Curran & The Legal Needs of the Public



## The Legal Needs of the Public

The Final Report of a National Survey

## Barbara A. Curran

A Joint Undertaking by
The American Bar Association
Special Committee to Survey Legal Needs
and
The American Bar Foundation



The analyses, conclusions, and opinions expressed herein are solely the responsibility of the author, and not those of the American Bar Association, the Special Committee to Survey Legal Needs, the American Bar Foundation, or the following funding sources:

American Bar Endowment
Carnegie Corporation of New York
The Edna McConnell Clark Foundation
International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans
International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agriculcultural Implement Workers of America (UAW)
Russell Sage Foundation

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 77-85325 ISBN 0-910058-82-2

 $\textcircled{\textbf{C}}$ American Bar Foundation, Chicago, Illinois

PRINTED IN U.S.A.

# The Legal Needs of the Public The Final Report of a National Survey

## American Bar Association Special Committee to Survey Legal Needs

Randolph W. Thrower, *Chairman* Member of the Georgia Bar Atlanta, Georgia

H. William Allen (1971–74) Member of the Arkansas Bar Little Rock, Arkansas

Richard H. Allen Member of the Tennessee Bar Memphis, Tennessee

Dennis W. Archer (1974——) Member of the Michigan Bar Detroit, Michigan

Warren H. Dawson (1973——) Member of the Florida Bar Tampa, Florida

Richard K. Donohue Member of the Massachusetts Bar Lowell. Massachusetts

David N. Edelstein Chief Judge, U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York New York City Joanne M. Garvey (1973——) Member of the California Bar San Francisco, California

Robert O. Hetlage (1971–73) Member of the Missouri Bar St. Louis, Missouri

Ralph N. Jackson President, Southwest Administrators, Inc. Shreveport, Louisiana

Dallin H. Oaks President, Brigham Young University Provo, Utah

Wm. Reece Smith, Jr. (1971–73) Member of the Florida Bar Tampa, Florida

#### Staff

Francis O. Spalding, Reporter to the Committee Professor of Law Northwestern University

#### **ABA Staff Liaison**

David C. Long, 1971–72 Filmore E. Rose, 1972–74 Linda Castle, 1974–76 Connie Berg, 1976——

This report and the survey upon which it is based were made possible by funds granted by:

American Bar Association
American Bar Endowment
Carnegie Corporation of New York
The Edna McConnell Clark Foundation
International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans
International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural
Implement Workers of America (UAW)
Russell Sage Foundation

#### The American Bar Association 1977-78

#### Officers

Wm. B. Spann, Jr., President, of the Georgia Bar S. Shepherd Tate, President-Elect, of the Tennessee Bar Stanley M. Brown, Chairman, House of Delegates, of the New Hampshire Bar Herbert D. Sledd, Secretary, of the Kentucky Bar F. Wm. McCalpin, Assistant Secretary, of the Missouri Bar J. David Andrews, Treasurer, of the Washington State Bar Arthur W. Leibold, Jr., Assistant Treasurer, of the Washington, D.C. Bar Bert H. Early, Executive Director

#### **Board of Governors**

ex officio:

The President

The President-Elect

The Chairman of the House of Delegates

The Secretary

The Treasurer

Justin A. Stanley, Immediate Past President, of the Illinois Bar

First District: Hector Reichard, Jr., of the Puerto Rico Bar Second District: Austin B. Noble, of the Vermont Bar Third District: Adrian M. Foley, Jr., of the New Jersey Bar Fourth District: Norman P. Ramsey, of the Maryland Bar Fifth District: Wesley M. Walker, of the South Carolina Bar Sixth District: Thomas W. Leigh, of the Louisiana Bar Seventh District: Wallace D. Riley, of the Michigan Bar Eighth District: Albert E. Jenner, Jr., of the Illinois Bar Ninth District: John A. Kluwin, of the Wisconsin Bar Tenth District: Philip H. Lewis, of the Kansas Bar Eleventh District: Morris Harrell, of the Texas Bar Twelfth District: Alfred M. Pence, of the Wyoming Bar Thirteenth District: Kendrick Smith, of the Montana Bar Fourteenth District: Procter Hug, Jr., of the Nevada Bar Young Lawyer Member-at-Large: H. William Allen, of the Arkansas Bar Judicial Member-at-Large: William H. Erickson, Colorado Supreme Court, Denver

