

COMPETITION DAMAGES ACTIONS IN THE EU

Law and Practice

DAVID ASHTON
DAVID HENRY

Economics Contribution from
Frank Maier-Rigaud and Ulrich Schwalbe



ELGAR COMPETITION LAW AND PRACTICE

COMPETITION DAMAGES ACTIONS IN THE EU

LAW AND PRACTICE

DAVID ASHTON
European Parliament, Brussels

DAVID HENRY
McDermott Will & Emery, Brussels

Economics contribution from Frank Maier-Rigaud and
Ulrich Schwalbe

ELGAR COMPETITION LAW AND PRACTICE

Edward Elgar
Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

Introduction and Chapters 1–7 © David Ashton and David Henry 2013

Chapter 8 © Frank Maier-Rigaud and Ulrich Schwalbe 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by
Edward Elgar Publishing Limited
The Lypiatts
15 Lansdown Road
Cheltenham
Glos GL50 2JA
UK

Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc.
William Pratt House
9 Dewey Court
Northampton
Massachusetts 01060
USA

A catalogue record for this book
is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013944956

This book is available electronically in the ElgarOnline.com Law Subject Collection,
E-ISBN 978 1 78254 076 2



ISBN 978 1 78254 075 5

Typeset by Columns Design XML Ltd, Reading
Printed and bound in Great Britain by T.J. International Ltd, Padstow

COMPETITION DAMAGES ACTIONS IN THE EU

ELGAR COMPETITION LAW AND PRACTICE

Series Editors: David Vaughan CBE QC, *Brick Court Chambers, London, UK* and Elizabeth Morony, *Partner, Clifford Chance LLP, London, UK*

The *Elgar Competition Law and Practice* series, is a library of works by leading practitioners, competition officials and scholars covering discrete areas of substantive law and procedure in the field of competition and antitrust. Each title is both analytical and descriptive in approach, highlighting and unpicking the legal (and economic) issues that are most critical and relevant to practice. Designed to be detailed, focused reference works, the books in this series offer an in-depth treatment and an authoritative statement on the law, economics and practice in key topics within the field, from *Vertical Agreements* to *Antitrust Damages*, from *Competition and IP* to *Competition in Energy Markets*, and from *Leniency and Fines* to *Article 101* and *Article 102*.

Presented in a format that allows for ease of navigation to a particular point of law, each title in the series is written by specialists in their respective fields, often with insight either from private practice or from an enforcement perspective.

Under the direction and series editorship of two leading figures in competition law practice, the *Elgar Competition Law and Practice* series will be a forum for the highest quality work in competition law, and will form an important reference library for all practicing in the field.

FOREWORD

This book is timely in more ways than one. It comes after almost ten years' work on the European Commission's private enforcement initiative, stretching back to the adoption of the Green Paper on damages actions in 2005 and, before that, to the Ashurst study, commissioned in 2003.

From a private practitioner's perspective, the book is timely in that it complements the fast pace of on-going development in the field in terms of litigation before the courts of the Member States. In this regard, it is noticeable that the Competition Appeal Tribunal in London has, over recent years as the provisions of the Enterprise Act 2002 have kicked in, developed a coherent body of jurisprudence in the area. As this book highlights, developments in Germany have also been significant. Indeed, the book brings together some of the most salient developments from the Member State jurisdictions.

The private enforcement initiative is one that in some ways goes beyond the legal field it incarnates. It is symbolic of a closer integration in the style of, most obviously, the United States (US), both in substantive but also theoretical terms, and in terms of what might be called 'legal architecture'. As the introductory chapter brings out, developments in the field at European Union (EU) level mark an important development in terms of the creation of a coherent set of rights enforceable between private parties. It can safely be said that there is no other field of EU law with such a well-developed and practical application in this sense. In difficult times for the Union, such a development in terms of democratisation and the connection between its law and its citizens is only to be welcomed.

Emil Paulis

Director, financial markets, DG Internal Market, European Commission
Former director, policy directorate, DG Competition, European Commission

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the following for their comments on, and assistance with, various parts of the text: Louise-Astrid Aberg, Vladimir Bastidas, Jeremy Bentley, Philip Bentley QC, Samuel Buyoya, Alvaro García-Delgado García, Andrea Hamilton, Tanel Kalaus, Michal Kocon, Joey Mathis, Gerard McElwee, Paulius Mencas, Francesco Salerno, Alice Setari, Aiste Slezeviciute, Annika Suominen, Bastian Voell and Christian Vollrath.

David Ashton would like to emphasise that the views expressed in this book constitute his personal opinion and do not reflect the position of the European Parliament.

