# RECTIFICATION

The Modern Law and Practice Governing Claims for Rectification for Mistake

David Hodge QC

# **RECTIFICATION**

# The Modern Law and Practice Governing Claims for Rectification for Mistake

BY

# DAVID HODGE QC B.A. (Oxon.), B.C.L.

A Specialist Chancery Circuit Judge on the Northern Circuit

A Bencher of Lincoln's Inn

#### First edition 2010 By David Hodge QC

Published in 2010 by Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited (Registered in England & Wales, Company No 1679046.

Registered Office and address for trading: 100 Avenue Road, London, NW3 3PF) trading as Sweet & Maxwell

For further information on our products and services, visit www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk

No natural forests were destroyed to make this product; only farmed timber was used and re-planted.

#### **British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-84703-932-3

Crown copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction. Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgement of author, publisher and source must be given."

Thomson Reuters and the Thomson Reuters Logo are trademarks of Thomson Reuters.

Sweet & Maxwell ® is a registered trademark of Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited.

# **RECTIFICATION**

# The Modern Law and Practice Governing Claims for Rectification for Mistake

To Jane, my wife, with special thanks for the time that she has allowed me to devote to this work; and to the other members of the Northern Circuit, with thanks for welcoming me as one of their Judges

#### **ADDENDUM**

The following references appeared too late for inclusion in the main text:

#### CHAPTER 1

#### THE NATURE OF RECTIFICATION

#### THE ALTERNATIVE REMEDY OF RESCISSION

1-30 NOTE 101. ADD: The principle in *Hastings-Bass* was recently considered by Mr Robert Englehart QC (sitting as a deputy judge of the Chancery Division) in *Pitt v Holt* [2010] EWHC 45 (Ch); [2010] W.T.L.R. 269 and by Norris J. in *Re Futter* (No 3) Life Interest Settlement, Futter v Futter [2010] EWHC 449 (Ch); [2010] W.T. L.R. 609.

#### CHAPTER 10

#### PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### 7. SUMMARY JUDGMENT

10-41 ADD: In *Khatri v Cooperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank BA*<sup>1</sup> the Court of Appeal held that where the terms of an application for summary judgment concerned the construction of a written contract, it did not follow that the need to know what the factual matrix was required a full trial with disclosure, evidence and cross-examination of witnesses. If there was no actual conflict of evidence on a relevant point of background matrix, it was only when there really were reasonable grounds for supposing that a fuller investigation of the facts as to the background might make a difference to construction that the court should decline to construct the contract on a summary judgment (including a strike out) application. According to Jacob L.J.:

"The court should not be over-astute to decline to deal with the construction of a contract summarily merely on the basis that something relevant to the matrix might turn up if there were a full trial. Most disputes as to 'pure' construction of a contract will be suitable for summary determination because the factual matrix necessary for its construction will itself be determinable on that application."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> [2010] EWCA Civ 397 at [4]-[5] per Jacob L.J. (speaking with the agreement of Rix and Longmore L.JJ.).

#### **FOREWORD**

Fifty years ago, even twenty years ago, it would have been impossible to persuade any publisher to publish a book solely devoted to the topic of rectification. Indeed, it would have been very difficult to find a lawyer who would be prepared to buy such a book. Within a period of less than a quarter of a century, we have reached a position where, at least in my view, there is not merely a demand, but a positive need, for such a book.

There are various reasons for this development, and, as so often is the case, these reasons are connected. First, there is the sheer growth in the number of commercial contracts and other documents produced in the business world. Secondly, legal documentation has become more and more complex. Thirdly, with the advent of the word processor, there has been a much greater tendency to produce lengthy documents and to borrow provisions contained in a previous document and introduce them into a new document. Fourthly, the nature of business transactions has become ever more complex, international, and multiparty. Fifthly, negotiations have become much more protracted and technical. And finally, in the relatively new electronic world, all records of pre-contractual and drafting negotiations are easily available. The increased volume, size, and complexity of legal documents has compounded the inherent risk of error, and the accessibility of the negotiating material has assisted, even enabled, parties to argue about the effect of their pre-contractual communications to an extent which was simply inconceivable twenty years ago.

As a result, there has been almost an explosion in the number of rectification claims, many of which have ended up in court. As a result, the law relating to rectification has developed very significantly in the past two decades, in a way which is both of practical and commercial importance and legally and intellectually interesting.

Even a casual glance through the contents section of this book demonstrates the wide ambit of the rectification jurisdiction. Far from being limited to commercial contracts, it extends to many other types of documents such as trust deeds, company documents, planning documents, letters of instruction, wills, and even court orders. Accordingly, the need to be up to date on the law of rectification is not limited to lawyers and others who are involved in commercial contracts: the ambit of the subject is far wider.

David Hodge is to be congratulated and thanked for devoting his considerable expertise and a substantial amount of his time to writing a full and interesting book on the topic of rectification. He has produced a book which is not only accurate and full in its coverage of this important topic, but which is also written and structured in a user friendly way, a particularly important feature for any law book, in my opinion. The brief summaries at

#### FOREWORD

the start of each chapter, and of each section, are particularly useful, as they enable the reader to appreciate the scope of each chapter and section.

It also seems to me that David Hodge has achieved a good balance between the older cases which laid down the basic principles, and the modern cases which have developed them to fit contemporary requirements and developments. Similarly, there is a judicious balance between domestic cases and Commonwealth cases, properly acknowledging the important contribution to this topic of the courts of Australia and New Zealand in particular.

