

Kerse & Khan

EU ANTITRUST
PROCEDURE

Sixth Edition

SWEET & MAXWELL

KERSE & KHAN
ON
EU ANTITRUST
PROCEDURE

SIXTH EDITION



(with research assistance by Anna Morfey,
MA (Oxon), Solicitor, England & Wales)

SWEET & MAXWELL



THOMSON REUTERS

Published in 2012 by Sweet & Maxwell,
100 Avenue Road, London NW3 3PF
part of Thomson Reuters (Professional) UK Limited
(Registered in England & Wales, Company No 1679046.
Registered Office and address for service:
Aldgate House, 33 Aldgate High Street, London EC3N 1DL)

Typeset by YHT Ltd., London
Printed in Great Britain by
TJ International, Padstow, Cornwall

No natural forests were destroyed to make this product;
only farmed timber was used and replanted

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available
from the
British Library

ISBN 9781847039095

All rights reserved.

Crown copyright material is reproduced
with the permission of the controller of HMSO
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.
No part of this publication may be
reproduced or transmitted, in any form
or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system
of any nature, without prior written permission,
except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright,
Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with
the terms of licence issued by the Copyright
Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or
reprographic reproduction. Application for
permission for other use of copyright material
including permission to reproduce extracts in other
published works shall be made to the publishers. Full
acknowledgement of author, publisher and source
must be given.

© N. Khan 2012

KERSE & KHAN
ON
EU ANTITRUST
PROCEDURE

SIXTH EDITION

Preface

As Chris Kerse observed in the introduction to the 5th edition of this book, Regulation 1/2003 required a fundamental reappraisal of the structure and content of this book, but the 5th edition having been published soon after the entry into force of Regulation, much of what we wrote about the then new regime of Regulation 1/2003 was necessarily tentative. More than eight years on, a new edition is long overdue; abstract descriptions of the regime introduced by Regulation 1/2003 need to be replaced with commentary on the substantial body of practice that has developed.

Early on in planning this new edition, Chris Kerse decided that, having fully retired, he would withdraw from being an author, an understandable decision given he was no longer in day-to-day contact with EU competition law. Although Chris has therefore not contributed to this 6th edition, the very fact that this work is now in its 6th edition is a tribute to his initiative in conceiving the idea of a book dedicated to the procedural aspects of EU antitrust law and the favourable reception given to the earlier editions for which he was responsible.

Although this edition largely preserves the structure of the 5th edition, much of the content is completely new and several chapters have been greatly expanded to reflect the considerable output of the Commission and the Court over the last few years: 2011 alone saw the General Court issue over 100 judgments on competition law and the EU's impending accession to the ECHR has given further impetus to debate about the application of fundamental rights to competition proceedings.

As ever, it is difficult to draw a clear dividing line between procedural and substantive questions; parental liability, for example, is a matter of substantive law going to liability for an infringement, but is inextricably linked to the procedural question of who may be concerned by an investigation and the implications this has for the calculation of fines. I have therefore briefly covered such matters, but generally, numerous other works provide more detailed guidance on the substantive law and I have concentrated on the many developments in practice and procedure in applying EU competition law. Amongst these, it is notable that the Commission has made extensive use of Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003 to dispose of cases where no fine is to be imposed and introduced the Settlements Notice in 2008 to streamline the disposal of cases that do call for the imposition of a fine. On fines, the methodology for setting fines changed quite fundamentally with the 2006 Fining Guidelines, although the transitional rule that the new guidelines would apply only where a statement of objections had not already been issued meant that the impact of the new guidelines was not immediately felt, and the relative paucity of judgments dealing with fines imposed under the 2006 Fining Guidelines leaves some issues still to be fully

Preface

explored. As fines fixed under the 1998 Fining Guidelines are now only of historic interest, the commentary on fines in Chapter 7 concerns only the 2006 Fining Guidelines, but reference is also made to earlier decisions where they remain relevant to illustrate practice under the 2006 Fining Guidelines.

After a slow start, national courts have become increasingly active in applying EU competition law, especially in the field of actions for damages. The relationship between public and private enforcement and the tensions that have emerged between leniency programmes and the disclosure of documents from the Commission's file, whether through *inter partes* disclosure, access to documents under Regulation 1049/2001 or by the Commission pursuant to Article 15(1) of Regulation 1/2003 have called for substantial revision of the text, although this is an area where as yet few definitive conclusions can be drawn.

The total length of the book has been contained only by removing the annexes of legislation, notices and guidelines that were included in earlier editions. Now that such material is so readily available online, the utility of including these texts is of marginal importance, but I have compensated for this change to some extent by reproducing the most important provisions in the relevant sections of the main text.

Many target dates for completion of this 6th edition have come and gone, partly due to professional commitments and partly due to the Commission and the Court generating new material faster than I could keep up with. I am grateful to my editors at Sweet & Maxwell, Amanda Strange and Jen Warren, for their patience, and to copy-editor Will Prior, for allowing me to amend the text up to the last minute, with a few final changes being made only at the end of October 2012. I have endeavoured to state the law as of the end of September 2012, with a few further additions where this was possible.