The law as stated is up-to-date to 11 June 2013.

TABLE OF CASES

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

| | |
|--|-------|
| Lithgow and others v United Kingdom (9006/80, 9262/81, 9263/81, 9265/81, 9266/81, 9313/81, 9405/81) | 6.036 |
| Gorraiz Lizarraga and others v Spain (62543/00) | 6.036 |

EUROPEAN UNION

Court of Justice

| | |
|---|---|
| Case 26/62 Van Gend en Loos [1963] ECR 1 | 1.12, 1.13, 1.14 |
| Cases 48, 49 and 50–57/69 ICI and others v Commission [1972] ECR 619 | 7.109 |
| Case 127/73 BRT v SABAM [1974] ECR 51 | 1.06 |
| Case 43/75 Defrenne v Sabena [1976] ECR 455 | 1.08 |
| Case 21/76 Bier v Mines de Potasse d'Alsace [1976] ECR 1735 | 7.023, 7.026, 7.027, 7.031, 7.032, 7.033, 7.036, 7.129 |
| Case 33/76 Rewe-Zentralfinanz and Rewe-Zentral v Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland [1976] ECR 1989 | 1.01 |
| Joined Cases 64 and 113/76, 167 and 239/78, 27, 28 and 45/79 Dumortier Frères [1979] ECR 3091 | 3.039 |
| Case 238/78 Ireks-Arkady v Council and Commission [1979] ECR 2955 | 3.038, 3.039, 3.043, 3.059 |
| Case 68/79 Hans Just v Danish Ministry for Fiscal Affairs [1980] ECR 501 | 1.05, 3.038, 3.041, 3.042, 3.043, 3.045, 3.046, 3.047, 3.059 |
| Case 814/79 Netherlands v Ruffer [1980] ECR 3807 | 7.024 |
| Case C-107/82 AEG Telefunken AG v Commission [1983] ECR 3151 | 7.047 |
| Case 199/82 Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v SpA San Giorgio [1983] ECR 3595 | 1.05, 3.038, 3.052, 3.055, 3.058, 3.059, 3.062 |
| Case 14/83 Von Colson [1984] ECR 1891 | 1.01 |
| Joined Cases C-89/85, C-104/85, C-114/85, C-116/85, C-117/85 and C-125-129/85 A Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission (Wood Pulp I) [1988] ECR 5193 | 7.026, 7.092, 7.109, 7.110 |
| Joined Cases 331/85, 376/85 and 378/85 SA Les Fils de Jules Bianco and J Girard Fils SA v Directeur Général des douanes et droits indirects [1988] ECR 1099 | 3.038, 3.044, 3.047, 3.056 |
| Case 144/86 Gubisch Maschinenfabrik v Palumbo [1987] ECR 4861 | 7.070 |
| Case 145/86 Hoffmann v Krieg [1987] ECR 645 | 7.066, 7.074 |

