# A Guide to European Community Law

Third Edition



P. S. R. F. Mathijsen

Sweet & Maxwell

# A Guide to European Community Law

by

P. S. R. F. Mathijsen

Director-General with the Commission of the European Communities Professor of Law, University of Nijmegen

Preface

by

J. D. B. Mitchell Salvesen Professor of European Institutions University of Edinburgh

Third Edition

London Sweet & Maxwell 1980 First Edition 1972
Second Edition 1975
Second Impression 1976
Third Edition 1980
Published in Great Britain by
Sweet & Maxwell Ltd. of
11 New Fetter Lane, London
Computerset by
MFK Graphic Systems (Typesetting) Ltd
Saffron Walden, Essex
Printed in Great Britain by Page Bros. (Norwich) Ltd.

## British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Mathijsen, Petrus Servatius Renoldus Franciscus

A guide to European community law. – 3rd ed.

- 1. Law European Economic Community countries
- 2. European communities
- I. Title

341.24'2 Law

ISBN 0-421-25900-0

ISBN 0-421-25910-8 Pbk

# A Guide to European Community Law

#### **AUSTRALIA**

The Law Book Company Ltd. Sydney: Melbourne: Brisbane

CANADA AND U.S.A. The Carswell Company Ltd. Agincourt, Ontario

INDIA
N. M. Tripathi Private Ltd.
Bombay
and
Eastern Law House (Private) Ltd.
Calcutta
M.P.P. House
Bangalore

ISRAEL Steimatzky's Agency Ltd. Jerusalem : Tel Aviv : Haifa

MALAYSIA : SINGAPORE : BRUNEI Malayan Law Journal (Pte.) Ltd. Singapore

> NEW ZEALAND Sweet & Maxwell (N.Z.) Ltd. Auckland

> > PAKISTAN Pakistan Law House Karachi

To my daughters Claire Bénédicte Stéphanie Valérie Olivia Daphné

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

IN preparing this third edition I was greatly helped by the comments, criticisms and suggestions of my Nijmegen assistant Mr. Janssen. I am also thankful to him and to Mr. Wellens for reading and correcting the proofs; my daughter Daphné was of great assistance in preparing the manuscript.

I am much indebted to Professor Mitchell who, once more, was ready to discuss the manuscript and write the preface.

For typing and retyping I am most thankful to Mrs. Christiane Fannes.

Finally I am pleased to mention the precious help given by my wife Beverly and daughters Valérie, Olivia and Daphné in establishing the Index.

The author is an official of the Commission of the European Communities; the views expressed in this book are his and may not be attributed to any of the Community organs.

#### FOREWORD TO THE THIRD EDITION

THE first edition of this book covered the development of Community law up to the middle of 1972 and was published shortly before Denmark, Ireland and the United Kingdom joined the European Communities.

The second edition, which consisted mainly in an updating of the first, appeared in June 1975, just after the negotiations regarding Britain's continued membership of the European Communities were concluded.

This third edition comes out just before the accession of Greece. It is rather different from the previous ones: the structure of the book has been profoundly modified. The considerations regarding Community law now constitute the last chapter, as a kind of conclusion, rather than an introduction as was the case before. Also the chapters on the Institutions and the Community acts have been brought forward in order to allow the reader to grasp the formal aspects before tackling the substantive law. As regards the latter, the emphasis still is on the characteristics of the common market, agricultural policy, competition and external relations, but the chapter on regional policy also receives particular attention.

To simplify the text and facilitate the reading everything not strictly essential to the matter under discussion, together with the references to treaty provisions and the case law of the Court of Justice, have been transferred to the footnotes, which consequently have increased in size and importance.

The object of the book has not changed, *i.e.* to give the reader an overall view of the state of development of Community law. This development has to be placed, necessarily, within the larger context of the progress made by the European Communities as such. In this respect it will be noted that in the past five years they have not merely survived the economic and energy crisis but have continued to develop both internally and externally. The most impressive of the various events which marked the last five years should be briefly mentioned.

