




WORLD TRADE
ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports 2009
Volume IV: Pages 1617 to 2094

2009



CAMBRIDGE

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2009

Volume IV

Pages 1617-2094



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo,
Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107007642

© World Trade Organization 2003, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and
to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-00764-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy
of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication,
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
accurate or appropriate.

THE WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

The *Dispute Settlement Reports* of the World Trade Organization (the "WTO") include panel and Appellate Body reports, as well as arbitration awards, in disputes concerning the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization*. The *Dispute Settlement Reports* are available in English. Volumes comprising one or more complete cases contain a cumulative list of published disputes. The cumulative list for cases that cover more than one volume is to be found in the first volume for that case.

This volume may be cited as DSR 2009:IV

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

United States - Continued Existence and Application of Zeroing Methodology (WT/DS350)

Report of the Panel - Annexes	1619
-------------------------------------	------

UNITED STATES - CONTINUED EXISTENCE AND APPLICATION OF ZEROING METHODOLOGY

Report of the Panel WT/DS350/R

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
on 19 February 2009
as Modified by the Appellate Body Report*

ANNEX A

FIRST WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS BY THE PARTIES

	Contents	Page
Annex A-1	First Written Submission of the European Communities	1624
Annex A-2	First Written Submission of the United States	1720
Annex A-3	Response to the United States' Request for Preliminary Rulings by the European Communities	1781

ANNEX B

THIRD PARTIES' WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

	Contents	Page
Annex B-1	Third Party Written Submission of Japan	1801
Annex B-2	Third Party Written Submission of the Republic of Korea	1829
Annex B-3	Third Party Written Submission of Norway	1836
Annex B-4	Third Party Written Submission of the Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu	1852
Annex B-5	Third Party Written Submission of Thailand	1859

ANNEX C**SECOND WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS BY
THE PARTIES**

Contents	Page
Annex C-1 Second Written Submission of the European Communities	1863
Annex C-2 Second Written Submission of the United States	1938

ANNEX D**ORAL STATEMENTS OF THE PARTIES AND THIRD PARTIES
AT THE FIRST AND SECOND MEETINGS OF THE PANEL**

Contents	Page
Annex D-1 Opening Statement of the United States at the First Meeting of the Panel	1976
Annex D-2 Opening Statement of the European Communities at the First Meeting of the Panel	1987
Annex D-3 Closing Statement of the United States at the First Meeting of the Panel	1994
Annex D-4 Closing Statement of the European Communities at the First Meeting of the Panel	1998
Annex D-5 Third Party Oral Statement of Brazil at the First Meeting of the Panel	2000
Annex D-6 Third Party Oral Statement of India at the First Meeting of the Panel	2007
Annex D-7 Third Party Oral Statement of Japan at the First Meeting of the Panel	2009
Annex D-8 Third Party Oral Statement of Korea at the First Meeting of the Panel	2012
Annex D-9 Third Party Oral Statement of Mexico at the First Meeting of the Panel	2015
Annex D-10 Third Party Oral Statement of Norway at the First Meeting of the Panel	2020
Annex D-11 Third Party Oral Statement of the Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu at the First Meeting of the Panel	2024

Contents	Page
Annex D-12 Opening Statement of the European Communities at the Second Meeting of the Panel	2026
Annex D-13 Opening Statement of the United States at the Second Meeting of the Panel	2027
Annex D-14 Closing Statement of the European Communities at the Second Meeting of the Panel	2039
Annex D-15 Closing Statement of the United States at the Second Meeting of the Panel	2040

ANNEX E

PARTIES' COMMENTS ON THE APPELLATE BODY REPORT IN *US – STAINLESS STEEL (MEXICO)* (DS344)

Contents	Page
Annex E-1 Comments of the European Communities on the Appellate Body Report in <i>US – Stainless Steel (Mexico)</i> (DS344)	2042
Annex E-2 Comments of the United States on the Appellate Body Report in <i>US – Stainless Steel (Mexico)</i> (DS344)	2044
Annex E-3 Comments of the European Communities on the United States' Comments on the Appellate Body Report in <i>US – Stainless Steel (Mexico)</i> (DS344)	2073
Annex E-4 Comments of the United States on the Comments of the European Communities on the Appellate Body Report in <i>US – Stainless Steel (Mexico)</i> (DS344)	2077

ANNEX F

REQUEST FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PANEL

Contents	Page
Annex F-1 Request for the Establishment of a Panel by the European Communities	2080