I would like to thank those who have assisted me in writing this new edition. Anna Morfey carried out a great deal of research and preliminary redrafting. My colleagues in the Competition team of the Legal Service of the European Commission have provided a constant stream of questions and comments on developments in practice to stimulate thinking. Wouter Wils has kindly reviewed most of the chapters in draft form and his encouragement and advice have been invaluable. Finally, I am particularly grateful for the tolerance of my family. Although I suspect their insistence that I should finish writing this book is not due to their impatience to read the final work, Julia, Christopher and Sebastian have contributed greatly by their forbearance in this sometimes seemingly interminable process.

This is essentially a book that seeks to state what the law is, rather than a polemic about what the law should be, but any opinions expressed are strictly personal and do not necessarily reflect the position of the European Commission.

Nicholas Khan
November 1, 2012

Note on Citations

References to Articles of the Treaties have generally been updated to the new numbering of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) except where the context requires that reference to an earlier version of the Treaty be retained.

Older Commission decisions, which were published in full in the *Official Journal* are identified by reference to the relevant *Official Journal*. For decisions adopted since the Commission ceased publication of the full text in the *Official Journal*, reserving the full text for publication online only, reference is given only to the DG Competition case number, as the level of detail that readers are likely to require will not be found in the summary publication in the *Official Journal*.

As noted in Chapter 8, the General Court is the judicial organ principally responsible for competition cases and for the sake of simplicity, the text refers simply to “the Court” except where the context requires otherwise and the relevant jurisdiction can of course be identified from the prefix to the case number.

Tables of Cases

1.	Alphabetical list of cases before the European Courts*	xxiv
2.	Numerical list of cases before the Court of Justice.....	xlvi
3.	Numerical list of cases before the General Court	lxii
4.	Alphabetical list of decisions of the European Commission	lxxviii
5.	List of national cases.....	lxxxvi
6.	List of international tribunal cases	lxxxix

* For full citation please cross-refer to numerical lists.

1. ALPHABETICAL LIST OF CASES BEFORE THE EUROPEAN COURTS

1. garantovaná v Commission (T-392/09 R) 3-134, 6-037, 8-019, 8-023,
8-191, 8-193, 8-198, 8-201
AC-Treuhand v Commission (T-99/04) 3-012, 3-013, 3-015, 3-022, 3-032,
6-031, 7-014, 7-017, 7-133
AC Treuhand v Commission (T-27/10) 7-017
ACF Chemiefarma v Commission (41, 44 & 45/69) 4-013, 4-117, 5-097,
6-006, 6-017, 6-053, 6-055, 6-093, 7-046, 7-286, 8-140
AEG Telefunken v Commission (107/82) 4-015, 4-024, 4-026, 7-281, 8-139
AEPI v Commission (C-425/07 P) 2-072
AEPI v Commission (T-229/05) 2-072
AEPI and others v Commission (T-392/08–451/08) 8-038
AITEC. *See* Associazione Italiana Tecnico Economica del Cemento (AITEC) v
Commission (T-447-449/93)
AKZO Chemie v Commission (5/85) 1-050, 3-077, 3-089, 3-134, 5-064,
6-003, 8-153
AKZO Chemie v Commission (53/85) 4-002, 4-034, 4-038, 4-071, 4-072,
4-073, 4-119, 5-120, 8-155
AKZO Chemie v Commission (62/86 R) 8-188
AKZO Chemie v Commission (62/86) 1-051, 4-011, 4-122, 7-132
AKZO Nobel Chemicals v Commission (T-125 & 253/03 R) 3-051, 3-052,
3-137, 8-033, 8-036
ALMA v ECSC High Authority (8/56) 3-062, 4-023
AM&S *See* Australian Mining & Smelting Europe (AMS) v Commission
(155/79)
ANCIDES. *See* Associazione Nazionale Commercianti Internazionale Dentali
E Sanitari (ANCIDES) v Commission (43/85) ANTIB v Commission
(272/85)
APESCO. *See* Asociación Profesional de Empresarios de Pesca Comunitarias
(APESCO) v Commission (207/86)
ARBED v Commission (C-176/99 P) 4-011, 7-275
ASBL v Bosman (C-415/93) 7-003
AU Optronics v Commission (T-94/11) 4-117, 6-006
Aalberts Industries v Commission (T-385/06) 7-122, 8-077
Aalborg Portland v Commission (C-204–205, 211, 213, 217 & 219/00 P) . 3-002,
3-012, 3-033, 4-052, 4-063, 4-087, 4-123, 4-129, 6-020, 6-021,
6-053, 7-011, 7-013, 7-251, 7-266, 8-044, 8-085
Acciaieria e Tubificio di Brescia v ECSC High Authority (31/59 R) 3-134
Acciaieria e Tubificio di Brescia v ECSC High Authority (31/59) ... 3-042, 3-119,
6-013, 7-231, 8-117
Acerinox v Commission (T-48/98) 7-231, 8-117
Acme Industry Co v Council (T-48/96) 6-016
Activision Blizzard Germany v Commission (C-260/09 P) 8-055
Adams v Commission (No.1) (145/83) 2-033, 8-005

