SUPREME COURT POLITICS

The Institution and Its Procedures

SUSAN LOW BLOCH THOMAS G. KRATTENMAKER

SUPREME COURT POLITICS:

THE INSTITUTION AND ITS PROCEDURES

By

Susan Low Bloch

Professor of Law Georgetown University School of Law

Thomas G. Krattenmaker

Dean and Professor of Law Marshall-Wythe School of Law College of William and Mary

ST. PAUL, MINN.
WEST PUBLISHING CO.
1994

COPYRIGHT © 1994 By WEST PUBLISHING CO.

610 Opperman Drive P.O. Box 64526 St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 1-800-328-9352

All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bloch, Susan L.

Supreme Court politics : the institution and its procedure / by Susan L. Bloch, Thomas G. Krattenmaker.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-314-03492-7

1. United States, Supreme Court. 2. United States, Supreme Court—Officials and employees—Selection and appointment.

Judicial process—United States. 4. Judicial review—United

States. I. Krattenmaker, Thomas G., 1943- . II. Title.

KF8742.B58 1994

347.73'26-dc20

[347.30735]

94-9272

CIP

ISBN 0-314-03492-7



Tributes

Three people planted the seeds of this book in the 1960s. Professor Glendon A. Schubert published Constitutional Politics in 1960. Subtitled "The Political Behavior of Supreme Court Justices and the Constitutional Policies That They Make," this book introduced wider audiences to the view that the political and institutional dimensions of the Supreme Court could be studied systematically and that such study could enhance one's appreciation of the quality of U.S. constitutional law. Professor J. Roland Pennock's seminar in Public Law and Jurisprudence at Swarthmore College and Professor Louis Henkin's seminar on The Supreme Court at Columbia Law School made this study come alive and raised many of the questions explored in this book.

Most of our work has consisted of a lot of research, seeking materials that might shed light on the politics of the Supreme Court. For magnificent help in conducting this research, we are indebted to hundreds of Georgetown University Law Center students ¹ who have taken our seminar and found ever better materials for subsequent students to study. Most especially, we have been blessed in the past few years with a succession of energetic, dedicated, and talented research assistants who are virtually co-authors of this book. Each deserves a personal paragraph of thanks, but we have to settle for thanking them collectively. Thanks, then, to Mark Adams, Sharon Albright, Patrick Brown, Matthew McCabe, Katherine Miller, and Marc Sorini.

Our colleagues at Georgetown, as well as at several schools throughout the country, for many years have helped us locate materials and track down issues. In this regard, it would be unfair not to single out Professor Vicki Jackson of Georgetown, who has provided aid and comfort at every step of this journey. In addition, Professor Steve Wermiel, of Georgia State helpfully reviewed the entire manuscript and suggested many valuable additions. We are also very grateful for the continuous support and encouragement from Georgetown Dean Judith C. Areen and Georgetown University Law Center Writer's Grants that greatly facilitated our work.

Our families have helped us keep this project going, by pretending to be interested in reading the final product and by making space available, in countless ways, for the time necessary to get it done. Bless you, to Rich, Rebecca, and Michael Bloch and to Bevra, Ken, and John Krattenmaker.

¹ At the time we wrote this book, Thomas G. Krattenmaker was Professor of Law at Georgetown University Law Center.

The debt we owe to all the people mentioned above is incalculable. In the final analysis, however, what really drove us to organize and create this book was the inspiration we received from Justice John M. Harlan and Justice Thurgood Marshall, the finest public servants we have ever known and the best bosses we have ever had. If readers find things of value in this book, as we hope they will, please let that discovery be another testament to the memories of these great justices.

A Note on Editing

We did not want the materials selected for this book to appear as thirty second sound-bites, so we have tried to let our authors have their say. But we have been ruthless in trying to hold everyone to central points and we have cut out most of the citations.

Consequently, the reader should know that the original versions of the writings excerpted below are often quite different, at least in form, from the way they are presented here. Footnotes have been dropped without indicating their demise and, where large chunks of text have been edited out (rather than a word or two inside a sentence), these omissions are not generally indicated.

Our overriding intent, however, has been to reproduce faithfully the facts, arguments, and conclusions presented. If you think we have erred in this regard, please let us know.

