

KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL

INTERNATIONAL COMPETITION LAW SERIES

Civil Procedure in EU Competition Cases before the English and Dutch Courts

George Cumming
Mirjam Freudenthal




Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL

**Civil Procedure in EU Competition Cases
before the English and Dutch Courts**

George Cumming

Mirjam Freudenthal



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

AUSTIN BOSTON CHICAGO NEW YORK THE NETHERLANDS

Published by:

Kluwer Law International
PO Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands
Website: www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:

Aspen Publishers, Inc.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Email: customer.service@aspenpublishers.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:

Turpin Distribution Services Ltd.
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom
Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-3192-8

© 2010 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA.
Email: permissions@kluwerlaw.com.

Printed in Great Britain.

Civil Procedure in EU Competition Cases before the English and Dutch Courts

International Competition Law Series

VOLUME 42

Editor-in-Chief

Alastair Sutton,

**Visiting Fellow at the Centre of European Law at King's College,
London**

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

About the Authors

Dr George Cumming is an English barrister (Inner Temple) who has taught, practised and published in the field of EC competition law and English civil procedure for several years.

Dr Mirjam Freudenthal teaches law at the University of Utrecht and has published extensively in the field of civil procedure and in particular Dutch civil procedure.

List of Abbreviations

CA	Competition Act
CAT	Competition Appeal Tribunal
CC	Code
CCP	Code of Civil Procedure
CFA	Conditional Fee Arrangements
CFI	Court of First Instance
CPR	Civil Procedure Rules
DES	diethylstilbestrol
DNS	Do Nothing Scenario
EA	Enterprise Act
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECN	European Competition Network
EIA	External Impact Assessment
GLO	Group Litigation Order
GP	Green Paper
IAR	Impact Assessment Report
NBR	Netherlands Bar Association
NCA	National Competition Authority
NM	erlandse Mededingingsautoriteit
OFT	Office of Fair Trading
RSC	Rules of the Supreme Court
SCA	Supreme Court Act
SEC	Social and Economic Council
SWP	Staff Working Paper

These issues deserve to be taken seriously. The answers are not obvious. This book will stimulate the debate.

David Edward
Prof. Emeritus of the University of Edinburgh
Judge of the European Court of Justice 1992–2004
28 September 2009

Summary of Contents

About the Authors	xv
List of Abbreviations	xvii
Preface	xix
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Analysis of the Principles of the White Paper on Damages Actions	45
Chapter 3 The Recommendations Made by the Commission in the White Paper	83
Chapter 4 Dutch Civil Procedure and the White Paper Recommendations	159
Chapter 5 English Civil Procedure and the White Paper Recommendations	219
Chapter 6 Conclusion	327
Bibliography	357
Annex 1 White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules	365

Annex 2**Green Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the
EC Antitrust Rules****377****Index****389**

Table of Contents

About the Authors	xv
List of Abbreviations	xvii
Preface	xix
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
1.0 Objective: A Descriptive Analysis of Harmonization as It Pertains to the Commission White Paper Proposal on Damages Actions for Breach of EC Antitrust Rules	1
1.1 ECJ-Led Harmonization of Rules of National Procedure	6
1.2 Principles	7
1.2.1 Court of National Choice	7
1.2.2 Equivalence and Effectiveness	8
1.2.3 Supremacy	11
1.2.4 Principle of Effective Judicial Protection	11
1.2.5 ECJ-Led Harmonization as Opposed to Community-Led Harmonization	14
1.2.6 Harmonization through Community Legal Instruments	14
1.2.7 Advantages and Disadvantages of a Community Harmonizing Instrument	15
1.2.7.1 Advantages	15
1.2.7.1.1 Disadvantages of a Directive as a Method of Harmonization	16
1.2.7.2 White Paper Recommendations and the Principle of Subsidiarity	17
1.2.7.2.1 Scope of Harmonization	21
1.2.7.3 Choice of Harmonizing Instruments	23

1.2.8	Determination of Scope of Harmonization upon a Principled Basis	24
1.2.8.1	Principles Based upon the Analysis of ECJ Case Law	25
1.2.9	Law-and-Economics Approach to the Establishment of Levels of Harmonization	30
1.2.10	Harmonization Based upon Rights and Remedies	33
1.2.11	Harmonization in Relation to Principles of Civil Procedure	38

Chapter 2

Analysis of the Principles of the White Paper on Damages Actions 45

2.0	Fundamental Principles of the White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules	45
2.1	Analysis	46
2.1.1	Commission Staff Working Paper (SWP): Working Hypothesis	47
2.1.2	Enforcement Difficulties	48
2.1.2.1	Objections to Harmonization	49
2.1.2.2	Need for Commission Intervention	49
2.1.2.3	Analysis of Certain Principles and Assumptions of the Commission White Paper	51
2.1.2.3.1	Question One	51
2.1.2.3.2	Question Two	59
2.1.2.3.3	Question Three	64

