GILDETT® LAW SUMMARIES

Wills
Stanley M. Johanson

America's best selling outlines written by the nation's most acclaimed law professors

a to tell its de-lie had be

Some Statement

Wills

BY STANLEY M. JOHANSON

University of Texas

Twelfth Edition

GILBERT LAW SUMMARIES

Board of Editors

RICHARD J. CONVISER

Professor of Law, IIT/Kent

MICHAEL R. ASIMOW

Professor of Law, U.C.L.A.

JOHN A. BAUMAN

Professor of Law, U.C.L.A.

W. JONATHAN CARDI

Professor of Law, University of Kentucky

PAUL D. CARRINGTON

Professor of Law, Duke University

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

GEORGE E. DIX

Professor of Law, University of Texas

MELVIN A. EISENBERG

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

WILLIAM A. FLETCHER

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

MARC A. FRANKLIN

Professor of Law, Stanford University

MICHAEL D. GREEN

Professor of Law, Wake Forest University

EDWARD C. HALBACH, JR.

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

GEOFFREY C. HAZARD, JR.

Professor of Law, U.C. Hastings

STANLEY M. JOHANSON

Professor of Law, University of Texas

THOMAS M. JORDE

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

HERMA HILL KAY

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

JAMES E. KRIER

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

PAUL MARCUS

Professor of Law, College of William and Mary

RICHARD L. MARCUS

Professor of Law, U.C. Hastings

ROBERT H. MNOOKIN

Professor of La

THOMAS D. N Professor of L

JAMES C. OLI

ROGER C. PA

Professor of I JAMES E. PFANDER

Professor of La

Professor of Law, Northwestern University

WILLIAM A. REPPY, JR.

Professor of Law, Duke University

THOMAS D. ROWE, JR.

Professor of Law, Duke University

DOUGLAS J. WHALEY

Professor of Law, Ohio State University

KENNETH H. YORK

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University



PROJECT EDITOR Melissa B. Vasich, B.S., J.D. Attorney At Law

SERIES EDITOR Elizabeth L. Snyder, B.A., J.D. Attorney At Law

QUALITY CONTROL EDITOR Sanetta M. Hister

Copyright © 2010 by Thomson/West. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.

Text Correlation Chart

Gilbert Law Summary	Clark, Lusky, Murphy, Ascher, McCouch	Dobris, Sterk, Leslie Estates and Trusts	Dukeminier, Sitkoff, Lindgren	Scoles, Halbach, Roberts, Begleiter	Waggoner, Alexander, Fellows, Gallanis
WILLS	Cases and Materials on Gratuitous Transfers 2007 (5th ed.)	2007 (3rd ed.)	Wills, Trusts, and Estates 2009 (8th ed.)	Problems and Materials on Decedents' Estates and Trusts 2006 (7th ed.)	Family Property Law: Cases and Materials on Wills, Trusts, and Future Interests 2006 (4th ed.)
I. UNIFORM PROBATE CODE					
A. Introduction	Page 11-12	Page 25-26	Page 43	Page 3	Page 1-23
B. Two Uniform Probate Codes	11-12	Secure.		The transmit	1-23
II. INTESTATE SUCCESSION		32445 1 10 12 12			E BACKET AND A STATE OF
A. Introduction	50-55	63-73	71-72	27	2-1—2-7
B. Patterns of Intestate Distribution	50-62, 64-76	66-95	73-80, 87-96	27-39	2-7—2-24
C. Intestate Distribution in Community Property States	168-176	209-210	The state of the s		
D. Attempt to Disinherit— Negative Bequests	101	277-278	91-92	703. Apr.	2-33—2-34
III. INHERITANCE RIGHTS AS A	FFECTED BY STATUS OF C	HILD OR SIBLING			
A. Adopted Children	76-88	97-117	97-114	40-48	3-18—3-23, 3-33— 3-41
B. Stepchildren and Foster Children	83	108-109	95		3-23—3-25, 3-41
C. Nonmarital Children	88-95	117-132	115-117	49-54	3-26—3-29, 3-42— 3-46
D. Posthumous Children	95-105		115	39, 56-57	3-30
E. Artificial Insemination and In Vitro Fertilization	94-105	132-146	117-132	54-57	3-29—3-33, 3-46
F. Collateral Kin of the Half Blood	75-76	95-97	96-97	40	2-20
IV. SUCCESSION PROBLEMS C	OMMON TO INTESTACY AN	ID WILLS			39 13 + 30 to 1
A. Simultaneous Death	62-64	146-152	80-86	39	8-38—8-41
B. Advancements and Satisfaction of Legacies	121-124, 407-408	163-165, 299	133-136, 390-391	62-66, 394-398	2-31—2-33, 6-18— 6-19
C. Disclaimer by Heir or Will Beneficiary	124-131	152-163	152-157	66-67, 562-565	2-26—2-30, 17-24— 17-29
D. Slayer of the Decedent	107-121	16-29	145-152	57-62	8-48—8-55
E. Aliens	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		39-40	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF
V. RESTRICTIONS ON THE POV	VER OF TESTATION—PRO	TECTION OF THE FAMILY		ALEKS EN LES	
A. Protection of the Spouse	139-178, 191-193	166-200, 203-210	476-519	70-86, 91-94	10-2—10-39

