



WATER QUALITY INDICES

Tasneem Abbasi and S. A. Abbasi

WATER QUALITY INDICES

TASNEEM ABBASI

S. A. ABBASI



AMSTERDAM • BOSTON • HEIDELBERG • LONDON
NEW YORK • OXFORD • PARIS • SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TOKYO

Elsevier

The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK
Radarweg 29, PO Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Copyright © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the publisher

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier's Science & Technology Rights Department in Oxford, UK: phone (+44) (0) 1865 843830; fax (+44) (0) 1865 853333; email: permissions@elsevier.com. Alternatively you can submit your request online by visiting the Elsevier web site at <http://elsevier.com/locate/permissions>, and selecting *Obtaining permission to use Elsevier material*

Notice

No responsibility is assumed by the publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN: 978-0-444-54304-2

For information on all **Elsevier** publications
visit our web site at elsevierdirect.com

Printed and bound in Great Britain

12 13 14 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Working together to grow
libraries in developing countries

www.elsevier.com | www.bookaid.org | www.sabre.org

ELSEVIER

BOOK AID
International

Sabre Foundation

Dedicated to

Neelu Aunty, Sophia Aunty, Rubi Aunty, and the memory of Daada - Daadi

-TA

Aapa, Didi, Rubi Behen, and the memory of Daddy and Mummy

-SAA

Foreword

Till as late as the beginning of the current millennium, environmental education was largely confined to postgraduate and doctoral programmes, with only a few undergraduate programmes offering specialisation in environmental studies. But, in recent years, environmental education is increasingly featuring not only in collegiate education but preparatory school level as well. The exceedingly desirable consequence of this happening is that lay persons all over the world are developing familiarity with terms such as air quality, water quality and ecorestoration. Weather reports are no longer confined to temperature, humidity and air speeds but are beginning to speak of levels of particulate matter, NO_x and SO_x .

Given the pivotal role of water in supporting and shaping our existence, it is expected that sooner than later everyone would like to know, in quantifiable terms, how good or bad is the water one uses. One would like to know whether the piped water one gets is turning for better or worse and, all aspects considered, whether Brand A of bottled drinking water is *quantifiably* superior to Brand B. Awareness towards the overall water-quality situation of a town, a city, a region or a country will also increase. This emerging scenario would necessitate widespread use of water-quality indices (WQIs) because WQIs are the only medium by which the highly multi-attribute and multi-variate concept of water quality can be conveyed to lay persons in the form of a single score.

As has been brought out by the authors in their introductory chapter, WQIs indicate

water quality much in the same manner as the Sensex reflects the level of the Mumbai Stock Market and the Dow Jones Index reflects the status of the New York Stock Market. Even a person with no acquaintance with the intricacies of stock prices and economics can get an idea of the state of economy by these index values. Likewise, the simple figure of the per cent by which a share price index has risen or fallen on a day gives an idea of how thousands of different companies have fared *vis a vis* market capitalisation on that day. WQIs will perform the task of 'measuring' water quality and communicating it to the water users in a similar fashion. We will hear sentences like, "the water quality of our river at the water supply station had fallen to 35 last year; now it has improved to 45 but we are trying to improve it beyond 60 so it goes into the 'very good' class". Or a bottled drinking water supplier announcing, "our water always has a score well above 75 on the National Drinking Water Index".

Given the importance of water in the life of every single living being, not to speak of the veritable 'life-and-death' impact it has on human beings, the importance of this book cannot be overemphasised. That it is the first-ever book on the subject makes its publication an important global event. I congratulate Elsevier for their initiative in publishing this book and wish it great success.