| | |
|--|--|
| Case 189/87 Athanasios Kalfelis v Bankhaus Schröder, Münchmeyer, Hengst and Co and others [1988] ECR 5565 | 7.042 |
| Case C-220/88 Dumez France v Hessische Landesbank [1990] ECR I-49 | 7.024 |
| Case C-213/89 R v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte Factortame (Factortame I) [1990] ECR I-2433 | 1.05 |
| Case C-234/89 Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG [1991] ECRI-935 | 2.04 |
| Case C-351/89 Overseas Union Insurance Ltd v New Hampshire Insurance Co [1991] ECR I-3317 | 7.081, 7.086 |
| Joined Cases C-6/90 and C-9/90 Francovich v Italian Republic [1991] ECRI-5357 ... | 1.05, 1.10, |
| | 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 2.14 |
| Case C-271/91 Marshall II [1993] ECR I-4367 | 1.01 |
| Case C-338/91 Steenhorst-Neerings [1993] ECRI-5475 | 1.01 |
| Case C-128/92 Banks [1994] ECR I-1209 | 3.038, 3.061 |
| Case C-129/92 Owens Bank v Bracco [1994] ECR I-117 | 7.074, 7.076 |
| Case C-406/92 The Tatry [1994] ECRI-5439 | 7.041, 7.055, 7.056, 7.057, 7.059, 7.061, 7.064, |
| | 7.066, 7.069, 7.071, 7.074 |
| Joined Cases C-46/93 and C-49/93 Brasserie du Pêcheur and Factortame III [1996] ECR I-1029 | 1.05, 1.06, 1.10, 1.11 |
| Case C-68/93 Fiona Shevill and others v Press Alliance SA [1995] ECR I-415 | 7.023, 7.024, |
| | 7.025, 7.026, 7.027, 7.031, 7.032, 7.033, 7.034, 7.035, 7.036, 7.128, 7.129, 7.130 |
| Case C-364/93 Marinari v Lloyds Bank [1995] ECRI-2719 | 7.024, 7.129 |
| Case C-66/95 Sutton [1997] ECRI-2163 | 1.01 |
| Case C-188/95 Fantask v Industriministeriet [1997] ECRI-6783 | 1.05 |
| Joined Cases C-192/95 to C-218/95 Société Comateb and others v Directeur Général des Douanes et Droits Indirects [1997] ECRI-165 | 1.05, 3.038, 3.046, 3.047, 3.048, 3.061 |
| Case C-351/96 Drouot Assurances SA v Consolidated Metallurgical Industries [1998] ECR I-3075 | 7.057, 7.059, 7.060, 7.061 |
| Case C-195/98 Österreichischer Gewerkschaftsbund v Austria [2000] ECR I-10497 | 6.034 |
| Case C-344/98 Masterfoods Ltd v HB Ice Cream Ltd [2000] ECRI-11369 | 4.073, 4.082, |
| | 4.083, 4.084, 4.088 |
| Case C-412/98 UGIC v Group Josi [2000] ECR I-5925 | 7.015 |
| Joined Cases C-441/98 and C-442/98 Michailidis [2000] ECRI-7145 | 3.038, 3.046, 3.061 |
| Case C-453/99 Courage Ltd v Bernard Crehan [2001] ECRI-6297 | 0.08, 1.02, 1.05, 1.14, |
| | 2.02, 2.08, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13, 2.16, 2.17, 2.19, 2.20, 2.32, 2.64, 2.66, 3.001, 3.029, 3.032, |
| | 3.037, 3.038, 3.044, 3.068, 3.069, 3.075, 3.085, 4.082, 4.083, 7.042 |
| Joined cases C-204/00 P, C-205/00 P, C-211/00 P, C-213/00 P, C-217/00 P and C-219/00 P Aalborg Portland and others v Commission [2004] ECRI-123 | 5.30 |
| Case C-147/01 Weber's Wine World Handels-GmbH and others v Abgabenberufungskommission Wien [2003] ECRI-11365 | 3.048, 3.049, 3.050, 3.057, |
| | 3.059 |
| Case C-281/02 Owusu v Jackson et al [2005] ECR I-1383 | 7.008, 7.009 |
| Joined Cases C-295/04 to C-298/04 Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni Spa [2006] ECR I-6619 .1.03, 2.02, 2.09, 2.14, 2.15, 2.16, 2.17, 3.001, 3.029, 3.037, 3.069, 3.075, 5.12, | 5.22, 5.34, 5.47, 5.48, 5.54 |
| Case C-413/06P Bertelsmann AG and Sony Corporation of America v Independent Music Publishers and Labels Association (Impala) [2008] ECRI-4951 | 8.014 |
| Case C-199/08 Erhard Eschig v Uniqa Sachversicherung AG [2009] ECRI-8295 | 6.035 |

| | |
|---|--|
| Case 360/09 Pfleiderer AG v Bundeskartellamt, judgment of 14 June 2011 (not yet reported) | 0.08, 3.029, 4.021, 4.022, 4.023, 4.024, 4.025, 4.026, 4.027, 4.028, 4.029, 4.032, 4.034, 4.035, 4.036, 4.041, 4.042, 4.043, 4.068, 4.65 |
| Case C-17/10 Toshiba Corporation and others v Úřad pro ochranu hospodářské soutěže (not yet reported) | 5.31 |
| Case C-404/10 P Commission v Éditions Odile Jacob SAS and Lagardère SCA (not yet reported) | 4.008, 4.016 |
| Case C-412/10 Homawoo v GMF Assurances (not yet reported) | 7.002 |
| Case C-477/10 P Commission v Agrofert Holding a.s, and others (not yet reported) | 4.008, 4.016 |
| Case C-133/11 Folien Fischer AG and Fofitec AG v Ritrama SpA (not yet reported) | 7.037, 7.038, 7.039, 7.040, 7.041 |
| Case C-199/11 Europese Gemeenschap v Otis NV and others (not yet reported) | 0.08, 3.029, 4.076, 4.077 |
| Case C-332/11 ProRail BV v Xpedys NV (not yet reported) | 4.046 |
| Case C-536/11 Bundeswettbewerbsbehörde v Donau Chemie AG and others (not yet reported) | 4.028–4.030, 4.032, 4.034 |