Gilbert Law Summary	Clark, Lusky, Murphy, Ascher, McCouch Cases and Materials	Dobris, Sterk, Leslie Estates and Trusts 2007 (3rd ed.)	Dukeminier, Sitkoff, Lindgren Wills, Trusts, and	Scoles, Halbach, Roberts, Begleiter Problems and	Waggoner, Alexander Fellows, Gallanis Family Property Law:
WILLS	on Gratuitous Transfers 2007 (5th ed.)	2007 (Srd ed.)	Estates 2009 (8th ed.)	Materials on Decedents' Estates and Trusts 2006 (7th ed.)	Cases and Materials on Wills, Trusts, and Future Interests 2006 (4th ed.)
B. Protection of the Children	178-190	210-223	519-539	86-91	10-41—10-46
C. Protection of the Family	135-138	200-208	469-476	69	10-39—10-41
D. Testamentary Gifts to Charity	196-197		759	94	15-10—15-11
E. Provisions Against Public Policy	1-43	1-16	27-37		
VI. FORMAL REQUISITES OF W	ILLS				
A. What Constitutes a Will?	330		40-41	101-106	4-1-4-2
B. Governing Law	309-310		242-243	103	
C. Formal Requirements for Wills	198-220, 263-291	224-240, 395-453	159-215, 223-246	106-118, 127-149	4-1—4-81
D. Liberalizing Compliance with Will Execution Requirements	291-304	240-246	246-264	123-127	
E. Attestation Clause	308	236	233	116-118	
F. Self-Proved Wills	284-285, 293-301	236-238	244	118	4-30—4-31
G. Attorney Liability for Defective Execution	285	36-45	58-63	290-298	12-39—12-44
H. Holographic Wills	314-328	246-255	268-286	119-122	4-32—4-38
I. Oral Wills	328-330	And promises to the control of the c	226	122	4-32
J. Conditional Wills			272-273		4-49—4-50
K. Statutory Wills	309	255	THE STATE OF THE S		4-31—4-32
VII. REVOCATION OF WILLS			HELESTER STATE		
A. Introduction		351-353		187-188	5-1
B. Revocation by Operation of Law	374-384	363-367	305-307	194-197	5-21—5-26, 10-46— 10-47
C. Revocation by Subsequent Testamentary Instrument	356-360	359-363	286-295	193-194	5-15-4
D. Revocation by Physical Act	360-366	353-359	286-295	188-193	5-5—5-20
E. Proof of Lost Wills	363-364	356-358	289-290	191-193	5-14—5-16
F. Revival of Revoked Wills	366-369	367-368	304-305	197-199	5-26—5-32
G. Dependent Relative Revocation	369-374	369-374	295-303	199-206	5-32—5-44
VIII. COMPONENTS OF A WILL	· 图图 中国 "和政协会	e de la la comparti			
A. Integration	330-331	257-271	308	151-155	4-86
B. Incorporation by Reference	333-340	257-271	310-323	157-160	4-86—4-89
C. Acts of Independent Significance	332-333	271-277	323-325	160-162	4-90—4-92
D. Pour-Over Gift to Inter Vivos Trust	340-344	276-277	436-447	527-534	8-44—8-46
E. Codicil	331-332		308-309	155-157	4-89, 5-20, 5-31—5-3