Prof J. A. K. Tareen

*Vice Chancellor,
Pondicherry University*

Contents

Foreword xi

I

WATER QUALITY INDICES BASED PREDOMINANTLY ON PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS

1. Why Water-Quality Indices 3

- 1.1. Introduction 3
- 1.2. Water-Quality Indices (WQIs) 4
- 1.3. Back to Water-Quality Indices (WQIs) 5
- 1.4. The First Modern WQI: Horton's Index 5
- 1.5. More on the Benefits of WQI 6
- 1.6. WQIs Based on Bioassessment 6
- References 7

2. Approaches to WQI Formulation 9

- 2.1. Introduction 9
- 2.2. The Common Steps 9
- 2.3. Parameter Selection 10
- 2.4. Transformation of the Parameters of
Different Units and Dimensions to a
Common Scale: Making Subindices 11
- 2.5. Assignment of Weightages 15
- 2.6. Aggregation of Subindices to Produce a
Final Index 15
- 2.7. Characteristics of Aggregation Models 18
- References 23

3. 'Conventional' Indices for Determining Fitness of Waters for Different Uses 25

- 3.1. General 26
- 3.2. Brown's or the National Sanitation
Foundation's Water-Quality Index
(NSF-WQI) 26

- 3.3. Nemerow and Sumitomo's Pollution
Index 29
- 3.4. Prati's Implicit Index of Pollution 30
- 3.5. Deininger and Landwehr's
PWS Index 31
- 3.6. McDuffie and Haney's River Pollution
Index (RPI) 32
- 3.7. Dinius' Water-Quality Index (1972) 33
- 3.8. O'Connor's Indices 34
- 3.9. Walski and Parker's Index 34
- 3.10. Stoner's Index 35
- 3.11. Bhargava's Index (1983, 1985) 36
- 3.12. Dinius' Second Index 38
- 3.13. Viet and Bhargava's Index (1989) 39
- 3.14. The River Ganga Index of
Ved Prakash et al. 39
- 3.15. Smith's Index (1990) 40
- 3.16. Chesapeake Bay Water-Quality Indices
(Haire et al. 1991) 47
- 3.17. The Aquatic Toxicity Index 47
- 3.18. Li's Regional Water Resource Quality
Assessment Index (1993) 48
- 3.19. A Two-Tier WQI 48
- 3.20. Use of WQI To Assess Pond Water
Quality (Sinha, 1995) 48
- 3.21. Use of WQI to Study Hanuman Lake,
Jabalpur (Dhamija and Jain 1995) 50
- 3.22. Coastal Water-Quality Index for Taiwan
(Shyue et al. 1996) 50
- 3.23. The Modified Oregon Water-Quality Index
(Cude, 2001) 51
- 3.24. The 'Overall Index of Pollution' 52
- 3.25. The Canadian Water-Quality Index
(CCME, 2001) and the Index of
Said et al. (2004) 54
- 3.26. A 'Universal' Water-Quality Index 54
- 3.27. Improved Methods of Aggregation 55
- 3.28. A First-Ever WQI For Vietnam 57
- 3.29. A Comparison 61
- References 61

4. Combating Uncertainties in Index-based Assessment of Water Quality: The Use of More Advanced Statistics, Probability Theory and Artificial Intelligence 63

References 66

5. Indices Based on Relatively Advanced Statistical Analysis of Water-Quality Data 67

- 5.1. Introduction 67
- 5.2. Harkin's Index 68
- 5.3. Beta Function Index 69
- 5.4. An Index with a Multi-pronged ('Mixed') Aggregation Function 69
- 5.5. WQI for Mediterranean Coastal Water of Egypt Based on Principal-Component Analysis 71
- 5.6. WQI for Rio Lerma River 71
- 5.7. A New WQI Based on A Combination of Multivariate Techniques 71
- 5.8. Indices for Liao River Study 74
- 5.9. Water-Quality Index Based on Multivariate Factor Analysis (Coletti et al., 2010) 75
- 5.10. Study of Anthropogenic Impacts on Kandla Creek, India 76
- References 77