Alphabetical List of Cases before the European Courts

- Advocaten voor de Wereld VZW v Leden van de Ministerraad (C-303/05).. 3-004
Aer Lingus Group v Commission (T-411/07) 8-016
Aéroports de Paris v Commission (C-82/01 P)..... 1-051
Agrofert Holding v Commission (T-111/07) 8-019, 8-030
Ahlstrom (A) OY v Commission (89, 104, 114, 116, 177 & 125-129/85).. 4-011,
4-013, 4-090, 4-107, 7-192, 8-111, 8-161
Air France v Commission (T-63/11) 8-028
Air France-KLM v Commission (T-62/11) 8-028
Air Inter v Commission (T-260/94)..... 4-003
Air Liquide v Commission (T-185/06)..... 7-021, 8-144
Council Akzo Nobel Chemicals v Commission (C-550/07 P) 3-053, 3-054,
4-034, 8-017, 8-030, 8-033
Akzo Nobel Chemicals v Commission (T-125/03) ... 3-046, 3-052, 3-056, 3-057,
4-075, 4-084, 4-085, 6-005
Akzo Nobel v Commission (C-97/08 P) 3-011, 6-020, 6-021, 6-022, 6-023,
7-006, 7-007, 7-021, 7-023, 7-040, 7-107
Akzo Nobel v Commission (T-112/05) 6-022, 7-006, 7-021, 7-040
Akzo Nobel v Commission (T-175/05) 6-012
Al-Jubail Fertiliser Co (Samad) v Council (C-49/88)..... 4-007, 4-072, 4-080
Alitalia v Commission (T-296/97) 8-155
Alitalia v Commission (T-301/01) 8-160, 8-166
All Weather Sports Benelux v Commission (T-38/92) 7-015
Alliance One International v Commission (T-24/05) 6-023
Alliance One International v Commission (C-628/10 P and C-14/11 P) 7-006,
7-096, 8-085
Almamet v Commission (C-373/10 P(R))..... 8-200
Almamet v Commission (T-410/09) 8-193
Almini v Commission (19/70) 4-111
Alrosa v Commission (T-170/06) 4-048, 6-096, 6-087, 6-088, 8-016, 8-097,
8-105
Alsen v Commission (T-28/03)
Alstom v Commission (T-164/12)..... 8-105
Amann & Sohne & Co KG v Commission (T-446/05).... 3-022, 3-033, 4-011,
6-012, 8-088
Angelopharm v Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg (C-212/91) 8-140
Annemans v Commission (T-411/05) 2-044
Aragonesas Industrias y Energía v Commission (T-348/08)..... 8-060, 8-077,
8-110, 8-114, 8-116, 8-131
ArcelorMittal v Commission (T-405/06) 6-022, 7-013, 7-271
ArcelorMittal Luxembourg v Commission (C-201/09 P and C-216/09 P)... 7-021,
7-166, 7-272
ArcelorMittal WF and others v Commission (T-385/10 R) 8-193, 8-195
Archer Daniels Midland v Commission (T-224/00).. 4-014, 7-116, 7-138, 7-257,
8-076, 8-079, 8-149
Archer Daniels Midland v Commission (T-329/01).. 6-031, 7-058, 7-179, 8-134
Archer Daniels Midland v Commission (T-59/02)..... 5-036, 7-156
Archer Daniels Midland v Commission (C-397/03).. 7-257, 7-258, 8-076, 8-079,
8-149
Archer Daniels Midland v Commission (C-510/06 P) 6-031, 7-126, 8-060,
8-134
Archer Daniels Midland v Commission (C-511/06 P) 5-036
Areva v Commission (T-117/07) 4-016, 6-024, 6-031, 7-025, 8-137
Aristrain v Commission (T-156/94)..... 3-011
Arizmendi v Council (T-440/03) 1-068