Another impressive feature is that David Hodge is not frightened to include quotations, sometimes very short, sometimes rather longer, from judgments which make points in clear and authoritative terms. There is often no point in a book summarising a passage in a judgment which says all that needs to be said on the topic. On the other hand, in some cases it is better for the writer to summarise the effect of the case, or a number of cases, in his or her own words. In my view, this book achieves that balance very well also.

I note that, in his preface, the author has sought to base his approach on that of Sir Kim Lewison in his book on *Interpretation of Contacts*. That is a high standard to aim for, and he has achieved it. The author also originally practised in the same chambers as Sir Robert Megarry, who wrote first class books on difficult and technical legal subjects, and represents the bench mark for legal text book writers, not least because he also managed to make his books readable, and sometimes even amusing. I am delighted to be able to say that David Hodge has successfully followed in Sir Robert's footsteps as well.

In conclusion, therefore, I welcome this well written, well researched, and well structured book on a topic which needed a comprehensive and thoughtful treatment, and which has now got one.

Lord Neuberger of Abbotsbury M.R. Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London WC2 March, 2010

#### PREFACE

This book has undergone a long period of gestation; but its arrival is timely. During my last few years in practice at the Chancery Bar in Lincoln's Inn, I had increasingly felt the need for a practitioner's work directed to the law and practice governing the equitable remedy of rectification for mistake. But the seemingly limitless demands of self-employed practice prevented me from devoting the necessary time to the subject. When, following my appointment to the Specialist Chancery Circuit bench, I found myself under (comparatively) less pressure, I felt the time had come to turn to the task. My perception was that claims for rectification were on the increase, fuelled by a combination of factors, including the ever-increasing complexity of legal documentation, the ever-reducing time-frame within which transactions fall to be concluded, the ever-rising pressure on legal costs, and the greater potential for error inherent in the ever-expanding resort to multiple drafts and computer-generated documents. In the event, I could not have foreseen that, on July 1, 2009, the House of Lords would make such a significant contribution to the exposition of the law in this area. In time, Lord Hoffmann's analysis of the correct approach to the nature of the mistake required for rectification in Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd<sup>2</sup> may come to be referred to as frequently as his observations about the correct approach to the interpretation of contracts in Investors Compensation Scheme v West Bromwich Building Society.3 Inevitably, Lord Hoffmann's speech features prominently in the text.

Both in its objective and its structure, I had in mind a work along the lines of Sir Kim Lewison's excellent book on The Interpretation of Contracts. My object was to provide a source book of material to assist the busy practising lawyer to advance, or to resist (as the interests of his client might dictate), a case for the correction of a mistake in the way in which the parties to a document had purported to record the terms of their transaction. This object was to be achieved by citing extensively from the judgments of the courts, both with a view to reducing the need for the reader to consult the particular case itself in order to establish precisely what the court had actually said, and on the premise that the actual words of a judgment are likely to constitute a more accurate statement of the relevant rule or principle, or a more reliable illustration of its application in a particular context, than any gloss that might be put upon them by the writer of a practitioner's text. This book is intended – and unashamedly so – for the legal practitioner, who is concerned with the application of the law to the particular fact-situation under consideration, rather than the legal academic, whose

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> [2009] UKHL 38; [2009] 1 A.C. 1101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> [1998] 1 W.L.R. 896 at 912–913.

#### PREFACE

real interest probably lies in the elucidation of the larger picture. In short, I have endeavoured to produce the sort of book which I would have wished to turn to when considering a point on the law of rectification in the course of my own practice as a Chancery lawyer. But I trust that I have not shunned all analysis of the underlying legal issues, nor abstained from any consideration of the possible direction of future developments in the law. I have included reference to some Commonwealth (and particularly Australian) authorities; but I have done so principally in order to illustrate features of the law and practice of rectification that these jurisdictions share in common with our own, or (occasionally) to emphasise the differences between them. This book does not purport to be a comprehensive statement of the law of rectification as it applies in any jurisdiction other than England and Wales.

The book is divided into ten chapters of varying length. Each begins with a short summary of the main areas covered in that chapter. Each chapter is then divided into a number of sections, each beginning with a summary (in bold type) of the main principles of law or practice expounded in that section. In general, I have tried to state the law on the basis of materials available to me up to March 2, 2010; although in some instances I have been able to incorporate more recent material. I welcome any constructive criticism and suggestions for ways of improving this book.

I am grateful to all the practitioners and judges who have contributed to the body of learning that has gone into this work. Special thanks must go to Guy Holborn and Catherine McArdle, and the other staff of the Library of Lincoln's Inn; to John Murphy and Francis Law, and the other staff who maintain the court libraries in Manchester and Liverpool; to Rose Shaw and Julie Bagnall, and the other Specialist Listing staff on the Northern Circuit; and to my fellow Specialist Judges on that Circuit. I am also grateful to Greg Smith, to Kacey Mann, and to the other staff at Sweet & Maxwell. As Senior Publishing Editor, Greg worked hard to secure the publisher's decision to commission this work; and Kacey has taken my manuscript through to publication. I owe particular thanks to Lord Neuberger of Abbotsbury M.R., who very kindly agreed to write a foreword to this book. But above all, my heartfelt thanks must go to my wife, Jane Woosey, herself a practising barrister on the Northern Circuit, without whose enthusiasm, practical support, and willingness to allow me to sacrifice time on holiday, this work would never have seen the light of day.