The relations between the Community and a number of developing countries took a new turn: in 1975, the first Lomé Convention was signed with 46 African, Caribbean and Pacific States; four years later, the second Lomé Convention was signed with 58 States, thereby considerably enlarging the Community's influence in the third world. The European Unit of account was adopted and is now widely used; the European University Insti-

#### Foreword to the Third Edition

tute in Florence was officially instituted; in the British referendum of 1975, 67.2 per cent. voted in favour of the United Kingdom remaining as member of the Community, while Greece, Portugal and Spain applied to join; freedom to provide services and in certain cases the right of establishment for doctors, lawyers, dentists and veterinary surgeons took effect; the Court of Auditors replaced the Audit Board and a trade agreement was concluded with China.

Then there are the Declaration on Human Rights, the establishment of the European Monetary System, the signature of the Greek Accession Treaty, the first elections to the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage and the conclusion of the multilateral trade negotiations.

All these events bear witness to the remarkable vitality of the European Communities and the economic and political integration which take place within their context.

As for the law of the European Community it will be seen that it not only continues to develop in scope, but also in precision, thanks mainly to the Court of Justice. It might be useful in this respect to mention, e.g. the judgment in the Defrenne case where the Court held that the principle of equal pay for men and women is directly applicable, the Kramer case where it was stated that in the field of external relations the Community enjoys the capacity to enter into international commitments over the whole field of the objectives defined in Part One of the Treaty and the Court's opinion in the International Agreement on Natural Rubber case where the Community's exclusive competence to conclude certain agreements was reaffirmed. Finally, in the competition field the Commission and the Court continued to clarify certain basic concepts such as "abuse of a dominant position" in cases like United Brands and Hoffman-La Roche.

Notwithstanding the slowness of the progress and the inevitable failures, the European Community remains for all of us a fascinating experience which is bound to succeed for the simple reason that there is no political or economic alternative for the peoples of Europe.

#### PREFACE

Professor Mathijsen's book first appeared at the time of enlargement from Six to Nine. The third edition comes out at a time when the Treaty for the next enlargement to Ten has been signed (and on the institutional side takes account of that) and at a time when negotiations for further enlargement to Twelve are in hand. In between times the second edition had appeared when one might have assumed that a "running-in" period was nearing its end. Yet that edition had to deal with a so-called re-negotiation. Writing in March 1980 one has then to look back, to look forward, and also to look at the current scene. One must confess that it is easier to lecture on the Communities than it is to write about them: easier because (without any loss of face) the dogmatism that one may use in one Autumn term may be changed, as a result of continuous observation, into speculation by the next. The printed word is harsher. Writing now some of the certainties which one might have expressed in 1975 or 1976 might perhaps be expressed with more circumspection. In short, even the ambition that Professor Mathijsen first had of presenting a photograph of the Communities at any one point of time becomes more difficult.

Looking back over the years between the second edition and this one, three events stand out. Two are obvious. Internally there was the fact of Direct Elections to the European Parliament. Win, lose, or draw that event matters, even though its consequences are not yet clear, and will only become apparent in the context of the shifts of balance in other institutional relationships. Externally there has been the signing of the second and enlarged Lomé Convention and the creation of the STABEX system, which demonstrates at least an evolution of the Communities in the eyes of others. There has been movement, even if not as much as might have been hoped, and movement which provokes fresh thought. Hence the third "event"—which may be miscalled—it is the arrival of a mood of formal introspection. In their different ways both the Spierenburg Report and the Report of the Three Wise Men, though springing from quite different sources, mark that mood. Both can be called technical in the sense that they lack the fundamental quality of the Spaak Report and its progenitor declarations. It is this combination of circumstances which makes a new edition even of an established Guide important. Even against a background of major hopeful happenings, there are immediate uncertainties of varying orders of significance to which must be added the probx Preface

lems springing from the perspective of further enlargement. Any judgment on those uncertainties, and any answers which one may give in that questioning require as clear a knowledge as is possible of where we are and how we have got to wherever that may be.

It is helpful, in making such assessments, that Professor Mathijsen has brought forward the passages on the structure of the Communities before entering on the consequences of that structure. It is also helpful that, although the book is called a guide to Community law, the content deals also with the substance of policies. What has happened at lower levels also matters (though a Director General of Regional Policy may not like the evolution of the Regional Development Fund being thus classified). In that respect Professor Mathijsen has correctly used the information available to him as a member of the Commission staff. Even details may matter, such as, in the Budgetary debate, the information that he gives on terms like commitment and appropriation payments. The Guide then serves its own purposes and also gives the background for the debate which should go on. Indeed one commends the virtue of those who set out to write a Guide and, even in the third edition, keep the book that way.