General Court

| | |
|--|---|
| Case T-102/96 Gencor Ltd v Commission [1999] ECR II-753 | 7.106, 7.107, 7.109, 7.110 |
| Case T-342/99 Airtours plc v Commission [2002] ECR II-2585 | 8.014 |
| Joined Cases T-3/00 and T-337/04 Pitsiorlas v Council [2007] ECR II-4779 | 3.030 |
| Case T-329/01 Archer Daniels Midland Co v Commission [2006] ECR II-3255 | 5.30 |
| Case T-344/08 EnBW Energie Baden-Württemberg AG v Commission, judgment of 22 May 2012 (not yet reported) | 4.008, 4.014, 4.015, 4.016, 4.018, 4.019, 4.020 |
| Case T-437/08 CDC Hydrogène Peroxide v Commission, judgment of 15 December 2011 (not yet reported) | 4.008, 4.009, 4.010 |
| Case T-330/11 MasterCard Inc and MasterCard Europe v Commission (not yet reported) | 4.007 |
| Case T-341/12 R Evonik Degussa GmbH v Commission (not yet reported) | 4.013 |
| Case T-345/12 R Akzo Nobel and others v Commission (not yet reported) | 4.013 |

MEMBER STATES

Belgium

| | |
|---|------|
| Cour de cassation, 24 September 1976, AC 1977, 98 | 2.49 |
| Cour de cassation, 5 September 1996, AC 1996, 708 | 2.49 |

Denmark

| | |
|---|------|
| Ekko A/S/Electrolux Home Products Denmark A/S, Miele A/S and BSH Hvidedvarer A/S Home Appliance Group, judgment of the Sø- og Handelsretten of 15 October 2004 | 2.25 |
|---|------|

France

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Conseil constitutionnel, decision No 89–257, 25 July 1989 | 6.026 |
| Cour de cassation, Com 1 March 1982, No 80–15.834, Bull. civ. IV, No 76 | 2.50 |
| Doux Aliments/Ajinomoto Eurolysine (No. 09–15.816), Cour de cassation, chambre commerciale, judgment of 15 June 2010 | 3.045, 3.081, 3.082, 3.083 |
| UFC Que Choisir, Cour de cassation, première chambre civile, judgment no 531 of 26 May 2011 (10–15.676) | 6.144, 6.145 |
| Les Sociétés Coopérative Le Gouessant et Sofral, Cour de cassation, chambre commerciale (11–18.495) | 3.036, 3.083 |
| UGAP/CAMIF, Paris Cour d'appel, JCP G 1998, II–10217, judgment of 13 January 1998 ... | 5.69 |
| UGAP/CAMIF, Paris Cour d'appel, Jurisdata n° 2001–157128, judgment of 22 October 2001 | 5.69 |
| SARL Philippe Streiff Motorsport/SAS Speedy, Paris Cour d'appel, 25ème chambre A, judgment of 28 June 2002, JCP E 2003, JP n° II 1018, p. 2091 | 2.52 |
| Doux Aliments/Ajinomoto Eurolysine, Paris Cour d'appel, judgment of 10 June 2009 | 3.083 |
| Eco System/Peugeot, Paris Tribunal de commerce, judgment of 22 October 1996 | 2.52 |
| SAS Ma Liste de Courses/Société HighCo 3.0, Société HighCo Data, Société Sogec Gestion, Société Sogec Marketing, Paris Tribunal de commerce, 15ème chambre, RG 2011014911, judgment of 24 August 2011 | 4.031 |
| Outremer Télécom/Orange Caraïbes et France Télécom, Paris Tribunal de commerce, 1ère chambre, RG 2010073867, judgment of 8 November 2011 | 4.031 |
| Paris Tribunal de commerce, 1ère chambre, RG 2011030421, judgment of 31 January 2012 | 6.156 |
| SAS Ma Liste de Courses/Société HighCo 3.0, Société HighCo Data, Société Sogec Gestion, Société Sogec Marketing, Paris Tribunal de commerce, 15ème chambre, RG 2011014911, judgment of 16 March 2012 | 4.031 |
| DKT International/Eco-emballages et Valorplast, Paris Tribunal de commerce, 15ème chambre, RG 2012000109, judgment of 16 March 2012 | 4.031 |