> David Hodge Manchester Civil Justice Centre April 2010

Paragraph numbers appearing in bold face are, in the writer's opinion, the principal references to the relevant authority.

A Roberts & Co Ltd v Leicestershire CC [1961] Ch. 555; [1961] 2 W.L.R. 1000; [1961] 2 All
E.R. 545; 59 L.G.R. 349; (1961) 105 S.J. 425 Ch D 1–21, 1–23, 1–80, 4–05, 4–08,
4-13, <b>4-16</b> , 4-19-4-23, 4-29-4-32, 4-35, 4-39, 4-42, 4-52, 4-87, 4-91, 4-93,
11–05
AMP (UK) Plc v Barker [2000] EWHC 42 (Ch) [2001] O.P.L.R. 197; [2001] Pens. L.R. 77;
[2001] W.T.L.R. 1237; (2000-01) 3 I.T.E.L.R. 414 Ch D 1–29, 3–49, 3–54, 3–80,
3–107, 3–108, 3–109, 3–163, 6–23, 7–11, 7–13, <b>8–35–8–41</b> , 8–43, 8–44
Abbey National Building Society v Cann [1991] 1 A.C. 56; [1990] 2 W.L.R. 832; [1990] 1
All E.R. 1085; [1990] 2 F.L.R. 122; (1990) 22 H.L.R. 360; (1990) 60 P. & C.R. 278;
(1990) 87(17) L.S.G. 32; (1990) 140 N.L.J. 477 HL
Actionstrength Ltd (t/a Vital Resources) v International Glass Engineering IN.GL.EN
SpA [2003] UKHL 17; [2003] 2 A.C. 541; [2003] 2 W.L.R. 1060; [2003] 2 All E.R. 615;
[2003] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 331; [2005] 1 B.C.L.C. 606; [2003] 1 C.L.C. 1003; [2003]
B.L.R. 207; 88 Con. L.R. 208; (2003) 153 N.L.J. 563; (2003) 147 S.J.L.B. 418 1–69
Adamastos Shipping Co Ltd v Anglo Saxon Petroleum Co Ltd; sub nom. Anglo Saxon
Petroleum Co Ltd v Adamastos Shipping Co Ltd [1959] A.C. 133; [1958] 2 W.L.R.
688; [1958] 1 All E.R. 725; [1958] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 73; (1958) 102 S.J. 290 HL 2–34
Adams, Re Unreported July 22, 1981
Admiral Management Services Ltd v Para Protect Europe Ltd [2002] EWHC 233 (Ch); [2002] 1 W.L.R. 2722; [2003] 2 All E.R. 1017; [2002] C.P. Rep. 37; [2003] 1 Costs L.R.
1; [2002] F.S.R. 59; (2002) 99(16) L.S.G. 37; (2002) 152 N.L.J. 518; (2002) 146 S.J.L.B.
93
Agip (Africa) Ltd v Jackson [1991] Ch. 547; [1991] 3 W.L.R. 116; [1992] 4 All E.R. 451;
(1991) 135 S.J. 117 CA (Civ Div)
Agip SpA v Navigazione Alta Italia SpA (The Nai Genova and The Nai Superba) [1984] 1
Lloyd's Rep. 353 CA (Civ Div)
3-23, 3-25, 4-04, 4-08, 4-25, <b>4-34</b> , 4-35, 4-37, 4-38, <b>4-42</b> , 4-46, 4-47, 4-52,
4-53, 4-59, 4-75, 4-78, 4-88, 6-03, 9-11
Aktor, The. See PT Berlian Laju Tanker TBK v Nuse Shipping Ltd (The Aktor)
Alexander's Settlement, Re; sub nom. Jennings v Alexander [1910] 2 Ch. 225 Ch D 2-48
Alexiou v Campbell; Ferguson v Campbell [2007] UKPC 11 2–78, 2–98
Allied Dunbar Pension Services Ltd v Baker Unreported August 10, 2001
Allnutt v Wilding; sub nom. Strain (Deceased), Re; Allnutt v Allnutt [2007] EWCA Civ
412; [2007] B.T.C. 8003; [2007] W.T.L.R. 941; (2006-07) 9 I.T.E.L.R. 806 . 1–04, 1–07,
1–26, 1–61, <b>3–15</b> , 3–16, 3–109, 3–136, 7–04, 7–15, 7–16
Amalgamated Investment & Property Co Ltd (In Liquidation) v Texas Commerce
International Bank Ltd [1982] Q.B. 84; [1981] 3 W.L.R. 565; [1981] 3 All E.R. 577;
[1982] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 27; [1981] Com. L.R. 236; (1981) 125 S.J. 623 CA (Civ Div) 2–88
American Airlines Inc v Hope; sub nom. Banque Sabbag SAL v Hope [1974] 2 Lloyd's
Rep. 301 HL
Anfrank Nominees Pty Ltd v Connell (1989) 1 A.C.S.R. 365
Anglo Continental Educational Group (GB) Ltd v Capital Homes (Southern) Ltd [2009]
EWCA Civ 218; [2009] C.P. Rep. 30; [2009] 12 E.G. 98 (C.S.); [2009] N.P.C. 44 . 2–94
Animatrix Ltd v O'Kelly [2008] EWCA Civ 1415
Anker-Petersen v Christensen [2002] W.T.L.R. 313 Ch D