#### The American Bar Foundation

is engaged in research on legal problems and the legal profession. Its mission is to conduct research that will enlarge the understanding and improve the functioning of law and legal institutions. The Foundation's work is supported by the American Bar Association, the American Bar Endowment, The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation, and by outside funds granted for particular research projects.

#### **Board of Directors** 1977

Bernard G. Segal, *President*, of the Pennsylvania Bar Robert W. Meserve, *Vice-President*, of the Massachusetts Bar Phil C. Neal, *Secretary*, University of Chicago Law School J. David Andrews, *Treasurer*, of the Washington State Bar John J. Creedon, of the New York Bar Hon. Erwin N. Griswold, of the District of Columbia Bar Hon. Patricia Roberts Harris, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C.

Seth M. Hufstedler, of the California Bar F. Wm. McCalpin, of the Missouri Bar Vincent L. McKusick, of the Maine Bar Maynard J. Toll, of the California Bar David E. Ward, Jr., of the Florida Bar

#### ex officio:

Wm. B. Spann, Jr., President, American Bar Association
S. Shepherd Tate, President-Elect, American Bar Association
Stanley M. Brown, Chairman, House of Delegates, American Bar Association
J. David Andrews, Treasurer, American Bar Association
Wm. Reece Smith, Jr., President, American Bar Endowment
Lyman M. Tondel, Jr., Chairman, The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation
James C. Dezendorf, Vice-Chairman, The Fellows of the American Bar

#### Research Committee

Foundation

Ray Garrett, Jr., Chairman, of the Illinois Bar, Chicago Francis A. Allen, University of Michigan Law School, Ann Arbor John Gavin, of the Washington State Bar Lloyd N. Cutler, of the District of Columbia Bar Roderick M. Hills, of the California Bar, Washington, D.C. Hon. Charles W. Joiner, U.S. District Court, Detroit Richard A. Posner, University of Chicago Law School Oscar M. Ruebhausen, of the New York Bar, New York City Robert L. Stern, of the Illinois Bar, Chicago Stanton Wheeler, Yale Law School

#### Administration

Spencer L. Kimball, Executive Director
Barbara A. Curran, Associate Executive Director
Donald M. McIntyre, Associate Executive Director
Louis B. Potter, Assistant Executive Director
Benjamin S. Jones, Accounting Officer
Bette H. Sikes, Director of Publications
Olavi Maru, Librarian

## American Bar Foundation Legal Needs of the Public Project Staff

#### Barbara A. Curran, Project Director

Project Social Scientists Inge Fryklund Kenneth P. Fisher **Project Administrator** Maureen J. Thomas Technical Service Directors Clara Carson Katherine Rosich Phyllis Satkus

**Project Consultants** 

Yakov Avichai, Mathematical Statistician Barlow F. Christensen, Research Attorney Felice J. Levine, Research Social Scientist Dorothy Linder Maddi, Research Social Scientist

Research Assistants

Kenneth Barry Bruce Bell Jeanne Blake Jeanette M. Boulet Lee Browning David Campbell Muluwork Girma Fred Kurland Carol McGeehan Cathy Munson Joyce Pollard Jeffrey Potter Marilyn Redman Robert Reynolds James Ricks Frank Sanello Ora Schub Debbie Senn Dietmar Starke Kenneth Wilson Gregory Wrobel Frank Wrobel **Project Secretaries**Jo Muster
Connie Schroeder