ANNEX A**FIRST WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS BY THE PARTIES**

Contents		Page
Annex A-1	First Written Submission of the European Communities	1624
Annex A-2	First Written Submission of the United States	1720
Annex A-3	Response to the United States' Request for Preliminary Rulings by the European Communities	1781

ANNEX A-1

FIRST WRITTEN SUBMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1630
II. FACTS	1631
A. Original Investigations.....	1631
1. Imposition of anti-dumping duties.....	1631
2. Method of comparing normal value and export price...	1632
3. Model Zeroing	1633
B. Administrative Reviews.....	1634
1. Calculation of Exporter-Specific Dumping Margins and Importer-Specific Assessment Rates.....	1636
2. Method of comparing normal value and export price...	1637
3. Simple zeroing	1637
C. Sunset Reviews	1638
D. Measures at Issue	1639
1. Continued application of anti-dumping duties which exceed the dumping margin which would result from the correct application of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1640
2. The zeroing methodology as applied in 52 anti- dumping proceedings, including original investigations, administrative review and sunset review proceedings	1641
III. CLAIMS AND ARGUMENTS	1642
A. Zeroing: a Methodology "as such" and "as applied" Prohibited by WTO Rules.....	1642
1. Model Zeroing in Original Investigations.....	1643
2. Simple Zeroing in Administrative Reviews.....	1645
3. Zeroing in Sunset Reviews	1646
4. Conclusions.....	1647
B. Role of the Precedent: Value of the Appellate Body Reports	1647
1. Introduction.....	1648

2.	Reliance on Previous Case-Law in National and International Legal Systems	1649
(a)	Principle of Consistency and Predictability of Jurisprudence	1649
(b)	Are decisions of higher courts binding on lower courts?	1651
3.	The WTO dispute settlement system.....	1653
(a)	Purpose of the WTO dispute settlement system: security and predictability	1653
(b)	The Appellate Body in the WTO dispute settlement system	1653
4.	Precedential value of case law of the Appellate Body	1654
5.	Precedential value of Appellate Body reports on zeroing.....	1656
6.	Conclusions.....	1657
C.	Continued Application in 18 Anti-Dumping Measures of Anti-Dumping Duties at a Level in Excess of the Dumping Margin Which Would Result from the Correct Application of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1658
1.	The Measures at Issue	1658
2.	Violation of Articles 2.4, 2.4.2, 9.3, 11.1, 11.3 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement and Articles VI:1 and VI:2 of the GATT 1994	1662
3.	Violation of Article XVI:4 of the Agreement Establishing the WTO	1662
4.	Conclusions.....	1665
D.	The Zeroing Methodology as Applied in 52 Anti-Dumping Proceedings, Including Original Investigations, Administrative Review and Sunset Review Proceedings	1665
1.	Original Investigations	1665
1.1	Purified Carboxymethylcellulose – Netherlands (A-421-811).....	1666
(a)	The Measure at issue.....	1666
(b)	Violation of Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-umping Agreement.....	1667
(i)	"Dumping" and "margins of dumping" are determined with respect to a product as a whole	1668

	(ii)	The United States failed to establish the margin of dumping with respect to the product concerned as a whole.....	1671
(c)		Violation of Article 2.4 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1671
	(i)	"Fair comparison": an independent and overarching obligation.....	1672
	(ii)	"Fair comparison": a general obligation.....	1673
	(iii)	Unfairness of the model zeroing comparison method used by the United States	1673
	(iv)	Existing case-law confirms United States model zeroing unfair	1674
	(v)	The United States failed to carry out a fair comparison.....	1677
(d)		Conclusions	1677
1.2		Other Measures at Issue	1677
1.3		Conclusions.....	1678
2.		Administrative Reviews.....	1678
2.1		Ball Bearings from Italy (A-475-801)	1678
	(a)	The measure at issue.....	1678
	(b)	Violation of Articles 2.1, 2.4, 2.4.2 and 9.3 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1681
	(i)	The duty must not exceed the margin of dumping as determined with respect to the product as a whole.....	1682
	(ii)	The duty must not exceed the dumping margin established in accordance with the fair comparison requirement under Article 2.4 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1683
	(iii)	Violation of Article 2.4.2 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1687
	(1)	Interpretation of the term "the existence of margins of dumping during the investigation phase"	1688
	(2)	Context of Article 2.4.2 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1689
	(3)	Administrative reviews must comply with the requirements of	

