CHARLESWORTH'S COMPANY LAW

EIGHTEENTH EDITION

Stephen Girvin, Sandra Frisby and Alastair Hudson



CHARLESWORTH'S COMPANY LAW

EIGHTEENTH EDITION

By

Stephen D. Girvin, BA, LLB, LLM (Natal),
PhD (Aberdeen)
Advocate of the High Court of South Africa,
Professor of Law, Faculty of Law,
National University of Singapore

and

Sandra Frisby, LLB, PhD (Nottingham)
Baker & McKenzie Associate Professor and
Reader in Company and Commercial Law,
School of Law, University of Nottingham
Alastair Hudson, LLB, LLM,
PhD (London) FRIA, FHEA
Barrister, Professor of Friantia Mary
University of London

First Edition 1932 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Second Edition 1938 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Third Edition 1940 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Fourth Edition 1961 by O.R. Marshall
Second Impression 1947 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Third Impression 1948 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Fifth edition 1949 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Second impression revised 1950 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Sixth edition 1954 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth
Second impression revised 1956 by His Honour Judge Charlesworth

Seventh edition 1960 by T.E. Cain
Second impression 1962 by T.E. Cain
Eighth edition 1965 by T.E. Cain
Ninth edition 1968 by T.E. Cain
Tenth edition 1972 by T.E. Cain
Eleventh edition 1977 by T.E. Cain
Second impression 1980 by T.E. Cain
Twelfth edition 1983 by Geoffrey Morse
Thirteenth edition 1987 by Geoffrey Morse
Fourteenth edition 1991 by Geoffrey Morse
Fifteenth edition 1995 by Geoffrey Morse
Second impression 1997 by Geoffrey Morse
Sixteenth edition 1999 by Geoffrey Morse
Seventeenth edition 2005 by Geoffrey Morse

Eighteenth edition 2010 by Stephen D. Girvin, Sandra Frisby and Alastair Hudson

Published in 2010 by Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited (Registered in Engalnd & Wales, Company No 1679046. Registered Office and address for service: 100 Avenue Road, London NW3 3PF) trading as Sweet & Maxwell

*For further information on our products and services, visit www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk

Typeset by LBJ Typesetting of Kingsclere Printed in the UK by CPI William Clowes, Beccles, NR34 7TL

No natural forests were destroyed to make this product; only farmed timber was used and re-planted.

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN-13 978-1-84703-919-4

Thomson Reuters and the Thomson Reuters logo are trademarks of Thomson Reuters. Sweet & Maxwell® is a registered trademark of Thompson Reuters (Legal) Limited

Crown copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a license issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.
Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgement of author, publisher and source must be given.

CHARLESWORTH'S

COMPANY LAW

FOREWORD

For most students, coming to company law for the first time is a daunting experience. It is not that company law is any more intrinsically difficult than any other branch of the law but that the factual matrix upon which it operates seems to be more difficult to comprehend. Company law, of necessity, deals with all aspects of corporate activity but the subject cannot be taught in that way. It has to be divided into topics. Each topic may be understandable on its own but the relationship and overlap between them is not really comprehensible until the end of the course. Company law is like the proverbial elephant, difficult to comprehend unless the whole animal is in view. This can lead to misunderstandings. I once asked a particularly bright student why she had answered question 8 on the exam paper on issues of minority protection whereas the question was aimed at directors' duties. The answer was that tutorial 8 had been on minority protection and so . . .

Against that background how does one set out to write a student textbook? In 1932 His Honour Judge Charlesworth, who sat in the North Riding of Yorkshire, produced the first edition of his book on company law. Those were very different times (one edition was produced in war time). The volume of the law was far less, but there was still a substantial amount of detail and procedure which had to be sifted through to produce a coherent text. The result was an excellent balance between giving sufficient information so as to produce a coherent whole and not simply providing endless lists so as to drown the reader. So successful was his book that Judge Charlesworth wrote five further editions, ending in 1956. The mantle was then taken over by Tom Cain who wrote five more editions between 1960 and 1977. I took over in 1982, having been lunched at the Savoy by the publishers to discuss this—how times have changed. The combined effect of the 1980 and 1981 Companies Acts required a significant rewrite but I always bore in mind the need to retain the balance achieved in the previous editions. In all I have been responsible for a total of six editions (the same number as the Judge), although pressure of work and the increasing specialisation involved allowed me to bring in the editors of this edition. I also had the great benefit of both Richard Morris as accounting editor and Enid Marshall as Scottish editor (and a fearsome critic of my grammar in the proofs!).

Charlesworth's Company Law has now been in existence for nearly 70 years. During that time company law teaching has become more sophisticated and the subject more open to scholarly (in RAE terms) analysis. This can be legal, social or economic and there have undoubtedly been more scholarly books on company law and more academically comprehensive ones. Jim Gower's, and latterly Paul Davies', Modern Company Law and Brenda Hannigan's Company Law are outstanding examples, respectively, of each of those. There have also been many

vi FOREWORD

other "basic" textbooks on company law. *Charlesworth* remains unique, however. It manages to carry a substantial amount of information but in an understandable way which does not frighten the reader. For some students and courses it will be sufficient; for others it will be a useful (for some indispensible) safety net below the more erudite texts. Any scholarly analysis depends first upon mastering the often quite complex fundamentals of the subject and no book does that better than *Charlesworth*.

Having now reached a stage in life when grandchildren seem at least as important as academic work, and given the need to reshape *Charlesworth* again (as I did in 1982) following the 2006 Companies Act, I decided that it was time to hand over the baton. That decision was made much easier by the knowledge that Stephen, Sandra and Alastair were already involved in, and had absorbed the unique ethos of, the book. The book is in excellent hands—it must continue to evolve to meet the dictates of the subject but not so as to destroy its qualities. That is not an easy task (deciding what not to include is often the hardest part) but as the reader will see from this edition, that constructive evolutionary process is still evident. Long may it continue to be so.

