

Jan Mataushek

Rent Adjustment and Tenant Default

in English and German
Commercial Property Leases

An Economic and Legal Analysis



PETER LANG

Internationaler Verlag der Wissenschaften

Jan Mataushek

Rent Adjustment
and Tenant Default
in English and German
Commercial Property Leases

An Economic and Legal Analysis



PETER LANG

Frankfurt am Main · Berlin · Bern · Bruxelles · New York · Oxford · Wien

Bibliographic Information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Univermerk: Zugl.: Hamburg, Univ., Diss., 2010

Cover Design:
Olaf Glöckler, Atelier Platen, Friedberg

D 18

ISBN 978-3-631-60949-1

© Peter Lang GmbH
Internationaler Verlag der Wissenschaften
Frankfurt am Main 2010
All rights reserved.

All parts of this publication are protected by copyright. Any utilisation outside the strict limits of the copyright law, without the permission of the publisher, is forbidden and liable to prosecution. This applies in particular to reproductions, translations, microfilming, and storage and processing in electronic retrieval systems.

www.peterlang.de

Rent Adjustment and Tenant Default
in English and German Commercial Property Leases

Acknowledgements

This book is the result of my doctoral research at the Institute of Law and Economics at the University of Hamburg. While writing a dissertation is largely a solitary task, I am grateful to many people who helped and supported me.

First and foremost, I wish to thank my supervisor, Professor Dr. Thomas Eger. He was always available to discuss my proposals and was a patient and interested listener. I am also grateful to Professor Dr. Heribert Hirte for being the second examiner of the thesis, and Professor Dr. Michael Adams for chairing the examination board.

The staff of the Universities of Hamburg, Bournemouth, Cambridge and London equipped me with the skills necessary to complete a doctoral thesis, for which I am profoundly grateful. Here I would especially like to mention Bernard Smales of Bournemouth University, who has not merely been my teacher but also a friend, and Professor John Glascock, then at the University of Cambridge, whose lucid thinking shaped my understanding of real estate economics. I was also inspired to conduct research in real estate economics and law by Dr. Shaun Bond, Professor Tony Ciochetti, Dr. Martin Dixon, Professor Gerwyn Griffiths, Barry Moore, Dr. Kanak Patel and Professor Dogan Tirtiroglu.

Utz Schlicht has been a friend and mentor and I am grateful to him for his friendship, advice and support.

I would especially like to thank Marcus Marschall for sharing his insights into the functioning of real estate markets and invaluable spreadsheet and financial modelling skills with me.

Many thanks also go to Conrad B.R. Herrmann, Arnd Reifenrath, Dr. Rolf Seebauer, Ralph Siebald and Christoph Zimmer of ICME Management Consultants for providing me with the opportunity to apply strategy consulting and real estate management in practice. I would also like to thank the many clients we advised, as every project is a learning process also for the consultant.

Above all, I am grateful to my late father and my mother. I can always count on my mother's support and owe everything to her.

Jan Matauschek

Contents

Part 1: Business leases in Britain & Germany	19
1 Property investment in a borderless world	19
2 Market parameters.....	27
2.1 Structure of the UK property market	27
2.2 Structure of the German property market	29
2.3 Transparency	32
2.3.1 Property market data in this study.....	37
2.3.2 UK lease-data	37
2.3.3 German lease-data	38
2.4 Development and role of the real estate professions in the UK and Germany.....	45
2.5 Lease contracts.....	47
2.5.1 Leases in Germany.....	47
2.5.2 Leases in England.....	49
3 The business lease as a long-term contract.....	53
3.1 Why do businesses lease their premises?.....	55
3.2 Advantages of long-term contracts over spot contracts.....	59
3.3 Advantages of long-term contracts over vertical integration.....	60
Part 2: Selected problems of business leases	61
4 Rent adjustment in business leases	63
4.1 The economics of rent adjustment	63
4.1.1 General mechanisms to adjust the contractual rent.....	64
4.1.2 The economic rationale of rent adjustments	65
4.1.2.1 The relevance of the parties' attitudes towards risk	66
4.1.2.1.1 Risk-aversion of tenants	66
4.1.2.1.2 Risk-aversion of landlords.....	69
4.1.2.1.3 Innovative real estate vehicles and the limits of diversification	75

