

FOURTH EDITION

RESEARCH METHODS

for Social Workers



BONNIE L. YEGIDIS

ROBERT W. WEINBACH

FOURTH EDITION



RESEARCH METHODS FOR SOCIAL WORKERS

Bonnie L. Yegidis

University of Georgia

Robert W. Weinbach

University of South Carolina

Allyn and Bacon

Boston • London • Toronto • Sydney • Tokyo • Singapore

Editor, Social Work and Family Therapy: Patricia Quinlin
Editor-in-Chief, Social Sciences: Karen Hanson
Series Editorial Assistant: Alyssa Pratt
Marketing Manager: Jackie Aaron
Composition and Prepress Buyer: Linda Cox
Manufacturing Buyer: Suzanne Lareau
Cover Administrator: Kristina Mose-Libon
Production Administrator: Deborah Brown
Editorial-Production Service: P. M. Gordon Associates



Copyright © 2002, 1999, 1996 by Allyn and Bacon
A Pearson Education Company
75 Arlington Street
Boston, MA 02116

Internet: www.ablongman.com

All rights reserved. No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission of the copyright owner.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Yegidis, Bonnie L.

Research methods for social workers / Bonnie L. Yegidis, Robert W. Weinbach. — 4th ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-205-33233-1

1. Social service—Research—Methodology. I. Weinbach, Robert W. II. Title.

HV11.Y43 2001

361.3'2'072—dc21

2001022589

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3

05 04 03 02



PREFACE

Social work practice is changing in the third millennium. Research, now an integral part of practice, is changing along with it. In this edition of *Research Methods for Social Workers*, we have attempted to retain those features that faculty and students told us they liked. At the same time, we have added material to emphasize the needs of today's students and accountable practitioners.

What Can the Reader Expect to Find?

Like the previous editions, this book is designed for a one-semester or one-quarter course on research methods. It is well suited to either undergraduate or foundation-level graduate social work courses. Its content is consistent with both current Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) accreditation standards and curriculum policy guidelines. This book offers a brief conceptual overview of statistical analysis, but encourages its readers to seek a more in-depth coverage of this specialized topic in other texts.

The book is written for both current and future social work practitioners who are likely to be involved in various aspects of research. It prepares the reader to become a critical consumer of research literature, to be able to begin to design and implement research, and to evaluate practice effectiveness either for personal feedback or to improve program effectiveness in a time of increased emphasis on accountability. It presents research as a logical, nonintimidating activity that parallels social work practice in many ways.

Designed to be "reader-friendly," this book contains no unnecessary research terminology or references to obscure, rarely used methods of knowledge building. Students, even those with no prior research background, will find the text interesting and easy to comprehend. It is written in a crisp, straightforward style and refers to contemporary social work practice on virtually every page. Examples are real—the kind of situations that social workers encounter every day.

We are consistently upbeat about research. Our belief that the knowledge, values, and skills of the social worker are much more of an asset than a liability in conducting research permeates the book. We do not believe that research is a “necessary evil” to be grudgingly studied and conducted. It is a logical extension of practice. Thus, the areas that are given a disproportional amount of attention (relative to other texts) reflect this orientation. For example, tasks such as problem formulation, question selection, and use of existing knowledge receive extensive coverage. Are these not also important tasks in good social work practice intervention? Research design—the rich array of alternatives available to get the job of knowledge building accomplished—is discussed in detail.

Changes in the Fourth Edition

This edition is a response to reviewers, other faculty members, and students who took the time to suggest improvements. The reader will notice that there is more infusion of content in three major areas. First, qualitative research methods are discussed, and appropriate examples are offered throughout the book, not just in a single chapter. Similarly, research to evaluate practice, although still the focus of the last two chapters, is discussed alongside research for building our professional knowledge base at many points throughout the text. Third, discussion of ethical issues appears in many other places besides Chapter 2. It receives special emphasis in our discussion of program evaluations and single-system research.