Antaios Compania Naviera SA v Salen Rederierna AB (The Antaios) [1985] A.C. 191; [1984] 3 W.L.R. 592; [1984] 3 All E.R. 229; [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 235; (1984) 81 L.S.G.
2776; (1984) 128 S.J. 564 HL
Armagas Ltd v Mundogas SA (The Ocean Frost) [1986] A.C. 717; [1986] 2 W.L.R. 1063; [1986] 2 All E.R. 385; [1986] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 109; (1986) 2 B.C.C. 99197; (1986) 83
L.S.G. 2002; (1986) 130 S.J. 430 HL
Ashville Investments Ltd v Elmer Contractors Ltd; sub nom. Elmer Contractors Ltd v
Ashville Investments Ltd [1989] Q.B. 488; [1988] 3 W.L.R. 867; [1988] 2 All E.R. 577;
[1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 73 (Note); 37 B.L.R. 55; 10 Con. L.R. 72; (1987) 3 Const. L.J.
193; (1988) 132 S.J. 1553
Assicurazioni Generali SpA v Arab Insurance Group (BSC) [2002] EWCA Civ 1642; [2003] 1 W.L.R. 577; [2003] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 140; [2003] 2 C.L.C. 242; [2003] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 131; (2003) 100(3) L.S.G. 34
Atlantic Marine Transport Corp v Coscol Petroleum Corp (The Pina) [1992] 2 Lloyd's
Rep. 103 CA (Civ Div)
Attorney General of Belize v Belize Telecom Ltd [2009] UKPC 10; [2009] 1 W.L.R. 1988;
[2009] Bus. L.R. 1316; [2009] 2 All E.R. 1127; [2009] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 1; [2009]
B.C.C. 433; [2009] 2 B.C.L.C. 148
August Leonhardt, The. See K Lokumal & Sons (London) Ltd v Lotte Shipping Co Pte
Ltd (The August Leonhardt)
Autoclenz Ltd v Belcher [2009] EWCA Civ 1046; [2010] I.R.L.R. 70
Autocicia Eta v Belenci (2007) Evica eti 1010, (2010) Intelia 10
B (Children) (Sexual Abuse: Standard of Proof), Re; sub nom. Local Authority X v B
[2008] UKHL 35; [2009] 1 A.C. 11; [2008] 3 W.L.R. 1; [2008] 4 All E.R. 1; [2008] 2
F.L.R. 141; [2008] 2 F.C.R. 339; [2008] Fam. Law 619; [2008] Fam. Law 837 9-03,
9-04, 9-06
Bacharach's Will Trusts, Re; sub nom. Minden v Bacharach [1959] Ch. 245; [1959] 2
W.L.R. 1; [1958] 3 All E.R. 618; (1959) 103 S.J. 16 Ch D
Bache v Proctor (1780) 1 Doug. K.B. 382; 99 E.R. 247
Baden v Societe Generale du Commerce SA [1983] B.C.L.C. 325; [1993] 1 W.L.R. 509 4-43,
Baker v Paine (1750) 1 Ves. Sen. 456: 27 E.R. 1140
Baker v Paine (1750) 1 Ves. Sen. 456: 27 E.R. 1140
Baleares, The. See Geogas SA v Trammo Gas Ltd (The Baleares)
Ball v Storie (1823) 1 Sim. & St. 210; 57 E.R. 84
Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA (In Liquidation) v Ali (No.1) [2001]
UKHL 8; [2002] 1 A.C. 251; [2001] 2 W.L.R. 735; [2001] 1 All E.R. 961; [2001] I.C.R.
337; [2001] I.R.L.R. 292; [2001] Emp. L.R. 359; (2001) 98(15) L.S.G. 32; (2001) 151
N.L.J. 351; (2001) 145 S.J.L.B. 67; (2001) 145 S.J.L.B. 70
2–24, 4–76, 10–22
Bank of Montreal v Vancouver Professional Soccer Ltd (1987) 15 BCLR (2d) 34 9-09
Bank of Scotland v Brunswick Developments (1987) Ltd (No.2) [1999] UKHL 16; 1999
S.C. (H.L.) 53; 1999 S.L.T. 716; 2000 S.C.L.R. 30; 1999 G.W.D. 15-687 HL 3–18,
3–154, 8–32 Banks v Ripley [1940] Ch. 719 Ch D
Darks V Kipley [1940] Ch. /19 Ch D
Barnet LBC v Barnet Football Club Holdings Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 1191 3–150, 3–151
Barnhart v Greenshields (1853) 9 Moo. P.C. 18; 14 E.R. 204
Barrell Enterprises, Re [1973] 1 W.L.R. 19 CA (Civ Div)
Beav. 529; 52 E.R. 208
Bartlam v Coutts & Co [2006] EWHC 1502; [2006] W.T.L.R. 1165
Bates v Wyndham's Ltd. See Thomas Bates & Son Ltd v Wyndham's (Lingerie) Ltd
Beale v Kyte [1907] 1 Ch. 564 Ch D
Behrens v Heilbut (1956) 222 L.T. 290; 106 L.J. 794
Bell v Georgiou [2002] EWHC 1080 (Ch); [2002] W.T.L.R. 1105
Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd; sub nom. Lever Bros Ltd v Bell [1932] A.C. 161 HL 1–25, 1–29
Bercovici v Palmer (1966) 59 D.L.R. (2d) 513 CA (Sask)
Berkeley Leisure Group Ltd v Williamson [1996] E.G. 18 (C.S.) CA (Civ Div) 1–46, 6–07
Bhatt v Bhatt [2009] EWHC 734 (Ch): [2009] S.T.C. 1540: [2009] W.T.I. R. 1130: [2009]
Bhatt v Bhatt [2009] EWHC 734 (Ch); [2009] S.T.C. 1540; [2009] W.T.L.R. 1139; [2009]
Bhatt v Bhatt [2009] EWHC 734 (Ch); [2009] S.T.C. 1540; [2009] W.T.L.R. 1139; [2009] S.T.I. 1098