4.1.3	The relationship between risk-aversion and lease pricing	78
4.1.4	Incentive problems associated with fixed rents	79
4.1.4.1	Hold-up problems	80
4.1.4.1.1	Location-dependant goodwill	80
4.1.4.1.2	Degree of business-immobility	82
4.1.4.1.3	Hold-up and fluctuating prices	86
4.1.4.2	Moral hazard	88
4.1.4.3	Investment in information.....	89
4.1.5	The transaction costs of adjustment mechanisms	90
4.1.6	Default rules	91
4.1.7	Overview of the analytical framework.....	92
4.2	Analysis of the adjustment methods observed in practice	94
4.2.1	Fixed rents	94
4.2.1.1	Fixed rents and risk aversion	95
4.2.1.2	Fixed rents and hold-up problems	95
4.2.1.3	Fixed rents and the costs of debt financing	96
4.2.1.4	Investment in information.....	96
4.2.1.5	Fixed rents and transaction costs	97
4.2.1.6	Institutional differences between England and Germany	97
4.2.1.7	Evaluation	97
4.2.2	Graduated rents	98
4.2.2.1	Graduated rents and risk-aversion	99
4.2.2.2	Graduated rents and incentive problems	100
4.2.2.3	Graduated rents and transaction costs	100
4.2.2.4	Case study: office lease with graduated rents.....	101
4.2.3	Rent review in the United Kingdom	102
4.2.3.1	The workings of rent review.....	104
4.2.3.1.1	Review by notice	104
4.2.3.1.2	Valuation of the premises.....	105
4.2.3.1.3	Dispute settlement	106
4.2.3.1.3.1	Independent expert	107
4.2.3.1.3.2	Arbitration.....	108
4.2.3.1.3.3	Mediation.....	109
4.2.3.1.4	Assessment of dispute resolution mechanisms.....	109
4.2.3.2	Rent review and valuation from a behavioural perspective ..	112
4.2.3.3	Upward only rent reviews.....	116
4.2.3.4	Rent review and the case for default rules.....	122
4.2.3.5	Rent-free periods and their impact on rent review clauses ..	124
4.2.4	German rent review.....	130
4.2.4.1	Renegotiation clauses	130
4.2.4.2	Trigger clauses	131
4.2.4.3	Reference clauses.....	132

4.2.4.4	Rent review: the need for reform.....	133
4.2.5	Indexation.....	135
4.2.5.1	Economic effects of index clauses.....	136
4.2.5.2	Incentive effects.....	142
4.2.5.3	Transaction costs.....	142
4.2.5.4	German legislation on indexation.....	143
4.2.5.5	Why are indexed rents not used in the UK?	144
4.2.5.6	The German property industry's position on indexation.....	146
4.2.6	Percentage rents.....	147
4.2.6.1	Economic effects of turnover rents.....	147
4.2.6.1.1	Risk aversion and percentage rents	148
4.2.6.1.2	Reduction of moral hazard	149
4.2.6.1.3	Transaction costs of percentage rents.....	150
4.2.6.1.4	Managerial considerations and effects on investment....	151
4.2.6.2	Forms of percentage rents and their application in the UK and Germany.....	152
4.2.6.3	Valuation of percentage leases.....	155
4.2.6.4	Percentage rents: the more efficient upwards-only rent review?.....	156
5	Tenant default.....	159
5.1	Economic analysis of tenant default	159
5.1.1	A framework to analyse the regulation of tenant default.....	160
5.1.2	The lease as a credit contract	161
5.1.3	The role of security deposits	162
5.1.4	Hold-up costs and tenant default.....	163
5.1.5	Transaction costs and tenant default	165
5.1.6	Ex ante search costs and tenant default.....	166
5.2	Business leases and insolvency in Germany.....	168
5.2.1	Administration of existing leases by the receiver.....	169
5.2.1.1	Termination of leases by the receiver	169
5.2.1.2	Rights of insolvent tenant's landlord under German law	170
5.2.2	Rent arrears accruing after the opening of insolvency proceedings.....	170
5.2.3	Security deposits	171
5.2.4	Distress for rent	171
5.2.5	Evaluation of the landlord's position under German insolvency law.....	172
5.3	Forfeiture of leases and debt enforcement in Germany.....	175
5.3.1	Suing for debt	176
5.3.1.1	Debt enforcement by way of payment order	176

