C O N C I S E H O R N B O O K S



PRINCIPLES OF

Federal Jurisdiction SECOND EDITION

James gire to the Garging May on catraordinary Beautiful of Mayors to the Shine of Majourn ments of partifully considered in the Said the Laws be faithfully considered and all civil Officers of the United States, magh brimes and Misdemanners.

And Gring and Misdemanners.

Power of the United Alates, skall be visited in one supreme and inforior bourts, of the superior and inforior bourts, of mpersation, which shall not be diminished during their Processhall extend to all bases, in Sawand Equity, some and to all bases affecting Anchapianters to all bases affecting Anchapianters to all bases affecting that the finite of facts of the time of the states.

WEST

PRINCIPLES OF FEDERAL JURISDICTION

Second Edition

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

James E. Pfander

Owen L. Coon Professor of Law Northwestern University School of Law

CONCISE HORNBOOK SERIES®



A Thomson Reuters business

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Concise Hornbook Series and Westlaw are trademarks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

© West, a Thomson business, 2006

© 2011 Thomson Reuters

610 Opperman Drive St. Paul, MN 55123 1–800–313–9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-0-314-26523-4

WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Berkeley

JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law. Stanford Law School

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Professor of Law, Washington & Lee University School of Law

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

For Laurie, Sarah, Samantha and Benjamin

Preface

This book provides an introduction to the principles of federal jurisdiction, aimed primarily at law students in advanced courses. It seeks to convey two related bodies of knowledge. First, the book offers students an overview of certain canonical features of jurisdictional law. Most courses in federal jurisdiction include discussions of Marbury v. Madison (1803), Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins (1938), the Madisonian Compromise, the abstention doctrines, and the jurisdictional rules of standing, ripeness, and mootness. Knowledge of these rules, which structure (and sometimes frustrate) an individual's attempt to invoke the power of federal courts, will serve students well on bar exams and in practice. Such knowledge will also enable the student to evaluate the impact of changes in the rules. If Congress or the Supreme Court curtails access to federal trial courts, for example, students should know that the decision may put more pressure on the Court's appellate docket as the only federal forum in which litigants can seek review of state court decisions.

Second, and more importantly, the book will encourage students to make sophisticated arguments about the evolution of jurisdictional law. Law school graduates tend to think that the rules of law in their casebooks will remain fixed for the foreseeable future. But dramatic changes in law can occur in a very short time, occasioned by the government's responses to world events and subtle changes in legal culture. If the Bush Administration's response to terrorist attacks illustrates the impact of world events. shifting attitudes toward diversity jurisdiction provide a serviceable example of cultural change. A generation ago, many saw diversity jurisdiction as a waste of federal judicial resources, and influential organizations such as the American Law Institute (ALI), the Judicial Conference of the United States, and the Federal Courts Study Committee called for its legislative repeal. See ALI (1969). Today, diversity jurisdiction has enjoyed something of a rebirth. Not only has Congress redrawn jurisdictional boundaries to expand federal diversity jurisdiction over class actions, the federal courts have relaxed some doctrines to give parties easier access to federal diversity dockets.

This book attempts to convey both the canonical doctrines and the argumentative possibilities that together make up the principles of federal jurisdiction. In addition to providing background information on the leading doctrines, the book will set out the principles articulated in the cases and the impact those principles have had on the shape of jurisdictional law. By necessity in a book of relatively compact size, the discussion will focus on the field's leading cases and will omit many issues of detail that one can readily find in more encyclopedic treatises. Decisions of the Supreme Court provide the foundation for much of the discussion, but the book also draws on the work of scholars to probe judicial pronouncements. For simplicity, I have cited judicial decisions by name, year of decision, and court, if not the Supreme Court. I have cited scholarly authorities by referring to the author's last name and the year of publication. More complete citations appear in tables at the back of the book.

A word about my sources, and debts of gratitude. Like Justice Ginsburg, I am a devoted fan of the Hart & Wechsler casebook, both as a teaching tool and as a scholarly reference. I have relied on it extensively in framing and thinking through the problems addressed in this book, and wish to acknowledge my debt to its current authors, Dick Fallon, John Manning, Dan Meltzer, and David Shapiro. My indebtedness also runs to the authors of the many other fine casebooks in the field; I have often turned to them to challenge my thinking and deepen my understanding. Finally, let me mention my hope that the field's senior scholars will continue to review and criticize the contributions of new scholars. The practice sets a praiseworthy standard of unselfish engagement and helps to sustain the tradition of excellence that characterizes scholarship on the law of federal jurisdiction.

