

THE
HAMLYN
LECTURES
2007

NICOLA LACEY

The Prisoners' Dilemma

POLITICAL ECONOMY AND PUNISHMENT
IN CONTEMPORARY DEMOCRACIES

2007
HAMLYN LECTURES HAMLYN
LECTURES HAMLYN LECTURES

CAMBRIDGE

THE PRISONERS' DILEMMA:
POLITICAL ECONOMY
AND PUNISHMENT IN
CONTEMPORARY
DEMOCRACIES

NICOLA LACEY



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521728294

© Nicola Lacey 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Lacey, Nicola.

The prisoners' dilemma: political economy and punishment in contemporary democracies / Nicola Lacey.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-89947-5 (hardback) – ISBN 978-0-521-72829-4 (pbk.)

1. Imprisonment. 2. Imprisonment—Great Britain. 3. Imprisonment—United States. 4. Imprisonment—Europe, Western. 5. Criminal justice, Administration of. 6. Criminal justice, Administration of—Great Britain. 7. Criminal justice, Administration of—United States. 8. Criminal justice, Administration of—Europe, Western. I. Title.

HV8705.L33 2008

364.60941—dc22 2008013707

ISBN 978-0-521-89947-5 hardback

ISBN 978-0-521-72829-4 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

THE PRISONERS' DILEMMA

Over the last two decades, in the wake of increases in recorded crime and a cluster of other social changes, British criminal justice policy has become increasingly politicised: both the scale and intensity of punishment, and the significance of criminal justice policy as an index of governments' competence, have developed in new and worrying ways. Across the Atlantic, we witness the inexorable rise of the US prison population, amid a ratcheting up of penal severity which seems unstoppable in the face of popular anxiety about crime. But is this inevitable? Nicola Lacey argues that harsh 'penal populism' is not the inevitable fate of all contemporary democracies. Notwithstanding a degree of convergence, 'globalisation' has left many of the key institutional differences between national systems intact, and these help to explain the striking differences in the capacity for penal moderation of otherwise relatively similar societies. Only by understanding the institutional preconditions for a tolerant criminal justice system can we think clearly about the possible options for reform within particular systems.

NICOLA LACEY is Professor of Criminal Law and Legal Theory at the London School of Economics and Political Science. She is a Fellow of the British Academy and an Honorary Fellow of New College, Oxford.

THE HAMLYN TRUST

The Hamlyn Trust owes its existence today to the will of the late Miss Emma Warburton Hamlyn of Torquay, who died in 1941 at the age of eighty. She came of an old and well-known Devon family. Her father, William Bussell Hamlyn, practised in Torquay as a solicitor and JP for many years, and it seems likely that Miss Hamlyn founded the trust in his memory. Emma Hamlyn was a woman of strong character, intelligent and cultured, well versed in literature, music and art, and a lover of her country. She travelled extensively in Europe and Egypt, and apparently took considerable interest in the law and ethnology of the countries and cultures that she visited. An account of Miss Hamlyn by Professor Chantal Stebbings of the University of Exeter may be found, under the title 'The Hamlyn Legacy', in volume 42 of the published lectures.

Miss Hamlyn bequeathed the residue of her estate on trust in terms which it seems were her own. The wording was thought to be vague, and the will was taken to the Chancery Division of the High Court, which in November 1948 approved a Scheme for the administration of the trust. Paragraph 3 of the Scheme, which follows Miss Hamlyn's own wording, is as follows:

The object of the charity is the furtherance by lectures or otherwise among the Common People of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland of the knowledge of the Comparative Jurisprudence and

Ethnology of the Chief European countries including the United Kingdom, and the circumstances of the growth of such jurisprudence to the Intent that the Common People of the United Kingdom may realise the privileges which in law and custom they enjoy in comparison with other European Peoples and realising and appreciating such privileges may recognise the responsibilities and obligations attaching to them.