Chapter 3

The Recommendations Made by the Commission in the White Paper 83

3.0	Recommendations of the White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules	83
3.1	Standing: Indirect Purchasers and Collective Redress	87
3.1.1	Indirect Purchasers	87
3.1.2	Collective Actions	87
3.1.3	Analysis	94
3.1.3.1	Category of Victims	94
3.1.3.2	Opt-In and Opt-Out	95
3.1.3.3	Justification for Harmonization with Respect to Harmonization of Collective Opt-In Actions and Representative Opt-Out Actions	96
3.1.3.3.1	Opt-Out Actions	97
3.1.4	Damages	100
3.1.4.1	Analysis	102
3.1.5	Passing on Overcharges	105
3.1.5.1	Analysis	107
3.1.6	Disclosure and Access to Evidence	108
3.1.6.1	Analysis	112

3.1.7	Effect of National Competition Authority (NCA) Decisions	118
3.1.7.1	Analysis	119
3.1.8	Fault	122
3.1.8.1	Analysis	125
3.1.8.1.1	Systems with Fault	125
3.1.8.1.2	Legal Certainty	125
3.1.8.1.3	Binary System	126
3.1.8.1.4	Fault and Excusable Error	127
3.1.9	Limitation Periods	128
3.1.9.1	Analysis	130
3.1.10	Costs	136
3.1.10.1	Analysis	140
3.1.11	Interaction between Leniency Programs and Actions for Damages	153
3.1.11.1	Analysis	154

Chapter 4

Dutch Civil Procedure and the White Paper Recommendations		159
4.0	Introduction	159
4.1	Dutch History of Competition Law	163
4.1.1	<i>Mededingingswet</i> of 1998 (Mw)	163
4.1.1.1	Introduction	163
4.1.1.2	Contents	164
4.1.1.3	Application of EC or Dutch Competition Law	165
4.1.1.4	Enforcement	165
4.1.1.4.1	Introduction	165
4.1.1.4.2	Civil Enforcement: Civil Law versus Common Law	166
4.1.1.4.3	Administrative Enforcement	167
4.1.1.4.4	Civil Enforcement	168
4.1.1.4.4.1	Nullity and Its Consequences	169
4.1.2	<i>Mededingingswet</i> of 2004 (Mw)	170
4.1.2.1	Introduction	170
4.1.2.2	Contents	171
4.1.3	The Nederlandse Mededingingsautoriteit (NMa)	172
4.1.3.1	Competencies of the NMa	172
4.1.3.2	Applicable Procedure	174
4.1.3.2.1	NMa: Awb Procedure	174
4.1.3.2.2	The NMa: Plea-Bargaining, Direct Settlements, and Private Enforcement	176

4.2	Competition Law and Private Enforcement in the Netherlands	176
4.2.1	Introduction	176
4.2.1.1	Legal Civil Practice	178
4.2.1.1.1	Introduction	178
4.2.1.1.2	Civil Procedures	179
4.2.1.1.2.1	Regular Proceedings	179
4.2.1.1.2.2	<i>Kort Geding</i> (Summary Proceedings)	180
4.2.1.1.3	Civil Actions and Competition law	181
4.2.1.1.4	Private Enforcement or Administrative Enforcement?	182
4.3	Initiatives for the Modernization of the Competition Law after 2005	184
4.3.1	Green Paper: Damages Actions for Breach of EC Antitrust Rules	184
4.3.1.1	Introduction	184
4.3.1.2	The Standpoint of Different Dutch Institutions	185
4.3.2	White Paper: Damages Actions for Breach of EC Antitrust Rules	187
4.3.2.1	Introduction	187
4.4	The White Paper and Its Effects on Dutch Law	188
4.4.1	Standpoints of Different Dutch institutions	188
4.4.1.1	The Dutch Government and the <i>NMa</i>	188
4.4.2	Standing	189
4.4.2.1	Indirect Purchasers	189
4.4.2.2	Collective Redress	190
4.4.2.2.1	Introduction	190
4.4.2.2.2	Representative Actions Brought by Qualified Entities	191
4.4.2.2.2.1	Article 3:305(a), paragraphs 1–5, and Article 3:305(a) CC	191
4.4.2.2.2.2	Article 3:305(c) and (d) CC	193
4.4.2.2.3	Collective Redress Procedure in Article 7:907 CC and Articles 1013–1018 CCP	194
4.4.2.2.3.1	Substantive Law of the Class Action Act: Articles 7:907–910 CC	195
4.4.2.2.3.2	Opt-Out Provision (Article 7:908, Paragraph 2, CC)	197
4.4.2.2.3.3	Procedural Rules in Articles 1013–1018 CCP	197