Research Associates Donna Fossum Mark Granfors

**Production**Lindsay Sutherland
Elaine Hill Martina

### List of Tables

2.1	Disposition of Net Sample	34
2.2	Distribution of Adults by Age	35
2.3	Distribution of Households by Relationship of Nonrespondent/	
	Respondent to Head of Household	35
2.4	Distribution of Adults by Sex	36
2.5	Weighting Values Applied to Obtained Sample of Households	36
2.6	Distributions of Weighted and Unweighted Samples by Number	
	of Adults in Household	37
2.7	Random Error by Size of Sample Group and by Sample	
	Percentage	38
2.8	Random Error for Difference Between Proportions	39
2.9	Illustrations of Effect of Nonresponse Bias on Population	
	Estimates	40
3.1	Distributions by Size of Household	55
3.2	Distributions by Type of Household	56
3.3	Distributions by Age	57
3.4	Distributions by Sex	58
3.5	Distributions by Race	58
3.6	Distributions by Marital Status	59
3.7	Distributions by Education	67
3.8	Occupations of Married Persons Employed at Survey Date or	
	Previously Employed for at Least One Year	68
3.9	Employment Status of Respondents and Spouses by Sex and	
	Marital Status	68
3.10	Distribution of Obtained Sample by Income Quintile by	
	Family Size	70
3.11	Weighted Average Thresholds at the Low-Income Level in 1973	
	by Family Size	71
3.12	Distribution of Obtained Sample by Discretionary Family	
	Income Quintile by Family Size	72

4.1	Distribution of Respondents by Total Number of Problems	
	Encountered (Based on 29 Problems)	100
4.2	Mean Number of Problems Encountered and Coefficient of	
	Variation by Age	100
4.3	Mean Number of Problems Encountered and Coefficient of	
	Variation by Race/Ethnicity and Age	101
4.4	Mean Number of Problems Encountered by 1973 Discretionary	
	Family Income and Age	101
4.5	Number of Problems Encountered: Coefficient of Variation by	
	1973 Discretionary Family Income and Age	102
4.6	Mean Number of Problems Encountered by Education and Age	102
4.7	Number of Problems Encountered: Coefficient of Variation by	110 10011100
	Education and Age	102
4.8	Rates of Problem Incidence	103
4.9	Distribution of Respondents with Complaints about Municipal	
	Services by Type of Service That Was the Subject of Most	
	Recent Complaint	115
4.10	Distribution of Respondents Reporting Difficulties with Local	
	Agencies by Agency Involved in Most Recent Difficulty	116
4.11	Distribution of Respondents Reporting Difficulties with State	
	Agencies by Agency Involved in Most Recent Difficulty	116
4.12	Distribution of Respondents Reporting Difficulties with Federal	
	Agencies by Agency Involved in Most Recent Difficulty	117
4.13	Distribution of Constitutional Violations by Subject Matter	121
4.14	Distribution of Reasons Given for Discriminatory Action against	
	Respondent	122
4.15	Real Property: Number of Lawyer Consultations per 1,000	
	Most Recent Problem Occurrences by Problem Type	144
4.16	Estate of Spouse: Number of Lawyer Consultations per 1,000	
	Most Recent Occurrences by Size of Estate	145
4.17	Torts: Number of Lawyer Consultations per 1,000 Most Recent	
	Problem Occurrences by Problem Type	145
4.18	Governmental Matters: Number of Lawyer Consultations per	
	1,000 Most Recent Problem Occurrences by Problem Type	146
4.19	Consumer Matters: Number of Lawyer Consultations per 1,000	
	Most Recent Problem Occurrences by Problem Type	146
4.20	Comparison of Lawyer Use/Incidence Ratios for Males and	
	Females in Divorce Matters by Who Was Divorce Petitioner	148
4.21	Lawyer Use/Incidence Ratios for Real Property Acquisitions	
	and Other Real Property Matters by 1973 Discretionary	
	Family Income	154

