
# Pieces of the Personality



Readings in Theory and Research

THIRD EDITION

DAVID C. FUNDER DANIEL J. OZER



READINGS IN THEORY AND RESEARCH
THIRD EDITION

W. W. Norton & Company has been independent since its founding in 1923, when William Warder Norton and Mary D. Herter Norton first published lectures delivered at the People's Institute, the adult education division of New York City's Cooper Union. The Nortons soon expanded their program beyond the Institute, publishing books by celebrated academics from America and abroad. By mid-century, the two major pillars of Norton's publishing program—trade books and college texts—were firmly established. In the 1950s, the Norton family transferred control of the company to its employees, and today—with a staff of four hundred and a comparable number of trade, college, and professional titles published each year—W. W. Norton & Company stands as the largest and oldest publishing house owned wholly by its employees.

Copyright © 2004, 2001, 1997 by W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America

Manufacturing by Quebecor World—Fairfield Division.

Book design by Joan Greenfield.

Production manager: Ben Reynolds.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Pieces of the personality puzzle : readings in theory and research / [edited by] David C. Funder,
Daniel J. Ozer.— 3rd ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references. ISBN 0-393-97997-0 (pbk.)

1. Personality. I. Funder, David Charles. II. Ozer, Daniel J.

BF698.P525 2004 155.2—dc22

2003070245

W. W. Norton & Company, Inc., 500 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10110
www.wwnorton.com

W. W. Norton & Company Ltd., Castle House, 75/76 Wells Street, London W1T 3QT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

Theory and research in personality psychology address the ways in which people are different from one another, the relations between body and mind, how people think (consciously and unconsciously), what people want (consciously and unconsciously), and what people do. Personality is the broadest, most all-encompassing part of psychology.

This breadth of relevance is personality psychology's greatest attraction, but it also makes good work in this field difficult to do. Nearly all personality psychologists have therefore chosen to limit their approach in some way, by focusing on particular phenomena they deem of special interest, and more or less neglecting everything else. A group of psychologists who focus on the same basic phenomena could be said to be working within the same "paradigm," or following the same "basic approach."

The articles in this reader are organized by the basic approaches they follow. The first section presents articles that describe and discuss the research methods used by personality psychologists. The second section includes articles relevant to the trait approach, the approach that concentrates on the conceptualization and measurement of individual differences in personality. The third section presents articles that follow the biological approach, and attempt to connect the biology of the body and nervous system with the processes of emotion, thought, and behavior. The fourth section presents classic and modern research from the psychoanalytic approach, which considers (among other things) unconscious processes of the mind based, ultimately, on the writings of Sigmund Freud. The fifth section presents some examples from the humanistic approach, which focuses on experience, free will, and the meaning of life, and in recent years has branched into a "positive psychology" movement that tries to find and enhance the good things in life. Articles in the sixth section consider the constancy and variability of personality across different cultures. Articles in the seventh section trace the way the behavioristic approach developed into social learning theory and the modern social-cognitive approaches to personality, which in recent years has spurred a reexamination of that classic topic in psychology: the unconscious mind.

There is no substitute for reading original work in a field to appreciate its content and its style. But assembling a reader such as this does entail certain difficulties, and requires strategic choices. As the editors we chose, first of all, to be representative rather than exhaustive in our coverage of the domain of personality psychology. While we believe the most important areas of personality are represented by an exemplar or two in what follows, no topic is truly covered in depth. We hope readers who become seriously interested will use the reference sections that follow each article to guide their further reading.

A second choice was to search for articles most likely to be interesting to an audience that does *not* consist of professionally trained psychologists. At the same time, we tried to ensure that many of the most prominent personality psychologists of this century were represented. In some cases, this meant selecting a prominent psychologist's most accessible—rather than by some definition most "important"—writing.

A third decision—made reluctantly—was to excerpt nearly all of these articles. Most of the articles that follow are, in their original form, much longer. We tried to be judicious in our editing. We removed passages that would be uninformative to a non-professional reader, digressions, and treatments of issues beside the main point of each article. We have marked all changes to the original text; three asterisks centered on a blank line mark the omission of a complete paragraph or section, while three asterisks run into the text indicate that sentences within that paragraph have been omitted.