6. Water-Quality Indices Based on Fuzzy Logic and Other Methods of Artificial Intelligence 79

- 6.1. Introduction 80
- 6.2. Fuzzy Inference 80
- 6.3. A Primer on Fuzzy Arithmetic 81
- 6.4. Towards Application of Fuzzy Rules in Developing Water-Quality Indices: The Work of Kung et al. (1992) 83
- 6.5. Assessment of Water Quality Using Fuzzy Synthetic Evaluation and Other Approaches Towards Development of Fuzzy Water-Quality Indices 86
- 6.6. Reach of Fuzzy Indices in Environmental Decision-Making 88
- 6.7. A WQI Based on Genetic Algorithm 92
- 6.8. The Fuzzy Water-Quality Index of Ocampo-Duque et al. (2006) 93

- 6.9. ICAGA'S Fuzzy WQI 97
- 6.10. Use of Ordered Weighted Averaging (OWA) Operators for Aggregation 102
- 6.11. Fuzzy Water-Quality Indices for Brazilian Rivers (Lermontov et al., 2008, 2009; Roveda et al., 2010) 105
- 6.12. A Hybrid Fuzzy – Probability WQI 107
- 6.13. An Entropy-Based Fuzzy WQI 109
- 6.14. A Fuzzy River Pollution Decision Support System 112
- 6.15. A Fuzzy Industrial WQI 114
- 6.16. Impact of Stochastic Observation Error and Uncertainty in Water-Quality Evaluation 114
- References 114

7. Probabilistic or Stochastic Water-Quality Indices 119

- 7.1. Introduction 119
- 7.2. A 'Global' Stochastic Index of Water Quality 121
- 7.3. A Modification in the Global Stochastic Index by Cordoba et al. (2010) 124
- References 125

8. 'Planning' or 'Decision-Making' Indices 127

- 8.1. Introduction 128
- 8.2. Water-Quality Management Indices 128
- 8.3. Dee's WQI-Based Environmental Evaluation System 131
- 8.4. Zoeteman's Pollution Potential Index (PPI) 131
- 8.5. Environmental Quality Index Presented by Inhaber (1974) 132
- 8.6. Johanson and Johanson's Pollution Index 134
- 8.7. Ott's NPPI 134
- 8.8. Water-Quality Indices for Operational Management 134
- 8.9. Index to Regulate Water-Management Systems 136
- 8.10. Index to Assess the Impact of Ecoregional, Hydrological and Limnological Factors 136
- 8.11. A Watershed-Quality Index 137
- 8.12. Index for Watershed Pollution Assessment 137

- 8.13. A GIS-Assisted Water-Quality Index for Irrigation Water 137
- 8.14. A System of Indices for Watershed Management 141
- 8.15. A Fuzzy WQI for Water-Quality Assessment of Shrimp Farms 141
- 8.16. An Index to Assess Acceptability of Reclaimed Water for Irrigation 143
- 8.17. An Index for Irrigation Water-Quality Management 143
- 8.18. Index for the Analysis of Data Generated by Automated Sampling (Continuous Monitoring) Networks 144
- 8.19. An Index of Drinking-Water Adequacy for the Asian Countries 147
- 8.20. Indices for the Prediction of Stream of Quality in an Agricultural Setting 148
- 8.21. An Index to Assess Extent of Wastewater Treatment 149
- 8.22. Use of Indices for Prioritising Placement of Water-Quality Buffers to Control Nonpoint Pollution 151
- References 151

9. Indices for Assessing Groundwater Quality 155

- 9.1. Introduction 156
- 9.2. The WQI of Tiwari and Mishra (1985) 156
- 9.3. Another Oft-Used Groundwater-Quality Index Development Procedure 156
- 9.4. Index of Aquifer Water Quality (Melloul and Collin, 1998) 158
- 9.5. Groundwater-Quality Index of Soltan (1999) 159
- 9.6. A Groundwater Contamination Index 160
- 9.7. An Index for Surface Water as well as Groundwater Quality 160
- 9.8. Use of Groundwater-Quality Index, Contamination Index and Contamination Risk Maps for Designing Water-Quality Monitoring Networks 161
- 9.9. Attribute Reduction in Groundwater-Quality Indices Based on Rough Set Theory 163
- 9.10. Index Development Using Correspondence Factor Analysis 163
- 9.11. Indices for Groundwater Vulnerability Assessment 165