Lots of people helped us put these pages together. Everyone who works in the Office of Administration at Georgetown University Law Center made a substantial contribution to this effort. Particularly heroic assistance, for which we are deeply grateful, came from Charles Barnes, Mary Ann DeRosa, Lenard Gavin, Toni Patterson, and Vicki White. In addition, one of our own students, Antonio Anaya, provided invaluable proofreading assistance.

vii

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following for their permission to reprint their writings:

Henry J. Abraham, Justices and Presidents: A Political History of Appointments to the Supreme Court, Third Edition. Copyright © 1974, 1985, 1992 by Henry J. Abraham. Reprinted by permission of Oxford University Press, Inc.

Susan Behuniak-Long, Friendly Fire: Amici Curiae & Webster v. Reproductive Health Services. This article was originally published at 74 Judicature 261 (1991). Copyright © 1991 by Susan Behuniak-Long. Reprinted with the permission of Susan Behuniak-Long.

Robert H. Bork, The Senate's Power Grab, N.Y. Times, June 23, 1993 at A23. Copyright © 1993 by The New York Times Company. Reprinted by permission.

William J. Brennan, Jr., The National Court of Appeals: Another Dissent. This article was originally published at 40 U.Chi.L.Rev. 473 (1973). Copyright © 1973 by the University of Chicago Law Review. Reprinted by permission of the University of Chicago Law Review.

William J. Brennan, Jr., In Defense of Dissents. This article was originally published at 37 Hastings L.J. 427 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by University of California, Hastings College of Law. Reprinted by permission of Hastings Scholarly Publications and William J. Brennan, Jr.

Lincoln Caplan, The Tenth Justice. Copyright © 1987 by Alfred A. Knopf, Inc. Reprinted by permission of Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.

Stephen Carter, The Confirmation Mess. This article was originally published at 101 Harv. L.Rev. 1185 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.

Stephen Carter, The Confirmation Mess, Revisited. This article was originally published at 84 Nw.U.L.Rev. 962 (1990). Copyright © 1990 by Stephen Carter. Reprinted with the permission of Stephen Carter.

Lloyd Cutler, Why Not Executive Sessions?, The Washington Post, October 17, 1991 at A23. Copyright © 1991 by The Washington Post. Reprinted by permission.

Sue Davis, Power on the Court: Chief Justice Rehnquist's Opinion Assignments. This article was originally published at 74 Judicature 66 (1990). Copyright © 1990 by Judicature. Reprinted with the permission of Sue Davis.

Bruce J. Ennis, Effective Amicus Briefs. This article was originally published at 33 Cath.U.L.Rev. 603 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by Catholic University Law Review. Reprinted by permission of Catholic University Law Review.

Lee Epstein, A Better Way to Appoint Justices, The Christian Science Monitor, Mar. 17, 1992 at 19. Copyright © 1992 by Lee Epstein and The Christian Science Monitor. Reprinted by permission of Lee Epstein and The Christian Science Monitor.

Samuel Estreicher & John Sexton, Improving the Process: Case Selection by the Supreme Court. This article was originally published at 70 Judicature 41 (1986). Reprinted with the permission of Samuel Estreicher and John Sexton.

Paul Freund, Appointment of Justices: Some Historical Perspectives. This article was originally published at 101 Harv.L.Rev. 1146 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission of Harvard Law Review Association.

James A. Gazell, The National Court of Appeals Controversy: An Emerging Negative Consensus. This article was originally published at 6 N.Ill.U.L.Rev. 1 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by the Board of Regents for Northern Illinois University. Reprinted by permission of Northern Illinois University Law Review.

Ruth Bader Ginsburg, Speaking in a Judicial Voice, Madison Lecture, N.Y.U. School of Law, March 9, 1993. Reprinted with the permission of Ruth Bader Ginsburg.

Stephanie B. Goldberg, "What's the Alternative?" A Roundtable on the Confirmation Process, ABA Journal (January 1992). Copyright © 1992 by the ABA Journal. Reprinted by permission of the ABA Journal.

Thomas Halper, Senate Rejection of Supreme Court Nominees. This article was originally published at 22 Drake L.Rev. 102 (September 1972). Copyright © 1972 by Drake University. Reprinted by permission of Drake Law Review.

Thomas Halper, Supreme Court Appointments: Criteria and Consequences. This article was originally published at 21 New York Law Forum 563 (1976). Reprinted by permission of New York Law School Law Review.