Gilbert Law Summary	Clark, Lusky, Murphy, Ascher, McCouch Cases and Materials	Dobris, Sterk, Leslie Estates and Trusts 2007 (3rd ed.)	Dukeminier, Sitkoff, Lindgren Wills, Trusts, and	Scoles, Halbach, Roberts, Begleiter Problems and	Waggoner, Alexander, Fellows, Gallanis Family Property Law:
WILLS	on Gratuitous Transfers 2007 (5th ed.)	2007 (Sra ea.)	Estates 2009 (8th ed.)	Materials on Decedents' Estates and Trusts 2006 (7th ed.)	Cases and Materials on Wills, Trusts, and Future Interests 2006 (4th ed.)
IX. CONTRACTS RELATING TO W	IILLS				
A. Contract to Make a Gift by Will	344-355	374-382, 390	325-327	207-222	11-15—11-20
B. Joint Wills	351-353	382-390	327	209-222	11-1
C. Contract Not to Revoke a Will	344-355	374-390	327-334	217-220	11-1—11-15
D. Contract Not to Make a Will	BECTAIN THE LANGE CONTRACTOR WAS AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	18 (2017) (Ch. 1999) (1997) (1997) (Ch. 1997) (1997		and and a to the control of the state was a state of the	1
X. CHANGES IN BENEFICIARIES	AND PROPERTY AFTER E	XECUTION OF WILL	at national and a second		
A. Lapsed Gifts	409-418	300-316	358-374	404-417	6-19—6-30
B. Class Gifts	798-821	820-860	375-379	400-404, 417-447	18-1—18-60, 19-39— 19-42
C. Classification of Testamentary Gifts	408	278-282	380	385-386	6-2
D. Ademption	399-408	288-299	380-391	386-394	6-2-6-11, 6-18-6-19
E. Stock Splits and Stock Dividends	406-407	298-299	390	394	6-11—6-16
F. Interest on General Legacies				. (c.	en man kan jene in diderktin in digestimen die und die der die geber de
G. Exoneration of Liens	408-409	285-286	391	400	
XI. WILL CONTESTS AND RELAT	ED MATTERS				
A. Standing to Contest Will		408		685-688, 698-721	
B. Grounds for Will Contest	198-262	395-453	159-215	127-149	4-39—4-86, 12-8— 12-37
C. No-Contest Clause	242-245, 247	462-469	198-199	688-694	4-55—4-57
D. Tort Liability for Wrongful Interference with Expected Inheritance	255-256	453-460	215-221		4-83—4-84
XII. PROBATE AND ESTATE ADM	MINISTRATION	MARKET PARTY			
A. Overview of Estate Administration Process	628-653	1028-1033, 1038-1041	39-44	21-24, 665-682	20-2—20-6
B. Proof of Wills in Probate	641-655	46-47, 1030-1033	39-44	682-685	20-2—20-6
C. Appointment and Qualification of Personal Representative	655-660	1033-1038	40	723-746	20-6—20-7
D. Duties and Liabilities of Personal Representative	672-757	46-47, 1029, 1078- 1134	40	747-817, 819-832	1-17—1-18, 20-3
E. Creditors' Claims	660-671	1041-1076	44-45	668-671	1-18, 20-4—20-6
F. Abatement	408	278-285	391-392	398-399	6-16—6-17
G. Source of Payment of Death Taxes	45-49, 919-920	286-288	934-935	269-270	7-14—7-22
H. Entitlement to Income During Period of Administration					
I. Informal Administration Procedures	647-653	1031-1039	43-44	677-681, 694-697	The second secon

Capsule Summary

I.	UNI	FORM PROBATE CODE	
A.	Whi Prob prod UPC	RODUCTION le more than one-third of the states have enacted all or most of the Uniform pate Code ("UPC"), other states have adopted many of the substantive, but not redural, provisions. Still other states have made selective adoptions of several compressions. The great variations among the states have resulted in a lack of formity as to the impact of the UPC on state laws regarding decedents' estates.	§1
В.	TW	O UNIFORM PROBATE CODES	
	1.	In General There are two versions of the UPC: the original UPC and the revised UPC. States have adopted various combinations of provisions from both the original UPC and the revised UPC.	§3
	2.	Revised Uniform Probate Code The UPC was substantially modified to include nonprobate transfers, e.g., revocable trusts, life insurance, and employee benefit plans. Additionally, the intestate shares of surviving spouses were greatly increased and significant changes were made to anti-lapse statutes.	§ 5
II.	INT	ESTATE SUCCESSION	
Α.	INT	RODUCTION	
	1.	When Intestate Distribution Rules Apply Intestacy statutes govern distribution of the property of a person when the person dies without a valid will, the will is denied probate, or the will does not completely dispose of the estate, resulting in a partial intestacy.	§ 7
	2.	Common Law At common law, there were separate rules for real property and for personal property.	§8
	3.	Modern Law Today, intestate distribution is governed by statutes, and in nearly all states, the rules are the same for real and personal property. "Heirs" (preferred term) and "next of kin" are now synonymous and describe persons who take either real or personal property by intestacy.	§ 9