- 9.12. Groundwater-Quality Index to Study Impact of Landfills 165
- 9.13. Indices for Optimising Groundwater-Quality Monitoring Network 167
- 9.14. Economic Index of Groundwater Quality Based on the Treatment Cost 168
- 9.15. The Information-Entropy-Based Groundwater WQI of Pei-Yue et al. (2010) 168
- 9.16. A WQI for Groundwater Based on Fuzzy Logic 169
- 9.17. Use of WQI and GIS in Aquifer-Quality Mapping 170
- References 173

10. Water-Quality Indices of USA and Canada 175

- 10.1. Introduction 175
- 10.2. WQIs of Canada 176
- 10.3. WQIs of the USA 180
- 10.4. The WQI of Said et al. (2004) 180
- References 185

11. WQI-Generating Software and a WQI-based Virtual Instrument 187

- 11.1. Introduction 187
- 11.2. The Basic Architecture of Qualidex 187
- Reference 204

II

WATER QUALITY INDICES BASED ON BIOASSESSMENT

12. Water-Quality Indices Based on Bioassessment: An Introduction 207

- 12.1. Introduction 207
- 12.2. Biotic Indices in the Context of the Evolution of Water-Quality Indices 208
- 12.3. Stressor-Based and Response-Based Monitoring Approaches 211
- 12.4. Biotic Indices – General 214
- References 215

13. The Biotic Indices 219

- 13.1. Introduction 220
- 13.2. The Challenge of Finding 'Control' Sites 221
- 13.3. The Cost Associated with the Use
of Biological Assessments of Water 221
- 13.4. Organisms Commonly used in
Bioassessment 222
- 13.5. Biotic Indices for Freshwater and Saline
water Systems Based on
Macroinvertebrates 223
- 13.6. Biotic Indices as Indicators of Water
Safety and Human Health Risks 234
- 13.7. Comparison of Performances of
Different Biotic Indices 235
- 13.8. Biotic Indices and Developing Countries 239
- 13.9. Limitations of Biotic Indices 239
- 13.10. WQIs and BIs: An Overview 239
- References 241

14. Indices of Biological Integrity or the Multi-metric Indices 249

- 14.1. Introduction 250
- 14.2. The First IBI (KARR, 1981) 251
- 14.3. The Driver—Pressure—Stress—Impact—Response
(DPSIR) Paradigm and The IBI 254
- 14.4. Illustrative Examples of IBI
Development 262
- 14.5. Overview of IBIs Based on Different
Taxa 288
- 14.6. IBIs for Different Aquatic Systems 301
- 14.7. Inter-IBI Comparison 304

- 14.8. The Present and the Future of IBI 314
14.9. The Now Well-Recognised Attributes of IBI 321
14.10. The Shortcomings of IBI 322
References 324

15. Multivariate Approaches for Bioassessment of Water Quality 337

- 15.1. Introduction 337
- 15.2. Rivpacs 338
- 15.3. Variants of Rivpacs 341
- 15.4. The Multivariate Approaches and the IBI 345
- References 348

III

LOOKING BACK, LOOKING AHEAD

16. Water-Quality Indices: Looking Back,
-
- Looking Ahead 353

- 16.1. Introduction 353
16.2. The Best WQI? 354
16.3. The Path Ahead 355
16.4. The Last Word 355
References 356