Arthur D. Hellman, Case Selection in the Burger Court: A Preliminary Inquiry. This article was originally published at 60 Notre Dame L.Rev. 947 (1985). Copyright © 1985 by Arthur D. Hellman. Reprinted by permission of Arthur D. Hellman.

Arthur D. Hellman, Preserving the Essential Role of the Supreme Court: A Comment on Justice Rehnquist's Proposal. This article was originally published at 14 Fla.St.U.L.Rev. 15 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by Arthur D. Hellman. Reprinted with the permission of Arthur D. Hellman.

Herblock Cartoons, "Historical figures." Originally published in Herblock: A Cartoonist's Life (Lisa Drew-Books-Macmillan, 1993). Reprinted by permission of Herblock Cartoons. Alpheus Thomas Mason, The Chief Justice of the United States: Primus Inter Pares. This article was originally published at 17 J.Pub.L. 20 (1968). Copyright © 1968 by Emory Law Journal. Reprinted by permission of Emory Law Journal.

Tony Mauro, A Cautious Vote for Cameras in High Court, Legal Times, August 2, 1993 at 10. Copyright © 1993 by the Legal Times. Reprinted by permission of the Legal Times.

Michael W. McConnell, The Rule of Law and the Role of the Solicitor General. This article was originally published at 21 Loy.L.A.L.Rev. 1105 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review. Reprinted with the permission of the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review and Michael W. McConnell.

Memorandum Opinion for the Attorney General, Role of the Solicitor General. This article was originally published at 21 Loy.L.A.L.Rev. 1089 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review. Reprinted with the permission of the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review. All rights reserved.

Henry P. Monaghan, The Confirmation Process: Law or Politics? This article was originally published at 101 Harv.L.Rev. 1202 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission of Henry P. Monaghan and the Harvard Law Review Association.

Thomas R. Morris, States Before the U.S. Supreme Court: State Attorneys General as Amicus Curiae. This article was originally published at 70 Judicature 298 (1987). Copyright © 1987 by Thomas R. Morris and the American Judicature Society. Reprinted with permission of "Judicature, the Journal of the American Judicature Society" and Thomas R. Morris, President and Professor of Political Science, Emory & Henry College, Emory, Virginia.

Alan B. Morrison & D. Scott Stenhouse, The Chief Justice of the United States: More than Just the Highest Ranking Justice. This article was originally published at 1 Const. Comm. 57 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by University of Minnesota Law School and Constitutional Commentary. Reprinted by permission of the publisher and the authors.

Robert F. Nagel, Advice, Consent and Influence. This article was originally published at 84 Nw.L.Rev. 858 (1990). Copyright © 1990 by Northwestern University, School of Law. Reprinted by permission of Northwestern University Law Review.

David M. O'Brien, Storm Center: The Supreme Court in American Politics, Third Edition. Copyright © 1986, 1990, 1993 by David M. O'Brien. Reprinted with permission of David M. O'Brien and W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

Todd Piccus, Demystifying the Least Understood Branch: Opening the Supreme Court to Broadcast Media. This article was originally published at 71 Texas Law Review 1053 (1993). Copyright © 1993 by the Texas Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission.

D. Marie Provine, Deciding What to Decide: How the Supreme Court Sets Its Agenda. This article was originally published at 64 Judicature 320 (1981). Copyright © 1981 by the American Judicature Society. Reprinted with permission of Doris Marie Provine, Professor of Political Science, Syracuse University, and "Judicature, the Journal of the American Judicature Society."

William H. Rehnquist, The Changing Role of the Supreme Court. This article was originally published at 14 Fla.St.U.L.Rev. 9 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by Florida State University Law Review. Reprinted by permission of Florida State University Law Review.

William H. Rehnquist, The Supreme Court: How It Was, How It Is. Copyright © 1987 by William H. Rehnquist. Reprinted with the permission of William Morrow & Company, Inc.

Rebecca M. Salokar, The Solicitor General: The Politics of Law. Copyright © 1992 by Temple University. Reprinted by permission of Temple University Press.

