Professional Responsibility

Ronald D. Rotunda

BLACK LETTER OUTLINES

Professional Responsibility

Ronald D. Rotunda

The Doy & Dee Henley Chair and Distinguished Professor of Jurisprudence Chapman University School of Law

NINTH EDITION



A Thomson Reuters business

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Black Letter Series and Black Letter Series design appearing on the front cover are trademarks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

COPYRIGHT © 1984, 1988, 1992, 1995 WEST PUBLISHING CO.

© West, a Thomson business, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2008

© 2011 Thomson Reuters 610 Opperman Drive St. Paul, MN 55123 1–800–313–9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-0-314-27553-0

Professional Responsibility

West's Law School Advisory Board

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Berkeley

JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego Professor of Law, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Professor of Law, Washington & Lee University School of Law

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

To Kyndra

Preface

It is said that the future will bring us not only more change but also an increase in the rate of change. That has certainly been true in the area of legal ethics. Since the American Bar Association first proposed its Model Rules of PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, in August of 1983, there have been many important additions to this model law, dealing with issues such as the sale of a law practice, government subpoenas of attorneys, direct mail lawyer advertising, unauthorized practice, and so forth. The work of ABA Commission 2000 resulted in substantial changes to the Model Rules in 2002, and more changes in 2003 and again in 2009. In addition, new court decisions and state and ABA ethics opinions, changes in multi-disciplinary practice, and the American Law Institute's entrance into the world of legal ethics, with its Restatement, Third, of the Law Governing Lawyers (2000), have all conspired to make this new edition a necessity. The law of judicial ethics also has not stood still. In 1990 the ABA proposed a new Model Code of JUDICIAL CONDUCT, which has already been amended to take into account developments in the case law. In 2007, the ABA approved an entirely revamped new Model Code of Judicial Conduct, and added a few modifications in 2010. And, of course, the case law and literature dealing with that topic have similarly increased. This edition keeps pace with these new developments.

I am grateful that the previous eight editions have appealed to a diverse group of readers: law students studying for law courses in legal ethics and the bar examination on that same subject; practitioners who represent lawyers in malpractice cases and discipline proceedings, or who want to evaluate ethics issues that confront them in their daily practice; academics teaching in the area; and judges. I am particularly pleased that judges have told me that they have found

this book to be valuable, and some have even cited it. E.g., *Pearson v. Parsons*, 541 So.2d 447, 452 (Miss. 1989); *Attorney Grievance Commission v. Ficker*, 319 Md. 305, 312, 572 A.2d 501, 504 (Ct.App. 1990); *Freeman v. Crown Mining, Inc.*, 90 Ohio App. 3d 546, 554, 555, 630 N.E.2d 19, 24, 25 (Ct. App. 1993); *In Re Disciplinary Proceedings Against Marks*, 265 Wis.2d 1, 20, 665 N.W.2d 836, 846 (2003) (per curiam); *Tyler v. State*, 47 P.3d 1095, 1106 (Alaska App. 2001). I hope that this new edition will be even more useful than the previous ones.

More than a few people have aided me in completing this new edition. I thank Maria Sanchez, my faculty assistant, and Professor W. William Hodes for his helpful suggestions. I am also grateful to Jennifer M. Fry, Chapman University School of Law, J.D., 2011, and James V. Bilek, Chapman School of Law, J.D. 2012, for their helpful research assistance and proofing.

In any work such as this, it is almost inevitable that a few errors might creep in, notwithstanding my efforts, the efforts of my computer spelling check, and the efforts of West Publishing's editorial staff. If any gentle reader finds any such errors, I am hopeful that one or more of the people I mentioned in the previous paragraph will assume responsibility. And, in mitigation, I plead the words of Judge Henry de Bracton over 750 years ago:

"I ask the reader, if he finds in this work anything superfluous or erroneous, to correct and amend it, pass it over with eyes half closed, for to keep all in mind and err in nothing is divine rather than human."