Special thanks to Akhil Amar, Willy Fletcher, Vicki Jackson, John Jeffries, Henry Monaghan, Marty Redish, Judith Resnik, Suzanna Sherry and William Van Alstyne for words of encouragement, to Eddie Hartnett and Bob Pushaw for unstinting collegiality, and to Jane Brock for expert secretarial help. Thanks most of all to my family for maintaining an attitude of bemused toleration.

JIM PFANDER

PRINCIPLES OF FEDERAL JURISDICTION

Second Edition

Summary of Contents

	Page
'ACE	v
pter One. The Architecture of Article III	1
	1
	1
e	$\overline{2}$
	$\overline{4}$
	6
	8
	11
Congress and Judicial Architecture	11
pter Two. The Nature of the Judicial Power	14
Introduction	14
The Marbury Decision	14
The Requirement of Judicial Finality	25
	28
	30
The Standing Doctrine	32
The Mootness Doctrine	43
The Ripeness Doctrine	49
The Political Question Doctrine	53
Justiciability Doctrines, the Merits, and Constitutional	
Avoidance	57
pter Three. The Supreme Court's Original Juris-	
	60
	60
	61
	62
	63
Scope of the Original Jurisdiction	64
pter Four. The Supreme Court's Appellate Juris-	cc
	66
	66
Constitutional Roots	69
	Introduction The Vesting Clause One Supreme Court, Multiple Inferior Courts The Madisonian Compromise Tenure in Office and Salary Protections The Scope of the Judicial Power Supreme Court Original and Appellate Jurisdiction Congress and Judicial Architecture pter Two. The Nature of the Judicial Power Introduction The Marbury Decision The Requirement of Judicial Finality The Ban on Advisory Opinions Advisory Opinions and Declaratory Judgment Actions The Standing Doctrine The Mootness Doctrine The Ripeness Doctrine The Political Question Doctrine Justiciability Doctrines, the Merits, and Constitutional Avoidance pter Three. The Supreme Court's Original Jurisdiction Introduction State-Party Disputes Discretionary Control of the Original Docket Special Masters and Jury Trials Scope of the Original Jurisdiction Introduction The Supreme Court's Appellate Jurisdiction Introduction Introduction Introduction Appellate Jurisdiction: An Overview

		Page
4.4	Appellate Jurisdiction Over State Court Decisions	70
4.5	Appellate Jurisdiction Over Questions of Federal and	
	State Law	72
4.6	The Adequate and Independent State Grounds Doctrine	77
4.7	Some Procedural Aspects of Appellate Review	82
4.8	Appellate Review of Federal Court Decisions and the	
	Supervisory Power	85
Char	pter Five. Original Jurisdiction of the Federal	
Ciraj	District Courts	91
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	The Origin of the Federal District Courts	91
5.3	Federal Question Jurisdiction: Constitutional Limits	93
5.4	Statutory Federal Question Jurisdiction: The Well-	
	Pleaded Complaint	101
5.5	Statutory Federal Question Jurisdiction: State Law	
	Claims With Federal Ingredients	104
5.6	Federal Question Jurisdiction: Declaratory Judgment	
	Proceedings	110
5.7	Diversity and Alienage Jurisdiction: Constitutional	
	Scope	112
5.8	Diversity Jurisdiction: Statutory Elements	117
5.9	Judicial Control of Devices to Create or Defeat Diversity	121
5.10	Supplemental Jurisdiction	122
5.11	Removal of Actions From State to Federal Court	128
Cha	pter Six. The Erie Doctrine(s) and Federal Com-	
0 1100]	mon Law	132
6.1	Introduction	132
6.2	Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins	133
6.3	Erie and the Horizontal Choice of Law Process	136
6.4	Erie and the Substance/Procedure Distinction	137
6.5	The Converse–Erie Problem	146
6.6	Federal Common Law	148
6.7	Implied Rights of Action for Statutory Violations	160
6.8	Implied Rights of Action for Constitutional Violations	165
6.9	Self-Enforcing Treaties	172
Char	pter Seven. Government Accountability	175
7.1	Introduction	175
7.1	Common Law Foundations of Remedies Against the	119
1.4	Government	176
7.3	Federal Government Accountability: The Constitutional	110
1.5	and Early Statutory Framework	182
7.4	State Government Accountability	191
7.5	State Official Action and Section 1983	215
7.6	Official Immunity	210