Germany

| | |
|---|--|
| Bundesgerichtshof, Berliner Transportbeton I, KRB 2/05 (WuW 2005, 1257) | 5.18 |
| Bundesgerichtshof, CDC/Dyckerhoff AG, KZR 42/08, 7 April 2009 | 6.154 |
| Bundesgerichtshof, KZR 75/10 (WuW 2012, 57; NJW 2012, 928) | 3.054, 3.056, 3.075, 3.076, 3.077, 3.078 |
| Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf, VI-U (Kart) 14/07 (WuW 2008, 845) | 6.153 |
| Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf, V-4 Kart 5 + 6/11 (OWi) (WuW 2012, 962) | 4.027 |
| Oberlandesgericht Karlsruhe, Az. 6 U 118/05 (Kart) | 3.076 |
| Landgericht Dortmund, 0 55/02 Kart Vitaminkartell III (WuW 2004, 1182) | 5.18 |
| CDC Hydrogen Peroxide/Akzo, Landgericht Dortmund | 0.13 |
| Landgericht Düsseldorf, 34-O (Kart) 147/05 (WuW 2007, 519) | 6.153 |
| HUK Coberg/AGC and others, Landgericht Düsseldorf | 0.13 |
| Landgericht Mannheim, 22 O 74/04 | 3.076 |
| Amtsgericht Bonn, Case 51, Gs 53/09 (WuW 2012, 275) | 4.026 |

Italy

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Corte de cassazione, judgment no 2207/2005 | 2.59, 3.087 |
| Corte de cassazione, judgment no 2305/2007 | 2.59, 3.087 |
| Naples Corte d'appello, judgment of 26 October 2007 | 2.58 |
| Naples Corte d'appello, judgment of 9 November 2007 | 2.58 |
| Naples Corte d'appello, judgment of 18 February 2008 | 2.59 |
| Naples Corte d'appello, judgment of 19 May 2008 | 2.59 |
| Albacom SpA c. Telecom Italia SpA, Rome Corte d'appello, judgment no 261/2003 of 20 January 2003 | 4.108 |
| International Broker/Refining Companies, Rome Corte d'appello, judgment no 1337/2008 | 3.087 |
| Indaba Incentive co/Società Juventus FC SpA, Turin Corte d'appello, judgment of 6 July 2000 | 3.084, 3.085 |
| Vincenzo Manfredi/Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni SpA, Bitonto Giudice di pace, judgment of 21 May 2007 | 5.19 |
| Lecce Giudice di pace, judgment of 30 January 2003 | 3.088 |

Lithuania

| | |
|---|------|
| Case No 3K-3-207/2010 UAB Klevo Lapas v AB Orlen Lietuva, judgment of 17 May 2010 | 2.40 |
|---|------|

The Netherlands*Gerechtshof Amsterdam*

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| LJN AZ7033 | 6.117 |
| LJN BO3908 | 6.124 |
| LJN BV1026 | 6.124 |

Rechtbank Arnhem

| | |
|------------------|------|
| LJN BZ0403 | 0.13 |
|------------------|------|

Rechtbank The Hague

| | |
|--|----------------------------|
| LJN CA1870, judgment of 1 May 2013, C/09/414499/HA ZA 12-293 | 4.079, 4.080, 4.081, 7.052 |
|--|----------------------------|

United Kingdom*Competition Appeal Tribunal*

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Case No 1078/7/9/07 Consumers Association v JJB Sports plc | 0.11, 6.085, 6.145 |
| Case No 1147/5/7/09 | 4.100 |
| Case No 1153/5/7/10 | 4.100 |
| JJB Sports plc and Allsports Limited v Office of Fair Trading [2004] CAT 17 | 6.087 |
| BCL Old Co Ltd and others v Aventis SA and others [2005] CAT 2 | 3.072 |

Table of Cases

| | |
|---|--|
| Umbro Holdings Ltd, Manchester United plc, Allsports Limited, JJB Sports plc v Office of Fair Trading [2005] CAT 22 | 6.087 |
| Healthcare at Home v Genzyme [2006] CAT 30 | 0.11, 5.04 |
| Albion Water Limited v Water Services Regulatory Authority [2006] CAT 36 | 5.42 |
| Emerson Electric Co and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others [2007] CAT 28 (Emerson I) | 5.56, 5.57 |
| Emerson Electric Co and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others [2007] CAT 30 (Emerson II) | 5.56, 5.57 |
| Emerson Electric Co and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others [2008] CAT 8 (Emerson III) | 5.56, 5.57 |
| BCL Old Co Limited and others v BASF plc and others [2008] CAT 24 (BCL/BASF I) | 5.59 |
| Albion Water Limited and Albion Water Group Limited v Water Services Regulatory Authority [2008] CAT 31 | 5.42 |
| Enron v EWS [2009] CAT 7 (Enron I) | 4.078, 4.092, 4.093, 4.095 |
| BCL Old Co Limited and others v BASF plc and others [2009] CAT 29 (BCL/BASF II) | 5.59 |
| Enron v EWS [2009] CAT 36 (Enron II) | 4.090, 5.04, 5.05 |
| Emerson Electric Co and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others [2011] CAT 4 | 4.097, 4.100, 4.101 |
| Deutsche Bahn AG and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others [2011] CAT 16 | 5.57 |
| 2 Travel Group Plc v Cardiff City Transport Services Limited [2012] CAT 19 | 5.03, 5.04, 5.35, 5.36, 5.37, 5.38, 5.39, 5.40, 5.41, 5.45 |
| Albion Water Limited v Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig [2013] CAT 6 | 5.42, 5.43, 5.44, 5.45 |