The Trustees are to include the Vice-Chancellor of the University of Exeter, representatives of the Universities of London, Leeds, Glasgow, Belfast and Wales and persons co-opted. At present there are eight Trustees:

Professor N. Burrows, University of Glasgow

Professor I. R. Davies, Swansea University

Ms Clare Dyer

Professor K. M. Economides [representing the Vice-Chancellor of the University of Exeter] (Chairman)

Professor R. Halson, University of Leeds

Professor J. Morison, Queen's University, Belfast

The Rt Hon. Lord Justice Sedley

Professor A. Sherr, University of London

Clerk: Ms Charlotte Blackwell, University of Exeter

From the outset it was decided that the objects of the Trust could be best achieved by means of an annual course of public lectures of outstanding interest and quality by eminent lecturers, and by their subsequent publication and distribution to a wider audience. The first of the Lectures were delivered by the Rt Hon. Lord Justice Denning (as he then

was) in 1949. Since then there has been an unbroken series of annual Lectures published until 2005 by Sweet & Maxwell and from 2006 by Cambridge University Press. A complete list of the Lectures may be found on pages ix to xii. In 2005 the Trustees decided to supplement the Lectures with an annual Hamlyn Seminar, normally held at the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies in the University of London, to mark the publication of the Lectures in printed book form. The Trustees have also, from time to time, provided financial support for a variety of projects which, in various ways, have disseminated knowledge or have promoted to a wider public understanding of the law.

This, the 59th series of lectures, was delivered by Professor Nicola Lacey, FBA at the University of Leeds, the University of Liverpool and the London School of Economics and Political Science in late November and early December 2007. The Board of Trustees would like to record its appreciation to Professor Lacey and also to the three University law schools which generously hosted these Lectures.

January 2008

KIM ECONOMIDES

Chairman of the Trustees

THE HAMLYN LECTURES

- 1949 Freedom under the Law by the Rt Hon. Lord Denning
- 1950 The Inheritance of the Common Law by Richard O'Sullivan
- 1951 The Rational Strength of English Law by Professor F. H. Lawson
- 1952 English Law and the Moral Law by Professor A. L. Goodhart
- 1953 The Queen's Peace by Sir Carleton Kemp Allen
- 1954 Executive Discretion and Judicial Control by Professor C. J. Hamson
- 1955 The Proof of Guilt by Professor Glanville Williams
- 1956 Trial by Jury by the Rt Hon. Lord Devlin
- 1957 Protection from Power under English Law by the Rt Hon. Lord MacDermott
- 1958 The Sanctity of Contracts in English Law by Professor Sir David Hughes Parry
- 1959 Judge and Jurist in the Reign of Victoria by C. H. S. Fifoot
- 1960 The Common Law in India by M. C. Setalvad
- 1961 British Justice: The Scottish Contribution by Professor Sir Thomas Smith
- 1962 Lawyer and Litigant in England by the Rt Hon. Sir Robert Megarry
- 1963 Crime and the Criminal Law by the Baroness Wootton of Abinger

- 1964 Law and Lawyers in the United States by Dean Erwin N. Griswold
- 1965 New Law for a New World? by the Rt Hon. Lord Tanley
- 1966 Other People's Law by the Rt Hon. Lord Kilbrandon
- 1967 The Contribution of English Law to South African Law: and the Rule of Law in South Africa by the Hon. O.D. Schreiner
- 1968 Justice in the Welfare State by Professor H. Street
- 1969 The British Tradition in Canadian Law by the Hon. Bora Laskin
- 1970 The English Judge by Henry Cecil
- 1971 Punishment, Prison and the Public by Professor Sir Rupert Cross
- 1972 Labour and the Law by Professor Sir Otto Kahn-Freund
- 1973 Maladministration and its Remedies by Sir Kenneth Wheare
- 1974 English Law – the New Dimension by the Rt Hon. Lord Scarman
- 1975 The Land and the Development; or, The Turmoil and the Torment by Sir Desmond Heap
- 1976 The National Insurance Commissioners by Sir Robert Micklethwait
- 1977 The European Communities and the Rule of Law by Lord Mackenzie Stuart
- 1978 Liberty, Law and Justice by Professor Sir Norman Anderson
- 1979 Social History and Law Reform by Professor Lord McGregor of Durris

- 1980 Constitutional Fundamentals by Professor Sir William Wade
- 1981 Intolerable Inquisition? Reflections on the Law of Tax by Hubert Monroe
- 1982 The Quest for Security: Employees, Tenants, Wives by Professor Tony Honoré
- 1983 Hamlyn Revisited: The British Legal System Today by Lord Hailsham of St Marylebone
- 1984 The Development of Consumer Law and Policy – Bold Spirits and Timorous Souls by Sir Gordon Borrie
- 1985 Law and Order by Professor Ralf Dahrendorf
- 1986 The Fabric of English Civil Justice by Sir Jack Jacob
- 1987 Pragmatism and Theory in English Law by P. S. Atiyah
- 1988 Justification and Excuse in the Criminal Law by J. C. Smith
- 1989 Protection of the Public – A New Challenge by the Rt Hon. Lord Justice Woolf
- 1990 The United Kingdom and Human Rights by Dr Claire Palley
- 1991 Introducing a European Legal Order by Gordon Slyn
- 1992 Speech and Respect by Professor Richard Abel
- 1993 The Administration of Justice by Lord Mackay of Clashfern
- 1994 Blackstone's Tower: The English Law School by Professor William Twining
- 1995 From the Test Tube to the Coffin: Choice and Regulation in Private Life by the Hon. Mrs Justice Hale
- 1996 Turning Points of the Common law by the Rt Hon. The Lord Cooke of Thorndon