We probably should mention here one other thing that a reader might notice. Prior to about 1970, it was conventional to use the pronoun "he" to refer to both males and females. This practice is followed in some of the older selections in this volume, and we have left them intact. Current guidelines of the American Psychological Association (APA, 2001) require that "he or she" or similar inclusive constructions be used.

Most articles have footnotes. A few of these are by the original authors (we have indicated which these are) but we deleted most author footnotes. We added many footnotes of our own. These define bits of jargon, explain references to other research, and—when we couldn't help ourselves—provide editorial commentary.

Each section begins with an introduction that describes the articles to follow and lays out their sequence. Each article is preceded by a brief essay outlining what we see as its take-home message and some issues we believe readers should consider.

Finally, this volume contains a few surprises. Readers will find an excerpt from a nineteenth-century textbook in "physiognomy," a commentary from the *New York Times*, and a satire by Gloria Steinem. These were not written by psychologists, but we believe they are of interest and shed a unique kind of light on their topics.

Though it is divided into seven rather than six parts, the reader follows the

same organization as Funder's (2004) textbook, *The Personality Puzzle* (3rd ed.), and some of the research referred to in that book will be found here. However, one does not need to use that text to use this reader; the two books are largely independent and this reader was designed to be useful in conjunction with almost any textbook—or even by itself. The reader includes representative writings in method, theory, and research—the three staples of any good personality course.

#### Changes in the Third Edition

Roughly a third of the articles are new to the Third Edition. In particular, research in the biological, cross-cultural, and cognitive approaches to personality is so active and changing so rapidly that we felt compelled to add new and upto-date examples of research in those fields. We have also added other articles we thought illustrated important and interesting aspects of the various approaches to personality psychology, and removed some articles that appeared seldom to be assigned to students or that have simply become out-of-date. We also reincluded a couple of articles from the First Edition that did not appear in the Second Edition, including Funder's article on "global traits" and Gloria Steinem's scathing and sarcastic attack on Freud's sexism. This latter piece we can truly say reappears by popular demand: for many readers of the First Edition it was their favorite article, and we are pleased to re-include it here.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many individuals assisted this project in a number of ways. For the First Edition, useful suggestions were provided by Jana Spain of Highpoint University, Susan Krauss Whitbourne of the University of Massachusetts (Amherst), Andrew J. Tomarken of Vanderbilt University, and Brian C. Hayden of Brown University. As we prepared the Second and Third Editions, we prowled many Web sites of courses around the world that used this book, trying to learn which chapters were really being assigned for students to read, and also received informal advice from many colleagues. We made adjustments accordingly in the Third Edition and thank the instructors who used this book for their explicit and implicit guidance.

For the First Edition, Liz Suhay of W. W. Norton assembled the manuscript and gathered copyright permissions, and April Lange, the editor, was an important source of organization and guidance. For the Second Edition, Mary Babcock copyedited and organized the manuscript, and Anne Hellman copyedited the Third. The original idea for a book of readings to accompany Funder's *Personality Puzzle* came from Don Fusting, a former Norton editor. We are grateful to all these individuals, and also to the authors who graciously and generously allowed us to edit and reproduce their work.

## CONTENTS

PREFACE xi

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . xv

#### Part I

#### Research Methods 1

Dan P. McAdams What Do We Know When We Know a Person? 3

Robert Rosenthal and A SIMPLE, GENERAL-PURPOSE DISPLAY OF MAGNITUDE OF Donald B. Rubin Experimental Effect 15

Lee J. Cronbach and Construct Validity in Psychological Tests 19
Paul E. Meehl

Samuel D. Gosling, Oliver Do People Know How They Behave? Self-Reported Act
P. John, Kenneth H. Craik, and Richard W. Robins 28

#### Part II

### The Trait Approach to Personality 41

Jonathan Rauch Caring for Your Introvert 43

William F. Chaplin, Jeffrey
B. Phillips, Jonathan
D. Brown, Nancy R.
Clanton, and Jennifer L. Stein

Gordon W. Allport What Is a Trait of Personality? 56

Walter Mischel Consistency and Specificity in Behavior 60

Jack Block Some Reasons for the Apparent Inconsistency of

Personality 74

Douglas T. Kenrick and Profiting from Controversy: Lessons from the Person-

David C. Funder SITUATION DEBATE 77

Robert R. McCrae and A Five-Factor Theory of Personality 94

Paul T. Costa Jr.