Because it remains a guide, but because it proclaims itself as one to Community law, a final point should be made, which will no doubt irritate the professional political scientists. No-one can discuss, or even understand, the problems of Community/Member State relationships, or even some of the evolution (or hesitancies in the evolution) of policies without the background of the "legal basis" in question. In March 1980 one writes that sentence with many underlying thoughts in mind. In that respect too things are no longer simple, and a realistic guide becomes all the more useful.

In life, and in writing, I will no doubt (as in the past) happily continue to argue with Pierre Mathijsen about things in and out of this book. Meanwhile it is a pleasure to write a preface to a *Guide* coming from the pen of one who knows so well the machinery from the inside.

March, 1980

J. D. B. Mitchell

### TABLE OF CASES

### Court of Justice of the European Communities

3/54—Assider v. High Authority [1954–1956] E.C.R. 6380, 243
4/54—I.S.A. v. High Authority [1954–1956] E.C.R. 91
6/54—Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands v. High Authority
[1954–1956] E.C.R. 103
1/55—Kergall v. Assembly [1954–1956] E.C.R. 151
8/55—Fédechar v. High Authority [1954–1956] E.C.R. 245
7/56, 3–7/57—Algera v. Assembly [1957 and 1958] E.C.R. 3968, 80, 89, 243
9/56—Meroni v. High Authority [1957 and 1958] E.C.R. 133
8/57—Groupement des Hauts Fourneaux de Chasse v. High Authority
[1957 and 1958] E.C.R. 245
15/57—Hauts Fourneaux de Chasse v. High Authority [1957 and 1958] E.C.R.
211 88
18/57—Nold v. High Authority [1959] E.C.R. 41
1/58—Stork v. High Authority [1959] E.C.R. 17
3/58—Barbara Erzbergbau <i>et al. v.</i> High Authority [1960] E.C.R. 173 112
20/58—Phoenix-Rheinrohr v. High Authority [1959] E.C.R. 75
27-29/58—Compagnie des Hauts Fourneaux de Fonderies de Givors and
others v. High Authority [1960] E.C.R. 241
20/59—Government of Italy $v$ . High Authority [1960] E.C.R. 325
25/59—Netherlands v. High Authority [1960] E.C.R. 355
30/59—Gezamenlijke Steenkolenmijnen in Limburg $v$ . High Authority [1961]
E.C.R. 1
36, 37, 38 & 40/59—Prasident <i>et al. v.</i> High Authority [1960] E.C.K.
423
43, 45 & 48/59—Eva von Lachmüller v. Commission [1960] E.C.R. 463 15 6/60—Humblet v. Belgium [1960] E.C.R. 559 89
[
10/61—Commission v. Italy [1962] E.C.R. 1; [1962] C.M.L.R. 187
13/61—Bosch v. de Geus [1962] E.C.R. 43; [1962] C.M.L.R. 1
17. 20/61—Hoogevens v. High Authority [1962] E.C.R. 253, [1963] C.M.L.R. 73 102 17. 20/61—Klöckner-Werke and Hoesch v. High Authority [1962] E.C.R. 325 242
2 & 3/62—Commission v. Luxembourg and Belgium [1962] E.C.R. 425; [1963]
C.M.L.R. 199
16 & 17/62—Confédération nationale des producteurs de fruits et légumes
v. Council [1962] E.C.R. 471; [1963] C.M.L.R. 160
24/62—Germany v. Commission [1963] E.C.R. 63; [1963] C.M.L.R. 34777, 102
25/62—Plaumann v. Commission [1963] E.C.R. 95; [1964] C.M.L.R. 2975, 86
26/62—Van Gend en Loos v. Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen
[1963] E.C.R. 1; [1963] C.M.L.R. 105
28–30/62—Da Costa v. Nederlandse Belastingadministratie [1963] E.C.R. 31;
[1963] C.M.L.R. 224