2 H. de Bracton, Bracton on the Laws and Customs of England 20 (S. Thorne trans. 1968) (circa 1250).

RONALD D. ROTUNDA

Vaitape, Bora Bora July 2011

Summary of Contents

CAPSULE PERSPEC	SUMMARYTIVE	23
PAR' I. II. III. IV.	T I: DEFINING DISCIPLINABLE CONDUCT The Distinction Between Disciplinable and Aspirational Conduct The "Appearance of Impropriety" Defining Disciplinable Conduct Jurisdiction	33 34 35 42
■ PAR BAR AI I. II.	T II: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO SUPPORT DMISSIONS AND THE DISCIPLINARY SYSTEM Application to the Bar The Lawyer's Role Regarding Reporting Disciplinable Violations of Lawyers The Lawyer's Role Regarding Judges and Candidates for the Judiciary	51 55 64
■ PAR I. II. IV. V. VI.	T III: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality Conflicts of Interest The Duty of Competence and the Scope of Representation Fees Accepting, Declining, and Terminating Representation Trust Fund Accounts	75 98 170 182 191 195

PART IV: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION AS A MEMBE	ΞR
OF A FIRM	
I. Introduction	208
II. Supervisory Responsibility Over Other Lawyers or Nonlawyer	
	208
III. Responsibility of a Lawyer Subject to Supervision by Another	
	210
	210
V. Unauthorized Practice of Law and Multijurisdictional Practice of	
	214
VI. Agreements to Restrict the Right to Practice Law	222
■ PART V: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATIONS REGARDIN	IG
	40
ADVERTISING AND SOLICITATION	
3	233
	244
III. Political Contributions to Obtain Government Legal Work or Legal	
Engagements or Appointments by Judges	253
■ PART VI: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION NOT TO MISUS	SE
THE OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT	
,	260
II. The Revolving Door	263
III. Special Responsibilities of a Public Prosecutor	269
■ PART VII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION AS	AN
ADVOCATE	-X1 W
I. Frivolous and Dilatory Positions	
	281
III. Responsibilities Toward Opposing Counsel and Other Persons	295
■ PART VIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATIONS AS ADVIS	ER
I. Adviser Versus Advocate	311

	II. III. IV.	Mediation Among Multiple Clients	315
		T IX: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATIONS REGARDING ONO ACTIVITIES Engaging in Pro Bono Activities Fees The Decision to Accept a Pro Bono Case Mandatory Pro Bono Service Law Reform Activities Affecting Private Clients	320 324 326 328 332
	PAR' I. II. IV.	T X: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATIONS AS A JUDG An Introductory Note Personal Behavior Canon 3—Extrajudicial Activities Canon 4—Political and Campaign Activities	342 349 419 441
App. A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I.	A Sar The A Mode Major ABA MPRI Text 0 Gloss Table	rers to Review Questions Imple Examination American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct El Court Rule on Provision of Legal Services Following Determination of r Disaster Model Code of Judicial Conduct February 2007 E Sample Questions Correlation Chart Fary Of Cases	455 461 465 571 575 715 735 741 753 761

Table of Contents

I.	The Distinction Between Disciplinable and Aspirational Conduct .
	A. Ethical Considerations
	B. Disciplinary Rules
	C. The Model Rules
II.	The "Appearance of Impropriety"
	A. The Former Model Code
	B. The Current Model Rules
Ш.	Defining Disciplinable Conduct
	A. Acts Not Done in a Legal Capacity
	B. Categories of Disciplinable Conduct
	1. Violating a Disciplinary Rule
	2. Criminal Acts
	3. Conduct Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or
	Misrepresentation
9270	4. Other Conduct
IV.	Jurisdiction
	A. Acts Done Outside of the Jurisdiction
	1. In General
	2. Rationale
	3. Choice of Law Problems
	B. Statutes of Limitations
PAR	T II: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO SUPPO