David C. Funder Global Traits: A Neo-Allportian Approach to

Personality 106

## Part III

#### Biological Approaches to Personality 119

Samuel R. Wells The Temperaments 121

James M. Dabbs Jr., Testosterone Differences Among College Fraternities:

Marian F. Hargrove, and Well-Behaved vs. Rambunctious 128

William M. Kelley, C. Neil Finding the Self? An Event-Related FMRI Study 135

Macrae, Carrie L. Wyland, Selin Cagler, Sara Inati, and

Todd F. Heatherton

Regan A. R. Gurung, and

John A. Updegraff

Colleen Heusel

Shelley E. Taylor, Laura BIOBEHAVIORAL RESPONSES TO STRESS IN FEMALES: TEND-AND-

Cousino Klein, Brian P. Lewis, Befriend, Not Fight-or-Flight 143

Tara L. Gruenewald,

Peter Borkenau, Rainer Genetic and Environmental Influences on Observed Riemann, Alois Angleitner, Personality: Evidence from the German Observational Study

and Frank M. Spinath of Adult Twins 165

David M. Buss, Randy J. Sex Differences in Jealousy: Evolution, Physiology, and

Larsen, Drew Westen, and PSYCHOLOGY 176
Jennifer Semmelroth

Martie G. Haselton The Sexual Overperception Bias: Evidence of a Systematic Bias in Men from a Survey of Naturally Occurring Events 183

Alice H. Eagly and The Origins of Sex Differences in Human Behavior: Evolved Wendy Wood Dispositions Versus Social Roles 192

Daryl J. Bem Exotic Becomes Erotic: A Developmental Theory of Sexual Orientation 211

#### Part IV

# The Psychoanalytic Approach to Personality 231

Sigmund Freud Lecture XXXI: The Dissection of the Psychical Personality 233

Sigmund Freud Lecture III: Parapraxes 242

Carl Jung Psychological Types 251

Karen Horney The Distrust Between the Sexes 256

Erik Erikson Eight Stages of Man 262

Roy F. Baumeister, Karen Dale, Freudian Defense Mechanisms and Empirical Findings in

and Kristin L. Sommer Modern Social Psychology: Reaction Formation, Projection,

DISPLACEMENT, UNDOING, ISOLATION, SUBLIMATION, AND

DENIAL 271

Brad J. Bushman Does Venting Anger Feed or Extinguish the Flame?

CATHARSIS, RUMINATION, DISTRACTION, ANGER, AND AGGRESSIVE

RESPONDING 286

Gloria Steinem Womb Envy, Testyria, and Breast Castration Anxiety: What

If Freud Were Female? 296

#### Part V

## Humanistic Approach to Personality 303

Jean-Paul Sartre The Humanism of Existentialism 305
Abraham H. Maslow A Theory of Human Motivation 313

Carl R. Rogers Some Observations on the Organization of Personality 324

Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi If We Are So Rich, Why Aren't We Happy? 336

Sonja Lyubomirsky Why Are Some People Happier Than Others? The Role of Cognitive and Motivational Processes in Well-Being 346

Julie K. Norem and The Positive Psychology of Negative Thinking 358

Edward C. Chang

## Part VI

#### Cross-Cultural Approaches to Personality 367

Elisabeth Kallick Dyssegaard The Danes Call It Fresh Air 369

Harry C. Triandis The Self and Social Behavior in Differing Cultural Contexts 371

Hazel Rose Markus and Shinobu Kitayama

A COLLECTIVE FEAR OF THE COLLECTIVE: IMPLICATIONS FOR SELVES AND THEORIES OF SELVES 387

. Batja Mesquita

EMOTIONS IN COLLECTIVIST AND INDIVIDUALIST CONTEXTS 400

Eunkook M. Suh

CULTURE, IDENTITY CONSISTENCY, AND SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING 410