- 1997 Commercial Law in the Next Millennium by Professor Roy Goode
- 1998 Freedom Law and Justice by the Rt Hon. Lord Justice Sedley
- 1999 The State of Justice by Michael Zander QC
- 2000 Does the United Kingdom still have a Constitution? by Anthony King
- 2001 Human Rights, Serious Crime and Criminal Procedure by Andrew Ashworth QC
- 2002 Legal Conundrums in our Brave New World by Baroness Kennedy of the Shaws
- 2003 Judicial Activism by the Hon. Justice Michael Kirby AC CMG
- 2004 Rights at Work: Global, European and British Perspectives by Sir Bob Hepple QC, FBA
- 2005 Can Human Rights Survive? by Conor Gearty
- 2006 The Sovereignty of Law: The European Way by Sir Francis Jacobs KCMG, QC

FIGURES

- 1 Political economy, imprisonment and homicide [60]
- 2 Institutional linkages in political-economic systems [62]
- 3 Inequality and redistribution, *c.* 1970–95 [80]
- 4 Vocational training and redistribution [81]
- 5 Literacy and education, 1994–8 [82]
- 6 Causal relationships between institutional variables [90]
- 7 European political economies and imprisonment [110]
- 8 Political economy and imprisonment [111]
- 9 Co-ordination and imprisonment [111]
- 10 Prison population rates, 2004 [120]
- 11 The incarceration rate of African Americans in the USA [125]
- 12 Men in the USA with prison records by age 30–4 [126]
- 13 Imprisonment trends in Europe, 1950–2006 [139]
- 14 Imprisonment trends in Europe and the USA, 1950–2006 [140]
- 15 Imprisonment projection (based on 2000–6) [141]
- 16 Crime and incarceration in the USA, 1987–2005 [171]

PREFACE

It is generally agreed that the humanity, fairness and effectiveness with which governments manage their criminal justice systems is a key index of the state of a democracy. But constraints on the realisation of democratic values and aspirations in criminal justice are markedly variable across time and space. In the last three decades, in the wake of both increases in recorded crime and a cluster of cultural and economic changes, British criminal justice policy has become increasingly politicised: both the scale and intensity of criminalisation and the salience of criminal justice policy as an index of governments' competence have developed in new and, to many commentators, worrying ways. These developments have been variously characterised as the birth of a 'culture of control' and a tendency to 'govern through crime'; as a turn towards an 'exclusive society' focused on the perceived risks to security presented by particular groups. Across the Atlantic, we witness the inexorable rise of the US prison population, amid a ratcheting up of penal severity which seems unstoppable in the face of popular anxiety about crime. In the context of globalisation, the general, and depressing, conclusion seems to be that, notwithstanding significant national differences, contemporary democracies are constrained to tread the same path of 'penal populism', albeit that their progress along it is variously advanced. A substantial scaling down of levels of punishment and

criminalisation is regarded as politically impossible, the optimism of penal welfarism a thing, decisively, of the past. The rehabilitative ideals eloquently defended in Barbara Wootton's Hamlyn lectures of 1963, reflected in the humane optimism and turn to non-custodial penalties advocated by Rupert Cross's lectures of 1971, seem distant echoes of a lost world, and Ralf Dahrendorf's more pessimistic diagnosis in 1985 of a 'law and order' problem rooted in emerging features of economy and society seems nearer the mark for the new millennium.

But is this dystopian vision convincing? Does it characterise every country? And, to the extent that it holds true, is it inevitable?