Some of the specific ways in which we believe we have strengthened the book include the following:

- We have included new figures to illustrate the difference between research problems and research questions (Chapter 3) and between broad research questions and focused research questions (Chapter 5).
- We have revised the literature review section (Chapter 4) to reflect changes such as Internet searches and to discuss the different uses of the literature review in qualitative and quantitative studies.
- There is much more discussion of external validity and its relative importance in different research designs (Chapter 6).
- We now offer criteria for evaluating qualitative designs as well as those for evaluating more quantitative ones (Chapter 7).
- We added material on the characteristics of explanatory studies, with special emphasis on the importance of randomly selected case samples and why they sometimes cannot be used (Chapter 9).
- We revised most of the content on program evaluation (Chapter 13) and included new content on use of needs assessments in existing programs and on how qualitative and quantitative methods can be used together to produce more accurate data.
- While still focusing on single-system research as a method for evaluating individual practice effectiveness, we also added content on other ways in

which practitioners can assess whether their interventions are successful (Chapter 14).

There are again fourteen chapters. The chapters are self-contained, but they are designed to be read and discussed in sequence. Each can serve as a course unit.

Part I (Chapters 1 and 2) places current research within its historical context. It explains what social work research is and how it evolved. The two chapters stress the links between research and practice and how each is guided by similar ethics and values. For example, the issue of voluntary informed consent receives expanded coverage in light of power differentials that often exist between researchers and their research participants.

Part II (Chapters 3 through 5) centers on those “pre-data collection” tasks that generally occur before a research design is selected and implemented. It takes the reader through the process of formulating focused questions and/or hypotheses.

Part III (Chapters 6 through 12) looks at how questions are answered and hypotheses are tested—design implementation issues. Research design is examined from different perspectives. First, design is defined, and general categories of design are described. Then the differences between qualitative (Chapter 7) and quantitative (Chapter 8) research methods are presented and explicated. The components of design (sampling, measurement, use of data-collection instruments) and a chapter on analysis of data and knowledge dissemination conclude Part III.

Part IV examines the methods used for evaluating practice effectiveness. The general knowledge of research methods discussed in earlier chapters is applied to the important task of evaluating social programs and services.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to a number of colleagues who critiqued the first or second editions of this book and made many valuable suggestions. They are colleagues Gordon Casebolt, Felix Rivera, Murray Newman, Ram Cnann, Miriam Johnson, and James Stafford. Still others, Gail Leedy, Washburn University; Stephen M. Marson, University of North Carolina at Pembroke; and Donald S. Pierson, University of Idaho, carefully read and recommended revisions in the previous (third) edition. Students who used it and faculty who adopted it for classroom use were generous with their comments as well.

Valuable support for our efforts came from Dean Frank Raymond of the University of South Carolina, and the administrators and faculty at the University of Georgia. Judy Fifer and Alyssa Pratt at Allyn and Bacon have patiently guided us through the process of its publication. We are grateful to Tonya Westbrook, a Ph.D. student at the University of Georgia, for the careful and thorough preparation of the book’s index.

We owe a special debt of gratitude to Barbara Morrison-Rodriguez of the University of South Florida. Because of the time constraints imposed by her present administrative duties, Barbara was unable to contribute to this edition and graciously declined to again be listed as a coauthor. However, her contributions to the third edition were substantive and offered an important perspective on research methods, lacking in earlier editions. Whenever possible we have retained them in their entirety in this book.

Bonnie L. Yegidis
University of Georgia

Robert W. Weinbach
University of South Carolina



CONTENTS

Preface ix

PART I Knowledge Building for Social Work Practice 1

1 How Do We Get Our Knowledge? 3

<i>Historical Origins of Current Attitudes</i>	3
Tension between Research and Practice	4
Efforts to Close the Gap	5
Research and Practice—No Need for a Gap	7
<i>Types of Knowledge</i>	8
Descriptive Knowledge	9
Predictive Knowledge	9
Prescriptive Knowledge	10
<i>Where Do We Get Our “Knowledge”?</i>	10
Two Examples	12
<i>Characteristics of the Scientific Alternative</i>	14
<i>Quantitative and Qualitative Research</i>	16
Quantitative Approaches to Knowledge Building	16
Qualitative Approaches to Knowledge Building	17
<i>The Traditional Scientific Research Process</i>	18
<i>The Environment for Scientific Social Work Research</i>	20
<i>Summary</i>	23
<i>References</i>	23