Bishops Wholesale Newsagency Ltd v Surridge Dawson Ltd [2009] EWHC 2578 (Ch) 2–81 Blacklocks v JB Developments (Godalming) Ltd; sub nom. Brickwall Farm, Re [1982] Ch. 183; [1981] 3 W.L.R. 554; [1981] 3 All E.R. 392; (1982) 43 P. & C.R. 27; (1981) 125 S.J.
356 Ch D 6–10, 6–14, 6–15, 6–16, 6–19, 6–21
Blay v Pollard [1930] 1 K.B. 628
Roliden Tara Mines Ltd v Cosgrove [2007] IEHC 60
Bonhote v Henderson [1895] 2 Ch. 202 CA
Booker Industries Pty Ltd v Wilson Parking (Old) Pty Ltd (1982) 149 C.L.R. 600 2-50
Boots The Chemist Ltd v Street (1983) 268 E.G. 817; [1983] 2 E.G.L.R. 51 Ch D 6–03, 6–06, 6–07, 6–19
Borrowes v Delaney (1889) L.R. 24 Ir. 503
Bowler v Hilda Pty Ltd [2001] FCA 342; (2001) 112 F.C.R. 59
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd v Dolphin Drilling Ltd [2009] EWHC 3119 (Comm) 2–31
Bradbury Investments Ltd v Hicklane Properties Ltd; sub nom. Hicklane Properties Ltd v Bradbury Investments Ltd [2008] EWCA Civ 691; [2009] 1 P. & C.R. 2; [2008] N.P.C. 69
Bratton Seymour Service Co Ltd v Oxborough [1992] B.C.C. 471; [1992] B.C.L.C. 693;
[1992] E.G. 28 (C.S.) CA (Civ Div)
Breakspear v Ackland [2008] EWHC 220 (Ch); [2009] Ch. 32; [2008] 3 W.L.R. 698; [2008] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 62; [2008] W.T.L.R. 777; (2007-08) 10 I.T.E.L.R. 852; (2008) 105(9)
L.S.G. 29
Breslauer v Barwick (1876) 36 L.T. 52
Ch D
Britoil Plc v Hunt Overseas Oil Inc [1994] C.L.C. 561 CA (Civ Div) 1-44, 1-81, 3-20,
3–37, 3–45, 3–49, 5–53, <b>3–55—3–57</b> , 3–58, 3–66, 3–67, <b>3–122—3–128</b> , 9–12
Brooke v Haymes (1868) L.R. 6 Eq. 25 Ct of Chancery
Broun v Kennedy (1863) 33 Beav. 133; 55 E.R. 317
Burford (Fareham) Ltd v Christian Vision [2005] EWHC 2533 10–46
Burroughes v Abbott [1922] 1 Ch. 86 Ch D
Bush v National Australia Bank Ltd (1992) 35 N.S.W.L.R. 390
Butler v Countrywide Finance Ltd [1993] 3 N.Z.L.R. 623
Butler v Mountview Estates Ltd [1951] 2 K.B. 563; [1951] 1 All E.R. 693; [1951] 1 T.L.R.
524 KBD
[1976] Ch. 251; [1976] 2 W.L.R. 547; [1976] 2 All E.R. 483; (1975) 119 S.J. 794
Ch D
3–109, 3–111, 3–112, 3–114, <b>7–05</b> , 7–09, 7–11—7–13, 7–17, 7–29, 8–42, 8–45
CH Pearce & Son Ltd v Stonechester Ltd, <i>The Times</i> , November 17, 1983 10–26, 10–27
Caird v Moss (1886) L.R. 33 Ch. D. 22 CA 5-24
Cambridge Antibody Technology Ltd v Abbott Biotechnology Ltd [2004] EWHC 2974
(Pat); [2005] F.S.R. 27
Cambro Contractors Ltd v John Kennelly Sales Ltd; <i>The Times</i> , April 4, 1994 CA (Civ Div)
Canterbury Golf International Ltd v Yoshimoto [2002] UKPC 4; [2004] 1 N.Z.L.R. 1 2–85
Caraman Rowley & May v Aperghis (1923-24) 17 Ll. L. Rep. 183; (1924) 40 T.L.R. 124 KBD
Carmichael v National Power Plc [1999] 1 W.L.R. 2042; [1999] 4 All E.R. 897; [1999] I.C.R.
1226; [2000] I.R.L.R. 43; (1999) 96(46) L.S.G. 38; (1999) 143 S.J.L.B. 281 HL 3–53,
3-69, 9-19-9-21
Central & Metropolitan Estates Ltd v Compusave (1982) 266 E.G. 900; [1983] 1 E.G.L.R. 60
Chapman v Chapman. See Chapman's Settlement Trusts, Re
Chapman's Settlement Trusts, Re; Blackwell's Settlement Trusts, Re; Downshire Settled
Estates, Re; sub nom. Blackwell v Blackwell; Marquess of Downshire v Royal Bank of Scotland; Chapman v Chapman (No.1) [1954] A.C. 429; [1954] 2 W.L.R. 723; [1954] 1
555 dana, Chapman v Chapman (150.1) [1554] A.C. 425, [1554] 2 W.L.R. 725; [1954] 1

```
All E.R. 798; 47 R. & I.T. 310; (1954) 33 A.T.C. 84; [1954] T.R. 93; (1954) 98 S.J. 246
   HL ...... 7–03
Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd [2009] UKHL 38; [2009] 1 A.C. 1101; [2009] 3
   W.L.R. 267; [2009] Bus. L.R. 1200; [2009] 4 All E.R. 677; [2009] B.L.R. 551; 125 Con.
   L.R. 1; [2010] 1 P. & C.R. 9; [2009] C.I.L.L. 2729; [2009] 27 E.G. 91 (C.S.); (2009)
   2-23, 2-26, 2-31, 2-39, 2-59, 2-64, 2-80, 2-81, 2-83A, 2-86, 2-87, 2-89, 2-90,
        2-93-2-99, 2-101, 3-01, 3-04, 3-21, 3-25, 3-28, 3-38, 3-46-3-49, 3-51, 3-52,
           3-54—3-56, 3-59, 3-60, 3-62, 3-63, 3-65—3-67, 3-69, 3-73—3-77, 3-80,
        3-86-3-88, 3-106, 3-110, 3-111, 3-112, 3-117, 3-125, 3-143, 4-06, 4-08, 4-09,
         4-15, 4-22, 4-59, 4-60, 4-61, 4-88, 7-29, 8-07, 9-09, 9-12, 9-13, 9-19, 9-22,
                    9-29, 9-33, 9-34, 9-44, 10-14, 10-16, 10-17, 10-19, 11-01
Charter Reinsurance Co Ltd (In Liquidation) v Fagan [1997] A.C. 313; [1996] 2 W.L.R.
   726; [1996] 3 All E.R. 46; [1996] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 113; [1996] C.L.C. 977; [1996] 5 Re.
   Chinnock v Hocaoglu [2008] EWCA Civ 1175; [2009] 1 W.L.R. 765; [2009] 2 P. & C.R. 3;
   Chittock v Stevens; sub nom. Chittock (Deceased), Re [2000] W.T.L.R. 643; (2000) 97(16)
   Chwee Kin Keong v Digilandmall.com Pte Ltd [2005] SGCA 2; [2005] 1 S.L.R. 502 CA
   (Sing) 4–44
City Alliance Ltd v Oxford Forecasting Services Ltd [2001] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 233 CA
   City of London Real Property Co Ltd v CGU International Insurance Plc Unreported
   Club Cape Schank Resort Co Ltd v Cape Country Club Pty Ltd [2001] VSCA 2; (2001) 3
   Cobbe v Yeoman's Row Management Ltd; sub nom. Yeoman's Row Management Ltd v
   Cobbe [2008] UKHL 55; [2008] 1 W.L.R. 1752; [2008] 4 All E.R. 713; [2009] 1 All E.R.
   (Comm) 205; [2008] 3 E.G.L.R. 31; [2008] 35 E.G. 142; [2008] 36 E.G. 142; [2008]
   W.T.L.R. 1461; (2008–09) 11 I.T.E.L.R. 530; [2008] 31 E.G. 88 (C.S.); (2008) 152(31)
   Codelfa Construction Pty Ltd v State Rail Authority of New South Wales (1982) 149
   Colebrook's Conveyance, Re; sub nom. Taylor v Taylor [1972] 1 W.L.R. 1397; [1973] 1 All
   Coles v William Hill Organisation Ltd [1998] L. & T.R. 14; [1998] E.G. 40 (C.S.); (1998)
  95(11) L.S.G. 37 Ch D ...... 4–57, 5–14
Commission for the New Towns v Cooper (Great Britain) Ltd (formerly Coopind UK);
  sub nom. Milton Keynes Development Corp v Cooper (Great Britain) [1995] Ch. 259;
  [1995] 2 W.L.R. 677; [1995] 2 All E.R. 929; (1996) 72 P. & C.R. 270; [1995] 2 E.G.L.R.
  113; [1995] E.G. 30 (C.S.); (1995) 139 S.J.L.B. 87; [1995] N.P.C. 34; (1995) 69 P. &
  C.R. D40 CA (Civ Div) ....... 1–82, 2–14, 4–01, 4–08, 4–34, 4–45, 4–46, 4–47, 4–49,
         4-52, 4-53, 4-56, 4-59, 4-67, 4-73, 4-75, 4-78, 4-83, 4-85, 4-87, 4-93, 10-19
Commission for the New Towns v JJ Gallagher Ltd [2002] EWHC 2668; [2003] 2 P. & C.R.
  Commissioner of Stamp Duties (NSW) v Carlenka Pty Ltd (1975) 41 N.S.W.L.R. 329 1-08,
                                3-17, 3-42, 3-108, 3-114, 3-114, 9-12
```

