sieving property of zeolites. In this case only small and properly shaped molecules can diffuse into the adsorbent, whereas other molecules are totally excluded. Kinetic separation is achieved by virtue of the differences in diffusion rates of different molecules into the adsorbent. By far, however, most processes operate through the