Index 357



P A R T I

WATER QUALITY INDICES BASED PREDOMINANTLY ON PHYSICO- CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Why Water-Quality Indices* 3
2. *Approaches to WQI Formulation* 9
3. *'Conventional' Indices for Determining Fitness of Waters for Different Uses* 25
4. *Combating Uncertainties in Index-based Assessment of Water Quality: The Use of More Advanced Statistics, Probability Theory, and Artificial Intelligence* 63
5. *Indices Based on Relatively Advanced Statistical Analysis of Water-Quality Data* 67
6. *Water-Quality Indices Based on Fuzzy Logic and Other Methods of Artificial Intelligence* 79
7. *Probabilistic or Stochastic Water-Quality Indices* 119
8. *'Planning' or 'Decision-Making' Indices* 127
9. *Indices for Assessing Groundwater Quality* 155
10. *Water-Quality Indices of USA and Canada* 175
11. *WQI-Generating Software and a WQI-based Virtual Instrument* 187

Why Water-Quality Indices

OUTLINE

1.1. Introduction	3	1.4. The First Modern WQI: Horton's Index	5
1.1.1. Water Quantity and Water Quality	3	1.5. More on the Benefits of WQI	6
1.2. Water-Quality Indices (WQIs)	4	1.6. WQIs Based on Bioassessment	6
1.2.1. A Novel Idea?	4		
1.3. Back to Water-Quality Indices (WQIs)	5		

1.1. INTRODUCTION

1.1.1. Water Quantity and Water Quality

Of all natural resources, water is unarguably the most essential and precious. Life began in water, and life is nurtured with water. There are organisms, such as anaerobes, which can survive without oxygen. But no organism can survive for any length of time without water.

The crucial role of water as the trigger and sustainer of civilizations has been witnessed throughout human history. But, until as late as the 1960s, the overriding interest in water has been *vis a vis* its quantity. Except in manifestly undesirable situations, the available water was automatically deemed utilisable water. Only during the last three decades of the twentieth century the concern for water *quality* has

been exceedingly felt so that, by now, water quality has acquired as much importance as water quantity.

What is water quality? This question is immensely more complex than the question: *What is water quantity?*

We can say: this reservoir contains 2 million m³ of water or the present flow in this river is 15 m³ sec⁻¹. Expressing water *quantity* is as simple as this.

But how do we express water quality of the same stream? The quality may be good enough for drinking but not suitable for use as a coolant in an industry. It may be good for irrigating some crops but not good for irrigating some other crops. It may be suitable for livestock but not for fish culture. Whereas water *quantity* is determined by a single parameter – the water mass – water quality is a function of anything

and everything the water might have picked up during its journey from the clouds to the earth to the water body: in dissolved, colloidal, or suspended form. Given the fact that water is a 'universal solvent', it picks up a lot!

One way to describe the quality of a given water sample is to list out the concentrations of everything that the sample contained. Such a list would be as long as the number of constituents analyzed and that may be anything from the 20-odd common constituents to hundreds! Moreover, such a list will make little sense to anyone except well-trained water-quality experts.

How to compare the quality of different water sources? It can't be done easily by comparing the list of constituents each sample contains. For example, a water sample which contains six components in 5% higher-than-permissible (hence objectionable) levels: pH, hardness, chloride, sulphate, iron and sodium may not be as bad for drinking as another sample with just one constituent – mercury – at 5% higher-than-permissible.

Water-quality indices seek to address this vexing problem.

1.2. WATER-QUALITY INDICES (WQIs)

Water-quality indices aim at giving a single value to the water quality of a source on the basis of one or the other system which translates the list of constituents and their concentrations present in a sample into a single value. One can then compare different samples for quality on the basis of the index value of each sample.

1.2.1. A Novel Idea?

The concept of using an index to represent in a single value the status of several variables is not a novel idea; it has been well-entrenched in economics and commerce (Fisher, 1922;

Diewert and Nakamura, 1993). Most countries have their 'consumer price index' in which, on the basis of an integration of the prices of certain commodities, a single value is obtained to determine whether the market is, overall, cheaper or costlier at any given instant compared to any other past instant.

The commodities for such indices are selected on the basis of their 'driver power' – in other words, the 'power' or the 'reach' of the commodity vis a vis influencing the prices of several other commodities. If a shampoo becomes costlier or cheaper, it will not affect the prices of other commodities significantly, whereas any change in the price of cement or petroleum would.