	4.	4. What Law Governs Generally, the law of the state where the decedent was domiciled at death determines the disposition of personal property; disposition of real property is determined by the law of the state where the property is located.		
	5.	Intestacy statutes (or a will) apply only to a decedent's <i>probate</i> (or testamentary) estate. This consists of assets that pass by will or inheritance and that are subject to administration by the decedent's personal representative (e.g., cash, real estate, personal effects).		
		a. Nonprobate assets Property that passes under a contract (e.g., life insurance proceeds), property held with another with the right of survivorship (e.g., joint tenancies), trust assets where the decedent is a trustee or beneficiary, and assets over which the decedent holds a power of appointment pass outside of probate, and the laws of intestate succession do not apply.	§13	
В.	PAT	TERNS OF INTESTATE DISTRIBUTION		
	1.	Rules Vary from Jurisdiction to Jurisdiction Intestacy laws differ, even among the states that have adopted the UPC. This Summary covers the general patterns of intestate distribution of many states, both common law and community property states. (Most separate property in community property states is covered by these general rules; community property is governed by other intestacy statutes.)	§18	
	2.	Intestate Share of Surviving Spouse A surviving spouse takes an intestate share in all jurisdictions.	§22	
		a. Decedent survived by descendants—non-UPC states If the decedent is survived by a spouse and descendants, the spouse usually takes one-third or one-half of the estate.	§23	
		b. Decedent survived by descendants—original UPC If the decedent is survived by a spouse and descendants and the descendants are not descendants of the surviving spouse, one-half of the estate passes to the spouse and one-half passes to all of the decedent's descendants. If all of the decedent's descendants are also descendants of the surviving spouse, the spouse takes the first \$50,000 plus one-half of any balance of the estate, while the remaining one-half passes to the descendants.	§25	
		c. Decedent survived by descendants—revised UPC If all of the decedent's descendants are also descendants of the surviving spouse, the spouse inherits the entire estate. If the surviving spouse also has descendants who are not descendants of the decedent, the surviving spouse inherits the first \$225,000 plus one-half of any balance of the estate, while the remaining one-half passes to the decedent's descendants. If any of the decedent's descendants are not descendants of the surviving spouse, the surviving spouse takes the first \$150,000	§26	

	decedent's descendants.	
d.	Decedent not survived by descendants The majority rule is that if the decedent is survived by a spouse but not descendants, the <i>spouse inherits the entire estate</i> . A substantial minority of states allow the surviving spouse to inherit one-half of the estate with the remaining one-half passing to the decedent's surviving parent(s). If there are no surviving parents, the surviving spouse inherits the entire estate.	§30
	(1) Revised UPC Under the revised UPC, the spouse inherits the first \$300,000 plus three-fourths of any balance and the remaining one-fourth passes to the decedent's parent(s).	§33
e.	When spouse disqualified from taking as heir In several states, a surviving spouse is disqualified from taking an intestate share under certain circumstances, e.g., abandonment or failure to support the spouse.	§34
Th es de er	testate Share of Children and Other Descendants ne remainder of the estate after satisfaction of the spouse's share (or the entire state if there is no surviving spouse) passes to the decedent's children and escendants of deceased children. Note that, in all states, the decedent's par- nts and collateral kin <i>never inherit</i> if the decedent is survived by children or eir descendants.	§35
4. D	istributions Among Descendants of Deceased Children	
a.	Common law—classic per stirpes A minority of jurisdictions distribute shares among children and descendants of deceased children by division of stirpital shares at the child level, regardless of whether there are any living children.	§37
b.	Majority rule—per capita with representation Many states and the original UPC use the per capita with representation method under which the shares are determined at the first generational level at which there are living takers; e.g., each living child takes one share and the share of a deceased child is divided among her children by representation.	§38
c.	Revised UPC—per capita at each generation Under the revised UPC and in several non-UPC states, the property is divided into <i>equal shares</i> at the first generational level with living takers. Shares of deceased persons at that level are combined and then divided equally among takers at the next generational level so that persons in the <i>same degree of kinship</i> to the decedent <i>always take equal shares</i> .	§39
lf	the decedent is not survived by a spouse or descendants, the decedent's priviting parents inherit the estate (majority rule). By statute in several states,	§48