7.7	Government Accountability: Conclusion
Cha	pter Eight. Habeas Corpus and Government Accountability
8.1	Introduction
8.2	The Historic Function of Habeas Corpus
8.3	Habeas Review of Federal Detention and the Suspension Clause
8.4	Habeas Review of State Criminal Convictions
8.5	The AEDPA and Habeas Re-Litigation
8.6	State Post-Conviction Review and the Role of Congress
Cha	pter Nine. Judicial Restraint, Abstention, and
	Coordination
9.1	Introduction
9.2	The Presumptive Propriety of Overlapping Litigation
9.3	The Anti–Injunction Act: Federal Injunctions to Stay State Proceedings
9.4	Other Statutory Limits on Federal Equitable Proceedings
9.5	Judge–Made Doctrines of Restraint, Exhaustion, and the Parity Debate
Cha	pter Ten. Congressional Control of State and Federal Jurisdiction
10.1	Introduction
10.2	Congressional Control of State Court Jurisdiction and the Presumption of Concurrent Jurisdiction
10.3	Legislative Courts and Article I Tribunals
	Congressional Control of the Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts
10.5	Toward a Unitary Theory of Supreme Judicial Oversight and Control
10.6	Conclusion
Appr	NDIX-SELECTED CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS
	E OF CASES
	E OF STAUTES AND RULES
	E OF AUTHORITIES
INDE	

Table of Contents

			Page
PREF	FACE		v
Cha	pter O	ne. The Architecture of Article III	1
1.1	Introd	luction	1
1.2	The V	esting Clause	1
1.3	One S	upreme Court, Multiple Inferior Courts	2
1.4		Iadisonian Compromise	4
1.5		e in Office and Salary Protections	6
1.6		cope of the Judicial Power	8
1.7	Supre	me Court Original and Appellate Jurisdiction	11
1.8		ess and Judicial Architecture	11
Cha	pter T	wo. The Nature of the Judicial Power	14
2.1	Introd	uction	14
2.2		Tarbury Decision	14
	2.2.1	The Marbury Decision: Marshall's Opinion	16
	2.2.2	The Marbury Decision: Judicial Review	19
	2.2.3	The Marbury Decision: Departmentalism	21
	2.2.4	The Marbury Decision: Interpretive Theory	22
2.3	The R	equirement of Judicial Finality	25
2.4		an on Advisory Opinions	28
2.5		ory Opinions and Declaratory Judgment Actions	30
2.6	The St	tanding Doctrine	32
	2.6.1	The Injury Requirement	33
	2.6.2	Causation and Redressability	37
	2.6.3	Congressional Control of Standing to Sue	39
2.7		ootness Doctrine	43
	2.7.1	Mootness and Voluntary Cessation	45
	2.7.2	Mootness: Capable of Repetition, Yet Evading	
		Review	46
_	2.7.3	Class Actions and Mootness	47
2.8		ipeness Doctrine	49
2.9		olitical Question Doctrine	53
2.10		ability Doctrines, the Merits, and Constitutional	
	Avoi	dance	57