Geoffrey Morse Worcestershire, May 2010.

PREFACE

It is customary, when writing book prefaces for new editions of textbooks, to refer to the many changes in the subject since the last edition. This is no less the case with this new edition of *Charlesworth*. The five years since the publication of the previous edition have been marked by continuing change in the field of company law. The previous edition focused at many points on the work of the Company Law Review (CLR) undertaken by what was known then as the DTI (the Department of Trade and Industry) but which has during the years since the last edition changed its name twice, first as BERR (the Department for Business Enterprise and Regulatory Reform) and currently as BIS (the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills). At the time of writing that previous edition in 2005, a Draft Companies Bill had just been published.

The Companies Act 2006, which has the dubious distinction of being the largest statute on the statute book, was passed by Parliament and achieved Royal Assent later that year. Following a complicated implementation timetable, during which parts of the Act were brought into force with over ten commencement orders, the Act came fully into force on October 1, 2009. Few areas of company law have been left untouched in the new Act which, though also consisting of many provisions imported verbatim from the Companies Act 1985, nevertheless contains much that is new or re-ordered. Two well-known instances of new law in the Act are the codifying provisions on directors duties in Part 10 (discussed in Chapter 17 of this book) and the new provisions on statutory derivative actions in Part 11 (discussed in Chapter 22). However, statutory development did not end with the 2006 Act and, indeed, even before the Act was finally brought fully into force in October last year, further changes, mostly at European level, but also consequential changes, had to be made to the Act. In addition to the Act itself there are, at last count, well over sixty statutory instruments concerned with the further development and elaboration of its substantive provisions. Many of these have, of necessity, had to be dealt with in this new edition. There have also been substantial changes to securities, takeover and merger regulation in the United Kingdom to implement successive EU directives, and to the UK's financial regulations (in the FSA Handbook): all of these changes are explored here in detail. Other new statutes with some impact on company law include the Fraud Act 2006 and the controversial Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007, both of which are also considered in this new edition.

The law relating to corporate insolvency continues to evolve at a bewildering rate. The decision of the House of Lords in *Buchler v Talbot* has, since the previous edition of this work, been reversed by statute and the Insolvency Rules have been subject to a raft of amendments, many in anticipation of their eventual consolidation, planned for April 2011.

viii PREFACE

It is not only in the area of statute law that much has changed. The courts continue with their vital role of interpreting the statute and throughout this new edition will be found illustrations and examples of cases which do just that and, in some instances, set down new and important principles. And while no new case is likely to have the impact of *O'Neill v Phillips*, the Privy Council, the House of Lords, the Court of Appeal, as well as the Companies Court have added to the store of that which requires account to be taken in this new edition. In corporate insolvency, the major case law developments have been in relation to the administration procedure, streamlined by the Enterprise Act 2002, and the rise of the "pre-pack" administration has received some judicial attention. Moreover, the decision of the House of Lords in *Re Spectrum Plus* on the fixed/floating charge dichotomy has gone some way to resolving this troubled area.

The new Companies Act and this new 18th edition has brought with it the opportunity to freshen up *Charlesworth*. While the essential character of the book remains unchanged, namely the provision of a comprehensive analytical overview of the subject as a whole, we have taken the opportunity to reorder some of the chapters and the substantive content and also to expand the treatment of some topics. Some areas of the law have inevitably required more detailed treatment. An important change for some will be the fact that this new edition no longer attempts to cover developments in Scots law. Although the Companies Act 2006 applies throughout the United Kingdom and the courts in Scotland continue to play a vital role in the development of company law jurisprudence, none of us can claim contemporary and informed expertise in Scots law. We therefore thought it better to leave coverage of those developments to our colleagues north of the border.

This new edition also marks a change in authorial responsibility. After his involvement in the last seven editions of the book, starting with the 12th edition in 1983, Professor Geoffrey Morse, presently Professor of Corporate and Tax Law at the University of Birmingham, decided that the new Act was the time to hand over the reins to us, his three colleagues who had assisted him in the writing of the 17th edition. Each of us have had a connection with Geoffrey over many years. Stephen and Sandra were his colleagues at the School of Law, University of Nottingham and Stephen also for a short time at the University of Birmingham. Sandra was a student of both Geoffrey and Stephen at Nottingham. All of us share the unique privilege, with Geoffrey, of being members of the Editorial Board of Palmer's Company Law, the leading practitioner work on company law from the publishers of this book. As General Editor for many years, Geoffrey brought each of us onto the *Palmer* team. It is therefore right to record our gratitude and appreciation to him for entrusting us with that important task. We hope that he feels that the new Charlesworth, very much his baby for the last 22 years, has been left in capable hands. Also retiring from Charlesworth after many years involvement in the chapters on accounts and auditors, is Professor Richard Morris, Professor Emeritus of Accounting at the University of Liverpool. We pay tribute to them and indeed to all those who have gone before us. The influence of their work will be apparent to all who use this book.

The division of the labour in this new edition has been as follows: Stephen Girvin, Chapters 1–6, 16, 18–24; Alastair Hudson, Chapters 7–15, 17, 32–34; and

PREFACE ix

Sandra Frisby, Chapters 25–31. Stephen has (loosely) held the reins together for the new edition.

We should like to thank those who have had to put up with us during the writing of this new edition. They know who they are! Final responsibility for this new edition of course remains with each one of us individually and collectively. It is entirely right and proper to record here our appreciation to our publishers and Nicola Thurlow, in particular, for patiently steering us through the new edition and putting up with several requests for extensions to the deadline for submission of the manuscript.