Compania Maritima San Basilio SA v Oceanus Mutual Underwriting Association (Bermuda) Ltd [1977] Q.B. 49; [1976] 3 W.L.R. 265; [1976] 3 All E.R. 243; [1976] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 171; (1976) 120 S.J. 486 CA (Civ Div)
Company (No.007466 of 2003), Re; sub nom. Company (No.1389920), Re [2004] EWHC 60 (Ch): [2004] EWHC 35 (Ch): [2004] 1 W.L.R. 1357; [2004] B.C.C. 288; [2004] 2
B.C.L.C. 434; (2004) 101(7) L.S.G. 34
Cook v. ID. Wetherspoon Plc [2006] EWCA Civ 330; [2006] 2 P. & C.R. 18; [2006] N.P.C.
41
Cooperative Insurance Society Ltd v Centremoor Ltd (1983) 268 E.G. 1027; [1983] 2  E.G.L.R. 52 CA (Civ Div)
<b>3–92</b> , 3–94, 3–96, 3–98, 3–103, 3–107, 3–161, 7–17
Countess of Rutland's Case (1604) 5 Co. Rep. 25b
Crane v Hegeman Harris Co Inc [1939] 4 All E.R. 68 CA affirming [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1390 (Note); [1939] 1 All E.R. 662
3–39, 3–41—3–43, 3–58, 3–78, 3–91, 3–95, 3–106, 3–133, 5–24
Crawley MBC v Bradford & Bingley Building Society Unreported July 23, 1998 3–05, 3–23 Credential Bath Street Ltd v Venture Investment Placement Ltd [2007] CSOH 208 2–03
Croftcall Ltd v Morgan [2008] EWHC 1622 (Ch)
Cummins, Re; sub nom. Cummins v Thompson [1972] Ch. 62; [1971] 3 W.L.R. 580; [1971]
3 All E.R. 782; (1971) 115 S.J. 567 CA (Civ Div)
D, Re; sub nom. Life Sentence Review Commissioners v D; R. (on the application of D) v
Life Sentence Review Commissioners; CD's Application for Judicial Review, Re
[2008] UKHL 33; [2008] 1 W.L.R. 1499; [2008] 4 All E.R. 992; [2008] N.I. 292; [2009] 1 F.L.R. 700; [2009] Fam. Law 192; (2008) 105(29) L.S.G. 36; (2008) 152(25) S.J.L.B.
7.L.R. 700; [2009] Fam. Law 192; (2008) 103(29) L.S.G. 36, (2008) 132(23) S.J.L.B. 32
Dairy Containers Ltd v Tasman Orient Line CV [2004] UKPC 22; [2005] 1 W.L.R.
215
Dalkia Utilities Services Plc v Celtech International Ltd [2006] EWHC 63 (Comm); [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 599; [2006] 2 P. & C.R. 9 1–13, 1–55, 2–27, 2–47, 2–50, 2–63, 2–77, 3–23
Daniel's Settlement Trusts, Re (1875–76) L.R. 1 Ch. D. 375 CA
Datec Electronic Holdings Ltd v United Parcels Service Ltd [2007] UKHL 23; [2007] Bus.
L.R. 1291; [2007] 1 W.L.R. 1325; [2007] 4 All E.R. 765; [2007] 2 All E.R. (Comm)
1067; [2007] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 114; [2007] 1 C.L.C. 720; [2007] R.T.R. 40; (2007) 151 S.J.L.B. 670
David Instance v Denny Bros Printing Ltd [2000] F.S.R. 869
Davies v Elsby Bros Ltd [1961] 1 W.L.R. 519 HL
Dellow's Will Trusts, Re; sub nom. Lloyds Bank v Institute of Cancer Research [1964] 1
W.L.R. 451; [1964] 1 All E.R. 771; (1964) 108 S.J. 156 Ch D
Dering v Earl of Winchelsea (1787) 1 Cox Eq. 318; 29 E.R. 1184
Devald v Zigeuner (1958) 16 D.L.R. (2d) 285 HC (Ont)
Dickinson v Burrell; Stourton v Burrell; Dickinson (Ann) v Burrell (1865-66) L.R. 1 Eq.
337 Ct of Chancery
Domb v Isoz [1980] Ch. 548; [1980] 2 W.L.R. 565; [1980] 1 All E.R. 942; (1980) 40 P. &
C.R. 516; (1979) 123 S.J. 838 CA (Civ Div)
Dormer v Sherman, 197 E.G. 1069; (1966) 110 S.J. 171 CA
Downshire Settled Estates, Re. See Chapman's Settlement Trusts, Re Drake Insurance Plc v MacDonald; sub nom. Drake Insurance Plc v McDonald [2005]
EWHC 3287 (Ch); [2005] O.P.L.R. 395; [2005] Pens. L.R. 401
8-41-8-43, 8-46, 8-47, 9-27
Dumford Trading AG v OAO Atlantrybflot [2005] EWCA Civ 24; [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep.
7_43