Glendon A. Schubert, Constitutional Politics: The Political Behavior of Supreme Court Justices and the Constitutional Policies That They Make. Copyright ⊚ 1960 by Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc. and renewed 1988 by Glendon A. Schubert. Reprinted by permission of Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

Bernard Schwartz, The Ascent of Pragmatism: The Burger Court in Action. Copyright © 1990 by Bernard Schwartz. Reprinted by permission of Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Elliot E. Slotnick, Media Coverage of Supreme Court Decision-Making: Problems and Prospects. This article was originally published at 75 Judicature 128 (1991). Copyright © 1991 by Elliot E. Slotnick. Reprinted with permission of "Judicature, the Journal of the American Judicature Society" and Elliot E. Slotnick.

David A. Strauss and Cass R. Sunstein, The Senate, the Constitution and the Confirmation Process. This article was originally published at 101 Yale L.J. 1941 (1992). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and Fred B. Rothman & Company.

Stuart Taylor, Jr., Ruing Fixed Opinions, N.Y. Times, February 22, 1988 at A16. Copyright © 1988 by The New York Times Company. Reprinted by permission of The New York Times.

Nina Totenberg, The Confirmation Process and the Public: To Know or Not to Know. This article was originally published at 101 Harv.L.Rev. 1213 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission of Nina Totenberg and Harvard Law Review Association.

Laurence H. Tribe, God Save This Honorable Court. Copyright © 1985 by Laurence H. Tribe. Reprinted by permission of Random House, Inc.

Twentieth Century Fund, Judicial Roulette: Report of the Twentieth Century Fund Task Force on Judicial Selection. Copyright © 1988 by the

Twentieth Century Fund, New York. Reprinted by permission of Twentieth Century Fund.

G. Edward White, Earl Warren: A Public Life. Copyright © 1982 by Oxford University Press, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Alexandra K. Wigdor, The Personal Papers of Supreme Court Justices. Copyright © 1986 by Alexandra K. Wigdor. Reprinted with the permission of Alexandra K. Wigdor.

Richard G. Wilkins, An Officer and An Advocate: The Role of the Solicitor General. This article was originally published at 21 Loy.L.A.L.Rev. 1167 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review. Reprinted with the permission of Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review and Richard G. Wilkins.

Bob Woodward & Scott Armstrong, The Brethren. Copyright © 1979 by Bob Woodward & Scott Armstrong. Excerpts reprinted with permission of Simon & Schuster, Inc.

*

Summary of Contents

	Page				
Tributes					
A Note on Editing					
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS					
Introduction	1				
A. Scope and Purposes of the Book	1				
B. Different Models of Judicial Review	2				
C. Organization	3				
Chapter One. The Court in Action: The Abortion Contro-					
versy	5				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 					
Chapter Two. Appointing Supreme Court Justices	49				
A. Who Gets Nominated and Confirmed?	51				
B. What Standards Should the President and the Senate Apply?	85				
C. The Nomination and Confirmation of Supreme Court Justices—					
Case Studies of the Nominations of Robert Bork, David					
the service of the se	142				
D. Reforming The Process	302				
Chapter Three. Setting the Court's Agenda	325				
A. Governing Statutes and Rules					
B. Mechanics of the Certiorari Process					
C. What's Really Happening?					
D. Proposals for Reform					
Chapter Four. Collegial Decision Making	381				
Chapter 1 our. Concession Manning	001				
Chapter Five. The Roles of the Participants	445				
A. The Chief Justice	445				
B. The Law Clerks					
C. The Advocates	512				
Chapter Six. Proposals for Reforming the Institution	602				
A. A National Court of Appeals?					
B. Secrecy of Supreme Court Processes					
Index	689				