We have all worked to the law as we understood it to be as on December 1, 2009, but have also included later developments, wherever possible.

Stephen Girvin Alastair Hudson Sandra Frisby

Singapore, London and Nottingham March 31, 2010

TABLE OF CASES

T.C.L.R. 409, Ch D
A&C Group Services, Re [1993] B.C.L.C. 1297, Ch D
ABC Coupler and Engineering Co (No.1), Re [1961] 1 W.L.R. 243; [1961] 1 All E.R. 354; (1961) 105 S.J. 109, Ch D
E.R. 354; (1961) 105 S.J. 109, Ch D
E.R. 650; (1970) 114 S.J. 242, Ch D
676, Ch D
(1987) 3 B.C.C. 136, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Div) 27–009 AJ Adams (Builders) Ltd, Re [1991] B.C.C. 62; [1991] B.C.L.C. 359, Ch D (Companies Ct) 26–004 AI Levy (Holdings) Ltd, Re [1964] Ch. 19; [1963] 2 W.L.R. 1464; [1963] 2 All E.R. 556, Ch D 29–021 AL Underwood Ltd v Bank of Liverpool and Martins; AL Underwood Ltd v Barclays Bank [1924] 1 K.B. 775, CA 1–038, 6–026 AMCD (Property Holdings) Ltd, Re; sub nom. AMCD (Property Holdings) Ltd v G [2004] EWHC 3463 (Ch), Ch D 28–004 AMF International Ltd (No.2), Re; sub nom. Cohen v Ellis [1996] 1 W.L.R. 77; [1996] B.C.C. 335; [1996] 2 B.C.L.C. 9, Ch D 30–017 AMG Global Nominees (Private) Ltd v Africa Resources Ltd [2009] 1
(Companies Ct) 26–004 AI Levy (Holdings) Ltd, Re [1964] Ch. 19; [1963] 2 W.L.R. 1464; [1963] 2 All E.R. 556, Ch D 29–021 AL Underwood Ltd v Bank of Liverpool and Martins; AL Underwood Ltd v Barclays Bank [1924] 1 K.B. 775, CA 1–038, 6–026 AMCD (Property Holdings) Ltd, Re; sub nom. AMCD (Property Holdings) Ltd v G [2004] EWHC 3463 (Ch), Ch D 28–004 AMF International Ltd (No.2), Re; sub nom. Cohen v Ellis [1996] 1 W.L.R. 77; [1996] B.C.C. 335; [1996] 2 B.C.L.C. 9, Ch D 30–017 AMG Global Nominees (Private) Ltd v Africa Resources Ltd [2009] 1
E.R. 556, Ch D
Barclays Bank [1924] 1 K.B. 775, CA
v G [2004] EWHC 3463 (Ch), Ch D
AMF International Ltd (No.2), Re; sub nom. Cohen v Ellis [1996] 1 W.L.R. 77; [1996] B.C.C. 335; [1996] 2 B.C.L.C. 9, Ch D
AMG Global Nominees (Private) Ltd v Africa Resources Ltd [2009] 1
B.C.L.C. 201
AMP Enterprises Ltd v Hoffman; sub nom. AMP Music Box Enterprises Ltd v Hoffman [2002] EWHC 1899 (Ch); [2002] B.C.C. 996; [2003] 1 B.C.L.C.
319, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Abbey Leisure Ltd, Re; sub nom. Virdi v Abbey Leisure [1990] B.C.C. 60; [1990] B.C.L.C. 342, CA (Civ Div)
22-048 Abbey Leisure Ltd, Re; sub nom. Virdi v Abbey Leisure [1990] B.C.C. 60;