Dunlop Haywards (DHL) Ltd (formerly Dunlop Heywood Lorenz Ltd) (In Liquidation) v Barbon Insurance Group Ltd (formerly Erinaceous Insurance Services Ltd (formerly Hanover Park Commercial Ltd)) [2009] EWHC 2900 (Comm) 2–87, 3–25, 3–45, 3–51, 3–59, 3–112, 3–117, 3–131, 3–153, 6–30, 9–22, 9–29, 10–42
Dunlop Haywards (DHL) Ltd (formerly Dunlop Heywood Lorenz Ltd) v Erinaceous Insurance Services Ltd (formerly Hanover Park Commercial Ltd) [2009] EWCA Civ 354; [2009] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 464
Earl v Hector Whaling Ltd [1961] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 459; (1961) 105 S.J. 321 CA 3–43, 3–54 Earl Cadogan v Escada AG [2006] EWHC 78 (Ch); [2006] 5 E.G. 272 (C.S.) 2–82, 3–101 Earl of Aylesford v Morris (1872–73) L.R. 8 Ch. App. 484 CA in Chancery 4–25 Earl of Bradford v Earl of Romney (1862) 30 Beav 431 3–135 Eason v Brownrigg [1998] C.L.Y.B. 3659 1–69
East v Pantiles (Plant Hire) Ltd [1982] 2 E.G.L.R. 111; (1982) 263 E.G. 61 CA (Civ Div)
Electrosteel Castings Ltd v Scan Trans Shipping & Chartering Sdn Bhd [2002] EWHC 1993 (Comm); [2002] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 1064; [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 190; 87 Con. L.R. 50
Elliott v Freeman (1863) 7 L.T. 715
Ellis v Ellis (1909) 26 T.L.R. 166
Energy World Corporation Ltd v Maurice Hayes & Associates Pty Ltd [2007] FCAFC 34 2-66
Equity & Law Life Assurance Society v Coltness Group Ltd (1983) 267 E.G. 949; [1983] 2
E.G.L.R. 118
Erlanger (1877-78) L.R. 3 App. Cas. 1218 HL
Ernest Scragg & Sons Ltd v Perseverance Banking & Trust Co Ltd [1973] 2 Ll. L. Rep. 101
Escritt v Escritt (1982) 3 F.L.R. 280
Etablissements Levy (Georges et Paul) v Adderley Navigation Co Panama SA (The Olympic Pride) [1980] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 67 QBD (Comm)
Ethel and Mitchells and Butlers' Contract, Re [1901] 1 Ch. 945 Ch D
Excelsior Group Productions Ltd v Yorkshire Television Ltd [2009] EWHC 1751 (Comm)
Exeter Trust Ltd v Screenways Ltd [1991] B.C.C. 477; [1991] B.C.L.C. 888; (1991) 135 S.J.  12 CA (Civ Div)
F Goldsmith (Sicklesmere) Ltd v Baxter [1970] Ch. 85; [1969] 3 W.L.R. 522; [1969] 3 All E.R. 733; (1969) 20 P. & C.R. 787; (1969) 113 S.J. 705 Ch D
Farepak Food and Gifts Ltd, Re; sub nom. Power v Revenue and Customs [2009] EWHC 2580 (Ch)
Farmer v Sloan [2004] EWHC 606 (Ch); [2005] W.T.L.R. 521; [2004] N.P.C. 41 7–14 Fiona Trust & Holding Corp v Privalov; sub nom. Premium Nafta Products Ltd v Fili Shipping Co Ltd [2007] UKHL 40; [2007] Bus. L.R. 1719; [2007] 4 All E.R. 951; [2007] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 1053; [2008] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 254; [2007] 2 C.L.C. 553; 114 Con. L.R. 69; [2007] C.I.L.L. 2528; (2007) 104(42) L.S.G. 34; (2007) 151 S.J.L.B. 1364 10–06, 10–08
Fisher v Brooker [2009] UKHL 41; [2009] 1 W.L.R. 1764; [2009] Bus. L.R. 1334; [2009] 4 All E.R. 789; [2009] E.C.D.R. 17; [2010] E.M.L.R. 2; [2009] F.S.R. 25; (2009) 32(9)
Fisher v Wychavon DC [2001] J.P.L. 694; [2000] E.G. 126 (C.S.); (2000) 97(45) L.S.G. 43:
(2000) 97(46) L.S.G. 40; [2000] N.P.C. 118 CA (Civ Div) 8–50 Fitzgerald v Masters (1965) 95 C.L.R. 420 2–54