plus one-half of any balance; the remaining one-half passes to the

		child if the parent's parental rights were terminated or could have been terminated due to nonsupport, abandonment, abuse, or neglect.	
	6.	Decedent Not Survived by Spouse, Descendants, or Parents If the decedent is not survived by a spouse, descendants, or parents, in nearly all states the estate passes to the <i>descendants of the decedent's parents—i.e.</i> , the decedent's brothers and sisters (or their descendants). If there are <i>no siblings</i> (or their descendants), the estate passes to the decedent's <i>grandparents—</i> one-half to the maternal and one-half to the paternal grandparents (or their descendants).	§51
	7.	Intestate Distribution Beyond Grandparent Level The majority of states distribute one-half of the estate to the <i>nearest kin on each side</i> of the family (<i>i.e.</i> , maternal and paternal), no matter how remote the relationship to the decedent.	§53
		a. Inheritance beyond nearest kin Under the revised UPC and in several states, the estate passes to the descendants of the previously deceased spouse of the decedent before it escheats to the state.	§55
		b. Minority rule—"laughing heir" statutes The UPC and several states prevent inheritance by remote relatives, usually those related to the decedent beyond the grandparent (or descendant of grandparent) level.	§56
	8.	Escheat If the decedent has no surviving relations (or is survived by relations too remote in states having "laughing heir" statutes), the estate escheats to the state .	§57
	9.	Ancestral Property A few states hold that if a decedent leaves no surviving spouse or descendants, property received by gift, will, or inheritance from a parent reverts back to the parent (or to his heirs).	§58
C.	INT	ESTATE DISTRIBUTION IN COMMUNITY PROPERTY STATES	
	1.	Introduction The laws of the ten community property states vary considerably, but all use the same basic definitions.	§59
		a. Separate property This is property owned by a spouse before marriage, and property acquired during marriage by gift, will, or inheritance. In three states, the income from separate property is community property. Separate property passes according to the above intestacy rules.	§60
		b. Community property This is all property acquired during marriage that is not separate property. All property on hand on dissolution of marriage (by divorce or death) is presumed to be community property.	§ 6 1

support the child. Under the revised UPC, a parent cannot inherit from a

		c. Quasi-community property Quasi-community property is property acquired by one spouse while domiciled in another state that would have been classified as community property had it been acquired while domiciled in the community property state. (Real property situated in another state is not quasi-community property.)	§62
	2.	Decedent Survived by Spouse But Not Descendants If the decedent is not survived by descendants, his one-half share of the community estate passes to the surviving spouse. This results in the spouse owning the entire community estate.	§63
	3.	Survived by Spouse and Descendants In several states, the surviving spouse takes the entire community estate. Under the revised UPC and other states, the surviving spouse takes the entire community estate only if all of the decedent's descendants are also the surviving spouse's descendants; otherwise the decedent's community property interest passes to his descendants.	§64
D.	ATT	EMPTS TO DISINHERIT—NEGATIVE BEQUESTS	
	1.	Majority Rule When a testator expressly disinherits an heir but then dies partially intestate, most states permit the heir to take an intestate share in the undisposed of property.	§68
	2.	Revised UPC—Negative Bequest Rule Under the revised UPC and in several non-UPC states, a decedent may expressly exclude an individual from taking property passing by intestate succession by a provision in her will.	§69
III.	INH	ERITANCE RIGHTS AS AFFECTED BY STATUS OF CHILD OR SIBLING	
A.	ADC	OPTED CHILDREN	
	1.	Early Law Absent a relevant statute, early court decisions permitted an adopted child to inherit from the adopting parents but not from their relatives ("stranger to the adoption" rule). Usually, the adopted child continued to have inheritance rights from and through the genetic parents.	§70
	2.	Modern Law	
		a. Adopting parents Today, all relevant statutes allow an adopted child to have the same inheritance rights as a genetic child. The adopted child and her descendants thus can inherit from the adopting parents and their kin.	§73
		b. Genetic parents In nearly all states, adoption by a new family severs the parent-child relationship between the child and the genetic parents. Thus, an adopted child and her decendants have no inheritance rights from or through the genetic parents (and vice versa). An exception is made when a child is	§74