England and Wales

Supreme Court

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Rookes v Barnard [1964] 1 AC 1129 | 5.25, 5.38, 5.41, 5.43 |
| Garden Cottage Foods Limited v Milk Marketing Board [1984] AC 130 | 2.20 |
| Kuddus v Chief Constable of Leicestershire [2002] 2 AC 122 | 5.21 |
| Dubai Aluminium Co Ltd v Salaam and others [2002] UKHL 48 | 5.77 |
| Inntrepreneur and others v Crehan [2006] UKHL 38 | 2.05, 2.10, 4.085 |
| BCL Old Co Limited and others v BASF plc and others [2012] UKSC 45 | 0.13, 5.59 |
| Deutsche Bahn AG and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others, UKSC 2012/0209 | 0.13 |

Court of Appeal

| | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Davies v Swan Motor Co [1949] 2 KB 291 | 5.77 |
| Pan Atlantic Insurance Company Limited and another v Pine Top Insurance Company Limited [1989] 1 Lloyd's Law Reports 568 | 6.079 |
| Gibbs Mew plc v Gemmell [1998] EuLR 588 | 2.05, 2.12 |
| Crehan v Inntrepreneur and others [2004] EWCA 637 | 2.05, 2.08, 2.09, 4.083, 4.084, 4.085 |
| JJB Sports plc v Office of Fair Trading [2006] EWCA Civ 1318 | 6.087 |
| Kolden Holdings Limited v Rodette Commerce Limited [2008] EWCA Civ 10 | 7.059, 7.060, 7.061 |
| Devenish Nutrition and others v Sanofi-Aventis SA and others [2008] EWCA Civ 1086 | 5.34 |

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| BCL Old Co Limited and others v BASF plc and others [2009] EWCA Civ 434 (BCL/BASF I) | 5.59 |
| Enron v EWS [2009] EWCA Civ 647 (Enron I) | 4.092, 4.093, 4.096, 4.097 |
| Cooper Tire & Rubber Company and others v Dow Deutschland and others [2010] EWCA Civ 864 | 7.047, 7.049, 7.074, 7.075 |
| BCL Old Co Limited and others v BASF plc and others [2010] EWCA Civ 1258 (BCL/BASF II) | 5.59 |
| Emerald Supplies Limited v British Airways plc [2010] EWCA Civ 1284 | 6.079, 6.080 |
| Enron v EWS [2011] EWCA Civ 2 (Enron II) | 5.04, 5.05 |
| Deutsche Bahn AG and others v Morgan Crucible Company plc and others [2012] EWCA Civ 1055 | 5.57 |
| Toshiba Carrier UK Ltd and others v KME Yorkshire Limited and others [2012] EWCA Civ 1190 | 7.049 |
| Emerson Electric Co and others v Mersen UK Portslade Ltd [2012] EWCA Civ 1559 | 4.100 |