	Article 2.4.2 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1690
	(iv) Conclusions	1691
(c)	Violation of Article 11.2 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1691
(d)	Conclusions.....	1694
2.2	Other Measures at Issue	1694
2.3	Conclusions.....	1694
3.	Sunset Reviews	1694
3.1	Stainless Steel Sheet & Strip in Coils – Italy (A-475-824)	1695
(a)	The Measure at issue.....	1695
(b)	Violation of Articles 2.1, 2.4 and 2.4.2 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1697
(i)	Dumping margins used in sunset reviews must be determined with respect to a product as a whole.....	1697
(ii)	Dumping margins used in sunset reviews must conform to the disciplines of Article 2.4 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1698
(c)	Violation of Articles 11.1 and 11.3 of the <i>Anti-Dumping Agreement</i>	1699
(d)	Conclusions.....	1700
3.2	Other Measures at Issue	1700
3.3	Conclusions.....	1700
IV.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION REQUESTED	1701

TABLE OF CASES

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>EC – Bed Linen</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Cotton-Type Bed Linen from India</i> , WT/DS141/R, adopted 12 March 2001, as modified by the Appellate Body Report, WT/DS141/AB/R
<i>EC – Bed Linen</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Cotton-Type Bed Linen from India</i> , WT/DS141/AB/R, adopted 12 March 2001, DSR 2001:V, 2291
<i>EC – Sardines</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Trade Description of Sardines</i> , WT/DS231/AB/R, adopted 23 October 2002
<i>EC – Tube and Pipe Fittings</i>	<i>European Communities – Anti-Dumping Duties on Malleable Cast Iron Tube or Pipe Fittings from Brazil</i> , WT/DS219/R, adopted 18 August 2003, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS219/AB/R, DSR 2003:VII, 2701
<i>Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, and WT/DS11/AB/R (4 October 1996), adopted 1 November 1996
<i>US – OCTG Sunset Reviews</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Sunset Reviews of Anti-Dumping Measures on Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina</i> , WT/DS268/AB/R (29 November 2004), adopted 17 December 2004
<i>US – 1916 Act (EC)</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 – Complaint by the European Communities</i> , WT/DS136/R and Corr.1, adopted 26 September 2000, as upheld by the Appellate Body Report, WT/DS136/AB/R, WT/DS162/AB/R, DSR 2000:X, 4593
<i>US – 1916 Act (Japan)</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 – Complaint by Japan</i> , WT/DS162/R and Add.1, adopted 26 September 2000, as upheld by the Appellate Body Report, WT/DS136/AB/R, WT/DS162/AB/R, DSR 2000:X, 4831

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>US – Corrosion-Resistant Steel Sunset Review</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Sunset Review of Anti-Dumping Duties on Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan</i> , WT/DS244/AB/R, adopted on 9 January 2004
<i>US – Offset Act (Byrd Amendment)</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000</i> , WT/DS217/AB/R, WT/DS234/AB/R, adopted 27 January 2003
<i>US – Hot-Rolled Steel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan</i> , WT/DS184/AB/R, adopted 23 August 2001
<i>US – Section 301 Trade Act</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Sections 301-310 of the Trade Act of 1974</i> , WT/DS152/R (22 December 1999), adopted 27 January 2000
<i>US – Shrimp (Article 21.5 – Malaysia)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Malaysia</i> , WT/DS58/AB/RW, (22 October 2001) adopted 21 November 2001
<i>US – Softwood Lumber V</i>	<i>United States – Final Dumping Determination on Softwood Lumber from Canada</i> , WT/DS264/R, adopted 31 August 2004, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS264/AB/R, DSR 2004:V, 1937
<i>US – Softwood Lumber V</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Final Dumping Determination on Softwood Lumber from Canada</i> , WT/DS264/AB/R, 11 August 2004 adopted 31 August 2004, DSR 2004:V, 1875
<i>US – Lumber V (Article 21.5 – Canada)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Final Dumping Determination on Softwood Lumber from Canada – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Canada</i> , WT/DS264/AB/RW, adopted 1 September 2006
<i>US – Shrimp (Ecuador)</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Measures on Shrimp from Ecuador</i> , WT/DS335/R, circulated to WTO Members 30 January 2007, adopted on 20 February 2007
<i>US – Zeroing (EC)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Laws, Regulations and Methodology for Calculating Dumping Margins ("Zeroing")</i> , WT/DS294/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 9 May 2006