	adopted by the spouse of a genetic parent; the relationship between that parent as well as the adopting parent and the child is not affected. However, in some states adoption by the spouse of a genetic parent terminates inheritance rights from the other genetic parent. In other states and under the original UPC, such an adoption has no effect on the relationship between the child and either genetic parent.	
c.	Revised Uniform Probate Code—one-way street rule Under the revised UPC, an adopted child and her decendants have inheritance rights from and through both genetic parents, but the other genetic parent (the nonmarrying parent) and his kin have no inheritance rights from or through the child.	§80
d.	Adult adoptions Most intestacy statutes do not distinguish between adoption of a minor child and adoption of an adult. Some statutes do establish special rules for adult adoptions, and courts are divided on whether an adopted adult is included in a bequest to the adoptive parent's "children," "issue," "descendants," or "heirs."	§85
STEPCH	IILDREN AND FOSTER CHILDREN	
Α	neral Rule stepchild or foster child has <i>no</i> inheritance rights from his stepparent or ter parents.	§86
In or ev pa	Exception—Adoption by Estoppel In many jurisdictions, a stepchild or foster child can inherit from the stepparent or foster parent in cases involving an <i>unperformed agreement to adopt</i> . However, the rule applies only to those claiming through the stepparent or foster parent (e.g., child cannot inherit from stepparent's sister) and operates only in favor of the child; <i>i.e.</i> , stepparents or foster parents do <i>not inherit</i> from the child.	
	RITAL CHILDREN non law, a nonmarital child had no inheritance rights from either parent.	§91

C. **NONMAR**

B.

At common law, a nonmarital child had no inheritance rights from either parent. Today, all states permit the child to inherit from and through his genetic **mother**. Also, the child can inherit from and through the genetic father upon certain proof of paternity (e.g., adjudication or formal acknowledgment).

D. **POSTHUMOUS CHILDREN**

§98

Under both the common law rules and statutory authority, a child born (typically within 280 days) after his father's death is considered the decedent's child for inheritance purposes. The UPC goes further to permit any relative of the decedent in gestation at the decedent's death to inherit if the child lives for at least 120 hours after birth.

ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION AND IN VITRO FERTILIZATION

§102

Several states provide that a child conceived by the artificial insemination of a married woman is the husband's legitimate child for inheritance purposes if the husband consented to the insemination. Statutes are increasingly applying the same rule to children produced by in vitro fertilization or other reproductive technology.

F. COLLATERAL KIN OF THE HALF BLOOD §104 Most states make **no distinction** between siblings of the half blood and whole blood, although some states provide that half bloods take half as much as whole bloods. IV. SUCCESSION PROBLEMS COMMON TO INTESTACY AND WILLS SIMULTANEOUS DEATH Α. **Uniform Simultaneous Death Act §110** 1. The Uniform Simultaneous Death Act ("USDA") or the equivalent 120-hour survival rule of the UPC have been enacted in every state except Louisiana, which has statutes similar to the USDA. The original USDA provides that when there is no sufficient evidence that the parties have died otherwise than simultaneously, the property of each person is disposed of as if he had survived the other person. a. Application of USDA §113 In cases of simultaneous deaths, intestate estates, testamentary assets under a will, and life insurance proceeds pass as though the "owner" survived the "heir." In cases involving joint tenancies, tenancies by the entirety, or community property, one-half of the property passes as though one party survived and the other half passes as though the other party survived. b. Evidence of survival—USDA does not apply **§116** Remember that if there is sufficient evidence that one party survived the other, even for a brief interval, the USDA does not apply. Nor does it apply if the decedent's will (or other instrument) has a contrary survival provision. Uniform Probate Code and Revised Uniform Simultaneous Death Act-2. 120-Hour Rule §121 The UPC addresses the problem of deaths in quick succession by stating that absent a contrary will provision, a person must survive the decedent by 120 hours in order to take as an heir or will beneficiary. The revised USDA incorporates the UPC's 120-hour rule. This rule does not apply if it would result in an escheat to the state, and it does not apply to survivorship estates (i.e., joint tenancies, tenancies by the entirety), although the revised UPC and several states have extended the 120-hour rule to survivorship estates. ADVANCEMENTS AND SATISFACTION OF LEGACIES B. 1. Advancement of Intestate Share Common law §127 a. At common law and in a few states today, a lifetime gift to a child is presumed to be an advance payment of the child's intestate share of the donor's estate. Modern law b. §128 Most state statutes permit advancements to be made to any heir (e.g., spouse or sister). More importantly, these statutes reverse the common

law presumption and provide that a lifetime gift to an heir is **not an advancement** unless so proved. In many states, such proof must be shown by an express declaration or acknowledgment in a writing signed by the donor or the donee.