35/62 & 16/63—Leroy v. High Authority [1963] E.C.R. 197; [1964] C.M.L.R.	
	86
	02
53 & 54/63—Lemmerz-Werke GmbH et al. v. High Authority [1963] E.C.R.	
	76
73 & 74/63—Handelsvereniging Rotterdam v. Minister van Landbouw [1964]	
	04
90 & 91/63—Commission v. Luxembourg and Belgium [1964] E.C.R. 625;	
[1965] C.M.L.R. 58	79
	86
100/63—Van der Veen v. Sociale Verzekeringsbank [1964] E.C.R. 565; [1964]	
	83
101/63—Wagner v. Fohrmann and Krier [1964] E.C.R. 195; [1964] C.M.L.R.	50
	22
6/64—Costa v. ENEL [1964] E.C.R. 585; [1964] C.M.L.R. 425	5
226, 227, 23	
56 & 58/64—Consten and Grundig-Verkaufs v. Commission [1966] E.C.R.	))
200. [10(() C.M.I. D. 419.	E0
299; [1966] C.M.L.R. 418	
	35
	80
	89
56/65—La Technique Minière v. Maschinenbau Ulm [1966] E.C.R. 235; [1966]	
C.M.L.R. 357	57
57/65—Lütticke v. Hauptzollamt Saarlouis [1966] E.C.R. 205; [1971] C.M.L.R.	
	88
61/65—Vaassen (née Göbbels) v. Beambtenfonds Mijnbedrijf [1966] E.C.R.	
	84
24/67—Parke, Davis v. Probel and Centrafarm [1968] E.C.R. 55; [1968]	
	65
28/67—Molkerei-Zentrale v. Hauptzollamt Paderborn [1968] E.C.R. 143;	
[1968] C.M.L.R. 18784, 100, 23	
	32
13/68—Salgoil v. Italian Ministry of Foreign Trade [1968] E.C.R. 453;	
[1969] C.M.L.R. 181	27
14/68—Walt Wilhelm v. Bundeskartellamt [1969] E.C.R. 1; [1969]	
	66
19/68—De Cicco v. Landversicherungsanstaltschwaben [1968] E.C.R. 473;	
	33
24/68—Commission v. Italy (statistical levy) [1969] E.C.R. 193; [1971]	
C.M.L.R. 611	26
	03
	83
6 & 11/69—Commission v. French Republic [1969] E.C.R. 523; [1970]	00
	77
15/69—Württembergische Milchverwertungsüdmilch v. Ugliola [1969]	′′
E.C.R. 363, [1970] C.M.L.R. 194	32
41/69—ACF Chemiefarma v. Commission; Buchler & Co. v. Commission;	52
Boehringer Manheim GmbH v. Commission ("Quinine") [1970]	
E.C.R. 661	68
	UK)

48/69—ICI and others v. Commission (the Dyestuffs' case) [1972] E.C.R. 619; [1972] C.M.L.R. 557	157
	126
9/70—Franz Grad v. Finanzamt Traunstein [1970] E.C.R. 825; [1971]	
C.M.L.R. 1	
Getreide und Futtermittel [1970] E.C.R. 1125; [1972] C.M.L.R. 255	.79,
236,	241
15/70—Chevalley v. Commission [1970] E.C.R. 975	, 99
22/70—Commission v. Council (ERTA) [1971] E.C.R. 263; [1971] C.M.L.R.	
335	201
25/70—EVSt v. Köster Berodt [1970] E.C.R. 1161; [1972] C.M.L.R. 25562,	241
33/70—SACE v. Italian Ministry of Finance [1970] E.C.R. 1213; [1971]	
	229
41–44/70—International Fruit Company v. Commission [1971] E.C.R. 41175	
	113
	203
78/70—Deutsche Grammophon v. Metro-SB-Grossmärkte [1971] E.C.R. 487;	
[1971] C.M.L.R. 631	242
80/70—Defrenne v. Belgian State [1971] E.C.R. 445; [1974] 1 C.M.L.R. 494	
5/71—Aktien Zuckerfabrik Schöppenstedt v. Council [1971] E.C.R. 975	86
.,,	119
8/71—Deutscher Komponistenverband v. Commission [1971] E.C.R. 705;	
[1973] C.M.L.R. 902	82
10/71—Ministère Public de Luxembourg v. Hein, née Müller [1971]	
E.C.R. 723	
15/71—Mackprang v. Commission [1971] E.C.R. 797; [1972] C.M.L.R. 52	82
22/71—Béguelin Import Co. v. GL Import-Export [1971] E.C.R. 949;	157
[1972] C.M.L.R. 81	75
42/71—Norage read v. Commission [1972] E.C.R. 103, [1973] C.M.L.R. 177 43/71—Politi v. Italian Ministry of Finance [1971] E.C.R. 1039; [1973]	13
C.M.L.R. 60	101
48/71—Commission v. Italy [1972] E.C.R. 527; [1972] C.M.L.R. 69957,	
51–54/71—International Fruit Company v. Produktschap voor Groenten en	131
Fruit [1971] E.C.R. 1107	242
77/71—Gervais-Danone v. HZA München-Schwanthalerstrasse [1971]	
E.C.R. 1127; [1973] C.M.L.R. 415	79
92/71—Interfood v. HZA Hamburg-Ericus [1972] E.C.R. 231; [1973]	,,
C.M.L.R. 562	79
93/71—Leonesio v. Italian Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry [1972] E.C.R.	,,
287; [1973] C.M.L.R. 343	228
1/72—Frilli v. Belgian State [1972] E.C.R. 457; [1973] C.M.L.R. 386	83
6/72—Europemballage and Continental Can v. Commission [1973] E.C.R.	00
215; [1973] C.M.L.R. 199	163
	167
8/72—Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren v. Commission [1972] E.C.R.	
977; [1973] C.M.L.R. 7	160
18/72—Granaria v. Produktschap voor vervoeder [1972] E.C.R. 1163;	
[1973] C.M.L.R. 596	99