Tables of Cases

Arizona Chemical and others v Commission (T-369/03 R)	8-185
Arkema France v Commission (T-189/06).....	6-022, 7-141, 7-179
Arkema France v Commission (T-217/06).....	8-013, 8-070, 8-078
Arkema France v Commission (T-343/08)....	7-057, 7-101, 7-107, 7-108, 7-142
Arkema v Commission (C-520/09 P)	7-021, 7-105
Arkema v Commission (T-168/05).....	7-176
Artijus Magyar Szerzoi Jogvédo Iroda Egyesület v Commission (C-32/09 P(R))	8-188
Artijus Magyar Szerzoi Jogvédo Iroda Egyesület v Commission (T-411/08 R) ..	8-188
Asea Brown Boveri (ABB) v Commission (T-31/99)	1-067, 3-145, 4-017, 6-099, 6-101, 7-024, 7-094, 7-120, 7-121, 7-122, 7-123, 7-159
Asia Motor France v Commission (C-1/01 P).....	8-160
Asia Motor France v Commission (T-7/92)	2-048
Asia Motor France v Commission (T-387/94)	6-011
Asia Motor France v Commission (T-28/90).....	2-053, 8-160, 8-171, 8-172
Asociación Profesional de Empresarios de Pesca Comunitarias (APESCO) v Commission (207/86)	2-026
AssiDomän Kraft Products and others v Commission (T-227/95)	8-161
Associazione Italiana Tecnico Economico del Cemento (AITEC) v Commis- sion (T-447-449/93)	2-026
Associazione Nazionale Commercianti Internazionale Dentali e Sanitari (ANCIDES) v Commission (43/85)	4-033, 6-012
AstraZeneca v Commission (T-321/05).....	1-044, 1-051, 8-088
Athinaiki Teckniki v Commission (C-521/06 P)	8-100
Atlantic Container Line v Commission (T-395/94 R).....	8-060, 8-187
Atlantic Container Line v Commission (T-191/98 & 212-214/98)..	1-017, 1-052, 2-033, 2-045, 2-048, 3-003, 4-002, 4-014, 4-024, 4-062, 4-065, 4-066, 4-067, 4-068, 4-069, 4-076, 4-082, 4-110, 6-038, 6-100, 7-251, 8-014, 8-060, 8-108, 8-155
Atlantic Container Line v Commission (T-113/04)	7-282, 8-005
Atzeni and others v Commission (T-21/02)	8-008
Au lys de France v Commission (T-458/04).....	2-059, 2-072
Australian Mining & Smelting Europe (AM&S) v Commission (155/79)... 3-043, 3-044, 3-045, 3-047, 3-048, 3-049, 3-050, 3-052, 3-053, 3-056, 3-057, 3-058, 3-060, 3-119, 4-002, 4-034, 8-017	
Automec I. <i>See</i> Automec v Commission (T-64/89)	
Automec II. <i>See</i> Automec v Commission (T-24/90)	
Automec v Commission (T-64/89)	2-044, 6-061, 8-102
Automec v Commission (T-24/90) ...	2-039, 2-050, 2-053, 2-057, 2-058, 2-059, 2-061, 6-047, 8-168
Automobiles Peugeot v Commission (T-23/90 R).....	8-188
Automobiles Peugeot v Commission (T-23/90)	8-022
Automobiles Peugeot v Commission (T-90/96)	8-102
Automobiles Peugeot v Commission (T-450/05)	8-111
Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato v Ente tabacchi italiani (C- 280/06)	7-010, 7-014
Avebe v Commission (T-314/01).....	4-058, 6-023
BASF v Commission (T-79/89).....	4-063, 6-004, 6-054
BASF v Commission (T-15/02).....	4-011, 4-017, 7-114, 7-115, 7-116, 7-117, 7-141, 7-157, 7-159, 7-175, 7-184, 7-206, 7-211, 7-233, 8-067
BASF v Commission (T-101/05)	3-011
BASF Coatings v Commission (T-175/95).....	4-082, 7-239
BASF and UCB v Commission (T-101/05 and T-111/05) ...	7-101, 7-176, 7-201, 7-266, 7-267, 8-072, 8-115

Alphabetical List of Cases before the European Courts

- BAT and Reynolds v Commission (142 and 156/84) 8–145
BBC v Commission (T-70/89) 1–051, 6–048
BELASCO. *See* Société Co-opérative des Asphalteurs Belges (BELASCO SC) v Commission (246/86)
BEMIM. *See* Bureau Européen des Medias de l'Industrie Musicale (BEMIM) v Commission (T-114/92)
BEUC. *See* Bureau Européen des Unions de Consommateurs (BEUC) v Commission (T-256/97)
BEUC and National Consumer Council v Commission (T-37/92) ... 2–026, 2–052
BIC Deutschland v Commission (T-270/04) 7–276
BMW Belgium v Commission (32 & 36–82/78) 7–158, 7–171
BP v Commission (77/77) 1–048, 8–096
BPB de Eendracht v Commission (T-311/94) 4–090, 6–011, 6–052, 7–113
BPB Industries v Commission (C-310/93 P) 2–033, 4–072, 4–076, 4–077
BPB Industries v Commission (C-310/98 P) 8–054
BPB v Commission (T-53/03) 4–002, 4–014, 4–082, 6–012, 7–101, 7–104, 7–126, 7–191, 8–121, 8–164
BRT. *See* Belgische Radio & TV (BRT) v SABAM (127/73)
BUPA v Commission (T-289/03) 8–037
Ballast Nedam Infra v Commission (T-362/06) 8–139
Bank Austria Creditanstalt v Commission (T-198/03) 4–075, 8–105
Barge (veuve Leone) v ECSC High Authority (18/62) 3–066
Baustahlgewebev Commission (C-189/95 P) 3–011, 4–059, 7–174, 8–043, 8–057, 8–110
Baustahlgewebe v Commission (T-145/89) 7–045
Bavaria v Commission (C-445/11 P) 7–170
Bavaria v Commission (T-235/07) 7–170
Bavarian Lager Co v Commission (T-309/97) 4–096
Bayer v Commission (C-195/91 P) 8–010
Bayer v Commission (T-41/96 R) 8–117, 8–184, 8–187
Bayer v Commission. *See* Bundesverband der Arzneimittel Importeure v Bayer (C-2 & 3/01 P)
Belgian Sewing Thread v Commission (T-452/05) ... 7–080, 7–189, 7–239, 8–005
Belgische Radio & TV (BRT) v SABAM (127/73) 1–048
Bertelsmann and Sony Corporation of America v Impala and Commission (C-413/06 P) 8–057
Bethell (Lord) v Commission (246/81) 8–169
Blackspur DIY v Council (C-362/95 P) 8–055
Bodson v Pompes Funeèbres des Régions Libérées (30/87) 1–048
Boehringer Mannheim v Commission (7/72) 7–003, 7–256
Boliden and others v Commission (T-19/05) 8–013
Bolloré v Commission (T-109/02).... 4–005, 4–017, 4–040, 4–063, 6–011, 6–022, 7–024, 7–117, 7–153, 8–139
Bolloré v Commission (C-327/07 P) 5–086
Bolloré v Commission (T-372/10)..... 6–053, 7–169, 7–274, 8–139
Booker Aquaculture (t/a Marine Harvest McConnell) v Scottish Ministers (C-20/00)..... 3–004
Bosman v Commission (117/91 R) 8–184
Brasserie Nationale v Commission (T-49/02) 7–049
Brescia. *See* Acciaieria e Tubificio di Brescia v ECSC High Authority (31/59)
Britannia Alloys & Chemicals v Commission (C-76/06 P) ... 7–013, 7–020, 7–185
Britannia Alloys & Chemicals v Commission (T-33/02)..... 7–044, 7–185
British Airways/American Airlines/Iberia [2010] OJ (C-278/14) 6–045
British Airways v Commission (T-219/99) 1–051, 2–060