c. Procedure if advancement found

§135

If an advancement is found, the value of the property given to the advancee is added to the estate ("brought into the hotchpot") in determining the intestate shares. This is done only if the advancee elects to share in the intestate distribution. Note that the advancee never has to return the property.

d. When valuation of advancement is made

§136

The majority view values the advanced property at the *date of gift*; the UPC values it at the date of the advancee's possession or decedent's death, whichever occurs first.

e. Partial intestacy

§137

In most states, the advancement doctrine does not apply if the decedent left a will that does not make a complete disposition of the estate. However, the revised UPC treats such advancements the same as those under a total intestacy.

f. Advancee predeceases decedent

§139

In most states, if the advancee predeceases the decedent, the advanced property is **not** taken into account in determining the intestate share of the advancee's descendants unless the written declaration or acknowledgment requires the property to be taken into account.

2. Satisfaction of Legacies

§140

At common law and in states without relevant statutes, a gift to a child of the testator is **presumed** to be in partial or total satisfaction of any gifts made to the child in a **previously executed** will. This presumption usually applies also to the child's descendants (e.g., grandchild), but not to other will beneficiaries (i.e., someone other than a child or descendant). The rule applies to general legacies (i.e., legacies payable out of the general assets of the estate and that do not require delivery of a particular item).

a. Testator's intent controls

§144

Application of the satisfaction of legacies doctrine depends on the testator's intent. In determining intent, courts look to the will or to **extrinsic evidence** (e.g., testator's declaration at or near time of gift), and apply certain presumptions (e.g., bequest made for a specific purpose).

b. Statutory solutions

§146

Most states that have advancement statutes have similar statutes for the satisfaction of legacies (e.g., if state requires signed writing for advancement, it may have a statute requiring proof of satisfaction of legacies in the same manner).

C. DISCLAIMER BY HEIR OR WILL BENEFICIARY

1.	Introduction If a beneficiary makes a valid disclaimer, the disclaimed interest passes as though the <i>disclaimant predeceased</i> the decedent.			
	a. Statutory requirements for disclaimer For tax advantages, a disclaimer must satisfy the federal gift tax statute governing disclaimer. Most importantly, the disclaimer must be: (i) in writing; (ii) irrevocable; and (iii) filed within nine months after the decedent's death or the beneficiary's 21st birthday. Additionally, state disclaimer statutes must be satisfied.	§151		
2.	Interests that May Be Disclaimed Testamentary gifts and intestate shares may be validly disclaimed. In many states, life insurance and employee benefit proceeds, trust interests, survivorship tenancies, life estates (in which case, the future interests are accelerated), and future interests may be disclaimed. The disclaimer may be partial or total.	§155		
3.	Disclaimer by Personal Representative or Guardian Most states allow a disclaimer to be filed by a personal representative or guardian on behalf of a minor, deceased, or incompetent beneficiary with <i>court ap-proval</i> .	§166		
4.	Estoppel A beneficiary or heir is estopped from disclaiming an interest after having "accepted" the property or any of its benefits.	§168		
5.	Creditors' Claims A majority of states allow an heir or beneficiary to disclaim in order to defeat the claims of creditors.	§169		
6.	Public Assistance Courts have not allowed an applicant for public assistance to disclaim so as to stay eligible for the assistance program.	§171		
7.	Disclaimer Cannot Defeat Federal Tax Lien A disclaimer cannot defeat a federal tax lien which, immediately upon a person's death, attaches to the right to inherit.	§172		
SLA	YER OF THE DECEDENT			
1.	Common Law The <i>majority</i> of cases hold that one who wrongfully brings about the death of a decedent <i>forfeits</i> any interest in the decedent's estate.	§173		
2.	Statutory Solutions State statutes governing a killer's right to inherit vary (e.g., some require a conviction for the statute to apply; others do not). Under the UPC and in many states, even if the killer was found not guilty of murder, the court can determine that the killing was intentional by a preponderance of the evidence.	§177		
3.	Forms of Dispositions Affected Forfeiture occurs where a killer is to "take" under a decedent's will or life insurance policy. In most states and under the UPC, survivorship estates between the victim and killer are severed.	§1 8 5		

D.