21–24/72—International Fruit Co. v. Produktschap [1972] E.C.R. 1219;	
[1975] 2 C.M.L.R. 1	)
39/72—Commission v. Italy [1973] E.C.R. 101; [1973] C.M.L.R. 43957, 99	)
48/72—Brasserie de Haecht v. Wilkin Janssen (No. 2) [1973] E.C.R. 77;	
[1973] C.M.L.R. 287	,
[19/5] C.W.L.R. 20//9, 100, 10/	,
63–69/72—Werhahn v. Council and Commission [1973] E.C.R. 122964, 87	
70/72—Commission v. Germany [1973] E.C.R. 813; [1973] C.M.L.R. 741 173	,
79/72—Commission v. Italian Republic [1973] E.C.R. 667; [1973]	
C.M.L.R. 773	
4/73—Nold v. Commission [1974] E.C.R. 491; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 338236, 241	
6 & 7/73—Istituto Chemiosterapico Italiano SpA and Commercial Solvents	
Corporation v. Commission [1974] E.C.R. 223; [1974] 1 C.M.L.R.	
200 160 163	,
309	
8/73—HZA Bremerhaven $v$ . Massey-Fergusson GmbH [1973] E.C.R. 897 47	
9/73—Schlüter v. Hauptzollamt Lörrach [1973] E.C.R. 1135	Ĺ
34/73—Fratelli Variola v. Amministrazione italiana delle Finanze [1973]	
E.C.R. 981 99	)
36/73—Nederlandse Spoorwegen v. Netherlands Minister of Transport	
[1973] E.C.R. 1299; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 148	1
37 & $38/73$ —Sociaal Fonds voor de Diamantarbeiders $v$ . Indiamex [1973]	•
E.C.R. 1609; [1976] 2 C.M.L.R. 222	_
40–48, 50, 54–56, 111, 113 & 114/73—Suiker Unie and others v. Commission	)
	_
(the "sugar" cases) [1975] E.C.R. 1663; [1976] 1 C.M.L.R. 295155, 166	
120/73—Lorenz v. Germany [1973] E.C.R. 1471	
122/73—Nordsee v. Germany [1973] E.C.R. 1511	2
127/73—Belgische Radio en Televisie (BRT) v. SABAM [1974] E.C.R. 51	
and 313; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 23885, 17	1
148/73—Louwage v. Commission [1974] E.C.R. 81	9
151/73—Ireland v. Council [1974] E.C.R. 285; [1974] 1 C.M.L.R. 429	1
152/73—Sotgiu v. Deutsche Bondespost [1974] E.C.R. 153	1
155/73—Italy v. Sacchi [1974] E.C.R. 409; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 177	
160, 161, 170/73—RII Miles Druce & Co. Ltd. v. Commission [1973] E.C.R.	
1049; [1974] 1 C.M.L.R. 224	3
166/73—Rheinmühlen-Düsseldorf v. EVSt (No. 1) [1974] E.C.R. 33;	,
[1974] 1 C.M.L.R. 523	_
	,
167/73—Commission v. French Republic [1974] E.C.R. 359; [1974] 2	_
C.M.L.R. 216	9
175/73—European Public Service Union, Brussels v. Council [1974]	
E.C.R. 917; [1975] 1 C.M.L.R. 131	-
178–180/73—Belgium and Luxembourg v. Mertens [1974] E.C.R. 383	7
181/73—Haegeman v. Belgian State [1974] E.C.R. 449; [1975] 1	
C.M.L.R. 515	
2/74—Reyners v. Belgian State [1974] E.C.R. 631; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 305135, 22	8
9/74—Casagrande v. Landeshauptstadt München [1974] E.C.R. 773;	
[1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 423	2
11/74—Union des Minotiers de la Champagne v. France [1974] E.C.R.	
877; [1975] 1 C.M.L.R. 75	7
877; [1975] T.C.IVI. L.R. 75  15/74—Centrafarm v. Sterling Drug Inc. [1974] E.C.R. 1147; [1974] 2	
C M I R 480 157. 23	1