Tables of Cases

British Airways v Commission (C-95/04 P)	1-051, 1-052, 2-060
British Airways v Commission (T-48/11).....	7-089, 7-159, 8-015
British American Tobacco Co (BAT) and Reynolds v Commission (No.2) (142 & 156/84)	2-053, 2-071, 4-011, 4-019, 4-063, 6-015, 6-054
British American Tobacco International (Investments) v Commission (T-111/00)	
British Coal Corp v Commission (T-367/94).....	2-048, 8-020, 8-022
British Leyland v Commission (226/84)	1-051
British Steel v Commission (T-1151/94).....	8-117
British Sugar v Commission (C-359/01 P).....	7-082, 8-054
British Sugar v Commission (T-204/98)	7-178
Buchler v Commission (44/69)	4-117
Buchmann v Commission (T-295/94).....	8-112
Buchmann v Commission (T-295/94 R)	8-193
Bundesverband der Arzneimittel Importeure v Bayer (C-2 & 3/01 P)	8-117
Bundesverband der Bilanzbuchhalter v Commission (T-84/94)	2-074
Bureau Européen des Medias de l'Industrie Musicale (BEMIM) v Commission (T-114/92).....	2-026, 2-059, 5-121
Bureau Européen des Unions de Consommateurs (BEUC) v Commission (T-256/97)	4-034, 8-105
CD-Contact Data v Commission (T-18/03)	6-011
CEPSA Estaciones de Servicio v LV Tobar e Hijos (C-279/06)	6-014
CICCE. <i>See</i> Comité des Industries Cinématographiques des Communautés Européennes (CICCE) v Commission (298/83)	
CIF. <i>See</i> Consorzio Industrie Fiammiferi (CIF) v Autorita Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato (C-198/01)	
CIRFS v Commission (C-313/90)	8-098
CMA and CGM v Commission (T-213/00) ..	3-016, 7-145, 7-164, 7-239, 7-273
CMBT v Commission (T-24/93 R)	8-020
Caffaro in amministrazione straordinaria v Commission (C-447/11 P)	7-274
Caffaro v Commission (T-192/06)	7-153, 7-274
Camera Care v Commission (792/79 R)....	1-086, 2-024, 6-059, 6-061, 6-066, 6-068, 6-069, 6-072, 6-073, 6-076, 8-100, 8-204
Canas v Commission (T-508/09).....	8-012
Carlsen and others v Council (T-610/97 R)	8-186
Carpenter v Secretary of State for the Home Department (C-60/00)	3-004
Carra (C-258/98).....	5-011
Cascades v Commission (C-279/98 P)	6-012, 6-021, 7-010
Cascades v Commission (T-308/94 R).....	7-138
Cascades v Commission (T-308/94).....	8-193
Cathay Pacific Airways v Commission (T-38/11)	8-028
Cementos Portland Vderrivas v Commission (T-296/11).....	8-204
Cemex and others v Commission (T-292/11)	8-204
Centrafarm v Sterling Drug Inc (15/74)	7-148
Centre Belge d'Etudes de Marché Télé-Marketing (CBEM) v Compagnie Luxembourgeoise de Télédiffusion (CLT) (311/84).....	1-051
Centre d'étude and de valorisation des algues (CEVA) v Commission (T-428/07 and T-455/07)	7-278
Chalkor v Commission (C-386/10 P).....	3-011, 8-075, 8-077, 8-092, 8-054
Cheil Jedang v Commission (T-220/00)	7-187, 7-193, 8-149
Cheminova and others v Commission (C-60/08 P(R)).....	8-183
Chi Mei Optoelectronics Europe and Chi Mei Optoelectronics UK v Commission (T-140/07).....	3-062, 3-063
Cho Yang Shipping Co v Commission (Order II) (C-361/00 P(R)) ..	8-193, 8-205

