

Progress in IS

Philipp Wunderlich

Green Information Systems in the Residential Sector

An Examination of the Determinants of Smart Meter Adoption

Philipp Wunderlich

Green Information Systems in the Residential Sector

An Examination of the Determinants
of Smart Meter Adoption



Philipp Wunderlich
Mannheim Business School
University of Mannheim
Mannheim, Germany

ISBN 978-3-642-36768-7 ISBN 978-3-642-36769-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-36769-4
Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013935607

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

To Charlotte and Anneliese

Foreword

Electricity is becoming a more and more scarce and hence costly resource. In the years ahead, many challenges have to be addressed in order to provide a seamless and sustainable supply of electric energy both in households (B2C) as well as industry (B2B). One of the fundamental problems in this domain is the transmission line capacity in the far-distance energy delivery as well as in the mid-range and regional distribution networks. Another massive impact in the current situation in many countries is the drastic increase of renewable energy in the energy mix and the decrease of other energy production forms, such as from nuclear or conventional power plants. The decision of a phaseout of nuclear power in Germany by 2020 is the most significant step in this direction. Other countries follow with similar examples. Today, most governments have realized that renewable energy sources must play a more pivotal role in the energy mix. This comes along with a number of concerns, one of the major ones of which is the volatility associated with the production of renewable energies. If wind blows, newly installed offshore wind parks produce high amounts of electricity which then have to be transported to the point of consumption. If the sun shines, photovoltaic parks produce a steady energy supply. However, if the sun does not shine and the wind does not blow, alternative electricity supplies have to be provided or, alternatively, the demand has to be reduced. The reason is that the electricity consumed from the network has to match the electricity supplied to the network at all times. In the case of a mismatch of these two parameters, a power outage results.

Another major factor in consumption shift is the expected growth in electric mobility (e-mobility). Electric cars run on a battery. This battery has to be recharged at night. Assuming a sharp onset in the adoption of electric mobility by users in the private segment in near future, load profiles massively change and move towards a higher demand in the residential sector in the evenings and afterwork hours. Hence, the increased shift from traditional to renewable electricity production, e-mobility, and the resulting shifts in production and consumption patterns have led to new concerns in the electricity market. One solution to this is the “conceptualization of smart grids,” which is underway in many countries. This serves as an umbrella term for a number of different processes. In essence, it stands for the enrichment of

electricity networks with information and communication technologies (ICT) in order to decrease consumption as well as increase flexibility in production.

On the demand side, so-called smart meters, or “smart meter technology” (SMT), are one of the cornerstones of innovation. A smart meter replaces a traditional electricity meter, which has only the feature of measuring the consumed electricity. Smart meters are ICT-enabled devices which track a large number of information, e.g., details of consumption patterns over time. Smart meters also enable a dynamic pricing in the electricity sector, where so far only one (fixed price per kilowatt hour) or a maximum of two price levels (day and night supply) have existed. Furthermore, smart meters enable the customer to choose to switch the consumption to off-peak times and thereby save money since the electricity price will be lower. This is even possible to be automated, since devices (e.g., freezers or fridges, dishwashers, washing machines, or dryers in private households as well as industrial coolers and high-energy-consuming machines) can be enabled to be directly steered by the energy price or even the energy supplier in order to smoothen the demand curve.

All these new possibilities bear a lot of issues to be dealt with before they can take effect. First and foremost, the smart meter technology has to be adopted by a remarkable percentage of end customers in order to enable the energy providers to make use of its many different application possibilities. Regarding the issue of acceptance, several aspects have to be considered. Among others, this concerns data privacy, change of behavioral patterns (i.e., taking notice of the energy price before consuming), cost and benefit calculations of the meter adoption, and many more.

The research field which is currently forming and which is still in its infancy is the field of green information systems (green IS).