Verónica Benet-Martínez and Oliver P. John

TOWARD THE DEVELOPMENT OF QUASI-INDIGENOUS PERSONALITY
CONSTRUCTS: MEASURING LOS CINCO GRANDES IN SPAIN WITH INDIGENOUS CASTILIAN MARKERS 429

#### Part VII

# Behavioral, Social Learning, and Cognitive Approaches to Personality 441

B. F. Skinner Why Organisms Behave 445

Albert Bandura, Dorothea IM Ross, and Sheila A. Ross

IMITATION OF FILM-MEDIATED AGGRESSIVE MODELS 453

Albert Bandura The Self System in Reciprocal Determinism 462

PERSONALITY COHERENCE AND DISPOSITIONS IN A COGNITIVE-Walter Mischel AFFECTIVE PERSONAITY SYSTEM (CAPS) APPROACH 475 Catherine A. Sanderson CREATING SATISFACTION IN STEADY DATING RELATIONSHIPS: THE ROLE OF PERSONAL GOALS AND SITUATIONAL and Nancy Cantor AFFORDANCES 491 Using the Implicit Association Test to Measure Self-Esteem Anthony G. Greenwald and Shelly D. Farnham AND SELF-CONCEPT 502 Jens B. Asendorpf, Rainer DOUBLE DISSOCIATION BETWEEN IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT Banse, and Daniel Mücke PERSONALITY SELF-CONCEPT: THE CASE OF SHY BEHAVIOR 519 Stanley B. Klein, SELF-KNOWLEDGE OF AN AMNESIAC PATIENT: TOWARD A NEUROPSYCHOLOGY OF PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL Judith Loftus, and Psychology 538 John F. Kihlstrom

REFERENCES FOR EDITORS' NOTES 551

CREDITS 553

## Research Methods

How do you learn something that nobody has ever known before? This is the question of "research methods," the strategies and techniques that are used to obtain new knowledge. The knowledge of interest for personality psychology is knowledge about people, so for this field the question of research methods translates into a concern with the ways in which one can learn more about a person. These include techniques for measuring an individual's personality traits as well as his or her thoughts, motivations, emotions, and goals.

Personality psychologists have a long tradition of being particularly interested in and sophisticated about research methods. Over the years, they have developed new sources of data, invented innovative statistical techniques, and even provided some important advances in the philosophy of science. The selections in this section address some critical issues that arise when considering the methods one might use to learn more about people.

The opening selection, by Dan McAdams, asks, "What do we know when we know a person?" The article presents an introduction to and comparison of the various conceptual units—ranging from traits to the holistic meaning of life—that personality psychologists have used to describe and understand people.

The second selection, by Robert Rosenthal and Donald Rubin, concerns a particular statistic that is unavoidable by any reader of personality research—the correlation coefficient. Despite its ubiquity, this statistic is frequently misunderstood, and in particular, the effects it describes are often underestimated. For example, if someone tells you they have obtained a correlation between a trait and behavior equal to .32, is this big or little? For reasons Rosenthal and Rubin explain, the answer is "pretty big."

The third selection is one of the unquestioned, all-time classics of psychological methodology, and absolutely required reading for any psychologist. The article by Lee Cronbach and Paul Meehl concerns "construct validity," or the issue of how one determines whether a test of personality (or any other attribute) really measures what it is supposed to.

#### 2 · PIECES OF THE PERSONALITY PUZZLE

Personality psychology is now moving away from its former nearly exclusive reliance on self-report personality assessments, to include other methods such as online coding of videotaped behavior. In the final selection, Samuel Gosling and his colleagues demonstrate how a comparison between self-reports and observers' reports of behavior can illustrate not only the relative validity of each kind of data but also interesting psychological processes, such as self-enhancement, that produce discrepancies between different sources of data.