Alphabetical List of Cases before the European Courts

Cho Yang Shipping Co v Commission (Order I) (T-191/98 R II).....	8-193
Cimenteries v Commission (8-11/66).....	4-006, 8-100
Cimenteries CBR v Commission (T-10-12 & 15/92 R)	4-011, 4-018, 4-020, 4-050, 4-077, 4-083, 4-084, 8-102, 8-103
Cimenteries CBR v Commission (T-25 & 26/95)....	3-011, 4-005, 4-011, 4-012, 4-015, 4-016, 4-018, 4-025, 4-050, 4-062, 4-063, 4-087, 4-088, 4-095, 4-119, 4-122, 6-053, 6-058, 7-266, 8-072, 8-115, 8-117, 8-158
Cisal di Battistello Venanzio & Co SAS v Istituto Nazionale per l'Assicur- azione contro gli Infortuni sul Lavoro (INAIL) (C-218/00)	1-022
Clearstream Banking v Commission (T-301/04)	1-051, 7-133
Council Coats Holdings v Commission (T-36/05)	8-111, 8-112, 8-123
Coats Holdings v Commission (T-439/07)	8-048, 8-088, 8-130
Coca-Cola Co v Commission (T-125 & 127/97)	8-101
Cockerill Sambre v Commission (42/85)	8-011
Coe Clerici Logistics v Commission (T-52/00)	2-074, 8-035
Comap v Commission (C-290/11 P)	8-133
Comap v Commission (T-377/06)	8-133
Comité des Industries Cinématographiques des Communautés Européennes (CICCE) v Commission (298/83)	2-045, 2-069, 2-071
Commercial Solvents Corp v Commission (6 & 7/73).....	6-046, 6-048
Commerzbank v Commission (T-219/01 R).....	6-084, 8-104
Commission v Aalberts Industries (C-287/11 P)	7-122, 8-077
Commission v Aktionsgemeinschaft Recht und Eigentum (C-78/03 P)	8-097
Commission v AKZO Nobel (C-7/04).....	3-052
Commission v Alrosa Co (C-441/07 P).....	4-046, 6-087, 6-088, 8-155
Commission v Anic Partecipazioni (C-49/92 P).....	6-021, 6-024, 7-010, 7-013, 8-110
Commission v AssiDoman Kraft Products (C-310/97 P)	3-029, 7-274, 8-018, 8-026, 8-161, 8-162
Commission v AssiDoman Kraft Products (T-227/95).....	6-058
Commission v Atlantic Container Line (C-149/95 P(R))	8-181, 8-183, 8-184, 8-186
Commission v BASF (C-137/92 P) ...	6-004, 6-005, 6-011, 7-108, 8-140, 8-156, 8-165
Commission v Cambridge Healthcare Supplies (C-471/00 P(R)).....	8-185
Commission v Chambre Syndicale Nationale des Entreprises de Transport de Fonds et Valeurs (Sytraval) (C-367/95 P).....	2-047, 6-011
Commission v CMA CGM and others (C-236/03 P)	7-145
Commission v Council (22/70).....	8-099
Commission v Edison (C-446/11 P).....	7-021, 8-144
Commission v Ferriere Nord (C-516/06 P).....	7-277, 7-278
Commission v France (C-232/05).....	5-011
Commission v Germany (C-431/92)	1-068
Commission v ICI (C-286/95 P).....	6-010
Commission v Ireland and others (C-272/12 P).....	8-059
Commission v Ireland and others (C-89/08 P)	8-059
Commission v Italy (154/85 R)	8-017
Commission v Jégo-Quéré (C-263/02 P).....	8-095
Commission v Ladbroke Racing (C-359 & 379/95 P).....	2-075
Commission v Lisrestal (C-32/95 P)	4-002, 4-003, 8-142
Commission v NTN Corp and Koyo Seiko (C-245/95 P) ...	8-017, 8-018, 8-036
Commission v Schneider Electric (C-440/07 P)	8-005