High Court

| | |
|---|--|
| 2001 Folio 398 Prentice Ltd v DaimlerChrysler UK | 6.083 |
| Courage Ltd v Crehan [1998] EWHC 281 (Ch) | 2.05 |
| Provimi Limited v Aventis Animal Nutrition SA [2003] EWHC 961 (Comm) | 2.64, 7.010, 7.030, 7.043, 7.044, 7.046, 7.048, 7.050, 7.051, 7.052, 7.067, 7.072, 7.074, 7.131 |
| Crehan v Inntrepreneur and others [2003] EWHC 1510 (Ch) | 2.05, 2.06, 2.08, 2.09 |
| Devenish Nutrition and others v Sanofi-Aventis SA and others [2007] EWHC 2394 | 3.073, 5.26, 5.27, 5.28, 5.29, 5.30, 5.31, 5.32, 5.33, 5.34, 5.39 |
| Emerald Supplies Limited v British Airways plc [2009] EWHC 741 (Ch) | 6.077, 6.078, 6.079, 6.080 |
| Cooper Tire & Rubber Company and others v Shell Chemicals UK Limited and others [2009] EWHC 2609 (Comm) | 7.032, 7.035, 7.037, 7.046, 7.051, 7.052, 7.059, 7.060, 7.061, 7.074, 7.075, 7.084, 7.085, 7.106, 7.131 |
| Purple Parking Limited and Meteor Parking Limited v Heathrow Airport Limited [2011] EWHC 987 (Ch) | 3.026 |
| National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc v ABB Ltd and others [2012] EWHC 869 (Ch) | 4.040, 4.041, 4.042, 4.043, 4.044, 4.045, 4.046, 4.047, 4.048, 4.049, 5.65 |
| Secretary of State for Health and others v Servier Laboratories Limited, Servier Research and Development Limited, Les Laboratoires Servier SAS and Servier SAS [2012] EWHC 2761 (Ch) | 4.055 |
| Bord Na Mona Horticulture Limited and Board Na Mona plc v BPI and others [2012] EWHC 3346 (Comm) | 4.078, 4.100, 7.024, 7.027, 7.029 |
| National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc v ABB Limited and others [2013] EWHC 822 (Ch) | 4.049, 4.050, 4.053, 4.054, 4.056 |

UNITED STATES

US Supreme Court

| | |
|---|--|
| Hanover Shoe v United Shoe Machinery Corp. 392 US 481 (1968) | 3.045, 3.092, 3.093, 3.094, 3.095, 3.096, 3.098, 3.100, 3.102 |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Hawaii v Standard Oil Co. of California 405 US 251 (1972) | 3.091 |
| Brunswick Corporation v Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc. 97 US 690 (1977) | 2.08, 3.091 |
| Illinois Brick Co v Illinois 431 US 720 (1977)3.015, 3.099, 3.101, 3.102, 3.104, 3.107, 3.109, | |
| | 3.111 |
| Texas Industries, Inc. v Radcliff Materials, Inc. et al. 451 US 630 (1981) | 5.77 |
| Blue Shield of Virginia et al. v McCready 457 US 465 (1982) | 3.101 |
| Associated General Contractors of California, Inc. v California State Council of Carpenters 459 US 519 (1983) | 3.091, 3.110 |
| Cargill, Inc. et al. v Monfort of Colorado, Inc. 479 US 104 (1986) | 3.091 |
| Atlantic Richfield Co. v USA Petroleum Co. 495 US 328 (1990) | 3.091 |
| Kansas et al. v Utilicorp United Inc. 497 US 199 (1990) | 3.107 |
| F Hoffmann-LaRoche Ltd. v Empagran SA 124 US 2359 (2004) | 4.061 |
| Morrison v National Australia Bank Ltd. 130 US 2869 (2010) | 6.122 |

US Court of Appeal

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| United States v Aluminium Co of America 148 F.2d 416 (2nd Cir 1945) | 7.113 |
| Jewish Hosp. Ass'n v Stewart Mech. Enters. 628 F.2d 971 (6th Cir 1980) | 3.106 |
| County of Suffolk v Long Island Lighting Co. 907 F.2d 1295 (2nd Cir 1990) | 6.165 |
| In re Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Inc. 51 F.3d 1293 (7th Cir 1995) | 6.028 |
| Campos v Ticketmaster Corp. 140 F.3d 1166 (8th Cir 1998) | 3.104 |
| Paper Systems Incorporated v Nippon Paper Industries Co. Ltd. 281 F.3d 629 (7th Cir 2002) | 3.108 |
| Empagran SA v F Hoffmann-LaRoche Ltd. 315 F.3d 338 (DC Cir 2003) | 7.113 |
| Howard Hess Dental Labs. v Dentsply International Inc. 424 F.3d 363 (3rd Cir 2005) | 3.104 |
| Empagran SA v F Hoffmann-LaRoche Ltd. 417 F.3d 1267 (DC Cir 2005) ...7.003, 7.050, 7.113 | |
| Paycom Billing Services v MasterCard International 467 F.3d 283 (2nd Cir 2006) | 3.104 |
| Warren General Hospital v Amgen 643 F.3d 77 (3rd Cir 2011) | 3.008, 3.103, 3.104 |