П.	 No Material Falsity Correction of Misapprehensions Requested Information Furthering the Application of Candidates for the Bar and Whistle–Blowing The Lawyer's Role Regarding Reporting Disciplinable Violations of Lawyers 	51 52 52 53
	A. The General Rule	55
	B. The Applicability of the Rule to the Lawyer's Own Conduct	60
	1. Blowing the Whistle on Oneself	60
	2. The Duty to Cooperate With Discipline Authorities	61
	C. Applicability of Privilege	62
	1. Self–Incrimination	62
	2. Client Confidences	63
III.	The Lawyer's Role Regarding Judges and Candidates for the	(1
	Judiciary	64
	A. Lawyers Criticizing and Defending Judges and Judicial Candidates	64
	1. Whistle–Blowing	64
	2. False Statements	65
	3. Duty to Defend	66
	B. Candidates for Judicial Office	67
	T III: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE	NT
■ PAR	THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality	NT 75
	TIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical	NT
	TIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege	NT 75 75 75
	TIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known	75 75 75 77
	TIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients.	NT 75 75 75 77 79
	TIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications	NT 75 75 77 79 82
	TIII: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates	NT 75 75 75 77 79
	The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for	75 75 77 79 82 82
	Till: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for an Entity	NT 75 75 77 79 82
	Till: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for an Entity B. Specific Prohibitions on Using or Revealing Confidential or Secret	75 75 77 79 82 82 82
	Till: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for an Entity	75 75 77 79 82 82
	The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for an Entity B. Specific Prohibitions on Using or Revealing Confidential or Secret Information	75 75 75 77 79 82 82 82
	The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for an Entity B. Specific Prohibitions on Using or Revealing Confidential or Secret Information 1. Revealing Client Information	75 75 75 77 79 82 82 82 82 83
	Till: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION TO THE CLIE The Duty of Confidentiality A. The General Rule 1. The Distinction Between the Evidentiary and the Ethical Privilege 2. Rationale and Applicability to Information Generally Known 3. Extension of the Protection to Prospective and Former Clients 4. Intrafirm Communications 5. Controlling Subordinates 6. Applicability to Government Lawyers and Other Lawyers for an Entity B. Specific Prohibitions on Using or Revealing Confidential or Secret Information 1. Revealing Client Information 2. Using Client Information to the Disadvantage of a Client	75 75 75 77 79 82 82 82 82 83

		1.	Client Co	onsent	85
		2.	Lawyer's	Discretion to Reveal Client Information	86
		3.		b)(1): To Prevent Reasonably Certain Death or	
				al Bodily Harm	87
		4.		b)(2) & (b)(3): When the Client <i>Intends</i> to Commit a	
				Fraud or the Lawyer Seeks to <i>Rectify</i> a Serious	
				Harm that the Client Caused Using the Lawyer's	
					89
		5.		b)(4): To Secure Legal Advice from Another Lawyer .	90
		6.		b)(5): To Collect a Fee & To Respond to Allegations	,,
				nduct	91
		7.		b)(6): When Other Law or a Court Order Permit or	71
		7.			94
		0	_	Disclosure	96
II.	Co	8. n e lia		Notice of Withdrawal	
11.					98
	A.			tionale	98
	В.			Representation	99
		1.		Clients in the Same Matter	99
				eneral	99
				tigation	99
		-2		egotiation	103
		2.	-	ting Clients Notwithstanding the Existence of a	
				Conflict of Interest	104
		3.	-	roblem Areas	106
			4 5	ring Consent	106
			(i)	Full Disclosure	106
			(ii)	Prospective Waivers; Waivers in Advance	106
			(iii)	The "Hot Potato" Doctrine	108
				Organization as a Client	109
			(i)	Representing the Entity	109
			(ii)	The Approach of the Model Code	110
			(iii)	The Approach of the Model Rules	110
			(iv)	Actual or Apparent Representation of the	
				Organization and One or More of Its Constituents	115
			(v)	Representing Partnerships	115
			(vi)	Derivative Suits	116
			(vii)	The Lawyer as Director of the Corporate Client \ldots	117
			(viii)	Private Attorneys on the Board of Legal Services	
				Organizations	118
			(ix)	Examining Client as Adverse Witness	118
			(x)	Corporate Family Issues	120
			(xi)	Identifying the Client When Representing a	
				Government Entity	123