Tables of Cases

Commission v SGL Carbon (C-301/04 P) ... 3-002, 3-032, 3-039, 7-031, 7-145, 7-215, 7-258, 8-047, 8-079
Commission v Solvay (C-287/95 P and C-288/95 P) 8-141
Commission v Sveriges Betodlares (C-409/96 P) 8-063
Commission v Sytraval (C-367/95 P) 6-011, 8-143, 8-148
Commission v Technische Glaswerke Ilmenau (C-139/07 P)..... 4-098
Commission v Tetra Laval (C-12/03 P)..... 8-087
Commission v Tomkins (C-286/11 P) 7-274, 8-026, 8-163
Commission v United Kingdom (C-246/89 R) 8-186
Commission v Verhuizingen Coppens (C-441/11 P) 8-077
Commission v Volkswagen (C-74/04 P) 8-117
Compagnie Générale Maritime v Commission (T-86/95).... 4-011, 4-115, 4-118, 7-049, 8-143
Compagnie Maritime Belge v Commission (T-276/04) 3-011, 6-011, 7-164, 8-155, 8-165
Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports v Commission (T-24-26 & 28/93).. 4-022, 6-019, 6-053, 7-045, 7-164, 7-282
Compagnie Royale Asturienne des Mines and Rheinzink v Commission (29 & 30/83)..... 7-008, 7-014, 7-015, 8-111
Companhia Previdente v Commission (T-414/10 R)..... 8-193
Confederación Española de Empresarios de Estaciones de Servicio (C-217/05) . 6-020
Confederación Española de Empresarios de Estaciones de Servicio v Com- mission (T-342/11)..... 2-071
Confederation Européenne des Associations d'Horlogers-Reparateurs (CEAHR) v Commission (T-427/08)..... 2-013, 2-059, 2-072, 6-011
Confindustria v Commission (T-126/00) 8-008
Conseil national de l'Ordre des pharmaciens (CNOP) v Commission (T-23/ 09) 3-016, 3-022, 3-074, 3-086, 3-095
Consorzio Industrie Fiammiferi (CIF) v Autorita Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato (C-198/01)..... 7-148
Consorzio Italiano della Componentistica di Ricambio per Autoveicoli (CICRA) v Régie Nationale des Usines Renault (53/87). 1-051
Consten and Grundig-Verkaufs v Commission (56 & 58/64)..... 4-049, 6-014, 6-018, 6-046, 8-021, 8-139
Continental Can. <i>See</i> Europemballage Corp and Continental Can Co Inc v Commission (6/72)
Coop de France bétail et viande and FNSEA v Commission (C-101 and 110/ 07 P)..... 7-018, 7-251
Coöperatieve Vereniging de Verenigde Bloemenveilingen Aalsmeer BA (VBA) v Florimex (C-265/97 P)..... 2-072
Coöperatieve Vereniging Suiker Unie v Commission (40-48, 50, 54-56, 111, 113 & 114/73) 1-048, 4-018, 6-018, 6-056, 6-057, 6-099, 7-008, 7-014, 7-151
Corus UK v Commission (T-171/99) 7-282
Corus UK v Commission (C-199/99 P) 4-002, 4-052, 8-050
Corus UK v Commission (T-48/00).. 4-016, 6-057, 8-038, 8-072, 8-115, 8-164
Cosimex v Commission (T-131/89 R) 6-076, 8-206
Courage v Crehan (C-453/99)..... 4-099, 6-040, 8-002
Crehan v Courage Ltd. <i>See</i> Courage v Crehan DEP Groupe Origny v Com- mission (T-38/95)
Syfait (C-53/03) 5-108
DEB Deutsche Energiehandels- und Beratungsgesellschaft v Germany (C-279/ 09) 3-006, 8-048
DEP Groupe Origny v Commission (T-38/95) 8-062

Alphabetical List of Cases before the European Courts

DEP SGL Carbon v Commission (T-239/01).....	8-062
DSR Senator Lines v Commission (C-364/99)	6-038, 8-193, 8-194, 8-195
DSR-Senator Lines v Commission (T-191/98).....	8-193
Daiichi Pharmaceutical v Commission (T-26/02)	7-138
Dalmine v Commission (C-407/04 P).....	3-033, 5-039, 8-115
Dalmine v Commission (T-596/97)	7-260
Dalmine v Commission (T-50/00).....	5-039, 8-115
Dansk Rørindustri v Commission (C-189/02 P).....	4-002, 6-031, 6-099, 7-044, 7-057, 7-145, 7-159, 7-182, 7-215, 8-043, 8-057
Dansk Rørindustrri v Commission (T-21/99)	4-002, 7-024
Deere (John). <i>See</i> John Deere v Commission	
Degussa v Commission (T-279/02)	6-031, 6-100, 7-081, 7-267, 8-130
Degussa v Commission (C-266/06 P)	7-058
Delacre v Commission (350/88).....	6-013
Delimitis v Henninger Bräu (C-234/89)	1-026, 1-029, 5-134, 5-139, 8-002
Deltafina v Commision (T-29/05)....	6-012, 6-031, 6-053, 7-098, 7-116, 7-118, 7-150
Deltafina v Commission (C-578/11 P)	7-143, 7-217, 8-051
Deltafina v Commission (T-12/06).....	7-143, 7-198, 7-199, 7-217, 8-051
Demont v Commission (115/80)	4-118
Demo-Studio Schmidt v Commission (210/81).....	2-067
Denki Kagaku Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha v Commission (T-83/08).....	7-056, 7-059, 7-124, 7-214, 8-074, 8-111, 8-123
Der Grüne Punkt – Duales System Deutschland v Commission (T-15 and 289/ 01)	7-135
Der Grüne Punkt – Duales System Deutschland v Commission (T-151/01 R)	1-051, 8-014
Der Grüne Punkt – Duales System Deutschland v Commission (C-385/07 P) ..	1-051
Deutsche Bahn v Commission (T-229/94)	1-048, 7-015, 7-131, 7-178, 8-140
Deutsche Lufthansa v Commission (T-46/11)	8-028
Deutsche Telekom v Commission (T-271/03)	2-048, 4-074, 6-011, 6-097, 7-048, 7-049, 7-133, 7-150
Deutsche Telekom v Commission (C-280/08 P).....	1-051, 2-048, 6-011, 7-133, 7-150
Deutscher Komponistenverband v Commission (8/71)	4-033, 8-174, 8-177
Deutscher Komponistenverband v Commission (125/78)....	8-168, 8-171, 8-173
Deutsches Milch-Kontor (C-136/04)	5-007
Diamanthenadel A. Spira v Commission (T-108/07).....	8-037
Dijkstra, Van Roessel v Friesland (Frico Domo) Coöperatieve BA (C-319/93, 40 & 224/94)	5-057
Dirección General de Defensa de la Competencia v Asociación Espanola de Banca Privada (C-67/91)..	5-020, 5-022, 5-030, 5-039, 5-040, 5-055, 7-223
Distillers Co v Commission (30/78).....	4-108, 5-090, 8-139, 8-140
Dow Benelux v Commission (85/87)	3-088, 3-136, 3-138, 4-007, 5-021, 5-039, 6-026
Dow Chemical Iberica v Commission (97, 98 & 99/87)	3-090, 6-009
Dow Chemical Nederland v Commission (87/87 R).....	3-134, 8-203
Dow Chemical Co v Commission (T-42/07)	1-067, 6-011
Dow Chemical Co v Commission (T-77/08)	7-087, 8-073, 8-074
Dresdner Bank v Commission (T-44/02 OP)	8-008, 8-112
Dunlop Slazenger International v Commission (T-43/92) ...	6-007, 6-009, 6-025, 8-112, 8-129
EDP v Commission (T-87/05).....	8-152
EMC Development v Commission (T-432/05)	2-048, 2-072