In his work, Mr. Wunderlich addresses this field by investigating the determinants of smart meter adoption in the residential sector. His focus is to find out which factors influence the adoption and continued usage decision of individuals in private households regarding smart meters. With his work, Mr. Wunderlich makes a significant contribution to research in this rather underdeveloped field. While in the past, many researchers have focused on the technical aspects of how to implement, optimize, and integrate renewable energies, smart grids, and smart meters, the behavioral side has been neglected at large. However, if today’s societies would like to make smart meters a success, behavioral research is playing a key role in understanding motivations and hence consumption decisions of private persons and also businesses. Hence, the work of Mr. Wunderlich contributes to theory building in the information systems with respect to green information systems adoption and usage decisions. Furthermore, it is a valuable source of insights for practitioners who are dealing with the *Energiewende* and its implications.

This book is a very important contribution to the green information systems research, and I would like to wish this book, its author, and all its readers the best success.

Acknowledgments

This book is a result of my work as a doctoral candidate at the Dieter Schwarz Endowed Chair of Business Administration, E-Business and E-Government at the University of Mannheim and would not have been possible without the generous help and support of numerous people.

First, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my academic teacher and advisor Prof. Dr. Daniel Veit for being a forward-looking and reliable captain in my journey of pursuing a doctoral degree. He substantially supported and guided this journey through his steady encouragement, constructive feedback, and great openness to new ideas. I am also indebted to Prof. Saonee Sarker for her support and guidance and the warm welcome at the Department of Management, Information Systems, and Entrepreneurship of the College of Business at Washington State University in Pullman.

Second, I want to thank my colleagues at EnBW Regional AG. I owe my gratitude to Tanja Birk, Julia Erlich, Andreas Händle, Dr. Franz Heilemann, Ralph Hermann, Philipp Reichle, Sven Vogel, and Oliver Stumpp for their support and belief in my work. They made my time at EnBW Regional AG an enjoyable and pleasurable one.

Further, I would like to express my gratitude to all of my colleagues at the University of Mannheim and at Washington State University. I want to especially thank Eva Peslova, Dennis Steininger, Manuel Trenz, and Jan Huntgeburth for their insightful discussions and great companionship throughout the last years. I also want to thank Xiao Xiao, Nathan Johnson, and Christopher Califf for making me feel at home during my stay in Pullman. I thank my colleagues Sabine Koch, Sabrina Hauff, Dr. Sabine Stollhoff, Dr. Martin Barth, Philipp Klöcker, and Thimo Schulze. They generously shared their time and experience with me and made my time at the University of Mannheim an enjoyable and unforgettable one. I would also like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Johann Kranz and Prof. Dr. Dirk Totzek. I deeply enjoyed the cooperative and insightful work during the last year.

Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my family and especially to my parents and sister for their love and support throughout my whole life.

I am very grateful for their consistent belief in me and their encouragement while finishing this book. My deepest appreciation goes to Stefanie Scheuermann. Without her love and companionship, this journey would have been a lonely one.

Mannheim
December 2012

Philipp Wunderlich

List of Abbreviations

AVE	Average Variance Extracted
BNetzA	Bundesnetzagentur
CB-SEM	Covariance-Based Structural Equation Modeling
CET	Cognitive Evaluation Theory
DTPB	Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior
EnWG	Energiewirtschaftsgesetz
ENTSO-E	European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity
FS	Field Study
GO	Grid Operating Division
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
IS	Information Systems
IT	Information Technology
MA	Supply and Marketing Division
MATH	Model of Adoption of Technology in Households
MIS	Management Information Systems
NAM	Norm Activation Model
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
OIT	Organismic Integration Theory
PLOC	Perceived Locus of Causality
PLS-SEM	Partial Least Squares – Structural Equation Modeling
S&T	Science and Technology
SDT	Self-Determination Theory
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
SMT	Smart Metering Technology
TAM	Technology Acceptance Model
TPB	Theory of Planned Behavior
TRA	Theory of Reasoned Action
UN	United Nations
VBN	Value-Belief-Norm Theory of Environmentalism