Table of Contents

	Page				
Tributes	. v				
A Note on Editing					
Acknowledgments	vii ix				
Introduction	1				
A. Scope and Purposes of the Book					
B. Different Models of Judicial Review					
C. Organization					
Chapter One. The Court in Action: The Abortion Contro-					
versy					
Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey					
Notes and Questions	45				
Chapter Two. Appointing Supreme Court Justices	49				
A. Who Gets Nominated and Confirmed?					
David Strauss and Cass Sunstein, The Senate, the Constitution and the Confirmation Process					
Paul A. Freund, Appointment of Justices: Some Historical Perspec-					
tives	58				
Henry J. Abraham, Justices and Presidents: A Political History of Appointments to the Supreme Court					
Thomas Halper, Supreme Court Appointments: Criteria and Conse-					
quences					
Thomas Halper, Senate Rejection of Supreme Court Nominees					
B. What Standards Should the President and the Senate Apply?	85				
Stephen Carter, The Confirmation Mess					
Robert F. Nagel, Advice, Consent, and Influence					
Stephen Carter, The Confirmation Mess, Revisited					
the Confirmation Process					
Laurence H. Tribe, God Save This Honorable Court					
Nina Totenberg, The Confirmation Process and the Public: To Know or Not to Know					
C. The Nomination and Confirmation of Supreme Court Justices—	8				
Case Studies of the Nominations of Robert Bork, David	5.				
Souter, Clarence Thomas, and Ruth Bader Ginsburg					
1. The Nomination of Robert Bork	143				
Nomination of Robert H. Bork to Be an Associate Justice of the					
United States Supreme Court	143				

		Page
C.	The Nomination and Confirmation of Supreme Court Justices—	
	Case Studies of the Nominations of Robert Bork, David Sou-	
	ter, Clarence Thomas, and Ruth Bader Ginsburg—Continued	
	2. The Nomination of David Souter	197
	Nomination of David H. Souter to Be an Associate Justice of the	
	United States Supreme Court	198
	3. The Nomination of Clarence Thomas	213
	Nomination of Clarence Thomas to Be an Associate Justice of the	
	United States Supreme Court	215
	Statement of Senator Byrd	262
	Statement of Senator DeConcini	270
	4. The Nomination of Ruth Bader Ginsburg	273
	Opening Statement by Ruth Bader Ginsburg	274
	Nomination of Ruth Bader Ginsburg to be An Associate Justice	
	of the United States Supreme Court	277
D.	Reforming the Process	302
	Stephanie B. Goldberg, ed., What's the Alternative? A Roundtable on	
	the Confirmation Process	303
	Report of the Twentieth Century Fund Task Force on Judicial Selec-	
	tion	311
	Robert Bork, The Senate's Power Grab	314
	Lloyd Cutler, Why Not Executive Sessions?	316
	United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Prepared Remarks	
	of Senator Joseph R. Biden Jr. on the Confirmation Process for	010
	Judge Ruth Bader Ginsburg, July 15, 1993	318
	Henry Monaghan, The Confirmation Process: Law or Politics	319
	Lee Epstein, A Better Way to Appoint Justices	323
Ch	apter Three. Setting the Court's Agenda	325
A.	Governing Statutes and Rules	327
B.	Mechanics of the Certiorari Process	334
D.	William J. Brennan, Jr., The National Court of Appeals: Another	994
	Dissent	340
C		344
C.	What's Really Happening?	544
	Arthur D. Hellman, Case Selection in the Burger Court: A Preliminary Inquiry	344
	D. Marie Provine, Deciding What to Decide: How the Supreme Court	044
	Sets Its Agenda	363
	Further Reflections on Criteria for Cert Petitions	
D		372
D.	Proposals for Reform	312
	Samuel Estreicher and John Sexton, Improving the Process: Case	373
	Selection by the Supreme Court	010
Ch	apter Four. Collegial Decision Making	381
	liam H. Rehnquist, The Supreme Court: How It Was, How It Is	383
	art Taylor, Jr., Ruing Fixed Opinions	393
	rid M. O'Brien, Storm Center: The Supreme Court in American Politics	394
	liam J. Brennan, Jr., In Defense of Dissents	400
	th Bader Ginsburg, Speaking in a Judicial Voice	408
	nard Schwartz, The Ascent of Pragmatism: The Burger Court in Action	412
Boh	Woodward & Scott Armstrong, The Brethren	421