5.3.1.2	Court track	177
5.3.1.3	Contracts certified by a notary public.....	177
5.3.2	Forfeiture of leases	178
5.3.3	Distress for rent	181
5.3.4	Penalties	182
5.3.5	Evaluation of German remedies for tenant default	182
5.4	English insolvency law and the insolvent tenant	183
5.4.1	Voluntary agreements	184
5.4.1.1	Position of guarantors under a CVA	187
5.4.1.2	Moratorium for small companies	188
5.4.2	Schemes of Arrangement	189
5.4.3	Administration Order	191
5.4.4	Administrative Receivership and Receivership	194
5.4.5	Liquidation	195
5.4.5.1	Effects of liquidation on distress for rent	196
5.4.5.2	Effects of liquidation on the enforcement of judgments	197
5.4.5.3	Effects of liquidation on forfeiture	197
5.4.5.4	Disclaimer.....	198
5.4.5.5	Security deposits.....	199
5.5	Forfeiture and debt enforcement in England and Wales	199
5.5.1	Actions for arrears of rent	200
5.5.2	Forfeiture of leases	201
5.5.2.1	Doctrine of waiver	202
5.5.2.2	Self-help and court orders.....	203
5.5.2.3	Pre-conditions for forfeiture	205
5.5.2.4	Non-payment of rent: formalities	205
5.5.2.5	Relief from forfeiture for non-payment of rent.....	206
5.5.2.6	Breach of covenants other than non-payment of rent: formalities	209
5.5.2.7	Breach of a repairing covenant	210
5.5.2.8	Remediability of the breach.....	210
5.5.2.9	Position of tenant where forfeiture is granted	212
5.5.3	Distress for unpaid rent	213
5.5.4	Damages for breach of covenant.....	213
5.5.5	Injunction and specific performance.....	213
5.5.6	Evaluation of the remedies and suggestions for reform.....	214
6	Summary, concluding remarks and outlook	215
	References	223

Exhibits

Exhibit 1-1: Prime Office rents in Europe: 2008 - 2009.....	21
Exhibit 1-2: Overview of asset classes	23
Exhibit 2-1: UK property investors.....	28
Exhibit 2-2: Imperfection of real estate markets.....	32
Exhibit 2-3: Collection of data for major German markets	36
Exhibit 3-1: Characteristics of obligations under a lease.....	53
Exhibit 3-2: Proportion of rented and owned property in the UK	56
Exhibit 4-1: Risk-aversion of tenants.....	67
Exhibit 4-2: Comparison of rents and employment costs in the UK (2007) ..	68
Exhibit 4-3: Risk-return profiles of investments.....	71
Exhibit 4-4: Institutional investors in Germany by funds invested	74
Exhibit 4-5: Risk-return profiles of real estate investment vehicles.....	75
Exhibit 4-6: Commercial/ Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities.....	77
Exhibit 4-7: Location-dependant goodwill within selected industries	81
Exhibit 4-8: Business-immobility within selected industries	83
Exhibit 4-9: Real estate assets of selected German companies	85
Exhibit 4-10: Prime office rents in Hamburg 2004-2009	87
Exhibit 4-11: Overview of the analysis.....	93
Exhibit 4-12: Prime office rents Berlin and Frankfurt vs. CPI.....	139
Exhibit 4-13: Comparison of German and UK inflation rates	145

Tables

Table 1-1: Selected transactions in Germany by opportunity/private equity funds 2006/07.....	20
Table 2-1: PESTEL UK property industry	27
Table 2-2: PESTEL German property industry.....	29
Table 2-3: German lease data - case studies.....	40
Table 3-1: Sources of risk from commercial property	55
Table 4-1: Differences between institutional and "small" landlords.....	72
Table 4-2: Typical lease terms of different types of use	86
Table 4-3: Fixed rents and hold-up problems	88
Table 4-4: Typical sources of dispute at rent review.....	123
Table 4-5: German CPI: composition and index change 2000-2005.....	137
Table 6-1: Position of landlord under English insolvency /rescue schemes.....	219