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Motivation	1
1.2	Overview of Research Approach, Methodology and Design	6
1.3	Thesis Organization	6
2	Smart Grid	7
2.1	The German Energy Market	7
2.2	Smart Grid Concepts	9
2.3	Transformative Services	12
3	Theoretical Foundations	15
3.1	Technology Adoption of Individuals	15
3.2	Incentives and Motivation Literature	17
3.2.1	Incentive Alignment and Motivation in IS	17
3.2.2	Incentives and Motivation in Social Psychology	18
3.2.3	How Endogenous Motivations Influence User Intentions	23
3.3	Pro-environmental Behavior	26
3.4	Risk Issues	27
3.5	Technology and Energy-Related Issues	29
4	Conceptual Model	31
4.1	Adoption Literature and Ecofriendly Behavior	32
4.2	The Organismic Integration Theory	34
4.3	Privacy and Socio-demographic Variables	38
4.3.1	Perceived Privacy Risk	38
4.3.2	Socio-demographic Variables	39
5	Methodology	41
5.1	Structural Equation Modeling	41
5.1.1	Introduction of Structural Equation Modeling	41
5.1.2	Covariance Versus Variance-Based Structural Equation Modeling	44

5.2	Instrument Validation	47
5.2.1	Stage I: Initial Item Pool Generation Based on the Constructs' Conceptualization	48
5.2.2	Stage II: Scale Development	50
5.2.3	Stage III: Quantitative Pretest and Pilot Study	52
5.3	Measures	58
5.4	Samples	60
5.4.1	Non-user Sample Characteristics	61
5.4.2	User Sample Characteristics	62
5.4.3	Non Response Bias	62
6	Analysis	63
6.1	Results of the Non-user Sample	63
6.1.1	Measurement Model Evaluation	64
6.1.2	Structural Model Evaluation	66
6.2	Results of the User Sample	71
6.2.1	Measurement Model Evaluation	71
6.2.2	Structural Model Evaluation	74
6.3	Exploratory Comparison of the User and Non-user Sample	78
7	Discussion and Interpretation	81
7.1	Theoretical Contribution	84
7.2	Practical Contribution	86
7.3	Limitations and Further Research	87
8	Conclusion	89
	Appendix	91
	Appendix 1: Final Questionnaire of the Quantitative Field Test	91
	Appendix 2: Set of Socio-Demographic and Control Variables	93
	Appendix 3: Cross-Loadings for All Items in the Non-User Sample	97
	Appendix 4: Cross-Loadings for All Items in the User Sample	99
	References	101

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1	Typical shifts in load curves due to demand side management	10
Fig. 3.1	The self-determination continuum showing types of motivation with their regulatory styles, loci of causality and corresponding processes	22
Fig. 3.2	PLOC model	24
Fig. 4.1	Conceptual model	32
Fig. 5.1	SEM including structural and measurement models	43
Fig. 5.2	Reflective versus formative measurement specifications in SEM ...	44
Fig. 5.3	Scale development process	48
Fig. 6.1	Effect size formula	70
Fig. 6.2	Stone-Geisser test criterion formula	71
Fig. 6.3	T-value calculation between two samples	79

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Literature in the field of SMT adoption	4
Table 3.1	The three dimensions for information systems design and their attributes	18
Table 3.2	Theories focused on the reasons for engagement	20
Table 3.3	Description and definition of perceived risk facets	28
Table 5.1	Rules of thumb for selecting CB-SEM or PLS-SEM	46
Table 5.2	Definition of constructs	49
Table 5.3	Results of the scale development in the qualitative pretest	52
Table 5.4	First and second generation evaluation criteria	54
Table 5.5	First generation evaluation criteria in the quantitative pretest ...	55
Table 5.6	Factor loadings and indicator reliability for all items in the quantitative pretest	56
Table 5.7	Composite reliability and AVE for all constructs in the quantitative pretest	57
Table 5.8	Crossloadings of all items in the quantitative pretest	59
Table 5.9	Inter-construct correlation matrix for all variables (square root of AVE shown on diagonals)	60
Table 6.1	Item mean, standard deviation, loadings and mean loadings for the non-user sample	65
Table 6.2	Composite reliability and average variance extracted in the non-user sample (composite reliabilities and AVEs for the socio-demographic variables are not reported as they equal one for single-item constructs)	66
Table 6.3	Inter-construct correlation matrix for the non-user sample (square root of AVE shown on diagonals)	67
Table 6.4	Results of model estimation in the non-user sample	69
Table 6.5	Effect size of independent variables on the dependent variable in the non-user sample	70
Table 6.6	Item mean, standard deviation, loadings and mean loadings for the user sample	73