G1		Page
Chaj	pter Three. The Supreme Court's Original Juris-	co
3.1	diction	60
3.1	State-Party Disputes	61
3.3	Discretionary Control of the Original Docket	62
	Special Masters and Jury Trials	63
3.4		
3.5	Scope of the Original Jurisdiction	64
Chaj	pter Four. The Supreme Court's Appellate Juris-	
	diction	66
4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Appellate Jurisdiction: An Overview	66
4.3	Constitutional Roots	69
4.4	Appellate Jurisdiction Over State Court Decisions	70
4.5	Appellate Jurisdiction Over Questions of Federal and State Law	72
4.6	The Adequate and Independent State Grounds Doctrine	77
4.7	Some Procedural Aspects of Appellate Review	82
4.8	Appellate Review of Federal Court Decisions and the	-
1.0	Supervisory Power	85
Chaj	pter Five. Original Jurisdiction of the Federal	
	District Courts	91
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	The Origin of the Federal District Courts	91
5.3	Federal Question Jurisdiction: Constitutional Limits	93
5.4	Statutory Federal Question Jurisdiction: The Well–Pleaded Complaint	101
5.5	Statutory Federal Question Jurisdiction: State Law	
	Claims With Federal Ingredients	104
5.6	Federal Question Jurisdiction: Declaratory Judgment Proceedings	110
5.7	Diversity and Alienage Jurisdiction: Constitutional	
	Scope	112
5.8	Diversity Jurisdiction: Statutory Elements	117
5.9	Judicial Control of Devices to Create or Defeat Diversity	121
5.10	Supplemental Jurisdiction	122
5.11	Removal of Actions From State to Federal Court	128
Char	oter Six. The Erie Doctrine(s) and Federal Com-	
Jiiuj	mon Law	132
6.1	Introduction	132
6.2	Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins	132
6.3	Erie and the Horizontal Choice of Law Process	136
6.4	Erie and the Substance/Procedure Distinction	137

TABLE OF CONTEN	ABLE	OF	CON	TEN	TS
-----------------	------	----	-----	-----	----

	•		•
v	1	1	1
^	_		

		TABLE OF CONTENTS	xiii
			Page
6.5		Sonverse–Erie Problem	
6.6		al Common Law	
	6.6.1	Government Proprietary Interests	
	6.6.2	Federal Common Law for Cases of Admiralty and	
		Maritime Jurisdiction	
	6.6.3	Federal Common Law for Interstate Disputes	
	_	and Customary International Law	
6.7		ed Rights of Action for Statutory Violations	
6.8		ed Rights of Action for Constitutional Violations	
6.9	Self-E	Inforcing Treaties	172
Cha	pter S	even. Government Accountability	175
7.1		luction	175
7.2	Comm	non Law Foundations of Remedies Against the	
		rernment	170 177
	$7.2.1 \\ 7.2.2$	Actions Against the Government Itself Actions Against Government Officials	179
	7.2.2		181
7.3		The Common Law or Supervisory Writs	
1.5		al Government Accountability: The Constitutional Early Statutory Framework	
	7.3.1	Federal Sovereign Immunity: Functional Justifi-	102
	7.5.1	cations	183
	7.3.2	The Party-of-Record Rule and Suits Against Gov-	100
	1.0.2	ernment Officers	185
	7.3.3	Federal Government Waivers of Sovereign Im-	100
	1.0.0	munity	188
7.4	State	Government Accountability	191
	7.4.1	The Eleventh Amendment: Original Understand-	
		ing	192
	7.4.2	The Eleventh Amendment: Hans v. Louisiana	197
	7.4.3	The Ex Parte Young Exception	199
	7.4.4	Seminole Tribe and the Abrogation of Eleventh	
		Amendment Immunity	
	7.4.5	Abrogation of State Sovereign Immunity Under	
		the Fourteenth Amendment	210
	7.4.6	Sovereign Immunity for Arms of the State	214
7.5	State	Official Action and Section 1983	
	7.5.1	Section 1983 and the Fourteenth Amendment	217
	7.5.2	Section 1983 Liability of State and Local Govern-	
		ments	218
	7.5.3	Section 1983 and Other Federal Statutes	221
7.6	Officia	al Immunity	222
	7.6.1	Qualified Immunity for Executive Branch Employees	223
	7.6.2	ployeesAbsolute Immunity for Legislators, Judges, and	
	1.0.2	the President	
		UIIC I I COIUCIIU	

	F	Page
7.7	Government Accountability: Conclusion	231
Cha	pter Eight. Habeas Corpus and Government Ac-	
Clia		233
8.1	•	233
8.2		233
0.2	•	235
		238
8.3	Habeas Review of Federal Detention and the Suspension	200
0.0	•	240
		242
		247
8.4		249
0.1		250
	8.4.2 Restrictions on the Scope of Review: Stone v.	200
	Section and the second sections and the second section and the section and the second section and the section and the second section and the second section and the second section and the section and the second section and the section	252
	8.4.3 Restrictions on the Scope of Review: <i>Teague v</i> .	202
		254
		259
		261
8.5		266
0.0		267
	8.5.2 AEDPA: Limitations Periods, Exhaustion and	20.
		268
		$\frac{271}{271}$
8.6		273
	_	2.0
Cha	pter Nine. Judicial Restraint, Abstention, and	
0.1	to the state of th	275
9.1		275
9.2		276
0.0		277
9.3	The Anti-Injunction Act: Federal Injunctions to Stay	000
	8	280
		281
		283
0.4	•	283
9.4	Other Statutory Limits on Federal Equitable Proceed-	00.4
0.5	O	284
9.5	Judge-Made Doctrines of Restraint, Exhaustion, and the	005
		285
		287
		292
		294
	9.5.4 Equitable Restraint and Pre-Enforcement Re-	000
	view	298