Abbey National Plc v Solicitors Indemnity Fund Ltd [1997] P.N.L.R. 306,	
QBD 1	7–069
Aberdeen Railway v Blaikie Bros (1854) 1 Macq. 461; (1854) 2 Eq. Rep. 1281,	er internance
HL	
17–051, 17–060, 1'	7–061
Abou–Rahmah v Abacha [2006] EWCA Civ 1492; [2007] Bus. L.R. 220; [2007]	7 060
1 All E.R. (Comm) 827	7-009
Ch D	0-004
Accessory People Ltd v Rouass [2010] EWCA Civ 302	9-008
Adair v Old Bushmills Distillery [1908] W.N. 24	7-004
Adams v Cape Industries Plc 225 [1990] Ch. 433; [1990] 2 W.L.R. 657;	
[1991] 1 All E.R. 929; [1990] B.C.C. 786; [1990] B.C.L.C. 479, CA	
(Civ Div)	1-040
Aerators Ltd v Tollitt [1902] 2 Ch. 319, Ch D	3–022
Agip (Africa) Ltd v Jackson [1991] Ch. 547; [1991] 3 W.L.R. 116; [1992] 4 All	
E.R. 451; (1991) 135 S.J. 117, CA (Civ Div)	7–072
Agricultural Mortgage Corp Plc v Woodward [1994] B.C.C. 688; [1995] 1	1 014
B.C.L.C. 1; [1996] 1 F.L.R. 226, CA (Civ Div)	1-014
2 B.C.L.C. 598, Ch D	1_013
Airey v Cordell [2006] EWHC 2728 (Ch); [2007] Bus. L.R. 391; [2007]	1 015
B.C.C. 785, Ch D	2-009
Airlines Airspares v Handley Page [1970] Ch. 193; [1970] 2 W.L.R. 163; [1970]	
1 All E.R. 29, Ch D	7-008
Akerhielm v De Mare; sub nom. Baron Uno Carl Samuel Akerhielm v Rolf de	
Mare [1959] A.C. 789; [1959] 3 W.L.R. 108; [1959] 3 All E.R. 485; (1959)	
103 S.J. 527, PC (EA)	4–010
Al Nakib Investments (Jersey) Ltd v Longcroft [1990] 1 W.L.R. 1390; [1990] 3 All E.R. 321; [1990] B.C.C. 517; [1991] B.C.L.C. 7; (1990) 87(42)	
L.S.G. 37; (1990) 140 N.L.J. 741, Ch D	4_006
Alchemea Ltd, Re [1998] B.C.C. 964, Ch D	2-030
Alexander Henderson Ltd, Petitioner 1967 S.L.T. (Notes) 17, IH (1 Div) 9–021,	9-029
Alexander Sheridan Ltd v Beaujersey Ltd [2004] EWHC 2072 (Ch), Ch D	
(Companies Ct)	9-008
Alexander Ward & Co Ltd v Samyang Navigation Co Ltd [1975] 1 W.L.R. 673;	
[1975] 2 All E.R. 424; [1975] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 1, HL	9–034
Alf Vaughan & Co Ltd (In Receivership) v Royscot Trust Plc [1999] 1 All E.R.	7 010
(Comm.) 856, Ch D	7-013
Re; Company (No.002180 of 1986), Re [1997] 1 W.L.R. 534; [1997]	
B.C.C. 377; [1997] 1 B.C.L.C. 557, CA (Civ Div)	9_010
Allders Department Stores Ltd (In Administration), Re 2005] EWHC 172 (Ch);	,,-010
[2005] 2 All E.R. 122; [2005] B.C.C. 289, Ch D	7-011
Allen Craig & Co (London) Ltd, Re [1934] Ch. 483, Ch D	
Allen v Gold Reefs of West Africa Ltd [1900] 1 Ch. 656, CA 4-008, 4-011,	
Allen v Hyatt (1914) 30 T.L.R. 444	4-004
Allied Business & Financial Consultants Ltd, Re; sub nom. O'Donnell v	
Shanahan [2008] EWHC 1973 (Ch), Ch D (Companies Ct)	
Allied Domecq Plc, Re [2000] B.C.C. 582; [2000] 1 B.C.L.C. 134, Ch D 32	
	2–023
Allied Dunbar Assurance Plc v Fowle [1994] B.C.C. 422; [1994] 2 B.C.L.C. 197; [1994] 25 E.G. 149, QBD	1_024
Allso v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry; sub nom. Rodencroft Ltd, Re;	1-024
WG Birch Developments Ltd, Re; HM Birch Ltd, Re [2004] EWHC 862 (Ch);	
[2004] 1 W.L. P. 1566: [2004] 3 All F.P. 56 Ch.D. (Companies Ct.) 22 064 2	0.000

Alma Spinning Co, Re; sub nom. Bottomley's Case, Re (1880–81) L.R. 16
Ch. D. 681, Ch D
Alman v Approach Housing Ltd [2002] B.C.C. 723; [2001] 1 B.C.L.C. 530;
[2001] B.P.I.R. 203, Ch D
Alpha Lighting, Re; Beloit Walmsley Ltd, Re [2008] EWHC 1888, Ch D 26-012
Al-Saudi Banque v Clarke Pixley (A Firm) [1990] Ch. 313; [1990] 2 W.L.R.
344; [1989] 3 All E.R. 361; (1989) 5 B.C.C. 822; [1990] B.C.L.C. 46;
[1989] P.C.C. 442; [1989] Fin. L.R. 353; (1990) 87(10) L.S.G. 36; (1989)
139 N.L.J. 1341, Ch D
Amalgamated Properties of Rhodesia (1913) Ltd, Re [1917] 2 Ch. 115, CA 29-008
American Cyanamid Co v Ethicon Ltd (No.1) [1975] A.C. 396; [1975] 2
W.L.R. 316; [1975] 1 All E.R. 504, HL
American Express International Banking Corp v Hurley [1985] 3 All E.R. 564;
(1986) 2 B.C.C. 98993; [1986] B.C.L.C. 52, QBD
27–011
American Mortgage Co of Scotland Ltd v Sidway 1908 S.C. 500; (1908) 15
S.L.T. 850, IH (1 Div)
Anderson v Hogg, 2002 S.C. 190; 2002 S.L.T. 354; [2002] B.C.C. 923, IH
(Ex Div)
Andrews v Ramsay & Co [1903] 2 K.B. 635, KBD
Anglo American Insurance Co Ltd (Reduction of Capital), Re [1991]
B.C.C. 208; [1991] B.C.L.C. 564, Ch D (Companies Ct) 9-023, 9-029, 9-030
Anglo American Insurance Co Ltd, Re [2001] 1 B.C.L.C. 755, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
Anglo Baltic and Mediterranean Bank v Barber & Co [1924] 2 K.B. 410, CA 30–016
Anglo Continental Supply Co Ltd, Re [1922] 2 Ch. 723, Ch D
Anglo French Cooperative Society Ex p. Pelly, Re (1882) L.R. 21 Ch.
D. 492, CA
Anglo Petroleum Ltd v TFB (Mortgages) Ltd; TFB (Mortgages) Ltd v Sutton;
TFB (Mortgages) Ltd v Anglo Petroleum Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 456;
[2007] B.C.C. 407; [2008] 1 B.C.L.C. 185, CA (Civ Div)
Ansett v Butler Air Transport Ltd (No.2) (1958) 75 W.N. NSW 306 19-023
Antal International Ltd, Re [2003] EWHC 1339; [2003] 2 B.C.L.C. 406; [2003]
B.P.I.R. 1067
Antofagasta (Chili) and Bolivia Railway Co Ltd's Trust Deed, Re; sub nom.
Antofagasta (Chili) and Bolivia Railway Co Ltd v Schroder [1939] Ch. 732,
•
Ch D
Apcar v Aftab; sub nom. Data Online Transactions (UK) Ltd, Re [2003]
B.C.C. 510, Ch D
Apex Film Distributors, Re [1960] Ch. 378; [1960] 2 W.L.R. 350; [1960] 1 All
E.R. 152, CA
Arab Bank Plc v Mercantile Holdings Ltd [1994] Ch. 71; [1994] 2 W.L.R. 307;
[1994] 2 All E.R. 74; [1993] B.C.C. 816; [1994] 1 B.C.L.C. 330; (1995) 69
P. & C.R. 410; [1993] E.G. 164 (C.S.); (1994) 91(12) L.S.G. 37; [1993]
N.P.C. 130, Ch D
Arbuthnot Leasing International Ltd v Havelet Leasing Ltd (No.2) [1990]
B.C.C. 636, Ch D
Archer Structures Ltd v Griffiths [2003] EWHC 957 (Ch); [2004] B.C.C. 156;
[2004] 1 B.C.L.C. 201, Ch D
Argentum Reductions (UK) Ltd, Re [1975] 1 W.L.R. 186; [1975] 1 All E.R. 608;
(1974) 119 S.J. 97, Ch D
Armour Hick Northern Ltd v Whitehouse; sub nom. Armour Hick Northern Ltd
v Armour Trust [1980] 1 W.L.R. 1520; [1980] 3 All E.R. 833; (1980) 124
S.J. 864, Ch D
Armstrong Whitworth Securities Co Ltd, Re [1947] Ch. 673; [1947] 2 All E.R.
479: [1948] L.J.R. 172. Ch D 29–050. 30–017