2 Ethical Issues in Social Work Research 25

<i>Treatment of Research Participants</i>	26
Evolution of Ethical Standards for Treatment of Participants	26
<i>Today's Standards for Treatment of Participants</i>	36
Voluntary Informed Consent	36

No Unnecessary Pain and Suffering	39
Anonymity/Confidentiality	40
Need to Conduct the Research	41
<i>Other Ethical Obligations of Researchers</i>	42
<i>Summary</i>	43
<i>References</i>	43

PART II *Beginning the Research Process* 45

3 *Research Problems and Questions* 47

<i>Why We Begin with Research Problems</i>	48
<i>Setting Problem Priorities</i>	49
<i>Identifying the Real Problem</i>	54
<i>Selecting Research Questions</i>	56
<i>Summary</i>	60
<i>References</i>	60

4 *Using Existing Knowledge* 63

<i>What Is the Review of Literature?</i>	63
<i>Purpose of the Review of Literature</i>	65
<i>Potential Sources of "Literature"</i>	66
Standard Reference Materials	66
Computer-Accessible Databases	67
The Internet	67
Books and Articles in Professional Journals	68
Personal Interviews with Authorities	71
Research Reports and Monographs	72
Presentations at Conferences and Symposia	72
Content of Workshops	73
Public Documents and Records of Public Gatherings	73
Newspapers	73
Radio and Television Broadcasts	74
Magazines and Periodicals	74
<i>Organizing the Literature Review</i>	76
<i>Writing the Report of a Review of Literature</i>	77
Direction and Flow	77
Use of Quotations and Citations	78
The Role of the Researcher in a Report of the Literature Review	79
<i>Summary</i>	80
<i>References</i>	80

5 *Focused Research Questions and Hypotheses* 81

<i>Focused Research Questions</i>	81
<i>Hypotheses</i>	83
Related Definitions	85
Types of Relationships Expressed in Hypotheses	89
Forms of Research Hypotheses	92

When Are Hypotheses Appropriate?	93
Wording of Hypotheses	94
The “Perfectly Worded” Hypothesis	96
Use of Subhypotheses	96
<i>Summary</i>	97
<i>References</i>	98

PART III *Research Design Issues* 99

6 *Introduction to Research Design* 101

<i>What Is a Research Design?</i>	101
<i>The Purpose of Research Designs</i>	102
<i>Broad Research Typologies</i>	102
Cross-Sectional Designs	103
Longitudinal Designs	103
<i>The Knowledge-Building Continuum</i>	105
Exploratory Designs	106
Descriptive Designs	109
Explanatory Research	112
<i>Internal Validity and External Validity</i>	117
Internal Validity	118
External Validity	122
External Validity and Cultural Issues	123
<i>What Is the “Best” Research Design?</i>	124
<i>Characteristics of Good Designs</i>	125
<i>Summary</i>	127
<i>References</i>	128

7 *Qualitative Research Methods* 129

<i>Interviewing in Qualitative Research</i>	129
Focus Groups and One-on-One Interviews	130
Purpose of Interviews	130
Relationship with Participants	131
Structure	131
Dealing with Sensitive Topics	132
<i>Research That Relies on Qualitative Methods</i>	132
Unstructured Systematic Observation	133
Ethnographic Research	135
Cross-Cultural Research	138
Case Studies	139
Grounded Theory	141
Oral Histories	142
<i>Criteria for Evaluating Qualitative Designs</i>	143
<i>Feminist Research</i>	144
Goals and Assumptions	144
Feminist Research as a Response to Traditional	
Research Methods	145