Table 6.7	Composite reliability and average variance extracted in the user sample (composite reliabilities and AVEs for the socio-demographic variables are not reported as they equal one for single-item constructs)	74
Table 6.8	Inter-construct correlation matrix for the user sample (square root of AVE shown on diagonals)	75
Table 6.9	Results of model estimation in the user sample	77
Table 6.10	Effect size of independent variables on the dependent variable in the user sample	78
Table 6.11	Results of the exploratory model comparison	79

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The recent report of the UN Secretary General's Advisory Group on Energy and Climate Change states the following:

By 2030, there is an opportunity for the world to be well on its way to a fundamental transformation of its energy system, allowing developing countries to leapfrog current systems in order to achieve access to cleaner, sustainable, affordable and reliable energy services. This change will require major shifts in regulatory regimes in almost every economy; vast incremental infrastructure investments (likely to be more than \$1 trillion annually); an accelerated development and deployment of multiple **new energy technologies**; and a fundamental behavioral shift in energy consumption. Major shifts **in human and institutional capacity and governance will be required to make this happen**. . . . But handled well – through a balanced framework of cooperation and competition – energy system transformation has the potential to be a source of sustainable wealth creation for the world's growing population while reducing the strain on its resources and climate. (UN AGECC 2010, p. 8) [Emphasis added]

The above quote highlights that there is about to be a huge shift and transformation in energy consumption and of the energy landscape, with the implementation of newer (and greener) energy-related technologies. The quote also highlights that humans and organization will need to play a critical role in ensuring success of such systems.

Electricity consumption continues to grow worldwide (Ellis and Jollands 2009). Finite resources, uncontrollable risks inherent to nuclear power, a rising environmental consciousness, and rapid technological advancements in power engineering concepts for a sustainable energy supply and electricity grid are attracting the attention of governments, and private firms alike. As the UN report (quoted above) highlights, there are many initiatives aimed to enhance energy efficiency, secure supply and mitigate climate change (e.g., Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, 2006/32/EG, 2009/72/EG). Business leaders are embracing environmental sustainability in their corporate vision, and "Green IT" has become a buzzword for strategic technology. Consequently, within academia as well, Watson

et al. (2010) highlight the need to introduce a new subfield to IS research called energy informatics, “that recognizes the role that IS can play in reducing energy consumption, and thus CO₂ emissions” (Watson et al. 2010, p. 24). This new subfield should focus on how information systems can contribute to the reduction of energy consumption by addressing research questions based on a set of major issues of the stakeholders (i.e. suppliers, consumers and governments).