Arrow Leeds Ltd, Re (1986) 2 B.C.C. 98991, Ch D (Companies Ct) 29–017
Arrow Nominees Inc v Blackledge [2000] C.P. Rep. 59; [2001] B.C.C. 591;
[2000] 2 B.C.L.C. 167, CA (Civ Div)
Arrows Ltd, Re; Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd, Re; Headington
Investments Ltd, Re; sub nom. Hamilton v Naviede; Trachtenberg, Ex p.
[1995] 2 A.C. 75; [1994] 3 W.L.R. 656; [1994] 3 All E.R. 814, HL 29–033 Art Reproduction Co Ltd, Re [1952] Ch. 89; [1951] 2 All E.R. 984; [1951] 2
T.L.R. 979, Ch D
[1998] B.P.I.R. 1, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Ashbury Railway Carriage & Iron Co Ltd v Riche; sub nom. Riche v Ashbury
Railway Carriage & Iron Co Ltd (1874–75) L.R. 7 H.L. 653, HL 3–019, 4–031
Ashingdane v United Kingdom (A/93); sub nom. Ashingdane v United
Kingdom (8225/78) (1985) 7 E.H.R.R. 528, ECHR
Asiatic Banking Corp, Re Symon's Case (1869–70) L.R. 5 Ch. App. 298, CA in
Chancery
Associated Travel Leisure and Services Ltd (In Liquidation), Re [1978] 1
W.L.R. 547; [1978] 2 All E.R. 273; (1977) 121 S.J. 790, Ch D
Association of Certified Public Accountants of Britain v Secretary of State for
Trade and Industry; sub nom. Association of Certified Public Accountants
of Britain, Re [1998] 1 W.L.R. 164; [1997] B.C.C. 736; [1997] 2 B.C.L.C.
307; (1997) 94(35) L.S.G. 33; (1997) 141 S.J.L.B. 128, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
22–037, 22–050, 33–032
Astor Chemical Ltd v Synthetic Technology Ltd [1990] B.C.C. 97; [1990]
B.C.L.C. 1, Ch D
Astra Holdings Plc, Re; sub nom. Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v
Anderson [1999] B.C.C. 121; [1998] 2 B.C.L.C. 44, Ch D 17–093
Atlantic Computer Systems Plc, Re [1992] Ch. 505; [1992] 2 W.L.R. 367;
[1992] 1 All E.R. 476, CA (Civ Div)
29–015, 31–018
Atlasview Ltd v Brightview Ltd; Atlasview Ltd v Reedbest Properties Ltd; sub
nom. Brightview Ltd, Re [2004] EWHC 1056 (Ch); [2004] B.C.C. 542;
[2004] 2 B.C.L.C. 191, Ch D (Companies Ct)
1143; [1994] 1 All E.R. 1, PC (NZ)
17–041, 17–042, 17–054, 17–055
Attorney General v Davy (1741) 2 Atk. 212; 26 E.R. 531
Attorney General v Great Eastern Railway Co (1880) 5 App. Cas. 473 4–031
Attorney General's Reference (No.1 of 1988), Re 1989] A.C. 971; [1989] 2
W.L.R. 729; [1989] 2 All E.R. 1, HL
Attorney General's Reference (No.2 of 1982), Re; sub nom. Attorney General's
Reference (No.2 of 1983), Re [1984] Q.B. 624; [1984] 2 W.L.R. 447;
[1984] 2 All E.R. 216, CA (Crim Div)
Attorney General's Reference (No.2 of 1998), Re [2000] Q.B. 412; [1999] 3
W.L.R. 961; [1999] B.C.C. 590, CA (Crim Div)
Attorney General's Reference (No.2 of 1999), Re [2000] Q.B. 796; [2000] 3
W.L.R. 195; [2000] 3 All E.R. 182, CA (Crim Div)
D (Companies Ct)
Austin Securities Ltd v Northgate and English Stores Ltd [1969] 1 W.L.R. 529;
[1969] 2 All E.R. 753; (1969) 113 S.J. 145, CA (Civ Div) 29–050, 30–017
Austinsuite Furniture, Re [1992] B.C.L.C. 1047, Ch D
Auto Management Services Ltd v Oracle Fleet UK Ltd [2007] EWHC 392 (Ch),
Ch D 28–004