Tables of Cases

EMI v CBS Schallplatten (96/75).....	1–051
E.ON Energie v Commission (T-141/08); on appeal, (C-89/11) P, E.ON Energie v Commission (pending).....	3–126, 6–079, 7–039, 7–040, 7–041
E.ON Energie v Commission (C-89/11 P).....	7–039
ERT. <i>See</i> Elliniki Radiophonia Tileorassi AE (ERT) v Dimotiki Etairia Plir-oforisis (DEP) (C-260/89)	
ETI (C-280/06).....	6–021, 6–024
Edison v Commission (T-196/06).....	6–022, 7–021, 8–144
Eisen und Metall v Commission (9/83).....	4–040
Electrabel v Commission (T-332/09).....	7–033
Elf Aquitaine v Commission (C-521/09 P)	3–010, 7–021
Elf Aquitaine v Commission (T-299/08).....	7–176, 7–179, 8–153
Elf Atochem v Commission (T-9/97)	8–102
Elliniki Radiophonia Tileorassi AE (ERT) v Dimotiki Etairia Pliroforisis (DEP) (C-260/89)	2–073
Empresa Nacional de Uranio (ENU) v Commission (C-107/91)	8–169
Emrich (Maria-Theresia) v Commission (C-371/89)	1–068
Enichem Anic v Commission (T-6/89)	7–011
Ensidesa v Commission (T-157/94)	8–117
Enso-Gutzeit v Commission (T-337/94)	8–112, 8–124
Eridania v Commission (T-168/95 R).....	8–185
Erste Group Bank v Commission (C-125/07 P)	3–002, 3–011, 6–012, 8–043, 8–126, 8–143
Estaser El Mareny v Commission (T-274/06)	8–008
Eurallumina v Commission (T-62/06)	8–182, 8–185
Eurallumina v Commission (T-207/07 R)	8–185
Eurocontrol. <i>See</i> SAT Fluggesellschaft v European Organisation for the Safety of Air Navigation (C-364/92)	
European Federation of Ink and Ink Cartridge Manufacturers (EFIM) v Commission (T-269/09)	8–021
European Night Services (ENS) v Commission (T-374– 375, 384 & 388/94) ..	3–090, 6–012, 7–132, 8–011, 8–155
European Parliament v Council (C-540/03)	3–004
European Renewable Energies Federation v Commission (C-74/10 P & C-75/ 10)	3–053, 8–012
European Renewable Energies Federation v Commission (T-94/07 & T-40/ 08)	3–053
European Road Transport Agreement. <i>See</i> Commission v Council (22/70)	
Européenne Automobile v Commission (T-9 & 211/96)	2–060, 2–062
Europemballage Corp and Continental Can Co Inc v Commission (6/72) ..	1–049, 3–062, 4–023, 4–126, 4–127, 6–018, 6–025
Eurozone Currencies. <i>See</i> Reisebank v Commission (T-216/01 R); Com- merzbank v Commission (T-219/01 R)	
Eyckeler & Malt v Commission (T-42/96)	8–142
FEG & TU v Commission (T-5/00 & T-6/00)	3–117
FEDEROLIO. <i>See</i> Federazione Nazionale del Commercio Oleano (FEDER- OLIO) v Commission (T-122/96)	
FEDETAB. <i>See</i> Heintz van Landewyck, Fédération Belgo-Luxembourgaise des Industries du Tabac Asbl (FEDETAB) v Commission (209–215 & 218/78)	
FEFC. <i>See</i> Compagnie Générale Maritime v Commission (T-86/95)	
FLS Plast v Commission (T-64/06).....	8–151
FMC Foret v Commission (T-191/06)	8–048, 8–049, 8–107, 8–124, 8–125
FNAB and others v Council (C-345/00 P).....	8–102