4.22	Lawyer Use/Incidence Ratios for Problems with Government by 1973 Discretionary Family Income	154
4.23	Lawyer Use/Incidence Ratios for Divorce by 1973 Discretionary	104
7.20	Family Income	155
4.24	Lawyer Use/Incidence Ratios for Consumer Matters by 1973 Discretionary Family Income	156
4.25	Lawyer Use/Incidence Ratios for Torts Problems by 1973 Discretionary Family Income	156
4.26	Proportion of Problem-Havers Who Turned to Their Insurers for Tort Problems by 1973 Discretionary Family Income	157
4.27	Yearly Lawyer Use Rate by Problem Type	161
5.1	Lawyer Users per 1,000 Adult Population Controlled for	107
E 2	Race/Ethnicity and Sex	187 190
5.2 5.3	Distribution of Lawyer Users by Total Number of Cases  Mean Number of Cases Controlled for Race/Ethnicity and	190
J.J	Sex	191
5.4	Distribution of Lawyer Users by Type of Lawyer Consulted for Most Recent Case Controlled for Problem Type	200
5.5	Distribution of Lawyer Users by the Way Lawyer for Most Recent Case Was Selected Controlled for First or Subsequent Selection	201
5.6	Distribution of Lawyer Users by the Way Most Recent Lawyer Was Selected Controlled for Problem Type	202
5.7	Distribution of Users by Source Used in Selecting Most Recent Lawyer	203
5.8	Distribution of Users by Problem Type at Most Recent Case	203
5.9	Distribution of Users by Disposition of Case	204
5.10	Distribution of Reasons Mentioned by Users as to Why Lawyer Did Not Proceed with Most Recent Case	205
5.11	Distribution of Users Whose Most Recent Cases Were Completed by Results Achieved for Each Problem Type	205
5.12	Distribution of Users Who Paid No Fees for Most Recent Case by Reason	207
5.13	Proportion of Most Recent Cases in Which Lawyer Charged No Fee for Each Problem Type by Limited and Unlimited	207
	Service	208
	Range of Fees and Median and Mean Fees Charged for Each Problem Type	208
5.15	Distribution of Users by Ratings and Mean Rating for Each Lawyer Characteristic	210

5.16	Mean Ratings for Each Lawyer Characteristic Controlled for Type of User	211
5.17	Mean Lawyer Assessment Score for Each Problem Type Ranked in Descending Order	212
6.1	Consulting Lawyers: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	228
6.2	Work of Lawyers: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	229
6.3	Lawyer-Client Exchange: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	230
6.4	Cost of Lawyers' Services: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	231
6.5	Lawyers' Ethics: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	232
6.6	The Courts: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	232
6.7	The Legal System: Proportion of Respondents Expressing Agreement with Statements Presented	234
6.8	Responses to Attitude Statements by Nonusers, One-Time Users, and Multiple Users of Lawyers' Services	235
6.9	Responses to Attitude Statements by Nonusers and by Users of Lawyers' Services Controlled for Whether Most Recent Experiences Were Neutral, Positive, or Negative	238
7.1	Summary of Information about Problem Incidence and Lawyer Use	260

## List of Figures

56
57
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
69
72
74
103
106
106
107
07
108
50
109
1 1

4.7	Number of adults with consumer problems per 1,000 adult	110
	population by sex and age at survey date	110
4.8	Mean years of school completed by age at survey date and consumer problem	110
4.9	Number of adults with a will or trust per 1,000 adult	
	population by race/ethnicity and age at survey date	111
4.10	estate planning	112
4.11	Mean 1973 discretionary family income by age at survey date and estate planning	112
<b>4.</b> 12	Number of adults ever widowed per 1,000 adult population by sex and age at survey date	113
4.13	Mean years of school completed by age at survey date and divorce	114
4.14	Number of adults who ever had a tort problem per 1,000 adult population by sex and age at survey date	117
4.15	Number of adults who ever had a tort problem per 1,000 adult population by race/ethnicity and age at survey date	118
4.16	Mean years of school completed by age at survey date and tort problem	119
4.17	Mean 1973 discretionary family income by age at survey date and tort problem	119
4.18	Mean years of school completed by age at survey date and constitutional rights problem	121
4.19	Lifetime encounters with problem categories by sex	123
4.20	Lifetime encounters with problem categories by race/ethnicity	124
4.21	Mean years of school completed for persons in each general problem category who ever had a problem in that category compared with mean education for persons who never had a	
	problem in that category	126
4.22	Mean 1973 discretionary family income for persons in each general problem category who ever had a problem in that category compared with mean income for persons who never	
	had a problem in that category	127
4.23	Lifetime encounters with problem categories by age at survey	
	date	129
4.24	Yearly incidence rate by problem category	130
4.25	J	132
4.26 4.27	Lawyer use for most recent occurrence of each of 29 problems Action taken and resource use for most recent occurrence of	135
	each problem type	137
4.28	Lawyer use in relation to resource use for most recent occurrence of each problem type	139