Then we have share-market indices such as the Dow Jones Index of the New York stock exchange and the Sensex of Mumbai's stock exchange. These indices are also composed of the prices of certain shares of high driver power (such as cement). In time these indices have become measures not merely of the stock traded at the respective exchanges but also of the economies of the respective countries. When indices of economically advanced countries such as the Dow Jones Index suffer a slump, it impacts the stock exchanges of most other countries as well, often taking their indices down with it.

Indices have also been used in ecology to represent species richness, evenness, diversity etc. Accordingly, we have the Shannon Index, the Simpson Index, and so on. In numerous other fields – such as of medicine, sociology, process safety, etc – indices are extensively used.

It can be said that indices (the singular of *indices* is *index*) are composite representations of a condition or situation derived from a combination, done in certain ways, of several relevant but noncommensurate observed facts/measurements. The combination leads to a single ordinal number that facilitates understanding and interpretation of the overall import of the facts that have contributed to that number.

Environmental indices — of which water-quality indices form a major component — are used as communication tools by regulatory agencies to describe the 'quality' or 'health' of a specific environmental system (e.g., air, water, soil and sediments) and to evaluate the impact of regulatory policies on various environmental management practices (Song and Kim, 2009; Pusatli et al., 2009; Sadiq et al., 2010). Environmental indices have also been used in life-cycle assessment (Weiss et al., 2007; Khan et al., 2004) and to characterise different types of environmental damages, including global warming potential (Goedkoop and Spriensma, 2000).

1.3. BACK TO WATER-QUALITY INDICES (WQIs)

WQIs may have gained currency during the last 3 decades but the concept in its rudimentary form was first introduced more than 150 years ago — in 1848 — in Germany where the presence or absence of certain organisms in water was used as indicator of the fitness or otherwise of a water source.

Since then various European countries have developed and applied different systems to classify the quality of the waters within their regions. These water classification systems usually are of two types:

1. those concerned with the amount of pollution present and
2. those concerned with living communities of macroscopic or microscopic organisms.

Rather than assigning a numerical value to represent water quality, these classification systems categorised water bodies into one of several pollution classes or levels. By contrast, indices that use a numerical scale to represent gradations in water-quality levels are a recent phenomenon, beginning with Horton's index in 1965, detailed below.

1.4. THE FIRST MODERN WQI: HORTON'S INDEX

Horton (1965) set for himself the following criteria when developing the first-ever modern WQI:

1. The number of variables to be handled by the index should be limited to avoid making the index unwidely.
2. The variables should be of significance in most areas.
3. Only such variables of which reliable data are available, or obtainable, should be included.

Horton selected 10 most commonly measured water-quality variables for his index, including dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, coliforms, specific conductance, alkalinity, and chloride. Specific conductance was intended to serve as an approximate measure of total dissolved solids (TDSs), and carbon chloroform extract (CCE) was included to reflect the influence of organic matter. One of the variables, sewage treatment (percentage of population served), was designed to reflect the effectiveness of abatement activities on the premise that chemical and biological measures of quality are of little significance until substantial progress has been made in eliminating discharges of raw sewage. The index weight ranges from 1 to 4. Notably, Horton's index did not include any toxic chemicals.

The index score is obtained with a linear sum aggregation function. The function consists of the weighted sum of the subindices I_i divided by the sum of the weights W_i and multiplied by two coefficients M_1 and M_2 , which reflect temperature and obvious pollution, respectively:

$$QI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i I_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i} M_1 M_2$$

Horton's index is easy to compute, even though the coefficients M_1 and M_2 require some tailoring to fit individual situations. The

index structure, its weights, and rating scale are highly subjective as they are based on the judgement of the author and a few of his associates.

Horton's pioneering effort has been followed up by several workers who have striven to develop less and less subjective but more and more sensitive and useful water-quality indices.