# WHAT DO WE KNOW WHEN WE KNOW A PERSON?

#### Dan P. McAdams

Personality psychology is all about understanding individuals better. In this first selection, the personality psychologist Dan McAdams asks one of the fundamental questions about this enterprise, which is: when we learn about a person, what is it we learn? He begins by describing the kind of personality psychology that nonpsychologists (or psychologists when off duty) frequently practice: discussing an individual that one has just met. In such discussions, the individual is often considered at several different levels, ranging from surface descriptions of behavior to inferences about deeper motivations.

The challenge for professional personality psychologists, McAdams argues, is to become at least as sophisticated as amateur psychologists by taking into account aspects of individuals at multiple levels. In his own work, McAdams collects life stories and tries to understand individuals in holistic terms. He is a critic of the more dominant approach that characterizes individuals in terms of their personality traits. However, in this well-balanced article we see McAdams attempt to integrate the various levels of personality description into a complete portrait of what we know when we know a person.

From Journal of Personality, 63, 365-396, 1995.

ne of the great social rituals in the lives of middle-class American families is "the drive home." The ritual comes in many different forms, but the idealized scene that I am now envisioning involves my wife and me leaving the dinner party sometime around midnight, getting into our car, and, finding nothing worth listening to on the radio, beginning our traditional postparty postmortem. Summoning up all of the personological wisdom and nuance I can muster at the moment, I may start off with something like, "He

was really an ass." Or adopting the more "relational" mode that psychologists such as Gilligan (1982) insist comes more naturally to women than men, my wife may say something like, "I can't believe they stay married to each other." It's often easier to begin with the cheap shots. As the conversation develops, however, our attributions become more detailed and more interesting. We talk about people we liked as well as those we found offensive. There is often a single character who stands out from the party—the person we

found most intriguing, perhaps; or the one who seemed most troubled; maybe the one we would like to get to know much better in the future. In the scene I am imagining, let us call that person "Lynn" and let us consider what my wife and I might say about her as we drive home in the dark.

I sat next to Lynn at dinner. For the first 15 minutes, she dominated the conversation at our end of the table with her account of her recent trip to Mexico where she was doing research for an article to appear in a national magazine. Most of the people at the party knew that Lynn is a freelance writer whose projects have taken her around the world, and they asked her many questions about her work and her travels. Early on, I felt awkward and intimidated in Lynn's presence. I have never been to Mexico; I was not familiar with her articles; I felt I couldn't keep up with the fast tempo of her account, how she moved quickly from one exotic tale to another. Add to this the fact that she is a strikingly attractive woman, about 40 years old with jet black hair, dark eyes, a seemingly flawless complexion, clothing both flamboyant and tasteful, and one might be able to sympathize with my initial feeling that she was, in a sense, "just too much."

My wife formed a similar first impression earlier in the evening when she engaged Lynn in a lengthy conversation on the patio. But she ended up feeling much more positive about Lynn as they shared stories of their childhoods. My wife mentioned that she was born in Tokyo during the time her parents were Lutheran missionaries in Japan. Lynn remarked that she had great admiration for missionaries "because they really believe in something." Then she remarked: "I've never really believed in anything very strongly, nothing to get real passionate about. Neither did my parents, except for believing in us kids. They probably believed in us kids too much." My wife immediately warmed up to Lynn for this disarmingly intimate comment. It was not clear exactly what she meant, but Lynn seemed more vulnerable now, and more mysterious.

I eventually warmed up to Lynn, too. As she

and I talked about politics and our jobs, she seemed less brash and domineering than before. She seemed genuinely interested in my work as a personality psychologist who, among other things, collects people's life stories. She had been a psychology major in college. And lately she had been reading a great many popular psychology books on such things as Jungian archetypes, the "child within," and "addictions to love." As a serious researcher and theorist, I must confess that I have something of a visceral prejudice against many of these self-help, "New Age" books. Still, I resisted the urge to scoff at her reading list and ended up enjoying our conversation very much. I did notice, though, that Lynn filled her wine glass about twice as often as I did mine. She never made eye contact with her husband, who was sitting directly across the table from her, and twice she said something sarcastic in response to a story he was

Over the course of the evening, my wife and I learned many other things about Lynn. On our drive home we noted the following:

- 1. Lynn was married once before and has two children by her first husband.
- 2. The children, now teenagers, currently live with her first husband rather than with her; she didn't say how often she sees them.
- 3. Lynn doesn't seem to like President Clinton and is very critical of his excessively "liberal" policies; but she admires his wife, Hillary, who arguably is more liberal in her views; we couldn't pin a label of conservative or liberal to Lynn because she seemed to contradict herself on political topics.
- 4. Lynn hates jogging and rarely exercises; she claims to eat a lot of "junk food"; she ate very little food at dinner.
- 5. Lynn says she is an atheist.
- 6. Over the course of the evening, Lynn's elegant demeanor and refined speech style seemed to give way to a certain crudeness; shortly before we left, my wife heard her telling an off-color joke, and I noticed that she seemed to lapse into a street-smart Chi-

cago dialect that one often associates with growing up in the toughest neighborhoods.

As we compared our notes on Lynn during the drive home, my wife and I realized that we learned a great deal about Lynn during the evening, and that we were eager to learn more. But what is it that we thought we now knew about her? And what would we need to know to know her better? In our social ritual, my wife and I were enjoying the rather playful exercise of trying to make sense of persons. In the professional enterprise of personality psychology, however, making sense of persons is or should be the very raison d'être of the discipline. From the time of Allport (1937) and Murray (1938), through the anxious days of the "situationist" critique (Bowers, 1973; Mischel, 1968), and up to the present, upbeat period wherein we celebrate traits1 (John, 1990; Wiggins, 1996) while we offer a sparkling array of new methods and models for personality inquiry (see, for example, McAdams, 1994a; Ozer & Reise, 1994; Revelle, 1995), making sense of persons was and is fundamentally what personality psychologists are supposed to do, in the lab, in the office, even on the drive home. But how should we do it?

#### Making Sense of Persons

Since the time of Allport, Cattell, and Murray, personality psychologists have offered a number of different schemes for describing persons. For example, McClelland (1951) proposed that an adequate account of personality requires assessments of stylistic traits (e.g., extraversion, friendliness), cognitive schemes (e.g., personal constructs, val-

ues, frames), and dynamic motives (e.g., the need for achievement, power motivation). In the wake of Mischel's (1968) critique of personality dispositions, many personality psychologists eschewed broadband constructs such as traits and motives in favor of more domain-specific variables, like "encoding strategies," "self-regulatory systems and plans," and other "cognitive social learning person variables" (Mischel, 1973). By contrast, the 1980s and 1990s have witnessed a strong comeback for the concept of the broad, dispositional trait, culminating in what many have argued is a consensus around the five-factor model of personality traits (Digman, 1990; Goldberg, 1993; McCrae & Costa, 1996). Personality psychologists such as A. H. Buss (1989) have essentially proclaimed that personality is traits and only traits. Others are less sanguine, however, about the ability of the Big Five trait taxonomy in particular and the concept of trait in general to provide all or even most of the right stuff for personality inquiry (Block, 1995; Briggs, 1989; Emmons, 1993; McAdams, 1992, 1994b; Pervin, 1994).

Despite the current popularity of the trait concept, I submit that I will never be able to render Lynn "knowable" by relying solely on a description of her personality traits. At the same time, a description that failed to consider traits would be equally inadequate. Trait descriptions are essential both for social rituals like the post-party postmortem and for adequate personological inquiry. A person cannot be known without knowing traits. But knowing traits is not enough. Persons should be described on at least three separate and, at best, loosely related levels of functioning. The three may be viewed as levels of comprehending individuality amidst otherness—how the person is similar to and different from some (but not all) other persons. Each level offers categories and frameworks for organizing individual differences among persons. Dispositional traits comprise the first level in this scheme—the level that deals primarily with what I have called (McAdams, 1992, 1994b) a "psychology of the stranger."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The reference here is to the "person-situation debate" that dominated personality psychology from 1968 to 1988. The debate was about whether the most important causes of behavior were properties of people or of the situations they find themselves in. The "situationist" viewpoint was that situations were more important. As McAdams notes, the eventual resolution of this controversy reaffirmed the importance—but not all-importance—of stable individual differences in personality (traits) as important determinants of behavior.