	4.	Conviction of Felony—Corruption of Blood Modern law has virtually eliminated the common law rule that a felon's real and personal property escheats to the state. The felon is treated as if he predeceased the victim.	§189
E.		erary to common law, nearly all states give aliens an <i>unrestricted right</i> to inherit old title to real or personal property within the state.	§191
٧.	RES	TRICTIONS ON THE POWER OF TESTATION—PROTECTION OF THE FAMILY	
A.	PRO 1.	Common Law A surviving spouse's only right in a decedent's estate was dower (for a widow) or curtesy (for a widower). A decedent's estate escheated to the Crown if he was survived by a spouse but no kindred.	§198
		a. Dower Upon the husband's death, the widow was entitled to a <i>life estate</i> in an undivided one-third of all real property owned by the husband before marriage or acquired during marriage.	§199
		b. Curtesy Upon a wife's death, the husband received a <i>life estate</i> in <i>all</i> of the real property of which the wife was seized during marriage. However, curtesy rights arose <i>only if</i> issue were born of the marriage.	§201
		c. Dower and curtesy as limitations Dower and curtesy rights could be asserted regardless of the decedent's will. Also, lifetime transfers (e.g., sale to a bona fide purchaser) were inef- fective to limit dower or curtesy rights (unless the spouse had joined in the conveyance). The spouse's interest also superseded claims of the decedent's creditors.	§202
		d. Modern status Although most states have replaced dower and curtesy with elective share statutes, these common law estates still exist in a few states.	§204
	2.	Elective Share Statutes	
		a. In general Most jurisdictions have enacted statutes that allow the surviving spouse to elect a statutory share of the decedent's estate in lieu of taking under the will. In most states, this statutory share may be claimed regardless of the will's provisions.	§206
		(1) As limitation on lifetime and nonprobate transfers Several elective share statutes apply only to property owned by the decedent at death (<i>probate</i> estate); thus, a lifetime transfer <i>may</i> cut off a surviving spouse's statutory share with respect to that property. However, some courts have widened the scope of the	§208

elective share right to include certain lifetime transfers; *i.e.*, the elective share applies to the **augmented** estate.

(2) Community property states

§209

Because the community property system has an inherent protection against disinheritance of spouses, none of the community property states has an elective share statute. However, the quasicommunity property statutes found in four states are a form of elective share statute.

b. Amount of elective share

§211

In many states and under the original UPC, the elective share amount is **one-third** of the net estate regardless of whether the decedent was survived by descendants. Some states provide a different amount if the decedent was survived by descendants. Also, the original UPC and most states do not take into account the length of the marriage in determining the share. Under the revised UPC, the amount of the elective share depends on the number of years that the couple was married.

(1) Revised UPC

§212

Under the *original UPC*, the elective share applies to the decedent's *augmented estate*, which comprises the decedent's probate estate plus certain nonprobate transfers made during the decedent's lifetime. The revised UPC approach differs radically from the original UPC. Under the *revised UPC*, the augmented estate also includes the *couple's combined assets*, including those of the surviving spouse. The revised UPC assures the surviving spouse a *minimum* elective share.

c. Property subject to elective share

§217

In some states, the elective share applies to the **net probate estate** after payment of administration expenses, creditors' claims, and after satisfaction of the family allowance, homestead right, and any exempt personal property set-aside for the surviving spouse. In other states, the elective share applies to the **augmented estate** (see supra).

(1) Situs rule

§218

The situs rule limits the elective share of *real property* to that located within the state; a few states include the value of real property located in another state. The elective share applies to *all* of the decedent's *personal property* wherever located.

(2) Settlement agreement with former spouse

§221

In some states, a former spouse's right to accrued and unpaid alimony payments or a right secured by a property settlement agreement takes precedence over the surviving spouse's elective share. Other states hold that the surviving spouse's rights are superior.

(3) Property subject to contractual will

§224

In most states, property received by the decedent from a former spouse under a contractual will, giving the decedent full use of the