4.29	Lawyer use for most recent occurrence within each problem category	143
4.30	Lawyer use for most recent occurrences within each problem category by sex	147
4.31	Lawyer use for most recent occurrences within each problem category by race/ethnicity	150
4.32	Lawyer use for most recent occurrences within each problem category by mean income	153
4.33	Lawyer use for most recent occurrences within each problem category by mean education	158
5.1 5.2 5.3	Distribution of lawyer users by age Lawyers users per 1,000 adult population by age Lawyer users per 1,000 adult population by age and	187 188
	race/ethnicity	188
<ul><li>5.4</li><li>5.5</li></ul>	Mean 1973 discretionary family income for lawyer users and for nonusers by age at survey date  Mean years of school completed for lawyer users and for	189
	nonusers by age at survey date	189
5.6	Lawyer users with more than one Case by number of Cases and diversity of lawyer use	191
5.7 5.8	Mean number of Cases per lawyer user by age  Mean number of Cases per lawyer user by rank in adult	191
5.9	population based on 1973 discretionary family income Mean 1973 discretionary family income for multiple-Case users, for one-Case users, and for nonusers by age at survey	192
5.10 5.11	Mean number of Cases per lawyer user by education  Mean years of school completed at survey date for multiple-	192 193
5.12	Case users, for one-Case users, and for nonusers by age at survey date  Distribution of lawyer users by age at initial Case	194 194
5.13	Distribution of lawyer users by age at initial Case by problem type	195
5.14	Aggregate number of problems taken to lawyers per 1,000 adult population by problem type	196
5.15	Aggregate number of problems taken to lawyers per 1,000 adult population by problem type and sex	197
5.16	Aggregate number of problems taken to lawyers per 1,000 adult population by problem type and race/ethnicity	197
5.17		198

5.18	Mean 1973 discretionary family income for aggregate problems	
	taken to lawyers by problem type	198
5.19	Mean years of school completed for aggregate problems taken to lawyers by problem type	199
5.20	Number of unaccepted or discontinued Cases per 100 Cases by	100
5.20		204
5.21	Mean rating on competence of lawyer's work on completed Cases	204
0.21	by problem type	206
5.22	Number of Cases in which fee was considered too high per 100	
	Cases by problem type	210
5.23	Mean lawyer ratings on each characteristic for each problem	
	type based on most recent Case	213
0.1	Complete Language Description of account to the companies	
6.1	Consulting Lawyers: Proportion of respondents expressing	240
0.0	agreement with statements presented by race/ethnicity	240
6.2	Work of Lawyers: Proportion of respondents expressing	242
0.0	agreement with statements presented by race/ethnicity	243
6.3	Lawyer-Client Exchange: Proportion of respondents expressing	040
	agreement with statements presented by race/ethnicity	246
6.4	Cost of Lawyers' Services: Proportion of respondents	
	expressing agreement with statements presented by race/	0.40
	ethnicity	248
6.5	Lawyers' Ethics: Proportion of respondents expressing	
	agreement with statements presented by race/ethnicity	249
6.6	The Courts: Proportion of respondents expressing agreement	
	with statements presented by race/ethnicity	251
6.7	The Legal System: Proportion of respondents expressing	
	agreement with statements presented by race/ethnicity	252