English Cases

99 Bishopsgate Ltd v Prudential Assurance Co Ltd (1985) 273 EG 984	125
Arab Bank plc v John D Wood Commercial Ltd [1998] EGCS 34	115
Bass Holdings Ltd v Morton Music Ltd [1988] Ch 493, CA	211
Billson v Residential Apartments Ltd [1992] 1 AC 494, CA; reversed [1992] 1 AC 494, HL	202
Billson v Residential Apartments Ltd. [1992] 1 AC 494	204
Boyer v Warbey [1953] 1 QB 234, CA	200
Bristol Airport plc v Powdrill [1990] Ch 744	193
British Gas Corporation v Universities Superannuation Scheme [1986] 1 EGLR 120	103
Broadgate Square plc v Lehman Brothers Ltd [1994] 04 EG	129
Burford Midland Properties Ltd v Marley Extrusions Ltd [1995] 30 EG 89 ...	185
Canary Wharf Investments (Three) v Telegraph Group [2003] 3 EGLR 31	124
Cazaly Irving Holding Ltd v Cancel Ltd [1995] EGCS 146	185
Central Estates (Belgravia) Ltd v Woolgar (No 2) [1972] 1 WLR 1048	203
Chapman's Case (1866) LR 1 Eq 346	196
Chester v Buckingham Travel Ltd [1981] 1 WLR 96	202
City Offices plc v Bryanston Insurance Co Ltd [1993] 11 EG 129	128
Clark (WG) (Properties) Ltd v Dupre Properties Ltd [1992] Ch 297	211
Co-Operative Wholesale Society Ltd v National Westminster Bank plc [1994] 14 EG 130	129
Doorbar v Alltime Securities (No. 2) [1995] BCLC 513; [1996] 1 WLR 456 (CA)	185
Doorbar v Alltime Securities Ltd [1994] BCC 994	185
Duraven Securities Ltd v Holloway (1982) 264 Estates Gazette 709, CA	211
Expert Clothing Service & Sales Ltd v Hillgate House Ltd [1986] Ch 340, CA	209, 210, 211

Fowlers v Broads Patent Night Light Co [1893] 1 Ch 724.....	196
Gill v Lewis [1956] 2 QB 1.....	206
Great Western Railway Co v Smith (1876) 2 Ch D 235.....	212
Grimwood v Moss (1871-72) LR 7 CP 360.....	202
Howard v Fanshawe) [1895] 2 Ch 581.....	206
Inntrepreneur Pub Co (CPC) Ltd v Langton [2000] 1 EGLR 34.....	202
Kataria v Safeland plc (1997) 75 P & CR D30, CA.....	204
London & Leeds Estates Ltd v Paribas Ltd [1993] 30 EG 89.....	126
March Estates v Gunmark [1996] 2 BCLC 1.....	185
Pontsarn Investments v Kansalis-Osake-Pankki [1992] 22 EG 103.....	126
Prudential Assurance Co Ltd v PRG Powerhouse Ltd [2007] EWHC 1002 (Ch); [2007] Bus. L. R. 1771 (Ch).....	187
Public Trustee v Westbrook [1965] 1 WLR 1160, CA.....	207
Rainbow Estates v Tokenhold Ltd [1999] Ch 64.....	213
Razzaq v Pala [1997] 1 WLR 1336.....	186
Re ABC Coupler & Engineering Ltd [1961] 1 All ER 354.....	196
Re Atlantic Computer Systems plc (No 1) [1992] 2 WLR 367.....	192
Re Blue Jeans Sales Ltd [1979] 1 WLR 362.....	197
Re Greenport Ltd (in liquidation) [2000] All ER (D) 2083.....	199
Re Lomax Leisure [2000] Ch 502.....	186
Re Naeem [1990] 1 WLR 48.....	185
Re Park Air Services plc (1999) The Times, 5 February.....	198
Robbins v Jones (1863) 15 CBNS 221, 143 ER 768.....	50
Rugby School (Governors) v Tannahill [1935] 1 KB 87, CA.....	211
Sanders v Pope (1806) 12 Ves 282, 289.....	206
Savva and Savva v Hussein (1996) 73 P & CR 150, CA.....	211
Scala House and District Property Co Ltd v Forbes [1974] QB 575, CA.....	211
Scottish Amicable Life Assurance Society v Middleton [1994] 10 EG 109....	129
Somers Mews Investments v Miller (unreported), 29 January 1982, CA.....	206