Ayerst (Inspector of Taxes) v C&K (Construction) Ltd [1976] A.C. 167; [1975] 3 W.L.R. 16; [1975] 2 All E.R. 537, HL
B Johnson & Co (Builders) Ltd, Re [1955] Ch. 634; [1955] 3 W.L.R. 269; [1955] 2 All E.R. 775, CA
Ltd, No.001165 of 1998, Ch D
B.C.L.C. 401; [2000] Lloyd's Rep. P.N. 273; [2000] P.N.L.R. 397, Ch D 10–007, 10–009, 19–031
Baber v Kenwood Manufacturing Co [1978] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 175; (1977) 121 S.J. 606, CA (Civ Div)
Baden v Societe Generale pour Favoriser le Developpement du Commerce et de l'Industrie en France SA [1993] 1 W.L.R. 509; [1992] 4 All E.R. 161, Ch D
Badgerhill Properties Ltd v Cottrell [1991] B.C.C. 463; [1991] B.C.L.C. 805; 54 B.L.R. 23, CA (Civ Div)
Bahia and San Francisco Railway Co Ltd, Re (1867-68) L.R. 3 Q.B. 584, QB 7-023,
7-024, 7-027 Bahin v Hughes (1886) L.R. 31 Ch. D. 390, CA
S.J. 639, Ch D
Baillie v Oriental Telephone & Electric Co Ltd [1915] 1 Ch. 503, CA 19–012 Baird, Petitioner; sub nom. Baird v Lees, 1924 S.C. 83; 1923 S.L.T. 749, IH
(1 Div)
Hersey v Queens Moat Houses Plc; Porter v Queens Moat Houses Plc
[2001] EWCA Civ 712; [2002] B.C.C. 91; [2001] 2 B.C.L.C. 531 12–008, 19–031, 23–018
Baker v Potter [2004] EWHC 1422 (Ch); [2005] B.C.C. 855, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
Ch D (Companies Ct)
Ballast Plc (In Administration), Re [2004] EWHC 2356 (Ch); [2005] 1 W.L.R. 1928; [2005] 1 All E.R. 630, Ch D (Companies Ct) 28–021
Ballast Plc, Re; sub nom. St Paul Travelers Insurance Co Ltd v Dargan [2006]
EWHC 3189 (Ch); [2007] B.C.C. 620; [2007] B.P.I.R. 117, Ch D
Baltic Real Estate Ltd, Re (No.1) [1993] B.C.L.C. 498, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
(Ch); [2005] 1 W.L.R. 760; [2005] 1 All E.R. 820, Ch D
Bamelid and Malstrom v Sweden (1982) 29 D.R. 64
Bamford v Bamford [1970] Ch. 212; [1969] 2 W.L.R. 1107; [1969] 1 All E.R. 969; (1969) 113 S.J. 123, CA (Civ Div) 8–002, 17–018, 23–014
Bank of Baroda v Panessar [1987] Ch. 335; [1987] 2 W.L.R. 208; [1986] 3 All
E.R. 751, Ch D
Price Waterhouse (No.4) [1999] B.C.C. 351, Ch D
Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd v Akindele; sub
nom. BCCI v Chief Labode Onadimaki Akindele [2001] Ch. 437; [2000] 3
W.L.R. 1423; [2000] 4 All E.R. 221; [2000] Lloyd's Rep. Bank. 292; [2000] B.C.C. 968; [2000] W.T.L.R. 1049; (1999–2000) 2 I.T.E.L.R. 788;
(2000) 97(26) L.S.G. 36; (2000) 150 N.L.J. 950, CA (Civ Div)
17–070, 17–074, 17–075

Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA, Re [1992] B.C.C. 83, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA (In Liquidation) v BRS Kumar
Brothers Ltd [1994] 1 B.C.L.C. 211, Ch D
Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA (In Liquidation) (No.8), Re; sub
nom. Morris v Rayners Enterprises Inc; Morris v Agrichemicals Ltd; Bank
of Credit and Commerce International SA (No.3), Re [1998] A.C. 214;
[1997] 3 W.L.R. 909; [1997] 4 All E.R. 568, HL 25–025, 31–010
Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA (In Liquidation) (No.12), Re; sub
nom. Morris v Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
[1997] B.C.C. 561; [1997] 1 B.C.L.C. 526, Ch D (Companies Ct) 29–033
Bank of Scotland, Petitioners; sub nom. Bank of Scotland v RW Forsyth Ltd;
Bank of Scotland v Pacific Shelf (Sixty Two) Ltd, 1988 S.C. 245; 1988
S.L.T. 690; 1988 S.C.L.R. 487, IH (1 Div)
Barber v CI Ltd [2006] B.C.C. 927, Ch
Barberton Development Ltd, Petitioners (1898) 25 R. 654
Barclays Bank Plc v Eustice [1995] 1 W.L.R. 1238; [1995] 4 All E.R. 511;
[1995] B.C.C. 978, CA (Civ Div)
Barclays Mercantile Business Finance Ltd v Sibec Developments Ltd; sub nom.
Sibec Developments, Re [1992] 1 W.L.R. 1253; [1993] 2 All E.R. 195;
[1993] B.C.C. 148, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Barings Plc (In Liquidation) v Coopers & Lybrand (No.4); Barings Futures
(Singapore) Pte Ltd (In Liquidation) v Mattar (No.3) [2002] 2
B.C.L.C. 364; [2002] Lloyd's Rep. P.N. 127; [2002] P.N.L.R. 16, Ch D 22–018
Barleycorn Enterprises Ltd, Re; sub nom. Mathias & Davies v Down (Liquidator
of Barleycorn Enterprises Ltd) [1970] Ch. 465; [1970] 2 W.L.R. 898;
[1970] 2 All E.R. 155, CA (Civ Div)
Barlow Clowes Gilt Managers Ltd, Re [1992] Ch. 208; [1992] 2 W.L.R. 36;
[1991] 4 All E.R. 385, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Barlow Clowes International Ltd (In Liquidation) v Eurotrust International Ltd
[2005] UKPC 37; [2006] 1 W.L.R. 1476; [2006] 1 All E.R. 333, PC (IoM) 17–069
Barn Crown Ltd, Re [1995] 1 W.L.R. 147; [1994] 4 All E.R. 42; [1994]
B.C.C. 381, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Barned's Banking Co, Re; sub nom. Peel's Case (1866-67) L.R. 2 Ch.
App. 674, CA
Barnett Hoares & Co v South London Tramways Co (1887) L.R. 18
Q.B.D. 815, CA
Barrett v Duckett [1995] B.C.C. 362; [1995] 1 B.C.L.C. 243, CA (Civ Div) 22-007,
22–009, 22–020
Barrow Borough Transport Ltd, Re [1990] Ch. 227; [1989] 3 W.L.R. 858; (1989)
5 B.C.C. 646, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Barrow Haematite Steel Co, Re [1901] 2 Ch. 746, CA
Barrow v Paringa Mines (1909) Ltd [1909] 2 Ch. 658, Ch D
Barry Artist Ltd, Re [1985] 1 W.L.R. 1305; [1985] P.C.C. 364; (1986) 83 L.S.G.
436, Ch D
Barton v North Staffordshire Railway Co (1888) L.R. 38 Ch. D. 458, Ch D 7–026
Bath Glass Ltd, Re (1988) 4 B.C.C. 130; [1988] B.C.L.C. 329, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
Bathampton Properties, Re [1976] 1 W.L.R. 168; [1976] 3 All E.R. 200; (1976)
120 S.J. 47, Ch D
Bayoil SA, Re; sub nom. Seawind Tankers Corp v Bayoil SA [1999] 1 W.L.R.
147; [1999] 1 All E.R. 374; [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 211, CA (Civ Div) 29–008
Bayswater Trading Co Ltd, Re [1970] 1 W.L.R. 343; [1970] 1 All E.R. 608;
(1969) 114 S.J. 29, Ch D
Beacon Leisure Ltd, Re [1991] B.C.C. 213; [1992] B.C.L.C. 565, Ch D
(Companies Ct)

Beattie v E&F Beattie Ltd [1938] Ch. 708; [1938] 3 All E.R. 214, CA 4–020, 4–022 Bechaunaland Exploration Co v London Trading Bank Ltd [1898] 2 Q.B. 658,
QBD (Comm)
Beeton & Co Ltd, Re [1913] 2 Ch. 279, Ch D
Bell Houses Ltd v City Wall Properties Ltd (No.1) [1966] 2 Q.B. 656; [1966] 2
W.L.R. 1323; [1966] 2 All E.R. 674; (1967) 205 E.G. 535; (1966) 110
S.J. 268, CA
Bell's Trustee v Coatbridge Tinplate Co Ltd (1886) 14 R. 246
Bellaglade Ltd, Re [1977] 1 All E.R. 319, Ch D
Bellerby v Rowland & Marwoods Steamship Co Ltd [1902] 2 Ch. 14, CA 7–050
Belmont Finance Corp v Williams Furniture Ltd (No.2) [1980] 1 All E.R. 393,
CA (Civ Div)
Bentley & Co v Black (1893) 9 T.L.R. 580
Bernuda Cablevision Ltd v Colica Trust Co Ltd [1998] A.C. 198; [1998] 2
W.L.R. 82; [1997] B.C.C. 982, PC (Ber)
Bernasconi v Nicholas Bennett & Co [2000] B.C.C. 921; [2000] B.P.I.R. 8;
[2000] Lloyd's Rep. P.N. 285, Ch D
Berry v Tottenham Hotspur Football & Athletic Co Ltd; Stewart v Tottenham
Hotspur Football & Athletic Co Ltd [1935] Ch. 718, Ch D
Berryland Books Ltd v BK Books Ltd [2009] EWHC 1877 (Ch); [2009] 2
B.C.L.C. 709
Betts & Co Ltd v Macnaghten [1910] 1 Ch. 430, Ch D
Bhullar v Bhullar; sub nom. Bhullar Bros Ltd, Re [2003] EWCA Civ 424;
[2003] B.C.C. 711; [2003] 2 B.C.L.C. 241
Biggerstaff v Rowatt's Wharf Ltd; Howard v Rowatt's Wharf Ltd [1896] 2
Ch. 93, CA 6–021
Bilkus v King; sub nom. Clearsprings (Management) Ltd, Re [2003] EWHC
2516, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Bill Hennessey Associates Ltd, Re [1992] B.C.C. 386, Ch D (Companies Ct) 29-008
Birch v Cropper; sub nom. Bridgewater Navigation Co, Re (1889) L.R. 14 App.
Cas. 525, HL
Bird Precision Bellows Ltd, Re; sub nom. Company (No.003420 of 1981), Re
[1986] Ch. 658; [1986] 2 W.L.R. 158; [1985] 3 All E.R. 523, CA
(Civ Div)
Bird v Bird's Patent Deodorizing & Utilizing Sewage Co (1873-74) L.R. 9 Ch.
App. 358, CA in Chancery
Bisgood v Henderson's Transvaal Estates Ltd [1908] 1 Ch. 743, CA 32–015
Bishop v Bonham [1988] 1 W.L.R. 742; (1988) 4 B.C.C. 347; [1988]
B.C.L.C. 656; [1988] F.L.R. 282; (1988) 132 S.J. 933, CA (Civ Div) 7–037
Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd (No.2), Re [1994] B.C.C. 732, Ch D
(Companies Ct)
Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd v Maxwell (No.2) [1993]
B.C.L.C. 1282
Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd (In Liquidation) v Maxwell (No.1)
[1994] 1 All E.R. 261; [1993] B.C.C. 120; [1993] B.C.L.C. 1282, CA
(Civ Div)
Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd (In Provisional Liquidation) v Maxwell;
Cooper (Provisional Liquidator of Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd)
v Maxwell; Mirror Group Newspapers Plc v Maxwell [1993] Ch. 1; [1992] 2
W.L.R. 991; [1992] 2 All E.R. 856, CA (Civ Div)
Biss, Re; sub nom. Biss v Biss [1903] 2 Ch. 40, CA
Bissill v Bradford Tramways [1891] W.N. 51
Black & Co's case (1872) L.R. 8 Ch. 254
Black v Homersham (1878–79) L.R. 4 Ex. D. 24, Exchequer Div
Black v United Collieries Ltd (1904) 7 F. 18; (1904) 12 S.L.T. 373, IH (2 Div) 29-011
Blackiston v London and Scottish Banking Ltd (1894) 21 R. 417 14-013