16/74—Centrafarm v. Winthrop [1974] E.C.R. 1183; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 480	165
17/74—Transocean Marine Paint Association v. Commission [1974]	
E.C.R. 1063; [1974] 2 C.M.L.R. 459	79
33/74—Van Binsbergen $v$ . Bestuur van de Bedrijfsvereniging voor de	
Metaalnijverheid [1974] E.C.R. 1299; [1975] 1 C.M.L.R. 298	135
36/74—Walrave & Koch v. Association Union Cycliste Internationale	
[1974] E.C.R. 1405; [1975] 1 C.M.L.R. 32086	,
41/74—Van Duyn v. Home Office [1974] E.C.R. 1337; [1975] 1 C.M.L.R. 1	79,
101, 130	, 231
48/74—Charmasson v. Minister of Economic Affairs and Finance [1974]	
E.C.R. 1383; [1975] 2 C.M.L.R. 208	237
63/74—Cadsky v. Istituto Nazionale per il Commercio Estero [1975]	
E.C.R. 281; [1975] 2 C.M.L.R. 246	126
67/74—Bonsignore v. Oberstadtdirektor Cologne [1975] E.C.R. 297;	
[1975] I C.M.L.R. 472	131
	168
72/74—Union Syndicale-service public européen, Brussels and others v.	
Council [1975] E.C.R. 401; [1975] 2 C.M.L.R. 181	75
73/74—Groupement des Fabricants de Papiers Peints de Belgique and others v. Commission [1975] E.C.R. 1491; [1976] 1 C.M.L.R. 589	102
74/74—CNTA v. Commission [1975] E.C.R. 533; [1977] 1 C.M.L.R. 171	103
9/75—Meyer-Burckhardt v. Commission [1975] E.C.R. 333; [1977] T.C.M.L.R. 1/1	87 87
23/75—Rey Soda v. Cassa Conguaglio Zucchero [1975] E.C.R. 1171	0/
1 C.M.L.R. 185	62
26/75—General Motors Continental v. Commission [1975] E.C.R. 1367;	02
[1976] 1 C.M.L.R. 95	163
36/75—Rutili v. French Minister of the Interior [1975] E.C.R. 1219; [1976]	103
1 C.M.L.R. 140	242
43/75—Defrenne v. Sabena [1976] E.C.R. 455; [1976] 2 C.M.L.R. 9879, 228	
46/75—LB.C. v. Commission [1976] E.C.R. 455, [1976] 2 C.W.L.R. 989, 228	147
48/75—Procureur du Roi v. Royer [1976] E.C.R. 497; [1976] 2 C.M.L.R.	14/
619	135
50/75—Caisse de Pension des Employés Privés v. Massonet [1975] E.C.R.	, 133
1473	231
112/75—Securité Sociale Nancy v. Huardin [1976] E.C.R. 553; [1978] 2	201
C.M.L.R. 374	130
118/75—Criminal proceedings against Lynne Watson and Alessandro	
Belmann [1976] E.C.R. 1185; [1976] 2 C.M.L.R. 552	, 135
3, 4 & 6/76—The State v. Cornelius Kramer et al. [1976] E.C.R. 1279; [1976] 2	
C.M.L.R. 440	), 201
13/76—Dona v. Mantero [1976] E.C.R. 1333; [1976] 2 C.M.L.R. 578	, 135
17/76—Brack v. Insurance Officer [1976] E.C.R. 1429; [1976] 2 C.M.L.R.	
592	130
26/76—Metro-SB-Grossmärkte v. Commission [1977] E.C.R. 1875; [1978]	
2 C.M.L.R. 1	75, 76
27/76—United Brands Company v. Commission [1978] E.C.R. 207; [1978] 1	
C.M.L.R. 429	3, 163
33/76—Rewe-Zentralfinanz and Rewe-Zentral v. Landwirtschaftskammer	
für das Saarland [1976] E.C.R. 1989; [1977] 1 C.M.L.R. 53382	2, 228