4.4.2.2.3.4	Evaluation of the Collective Redress Procedure for Mass Claims	198
4.4.2.2.4	De Facto Joint Proceedings	198
4.4.2.2.5	Proposals by the Commission and the Dutch Collective Redress Procedures	199
4.4.3	Access to Evidence through <i>Inter Partes</i> Disclosure	200
4.4.3.1	Introduction	200
4.4.3.1.1	Burden of Proof	200
4.4.3.2	Dutch Civil Procedure and Access to Evidence	202
4.4.3.2.1	General Obligations to Disclose Documents	202
4.4.3.2.2	Articles 843(a) and 1019(a) CCP	202
4.4.3.2.3	Protection of Confidential Information	204
4.4.3.2.4	Evaluation	205
4.4.4	The Binding Nature of the Competition Authority's Decisions	205
4.4.4.1	Introduction	205
4.4.4.2	Dutch Civil Procedure and Its Binding Nature	206
4.4.5	Fault	207
4.4.5.1	Introduction	207
4.4.5.2	Dutch Civil Law and Fault	207
4.4.6	Definition of Damages	208
4.4.6.1	Introduction	208
4.4.6.2	Dutch Civil Law and Damages	210
4.4.6.2.1	Evaluation	210
4.4.7	Availability of the Passing-on Defence	211
4.4.7.1	Introduction	211
4.4.7.2	Dutch Civil Law and the Passing-on Defence	211
4.4.8	Limitation Periods	212
4.4.8.1	Introduction	212
4.4.8.2	Dutch Civil Law and the Limitation Period	213
4.4.9	Costs of Damages Actions	214
4.4.9.1	Introduction	214
4.4.9.2	Dutch Civil Procedural Law and the Costs of Actions for Damages	214
4.4.10	Interaction between Actions for Damages and Leniency Programmes	216
4.4.10.1	Introduction	216
4.4.10.2	Dutch Civil Law and Leniency Programmes	216
4.5	Conclusion	217

Chapter 5

English Civil Procedure and the White Paper Recommendations	219
5.0 Introduction	219
5.1 Collective Actions	222
5.1.1 CPR 19.6 Representative Actions	224
5.1.2 Group Litigation Order (GLO)	227
5.1.3 CAT	228
5.1.3.1 Overall Position with Respect to Representative Actions in English Procedure	231
5.1.3.1.1 Opt-in Collective Action	231
5.1.3.1.2 Opt-in and Opt-out Representative Actions	233
5.1.3.1.3 Possible Reforms that Would Provide Compliance with the Proposal of the WP	233
5.1.3.1.4 Evaluation of the Reform Proposals in Relation to Harmonization and Established Need for Reform	236
5.1.3.2 Standing: Direct and Indirect Purchasers	247
5.2 Binding Effective of Infringement Decisions of the OFT and European Commission	248
5.3 Damages	250
5.3.1 Compensatory Damages	251
5.3.2 Interest	252
5.3.3 Exemplary Damages	253
5.3.4 Restitutionary Damages	254
5.4 Passing-on Overcharges	256
5.5 Disclosure under the CPR and the CAT Rules	260
5.5.1 Confidentiality	264
5.5.2 Pre-action Disclosure	265
5.5.3 Disclosure from Non-parties	266
5.5.4 Failure to Disclose Documents	269
5.5.5 Conclusion	273
5.6 Non-conflicting Decisions	274
5.7 Fault and Non-fault	277
5.7.1 Analysis	280
5.8 Limitation	280
5.8.1 Stand-Alone Actions	280
5.8.2 Follow-on Actions	285
5.9 Costs	286
5.9.1 Costs in the CAT Are Dealt with in the Following Manner by Means of Rule 55	290
5.9.2 Cost Difficulties: Insufficiency of Cost Allocation Rules to Control Costs	293
5.9.2.1 Nature of the Costs Difficulties	

<i>Table of Contents</i>	xiii
Generally in English Litigation	293
5.9.2.2 Aggravation of the Problem of High Costs by the CPR	295
5.9.2.2.1 Conditional Fees, Success Fees, and the Operation of the Indemnity Rules	296
5.9.2.3 Judicial Case Management and Effect upon Costs	300
5.9.2.3.1 Costs Estimates: Cost Capping	300
5.9.2.3.2 Economic Definition of the Problem of High Costs in English Litigation	305
5.9.2.3.3 European Commission and Legal Costs: Professional Services	307
5.9.2.3.4 Conclusion and Possible Solution	310
5.10 Leniency	315
5.10.1 CAT Rules	319
5.11 Summary Conclusion of the English Rules of Procedure in Relation to the Commission Proposals	323
Chapter 6	
Conclusion	327
6.0 Overview	327
6.0.1 Paucity of Damages Actions, Procedural Obstacles, and an Empirical Examination of Their Existence	328
6.0.2 Examination of Working Hypotheses in the WP and the SWP	332
6.0.3 Choice of Correct Measure of Procedure	339
6.0.3.1 Costs	339
6.0.3.2 Court-Appointed Experts	346
6.0.3.3 Overall Conclusion as to the Lack of Need of Harmonization and Inappropriate Choice of Certain Rules of National Procedure for Possible Harmonization	350
6.1 National Implementation	351
6.2 Impact on Dutch Rules of Civil Procedure	353
6.3 Impact on the English Rules of Civil Procedure	354
Bibliography	357
Annex 1	
White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules	365