1.5. MORE ON THE BENEFITS OF WQI

The formulation and use of indices have been strongly advocated by agencies responsible for water supply and control of water pollution. Once the water-quality data have been collected through sampling and analysis, a need arises to translate it into a form that is easily understood. Once the WQIs are developed and applied, they serve as a convenient tool to examine trends, to highlight specific environmental conditions, and to help governmental decision-makers in evaluating the effectiveness of regulatory programmes.

WQIs, of course, are not the only source of information that is brought to bear on water-related decisions. Many other factors are considered besides indices and the monitoring data on which the indices are based.

Indeed, nearly all the purposes for which one monitors water quality — assessment, utilisation, treatment, resource allocation, public information, R&D and environmental planning — are all served by indices as well. In addition, indices make the transfer and utilisation of water-quality data enormously easier and lucid. To wit, water-quality indices help in:

1. Resource allocation

Indices may be applied in water-related decisions to assist managers in allocating funds and determining priorities.

2. Ranking of allocations

Indices may be applied to assist in comparing water quality at different locations or geographical areas.

3. Enforcement of standards

Indices may be applied to specific locations to determine the extent to which legislative standards and existing criteria are being met or exceeded.

4. Trend analysis

Indices may be applied to water-quality data at different points in time to determine the changes in the quality (degradation or improvement) which have occurred over the period.

5. Public information

Index score being an easy-to-understand measure of water-quality level, indices can be used to keep the public informed of the overall water quality of any source, or of different alternative sources, on a day-to-day basis just as Sensex score tells in one word whether the stocks, by and large, went up or down.

6. Scientific research

The inherent quality of an index — which translates a large quantity of data to a single score — is immensely valuable in scientific research, for example, in determining the efficacy of different ecorestoration measures or water-treatment strategies with reference to a water body, the impact of developmental activities on water quality, etc.

1.6. WQIS BASED ON BIOASSESSMENT

On the basis of parameters that are incorporated in an index to judge water quality, the WQIs can be loosely classified into 'indices predominantly based on physico-chemical characteristics' and 'indices based on bioassessment'. The first modern WQI, the Horton's index, described in Section 1.4, belonged to the first category, so are all the indices described in *Part I* of this book. Just as Horton's index has one parameter (out of 10 chosen by him) which requires bioassessment — coliforms — several

other indices described in *Part I* have one or more 'biological' parameters but they are all predominantly based on physico-chemical parameters. In contrast, the WQIs based on bio-assessment, described in *Part II*, are predominantly based on sampling, identification, and enumeration of biological organisms.

References

- Diewert, W.E., Nakamura, A.O., 1993. Indices. In: Essays in Index Number Theory, vol. 1. North Holland Press, Amsterdam. 71–104.
- Fisher, I., 1922. The Making of Index Numbers. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, MA.
- Goedkoop, M., Spriensma, R., 2000. The Eco-Indicator 99-a Damage Oriented Method for Life Cycle Impact Assessment, Methodology Report. <http://www.pre.nl>
- Horton, R.K., 1965. An index number system for rating water quality. *Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation*. 37 (3), 300–306.
- Khan, A.A., Paterson, R., Khan, H., 2004. Modification and application of the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Water Quality Index (CCME WQI) for the communication of drinking water quality data in Newfoundland and Labrador. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada* 39 (3), 285–293.
- Pusatli, O.T., Camur, M.Z., Yazicigil, Z.H., 2009. Susceptibility indexing method for irrigation water management planning: applications to K. Menderes river basin Turkey. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90 (1), 341–347.
- Sadiq, R., Haji, S.A., Cool, G., Rodriguez, M.J., 2010. Using penalty functions to evaluate aggregation models for environmental indices. *Journal of Environmental Management* 91 (3), 706–716.
- Song, T., Kim, K., 2009. Development of a water quality loading index based on water quality modeling. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90 (3), 1534–1543.
- Weiss, M., Patel, M., Heilmeier, H., Bringezu, S., 2007. Applying distance-to-target weighing methodology to evaluate the environmental performance of bio-based energy, fuels, and materials. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 50, 260–281.