US District Court

| | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Atlantic City Electric Company v General Electric Company 226 F. Supp. 59 (SDNY 1964) | 6.156 |
| Reilly v Hearst Corp. 107 F. Supp. 2d 1192 (ND Cal 2000) | 3.091 |
| In Re: Methionine Antitrust Litigation No 00-1311, 2003 WL 22048232 (ND Cal, 2003) | 4.061 |
| In Re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation 398 F. Supp. 2d 209 (DDC, 2005) | 4.061, 4.063, 6.154, 8.058 |
| In Re: Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation 486 F. Supp. 2d 1078 (ND Cal 2007) | 4.061 |
| In Re: OSB Antitrust Litigation 2007 WL 2253425 (ED Pa, 3 August 2007) | 3.107 |
| In Re: Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation 536 F. Supp. 2d 1129 (ND Cal 2008) | 3.091 |
| In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation 586 F. Supp. 2d 1109 (ND Cal 2008) ...3.091 | |
| In Re: Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation II Civ No 08-mc-180 (WD Pa, 2009) | 4.061 |
| In Re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation No 06-MD-1775, 2009 WL 3077396 (EDNY, 25 September 2009) | 4.061, 4.069 |
| In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation No M 07-1827 SI, 2011 WL 723571 (ND Cal, 2011) | 4.061 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| In Re: Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation Nos 08-cv-2431 and 08-cv-2433, 2012 WL 1657734 (ED Pa, 11 May 2011) | 3.104 |
| In Re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation No 06-MD-1775, 2011 WL 2909162 (EDNY, 15 July 2011) | 4.061 |
| In Re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation No 06-MD-1775 (EDNY, 19 December 2011) | 4.069 |
| In Re: Automotive Wire Harness Systems Antitrust Litigation MDL No 2311 (ED Mich, 7 February 2012) | 3.111 |
| In Re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, In Re: Wire Harness Cases 2:12-cv-00103-MOB-MKM (ED Mich, 6 June 2013) | 3.110 |
| <i>California Supreme Court</i> | |
| Clayworth v Pfizer Inc 233 P 3d 1066 (Cal. 2010) | 3.097 |

TABLE OF LEGISLATION AND POLICY DOCUMENTS

INTERNATIONAL TREATIES

| | |
|---|--------------|
| 1968 Brussels Convention on jurisdiction and the enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (consolidated version, OJ L 299, 31.12.1972, p 32) | 7.006, 7.007 |
| Article 6(1) | 7.130 |
| Article 21 | 7.054 |
| Article 22 | 7.054, 7.074 |
| Convention on jurisdiction and the enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters done at Lugano on 16 September 1988 (OJ L 319, 25.11.1988, p 9) | 7.006 |
| Convention on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters done at Lugano on 30 October 2007 (OJ L 339, 21.12.2007, p 3) | 7.006 |
| Article 69(4) | 7.006 |
| Article 69(5) | 7.006 |

COUNCIL OF EUROPE

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| European Convention on Human Rights | |
| Article 6 | 1.10, 6.030, 6.124 |
| Article 13 | 1.10 |

EUROPEAN UNION

| | |
|--|--|
| Consolidated version of the Treaty establishing the European Community | |
|--|--|

| | |
|--|---|
| Article 3 | 2.07 |
| Article 293 | 7.006 |
| Consolidated version of the Treaty on European Union (OJ C 115, 9.5.2008, p 13) | |
| Article 4(3) | 1.01, 1.10, 2.06 |
| Article 19(1) | 0.08 |
| Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (OJ C 115, 9.5.2008, p 47) | |
| Article 34 | 1.06, 1.08, 1.11, 1.14 |
| Article 45 | 1.08 |
| Article 45(3) | 1.08, 1.11 |
| Article 49 | 1.06 |
| Article 56 | 1.08, 1.11 |
| Article 101 | 0.01, 0.07, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.08, 1.09, 1.11, 1.16, 1.18, 2.05, 2.08, 2.10, 2.12, 2.14, 2.17, 2.27, 2.50, 2.60, 3.026, 3.029, 3.035, 4.029, 4.087, 4.088, 4.107, 5.26, 5.34, 5.57, 5.65, 5.74, 6.052, 6.053, 7.101, 7.026, 7.045, 7.100, 7.109, 7.124 |
| Article 101(1) | 1.08, 2.04, 2.06, 2.52, 3.029, 4.083, 4.084, 4.085, 4.101, 6.085 |
| Article 101(2) | 0.07, 2.14, 2.64, 2.66 |
| Article 101(3) | 0.08, 1.18, 2.04, 3.054 |
| Article 102 | 0.01, 0.07, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.08, 1.09, 1.11, 1.16, 1.18, 2.27, 2.50, 2.60, 3.026, 3.029, 3.035, 4.087, 4.088, 5.35, 5.65, 5.74, 6.052, 6.053, 7.011, 7.026, 7.100, 7.109, 7.124 |
| Article 157 | 1.08 |
| Article 267 | 5.65 |
| Article 339 | 4.012 |