		Page
9.5	Judge-Made Doctrines of Restraint, Exhaustion, and	
	the Parity Debate—Continued	
	9.5.5 The Domestic Relations and Probate "Exceptions"	304
	9.5.6 The Rooker–Feldman Doctrine	
		308
	9.5.7 Coordinating Federal Remedies: Habeas and Section 1983	310
	9.5.8 Conclusion	313
		010
Cha	pter Ten. Congressional Control of State and Federal Jurisdiction	314
10.1	Introduction	314
	Congressional Control of State Court Jurisdiction and	314
10.2	the Presumption of Concurrent Jurisdiction	315
	10.2.1 State Concurrent Jurisdiction and <i>Tarble's Case</i>	318
	10.2.1 State Concurrent Jurisdiction and Tarote's Case 10.2.2 State Court Duty to Entertain Federal Claims	322
10.3	Legislative Courts and Article I Tribunals	$\frac{322}{327}$
10.5	10.3.1 Assessing the Constitutionality of Article I Tribu-	321
	nals	329
10.4	Congressional Control of the Jurisdiction of the Federal	329
10.4	Courts	337
	10.4.1 The Orthodox Account	338
	10.4.1 The Orthodox Account	340
	10.4.2 Challenges to Orthodoxy: Mandatory Surisdiction 10.4.3 Limits on Congressional Power External to Arti-	340
	cle III	343
	10.4.4 Control of the Jurisdiction of the Lower Federal	
	Courts	345
	10.4.5 Control of the Supreme Court's Appellate Juris-	
	diction	345
	10.4.6 Denial of All Jurisdiction, State and Federal	347
10.5	Toward a Unitary Theory of Supreme Judicial Oversight	
	and Control	349
	10.5.1 Inferior Courts and Tribunals Subject to Supervision	353
	10.5.2 Supervisory Power and Military Detention of	000
	Terror Suspects	355
10.6	Conclusion	356
	NDIX-SELECTED CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS	358
	E OF CASES	379
	E OF STATUTES AND RULES	391
	E OF AUTHORITIES	395
INDEX	C	401

Chapter One

THE ARCHITECTURE OF ARTICLE III

1.1 Introduction

To a degree some may find surprising, the text, history, and structure of Article III continue to play an important role in arguments about the scope of federal jurisdiction and the nature of judicial authority. Justice Felix Frankfurter explained the instinct behind this focus on text, history, and structure more than half a century ago: he characterized the words of Article III as technically framed to establish clear limits on the federal judicial power and contrasted such technical precision with the Constitution's more generalized references to liberty, property, and due process of law. See National Mutual Ins. v. Tidewater Transfer Co. (1949) (dissenting opinion). Although many observers share Frankfurter's view of the comparative specificity of Article III, the sheer number of competing accounts of the federal judicial power would seem to belie any claim of technical precision and clarity. See Brest (1980). Disputes over Congress's power to strip the federal courts of jurisdiction feature plausible but quite different accounts of the text of Article III. As with many interpretive tasks, then, the interpretation of Article III often begins, but rarely ends, with the text and history of the judicial article. This chapter introduces the text and the way it structures current thinking about federal jurisdiction.

1.2 The Vesting Clause

Article III of the Constitution provides the framework of the federal judicial system (and influences the organizational structure of this book). The first section of Article III declares that the "judicial Power" shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. Known as the vesting clause, this richly evocative provision performs a wide range of functions. Perhaps most importantly, the vesting clause establishes the federal judiciary as one of three independent departments of the federal government with a special set of powers all its own. The judicial power differs from the legislative and executive power that Articles I and II vest in the Congress and President, respectively. The parallel vesting of three