44/76—Milch-, Fett- und Eierkontor GmbH v. Council and Commission [1977] E.C.R. 393	07
49/76—Gesellschaft für Überseehandel GmbH v. Handelskammer [1977]	87
E.C.R. 41	82
51/76—Verbond van Nederlandse Ondernemingen $v$ . Inspecteur der	
Invoerrechten en Accijnzen [1977] E.C.R. 113; [1977] 1 C.M.L.R. 413	240
101, 229, 239, 52/76—Benedetti v. Munari [1977] E.C.R. 163	83
71/76—Thieffry v. Paris Bar Council [1977] E.C.R. 765; [1977] 2	03
C.M.L.R. 373	135
74/76—lannelli & Volpi v. Paolo Meroni [1977] E.C.R. 557; [1977] 2	100
C.M.L.R. 688	172
83 & 94/76—Bayerische HNL etc. GmbH & Co. K.G. and others v. Council	
and Commission [1978] E.C.R. 1209; [1978] 3 C.M.L.R. 566	87
97/76—Firma Merkur Aussenhandel GmbH & Co. v. Commission [1977]	1.47
E.C.R. 1063	147
E.C.R. 797	76
107/76—Hoffman-La Roche v. Centrafarm [1977] E.C.R. 957; [1977] 2	70
C.M.L.R. 334	85
118/76—Balkan-Import-Export GmbH v. Hauptzollamt Berlin-Packhof	
[1977] E.C.R. 1177	147
119 & 120/76—Ölmühle Hamburg $v$ . Hauptzollamt Hamburg-Waltershof	
[1977] E.C.R. 1269; [1979] 2 C.M.L.R. 83	79
124/76 & 20/77—S. A. Moulins v. ONIC; Société Coopérative v. ONIC [1977]	147
E.C.R. 1795; [1979] 2 C.M.L.R. 445	14/
608	87
4, 15 & 40/77—HNL v. Council and Commission [1978] E.C.R. 1209	87
5/77—Carlo Tedeschi v. Denkavit Commerciale Srl [1977] E.C.R. 1555; [1978] 1	
C.M.L.R. 1	85
	131
9 & 10/77—Bavaria Fluggesellschaft and Germanair Bedarfsluftfahrt v.	00
"Eurocontrol" [1977] E.C.R. 1517; [1980] 1 C.M.L.R. 566	90
11/77—Patrick v. Minister of Cultural Affairs [1977] E.C.R. 1199; [1977] 2 C.M.L.R. 523	134
13/77—INNO v. Vereniging van de Kleinhandellaars (ATAB) [1977] E.C.R.	134
2115; [1978] 1 C.M.L.R. 283	163
30/77—R. v. Bouchereau [1977] E.C.R. 1999; [1979] 2 C.M.L.R. 800	131
31/77R & 53/77R—Commission v. United Kingdom [1977] E.C.R.	
921; [1977] 2 C.M.L.R. 35990,	
34/77—Oslizlok v. Commission [1973] E.C.R. 1099	103
38/77—ENKA v. Inspecteur der Invoerrechten en Accijzen [1977] E.C.R. 2203; [1978] 2 C.M.L.R. 212	239
52/77—Cayrol v. Rivorra [1971] E.C.R. 2261; [1978] 2 C.M.L.R. 253	126
53/77R—U.K. v. Commission [1977] E.C.R. 2201, [1976] 2 C.M.L.R. 255	90
58/77—Ireland v. France	72
59/77—De Bloos v. Bouyer [1977] E.C.R. 2359; [1978] 1 C.M.L.R. 511	160
61/77R—Commission v. Ireland [1977] E.C.R. 937 and 1411	90