		(c)	Attorney for the Insured and Insurer	124
		(d)	Positional Conflicts	130
		(e)	Moving to Disqualify Opposing Counsel	133
		(f)	Aggregate Settlements	134
		(g)	Job Negotiations with Adverse Firm or Party	136
		(h)	When Lawyer Represents Another Lawyer While the Two	
			Lawyers Represent Clients Whose Interests are Adverse	137
		(i)	The Lawyer as Third-Party Neutral, Such as Arbitrator or	
			Mediator	138
		(j)	Comparing the Lawyer as a Third-Party Neutral to the	
			Lawyer as Representing Multiple Parties	139
		(k)	Avoiding the Conflict by Withdrawing from a Discrete	
			Part of the Case	140
	C.	Success	sive Representation	141
	D.	The At	torney's Personal and Financial Interests	145
		1. No	onfinancial Interests	145
		2. Fin	nancial Interests	146
		(a)	Business Dealings With Others	146
		(b)	Business Dealings With the Client	146
		(c)	Gifts From the Client	148
			(i) That Do Not Require Instruments	148
			(ii) Lawyer Seeking to Be Named as Executor	150
			(iii) That Require Instruments	150
		(d)	Publication Rights	151
		(e)	Financial Advances to the Client	152
		(f)	Limiting Malpractice Liability	153
			Suing Parties Represented by the Lawyer's Relatives	154
	E.	The Ac	dvocate as Witness	155
	F.		ous Disqualification & Imputed Conflicts of Interest	157
		1. Int	roduction	157
		2. Ap	oplication to Government and Former Government Lawyers .	158
			aiver and Screening	158
			fining the "Firm"	161
		5. La	wyers Currently Associated in a Firm	161
			hen a Lawyer Moves From One Firm to Another	162
			Introduction	162
		(b)	When a Lawyer Joins a Firm—the Question of Double	
			Imputation	164
		(c)	When a Lawyer Leaves a Firm—Screening and	
			Imputation	165
		(d)	Burden of Proof	168
	G.	Sanctio	ons	168
111.	Th	e Duty	of Competence and the Scope of Representation	170
	A.	Client	Control	170

		B. Competence	173			
			173			
			175			
			176			
			177			
	1	D. The Incompetent Client	180			
17	IV. Fees					
		A. Basic Principles	182			
			182			
			186			
			186			
		4. Suing to Collect Fees	186			
			187			
			187			
			187			
			187			
			190			
V	1.		191			
			191			
			192			
			192			
			194			
V	/1.	Trust Fund Accounts	195			
		A. Establishing Trust Fund Accounts	195			
		1. What Must Be Kept in Trust	195			
		2. Disputes Regarding Trust Fund Property	197			
		3. Audits of Trust Fund Accounts	197			
		4. Interest Earned on Client Funds	198			
		B. Client Security Funds	199			
■ PA	RT	IV: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATION AS A MEMBI	ER			
OF A	FI	RM				
1		Introduction	208			
ī	l.	Supervisory Responsibility Over Other Lawyers or Nonlawyer				
		Employees	208			
		A. Lawyers	208			
		B. Nonlawyer Employees	210			
1	II.	Responsibility of a Lawyer Subject to Supervision by Another	7			
- 1		Lawyer	210			
1	V.	Sharing Fees and Responsibility With Laypeople	210			
		A. Sharing Fees	210			

V.	C. Una	Sharing Responsibility	213213214
VI.	Agr	eements to Restrict the Right to Practice Law	222
	A.	Restrictive Covenants	222
	B.	Sale of Law Practice	225
■ PAR	T V	: THE LAWYER'S OBLIGATIONS REGARDII	NG
ADVER	TIS	ING AND SOLICITATION	
I.	Lav	vyer Advertising and Solicitation	233
	A.	Introduction	233
		1. Historical and Constitutional Background	233
		2. The Bar's Response to Bates	235
	B.	Use of the Media	236
		1. The Model Code	236
		(a) Scope	236
		(b) Content	237
		(c) Other Regulations	237
		(d) Conclusion	238
		2. The Model Rules	238
		(a) Scope	238
		(b) Content	238
		(c) Other Regulations	240
	C.	Firm Names, Letterheads, and Other Personal Publicity	241
		1. Trade Names and Firm Names	241
		(a) Trade Names & Web Addresses	241
		(b) Firm Names	241
		2. Professional Cards, Signs, Letterheads	
		3. Lawyer Identification	243
		(a) The Model Code	243
		(b) The Model Rules	243
		4. "Best Lawyer" List	243
II.	Sol	licitation	244
	A.	Introduction	244
	В.	Direct Contact With Client	245
	C.	Obtaining Recommendations or Client Referrals	248
	D.	Departing Lawyers Soliciting Clients of Their Former Law Firm	250
	E.	Legal Services Organizations	252