CL	Contant Firm (Dl. D. L. C.)
	napter Five. The Roles of the Participants
A.	The Chief Justice
	Alpheus T. Mason, The Chief Justice of the United States: Primus Inter Pares
	David O'Brien, Storm Center: The Supreme Court in American Politics
	G. Edward White, Earl Warren: A Public Life
	Bernard Schwartz, The Ascent of Pragmatism: The Burger Court in Action
	Sue Davis, Power on the Court: Chief Justice Rehnquist's Opinion Assignments
	Alan B. Morrison and D. Scott Stenhouse, The Chief Justice of the
В.	United States: More than Just the Highest Ranking Judge The Law Clerks
υ.	David M. O'Brien, Storm Center: The Supreme Court in American Politics
	Bernard Schwartz, The Ascent of Pragmatism: The Burger Court in Action
C.	The Advocates
	1. The General Quality of Supreme Court Advocacy
	William H. Rehnquist, The Supreme Court: How It Was, How It
	2. The Solicitor General
	Lincoln Caplan, The Tenth Justice
	Rebecca Salokar, The Solicitor General: The Politics of Law Memorandum Opinion for the Attorney General, Role of the Solicitor General
	Richard G. Wilkins, An Officer and an Advocate: The Role of the Solicitor General
	Michael W. McConnell, The Rule of Law and the Role of the Solicitor General
	3. Amicus Curiae
	Bruce J. Ennis, Effective Amicus Briefs
	Thomas R. Morris, States before the U.S. Supreme Court: State
	Attorneys General as Amicus Curiae
	Glendon Schubert, Constitutional Politics
	Susan Behuniak–Long, Friendly Fire: Amici Curiae and Webster v. Reproductive Health Services
Ch	apter Six. Proposals for Reforming the Institution
A.	A National Court of Appeals?
	James A. Gazell, The National Court of Appeals Controversy: An
	Emerging Negative Consensus
	William Rehnquist, The Changing Role of the Supreme Court
	Arthur D. Hellman, Preserving the Essential Role of the Supreme
	Court: A Comment on Justice Rehnquist's Proposal
В.	Secrecy of Supreme Court Processes
	1. Overview
	Alexandra K. Wigdor, The Personal Papers of Supreme Court Justices: A Descriptive Guide

			Page
В.	Secr	ecy of Supreme Court Processes—Continued	
	2.	Secrecy During the Decisional Process	643
		Elliot E. Slotnick, Media Coverage of Supreme Court Decision-	
		Making: Problems and Prospects	644
		Todd Piccus, Demystifying the Least Understood Branch: Open-	
		ing the Supreme Court to Broadcast Media	659
		Tony Mauro, A Cautious Vote for Cameras in High Court	674
	3.	Secrecy After the Fact	676
		Final Report of the National Study Commission on Records and	
		Documents of Federal Officials	677
		Statement of E. Barrett Prettyman, Jr.	682
		Letter of William H. Rehnquist	688
Indi	EX		689

INTRODUCTION

A. SCOPE AND PURPOSES OF THE BOOK

Two generations ago, then-professors Frankfurter and Landis, in their classic treatise, *The Business of the Supreme Court*, wrote that "the history of the Supreme Court, as of the Common Law, derives meaning to no small degree from the cumulative details which define the scope of its business, and the forms and methods of performing it—the Court's procedure, in the comprehensive meaning of the term." ¹ We take our cue from this observation. Like Frankfurter and Landis, we believe that the Supreme Court's substantive output, as any other organization's, is influenced by the structure of the institution, its personnel and its procedures.

As lawyers, scholars, students, or citizens, we care principally about the end results of the Supreme Court's processes—the three or four volumes of decisions the Court hands down each year. These decisions constitute, in a very practical sense, our constitutional law. But if we are to comprehend that law fully, we should know something about the institution that generates it. For those who wonder where all this constitutional law comes from, and how it gets made, this book provides some answers.

The Constitution itself has very little to say about the Supreme Court of the United States (as it is officially designated). Article III mandates that there shall be one Supreme Court, grants the justices life tenure and protection against diminution in salary, and defines the Court's jurisdiction.² Article II distributes power over the process of appointing justices,³ and Article I implies that one of the justices should serve as Chief Justice.⁴

The Framers left the other institutional details of the Court and its processes to be worked out over time. Thus, it was left to Congress, the President, the Court, or the habits of history to answer such questions as: What kinds of people get appointed to the Court? What kinds of cases will the Court adjudicate? How do these cases get onto the Court's docket? How might the process of case selection influence the kinds of cases chosen for plenary review? How do the justices, individually and collectively, reach their decisions and draft their opinions? What roles

Felix Frankfurter & James Landis, The Business of the Supreme Court vi (1928).

^{2.} U.S. Const. art. III, §§ 1, 2.

^{3.} U.S. Const. art. II, § 2.

^{4.} U.S. Const. art. I, § 3.