Design Features	146
Examples of Feminist Research	146
<i>Using Qualitative Methods to Evaluate Practice Effectiveness</i>	149
<i>Summary</i>	149
<i>References</i>	150
8 Quantitative Research Methods	153
<i>Research That Uses Secondary Data Analysis</i>	154
Sources of Secondary Data	154
Different Uses of Secondary Data Analysis	155
Tasks Required in Secondary Data Analysis	156
Advantages of Secondary Data Analysis	156
Disadvantages of Secondary Data Analysis	157
Some Specialized Methods of Secondary Data Analysis	157
<i>Research That Collects and Analyzes Original Data</i>	162
Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Research	162
Structured Observation	162
In-Person Interviews	165
Telephone Interviews and E-Mail Surveys	169
Mailed Surveys	171
<i>Using Quantitative Methods to Evaluate Practice Effectiveness</i>	176
<i>Summary</i>	176
<i>References</i>	177
9 Case Sampling	179
<i>Sampling Terminology</i>	179
<i>Probability Sampling</i>	186
Simple Random Sampling	186
Systematic Random Sampling	187
Stratified Sampling	187
Cluster Sampling	189
<i>Nonprobability Sampling</i>	190
Convenience Sampling	190
Purposive Sampling	191
Snowball Sampling	192
Quota Sampling	192
<i>Selecting a Good Sample</i>	193
Available Resources	193
Overall Design and Purpose of the Study	193
Type of Statistical Analysis to Be Used	194
Level of Representativeness Required	195
<i>Summary</i>	195
<i>References</i>	195
10 Measurement Concepts and Issues	197
<i>Preparation for Measurement</i>	198
Conceptualization	198
Operationalization	199

<i>Levels of Measurement</i>	200
<i>Criteria for Good Measurement</i>	202
Reliability	203
Validity	206
<i>Cultural Issues in Measurement of Variables</i>	211
<i>Summary</i>	212
<i>References</i>	212

11 Use of Data Collection Instruments 213

<i>Fixed-Alternative and Open-Ended Items</i>	214
<i>Indexes and Scales</i>	215
Indexes	215
Scales	216
Construction of Scales	221
<i>When Are Existing Instruments Appropriate for Use?</i>	222
<i>Use of Revised Instruments</i>	223
<i>Constructing New Instruments</i>	224
Issues in Development of New Instruments	225
<i>Use of Self-Administered Instruments</i>	228
Advantages of Self-Administered Instruments	228
Supervised Administration of Self-Administered Instruments	229
<i>Summary</i>	230
<i>References</i>	231

12 Analyzing Data and Disseminating Findings 233

<i>The Data in Perspective</i>	233
<i>Preparing for Statistical Analysis of Data</i>	235
<i>Statistical Analysis of Research Data: An Overview</i>	236
The Uses of Statistical Analysis	237
<i>Interpreting and Reporting the Results of Statistical Analysis</i>	243
<i>Disseminating Research Knowledge</i>	244
Reports and Monographs	244
Internal Correspondence and In-Service Training	247
Major Conference Presentations	247
Other Professional Gatherings	249
Publication in Professional Journals	250
<i>Summary</i>	252
<i>References</i>	252

PART IV Evaluation Research 255

13 Evaluating Programs 257

<i>What Is Program Evaluation?</i>	258
Planning/Evaluation Models	259
Needs Assessment	260
Evaluating Program Implementation	262
Evaluating Program Outcomes	264

<i>What Is the Appropriate Design for a Program Evaluation?</i>	266
<i>Other Types of Evaluation Research</i>	268
Cost-Benefit Analysis	268
Program Impact Evaluations	269
Program Structure Evaluations	270
<i>Who Should Conduct Evaluative Research?</i>	271
Use of an In-House Evaluator	271
Use of an External Evaluator	272
Empowerment Evaluation	273
<i>The Political Context of Program Evaluation</i>	274
<i>Ethical Issues</i>	276
<i>Reports of Program Evaluations</i>	277
<i>Summary</i>	279
<i>References</i>	280