Fowler v Fowler (1859) 4 De G. & J. 250; 45 E.R. 97
3-52—3-56, 3-58, 3-68, 3-78—3-81, 3-84, 3-85, 3-96, 3-104—3-107, 3-113, 3-115, 3-125, 7-28, 8-37  Freer v Unwins Ltd [1976] Ch. 288; [1976] 2 W.L.R. 609; [1976] 1 All E.R. 634; (1976) 31 P.  & C.R. 335; (1975) 120 S.J. 267 Ch D
GMAC Commercial Credit Development Ltd v Sandhu; sub nom. GMAC Commercial Credit Development Ltd v Sandhu (No.1) [2004] EWHC 716 (Comm); [2006] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 268
Rep. 97 CA affirming (1949) 83 Ll. L. Rep. 22
Gibbon v Mitchell [1990] 1 W.L.R. 1304; [1990] 3 All E.R. 338 Ch D 1–04, <b>1–26</b> , 1–29, 1–31, 3–15, 3–108, 7–11  Gilbert Ash (Northern) Ltd v Modern Engineering (Bristol) Ltd; sub nom. Modern Engineering (Bristol) Ltd v Gilbert Ash (Northern) Ltd [1974] A.C. 689; [1973] 3 W.L.R. 421; [1973] 3 All E.R. 195; 1 B.L.R. 73; 72 L.G.R. 1; (1973) 117 S.J. 745 HL 2–83  Glass v Segerman [2008] EWHC 1522 (Ch); [2008] W.T.L.R. 1615
3–46, 3–80, 3–95, 3–104, 3–107, 5–03, 7–17 Great Bear Investments Ltd v Solon Cooperative Housing Services Ltd [1997] E.G. 177 (C.S.) Ch D
2001