One aspect of energy informatics is smart electricity (Watson et al. 2010). Since the structure of the electrical transmission and distribution grids dates back to the beginning of the twentieth century, one of the main research fields in the domain of smart electricity is the enhancement of electricity grids with modern information and communication technology (ICT). A smart and ICT-enhanced energy network would work more efficiently, reliably and sustainably than today’s system and is typically referred to as smart grid. To attain a smart grid, new electricity meters, called smart meters, are needed. The term smart meter refers to a digital electricity meter. These meters (unlike traditional electricity meters) allow bidirectional communication between the meter and an energy supplier. Further, it enables a set of specific services for the customer. From here on, this study refers to this more advanced technology and its services as smart metering technology (SMT). By providing information about current prices, energy consumption and energy production in the grid, SMT is the first step to allow better integration of small and decentralized energy distribution sources, as well as load control approaches and intelligent distribution of large-scale power plants like offshore wind-farms. Furthermore, it enables services such as demand response and load shifting and customer-oriented services and applications such as in-home displays, online presence, and other information- and convenience-based products. Therefore, SMT has the potential not only to increase the energy efficiency of the residential and industrial sector but beyond that to radically alter the way energy is produced and consumed by the wide range of new applications and services it facilitates (e.g., Potter et al. 2009). As the UN report earlier highlighted, success of energy informatics requires the coming together of (and collaboration amongst) all relevant stakeholders. Thus, to fully realize the benefits of SMT and justify the massive investments in it, it is absolutely crucial to not only have a strong technology but also that the end consumers adopt and use the smart metering technology (SMT) and its services (Faruqui et al. 2010; Honebein et al. 2009). Adoption of SMT would also involve customers having to cede some control over consumption to their energy suppliers, and they have to agree with the permanent transfer of consumption data which has to be processed, stored, and analyzed for billing, grid and service management purposes by authorized actors. Adoption of SMT by consumers has run into challenges, with several SMT rollouts facing severe consumer backlash. Notable debates about the smart meter deployment emerged in the US (e.g. California, Texas and Maryland) and in Europe (e.g. the Netherlands), where SMT rollouts have been stopped or delayed by moratoriums (Fox-Penner 2010). One popular example is the case of the city of Bakersfield (CA), where a lawsuit has been filed against the energy supplier as SMT tripled consumers’ electricity bills due to new tariff conditions. Further, several consumer-supported

webpages exist which protest against SMT deployment, and refuse to use it citing several problems such as overcharging, inaccuracy, privacy, or health risks (Hart 2010). Despite the challenges associated with smart meter adoption, it has found little attention amongst academic researchers. The review of the literature within the IS discipline failed to provide any meaningful studies examining this issue. A search of the broader literature base (outside of the IS discipline) also resulted in few studies on smart meters. These studies have examined demand response (e.g. Abrahamse et al. 2005, 2007), business models (e.g. Jagstaidt et al. 2011; Strüker et al. 2011) or technical and design aspects (e.g. Graml et al. 2011; McDaniel and McLaughlin 2009) with respect to smart meters, ignoring the adoption-related issues. For example, Abrahamse et al. (2005, 2007) reviewed and tested different feedback designs and their effect on energy consumption behavior without focusing specifically on the case of smart meters. Other studies on smart meters e.g., McDaniel and McLaughlin (2009) have examined only the technical aspects, focusing on privacy and security issues. Similarly, Efthymiou and Kalogridis (2010) have examined encryption algorithms with respect to the security of smart meters. The few studies that have focused on smart meter adoption approached the topic from a social point of view only. For example, Kranz et al. (2010a, 2011) tested two models of smart metering adoption examining factors from a socio-environmental point of view, whereas, Wati et al. (2011) examined smart meter adoption from a goal framing point of view. While valuable, these studies have failed to provide an understanding of the technology-related considerations taken by consumers in the context of the adoption of SMT. The following Table 1.1 summarizes existing literature surrounding smart metering adoption.

Given the massive investments needed to establish a smart metering infrastructure, and the already existent protests against its first campaigns, it is absolutely necessary to further investigate the adoption of smart meters. Such an investigation needs to delve deeper into the complex factors influencing the adoption of SMT, ranging from an individual's motivation to their beliefs about technology in general, and energy informatics in particular. In other words, it is important to understand both the social and the technology-related considerations. This study attempts to contribute in this regard by taking a socio-technical perspective and examining consumers' adoption of SMT and the continuance intention of actual SMT users as well. Such a perspective is especially relevant given the debates within the IS discipline regarding a stronger focus on socio-technical elements in the IS research. Consequently, this study examines three specific research questions in this matter:

What are the determinants of consumers' adoption of SMT?

What are the determinants of users' continuance intention of SMT?

Are there significant differences between consumers' and users' behavioral intentions?

In examining these research questions, this study focuses on the residential sector only. Although the residential sector isn't always the largest electricity consuming sector, it is a sector that has witnessed the highest number of SMT