In this book, I set the nature and genesis of criminal justice policy in Britain and the USA within a comparative perspective, in order to make the case for thinking that, far from being invariable or inevitable, the rise of penal populism does not characterise all 'late modern' democracies. Rather, certain features of social, political and economic organisation favour or inhibit the maintenance of penal tolerance and humanity in punishment. I argue that, just as it is wrong to suppose that crime can be tackled in terms of criminal justice policy alone, it is equally erroneous to think that criminal justice policy is an autonomous area of governance. Rather, both the capacities that governments possess to develop and implement criminal justice policies, and the constraints under which they do so, are a function not only of perceived crime problems or the cultural norms or macro-economic forces that surround them but also of a cluster of institutional factors distinctive to particular political and economic systems.

Notwithstanding a degree of convergence, so-called 'globalisation' has left many of the key institutional differences between advanced democracies intact, and these may help to explain the striking differences in crime levels, penal severity and capacity for penal tolerance in otherwise relatively similar societies. Only by understanding the institutional preconditions for a tolerant criminal justice system, I argue, can we think clearly about the possible options for reform within the British system.

In making this argument, I fear that I may be causing some unease to the shade of Emma Hamlyn, to whose foresight and generosity the lecture series in which this book originates is due. The charitable object of her bequest was

the furtherance . . . among the Common People of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland of the knowledge of the Comparative Jurisprudence and the Ethnology of the chief European countries including the United Kingdom, and the circumstances of the growth of such jurisprudence to the Intent that the Common People of the United Kingdom may realise the privileges which in law and custom they enjoy in comparison with other European Peoples and realising and appreciating such privileges may recognise the responsibilities and obligations attaching to them.

My story is not a story of the superiority of British laws and customs as compared with those elsewhere in Europe: indeed, I will argue that certain features of Scandinavian and northern European systems have accorded them some advantages in the quest to maintain humanity and moderation in punishment.

But I like to think that a woman who had the vision to leave part of her estate for the purposes of public education would have appreciated the importance of our being alive not only to our distinctive privileges, but to some of the pitfalls to which the distinctive structure of our legal, political and economic system may expose us. For this awareness, surely, bears with equal force on the rights and responsibilities of members of the polity with which Miss Hamlyn was concerned. I am, of course, delighted to have this opportunity of honouring her enlightened generosity, as well as of expressing my gratitude to the Hamlyn Trustees for doing me the honour of placing their confidence in me through their invitation to give the 2007 lectures.

Kim Economides, Chair of the Trustees, gave me advice throughout the planning process, and I would like to thank him and his fellow trustees – particularly Clare Dyer and Stephen Sedley – for their support during the preparation of the lectures. I would also like to thank Adam Crawford, Dominic McGoldrick and Stephen Sedley for chairing the lectures, and for doing so in such a generous way. I am grateful to the Universities of Leeds and Liverpool, as well as to my ‘home base’ of LSE, for hosting the lectures, and to Adam Crawford, Roger Halson, Anu Arora, Dominic McGoldrick and Hugh Collins for giving me a warm welcome on each occasion. Behind the scenes, but no less importantly, Bradley Barlow, Charlotte Blackwell, Kayte Kelly and Joy Whyte did a huge amount to make the lecture series run smoothly, and my warm thanks go to them, too.

In preparing the lectures and book, I have been fortunate to have the advice and support of many friends

and colleagues across a number of disciplines. First and foremost, I owe a large debt of gratitude to David Soskice: for stimulating my original interest in comparative issues, for extensive discussion of the arguments of the book, and for providing – in his own development of comparative political economy and in his work with a number of political science colleagues, notably Peter A. Hall and Torben Iversen – the theoretical backbone of my argument. Without his inspiration and support, this project would never have got off the ground. This book is dedicated to him, with my love, thanks and admiration.

I am also grateful to Leo Halepli (who prepared many of the tables which appear in the book) and to Arlie Loughnan for exemplary research assistance; to the participants at a conference on ‘Punishment and Democracy’ at the University of Warsaw, at a meeting of the LSE Criminal Law and Social Theory group, at the Barbara Betcherman Lecture at Osgoode Hall Law School, at a visiting fellows’ seminar at the Center for European Studies, Harvard University, and at a workshop on ‘Regulating Deviance’ at the International Institute for the Sociology of Law, Onati, Spain for helpful feedback; and to Michael Cavadino, James Dignan, Peter A. Hall, Torben Iversen, John Pratt, David Soskice and Bruce Western for permission to reproduce or adapt tables from their own work. James Dignan, David Downes, David Garland, John Pratt, Robert Reiner, Michael Tonry and Lucia Zedner were kind enough to read a complete draft: each of them gave me invaluable comments. I would like to make special mention of the intellectual support and advice which I have had from my LSE colleagues Ely Aharonson, David