Blackspur Group Plc, Re; sub nom. Eastaway v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry [2007] EWCA Civ 425; [2007] B.C.C. 550; [2008] 1 B.C.L.C. 15
Blackspur Group Plc (No.3), Re; sub nom. Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Eastaway (Undertakings); Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Davies (No.3) [2001] EWCA Civ 1595; [2004] B.C.C. 839;
[2002] 2 B.C.L.C. 263
O.P.L.R. 179, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Blair v Vallely [2000] W.T.L.R. 615, HC (NZ)
Blakely Ordnance Co, Re 204123; sub nom. Stocken's Case (1867–68) L.R. 3 Ch. App. 412, CA in Chancery
Bleriot Manufacturing Aircraft Co (1916) 32 T.L.R. 25
Bloomenthal v Ford; sub nom. Veuve Monnier et Ses Fils Ltd (In Liquidation),
Re; Veuve Monnier et Ses Fils Ltd Ex p. Bloomenthal, Re, HL
Blue Arrow, Re (1987) 3 B.C.C. 618; [1987] B.C.L.C. 585; [1988] P.C.C. 306, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Blue Star Security Services (Scotland) Ltd, Petitioners, 1992 S.L.T. (Sh. Ct.) 80,
Sh Pr
Bluebrook Ltd, Re [2009] EWHC 2114, Ch D
Bluston & Bramley Ltd v Leigh [1950] 2 K.B. 548; [1950] 2 All E.R. 29; 66 T.L.R. (Pt. 2) 103, KBD
Blyth's Trustees v Milne (1905) 7 F. 799; (1905) 13 S.L.T. 292, IH (1 Div) 12–011
Boardman v Phipps; sub nom. Phipps v Boardman [1967] 2 A.C. 46; [1966] 3
W.L.R. 1009; [1966] 3 All E.R. 721, HL
17–042, 17–043, 17–044, 17–045.
17–046, 17–050
Bolivia Exploration Syndicate Ltd, Re [1914] 1 Ch. 139, Ch D 21-027, 21-030
Bolkiah v KPMG; sub nom. HRH Prince Jefri Bolkiah v KPMG [1999] 2 A.C. 222; [1999] 2 W.L.R. 215; [1999] 1 All E.R. 517, HL
Bolsover DC v Dennis Rye Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 372
Bolton, Re; sub nom. Bolton Ex p. North British Artificial Silk Ltd, Re [1930]
2 Ch. 48, Ch D
[1902] 1 Ch. 353, Ch D
Bondina Ltd v Rollaway Shower Blinds Ltd; sub nom. Bondina Ltd v Ward;
Bondina Ltd v McMahon [1986] 1 W.L.R. 517; [1986] 1 All E.R. 564;
(1985) 1 B.C.C. 99590; [1986] P.C.C. 325; [1986] E.C.C. 260; [1986] F.L.R. 266; (1986) 83 L.S.G. 36; (1986) 136 N.L.J. 116; (1985) 130 S.J.
264, CA (Civ Div)
Bonelli's Telegraph Co, Re; sub nom. Collie's Claim (1871) L.R. 12 Eq. 246, Ct
of Chancery
Bonus Breaks Ltd, Re [1991] B.C.C. 546, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Borland's Trustee v Steel Bros & Co Ltd [1901] 1 Ch. 279, Ch D
Borough Commercial and Building Society, Re [1893] 2 Ch. 242, Ch D
Bostels, Re [1968] Ch. 346; [1967] 3 W.L.R. 1359; [1967] 3 All E.R. 425,
Ch D
Boston Timber Fabrications Ltd, Re (1984) 1 B.C.C. 99052, CA (Civ Div) 29–018
Boswell (Steels), Re; sub nom. Company (No.001567 of 1988), Re (1989) 5
B.C.C. 145, Ch D (Companies Ct)
Bouboulis v Mann Macneal & Co Ltd, 1926 S.C. 637; 1926 S.L.T. 417, IH (1 Div)
Rovey Hotel Ventures Ltd. Re. unreported. June 10, 1982. CA 22_044