14 Evaluating Individual Practice Effectiveness 281

<i>Alternatives to Single-System Research</i>	281
Supervisor Feedback	281
Consumer Feedback	282
Goal Attainment Scaling	283
<i>Single-System Research</i>	285
<i>Conducting Single-System Research</i>	290
<i>Design Alternatives</i>	290
B	291
AB	292
ABA	294
ABAB	297
BAB	298
ABCD	299
Multiple Baseline	302
<i>Strengths and Weaknesses of Single-System Research</i>	305
<i>Ethical Issues</i>	307
<i>Summary</i>	308
<i>References</i>	309

Postscript 311

Additional Readings in Research Methods 313

Index 319

P A R T I

KNOWLEDGE BUILDING FOR
SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE

1

HOW DO WE GET OUR KNOWLEDGE?

Social workers, like other professionals, require knowledge in order to make good decisions. They need it both to increase the likelihood that their interventions will be successful, and to determine whether or not they have been successful.

Some of the knowledge that social workers use is acquired through formal education in BSW or MSW programs, or from attending continuing education programs. Or it may come from reading articles in professional journals or textbooks. It may have come from senior-level practitioners who carefully studied a problem that they had observed. Whatever the source of social workers' knowledge, it is likely to have one characteristic in common—it may *not* have been derived from research!

The Council on Social Work Education (the organization responsible for the accreditation of bachelor's and master's programs in social work) recognizes the importance of research content in social work curricula. In its 1992 curriculum policy statements (effective June 1995), it spelled out what social workers need to learn. It mandated that the research curriculum must provide an understanding and appreciation of a scientific, analytic approach to building knowledge for practice and for evaluating service delivery in all areas of practice.¹ The statement goes on to describe the specific content that all professional social workers should receive. This content forms the basis for what we have chosen to include in this book.

Historical Origins of Current Attitudes

Historically, social workers have not always emphasized the importance of research knowledge for decision making as much as have other professionals. In

1979 one writer shared his perceptions of social work practitioners and their relationship with research. Simpson noted that practitioners tend to shun abstract knowledge and to rely instead on (1) humanitarian impulse, (2) occupational folklore, and (3) common sense. He also observed that most of the knowledge that is used for practice decision making is drawn from the work of researchers in other fields. He went on to describe social work literature as permeated with faddism and lacking an empirical base.²

Simpson's indictment of social workers is less accurate now than when he wrote it. But the problem—an antiresearch bias among many social work practitioners and students, and even among some professors—does not seem to have totally disappeared. As recently as 1992, two professors conducted a lively debate on the question: Should undergraduate and graduate social work students be taught to conduct empirically based practice?³ Would we expect to see such a debate in a major professional journal published within other helping professions, such as medicine or psychology? Would the importance of research knowledge and skills even be debatable? Not likely!

Social workers have always recognized the need for knowledge in their decision making. The problem seems to have been the sources that they have been inclined to use. They have tended to seek advice from supervisors and administrators and even their peers when “stuck” with a particularly difficult problem or decision. However, until fairly recently, they have been less likely to use knowledge derived from empirical research in their decision making. Often, they have turned to other sources for help, sources that are likely to contain biases and distortions. Such sources have limited utility and can even be misleading.

Tension between Research and Practice

Over the past few decades, leaders in our profession have expressed concern over practitioners' reluctance to rely on scientific research knowledge for practice decision making. During the late 1970s, both the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) and the National Association of Social Workers (NASW) devoted considerable effort and expense to examining the problem of research utilization. They convened groups of leading practitioners and researchers to study it. They tried to determine, for example, why social work practitioners rarely read reports of research or sought knowledge in their professional literature, and why they tended to ignore those research findings that reflected unfavorably on their current practice methods. They concluded that responsibility for the gap between practice and research must be shared by both practitioners and researchers.

Practitioners in the NASW and CSWE meetings described their distrust of researchers and of much of the knowledge that they generated. They viewed researchers, most of whom historically have been academicians, as people who did not really understand the realities of social work practice. Researchers were perceived as more interested in conducting esoteric research to enhance their careers than in producing knowledge that might inform practice. Consequently,