



Roy Baker

DEFAMATION LAW AND SOCIAL ATTITUDES

ORDINARY **Un**REASONABLE PEOPLE




Defamation Law and Social Attitudes

Ordinary *Unreasonable* People

Roy Baker

Macquarie University, Australia



Edward Elgar

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

© Roy Baker 2011


All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by
Edward Elgar Publishing Limited
The Lypiatts
15 Lansdown Road
Cheltenham
Glos GL50 2JA
UK

Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc.
William Pratt House
9 Dewey Court
Northampton
Massachusetts 01060
USA

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011932944



ISBN 978 0 85793 943 2

Typeset by Columns Design XML Ltd, Reading, Berkshire
Printed and bound by MPG Books Group, UK

Defamation Law and Social Attitudes

Figures

3.1	Chart to demonstrate four principal methodologies for deciding whether a publication is defamatory	52
6.1	Proportion of judges and practitioners who predicted a jury verdict of defamatory together with the proportion of phone survey respondents ('the public') who said they would think less of the subject of the media report put to them	199
6.2	'Defamation ratings' by judges, practitioners and phone survey respondents ('public')	203
7.1	Proportion of students choosing each statement when asked which best summarizes what they themselves think the newspaper is saying in relation to the teacher	248
7.2	Proportion of students choosing each statement when asked what they think the 'ordinary reasonable person' would understand the newspaper to be saying about the same man	248
7.3	Comparison of proportions of phone survey respondents indicating that the media reports are defamatory in their own eyes with proportions indicating they are defamatory in the eyes of the 'ORDINARY REASONABLE PERSON living in Australia'	257
7.4	Comparison of proportions of phone survey respondents indicating that the media reports are defamatory in their own eyes with proportions indicating they are defamatory in the eyes of the 'ORDINARY PERSON living in Australia'	259
7.5	Comparison of proportions of phone survey respondents indicating that the media reports are defamatory in their own eyes with proportions indicating they are defamatory in the eyes of the 'REASONABLE PERSON living in Australia'	260

7.6	Comparison of proportions of self-then-others phone survey respondents indicating that the media reports are defamatory in their own eyes with proportions of others-then-self respondents indicating they are defamatory in the eyes of the third person – ‘ordinary person’, ‘reasonable person’ and ‘ordinary reasonable person’ combined	268
7.7	Comparison of proportion of phone survey respondents who would think less of the subject of the media report, compared with the proportion who (a) believe that the ‘ordinary reasonable person’ would think less of the subject, or (b) could consider someone who would think less of the subject to be both ‘ordinary’ and ‘reasonable’	278
7.8	Proportions of phone survey respondents in groups B or D who thought the careful media organization should pay damages	282
7.9	Proportions of phone survey respondents in groups C or D who thought the careful media organization should pay damages	282
8.1	Proportions of phone survey respondents indicating disapproval in light of a media report or discovery of misconduct, compared with proportions predicting that the ‘ordinary reasonable person living in Australia’ would disapprove in light of the same media report or discovery	299
8.2	Comparison of the proportions of phone survey respondents indicating that in light of a media report or discovery of misconduct they would disapprove more strongly, less strongly or to the same degree as the ‘ordinary reasonable person’ (ORP)	305

Tables

5.1	The responses of interviewed judges to the media reports as regards capacity to defame and predicted jury verdict	150
5.2	Majority predictions of practising lawyers as to whether the media reports described are capable of defaming and are in fact defamatory	160
5.3	Average years of legal practice of lawyers in relation to which jury verdict was predicted for each report	170
5.4	Experience of lawyers in relation to their propensity to conform	174
5.5	Summary of the indication given by precedent as to whether the hypothetical media reports are defamatory	177
5.6	Precedent indicators compared with views of interviewed judges and practitioners as to the defamation status of the media reports	181
6.1	Number and proportion of phone survey respondents who said they would/would not think less of the subject of the media report described to them	185
6.2	Number and proportion of phone survey respondents who said they would think less of the subject of the media report in relation to how much less they would think of that person	186
6.3	Number and proportion of phone survey respondents who said they would not think less of the subject of the media report in relation to whether they would think more of that person	187
6.4	Combined responses of ALL phone survey respondents to questions relating to whether the respondent would think less or more of the subject of the media report put to them, or whether the report would make no difference to how the respondent regards that person	188

6.5	Combined responses of self-then-others phone survey respondents to questions relating to whether the respondent would think less or more of the subject of the media report put to them, or whether the report would make no difference to how the respondent regards that person	190
6.6	Probability of a judge or practitioner, randomly chosen from the interviewed samples, correctly predicting a jury verdict, assuming that the phone survey results directly indicate the verdict a jury would return	206
6.7	Comparison between different rankings of media reports and indicators given by precedent as to whether the report is defamatory	208
7.1	Proportion of students displaying the third-person effect	249
7.2	Proportion of publishing personnel and journalists displaying the third-person effect	251
7.3	Proportions of phone survey respondents displaying the third-person and reverse third-person effects when asked whether they or a specified third person would think less of the subject of the media report: all reports aggregated	261
7.4	Combined responses of phone survey respondents in relation to whether the third person would think less or more of the subject of the media report put to them, or whether the report would make no difference to how that person would regard the subject of the report	262
7.5	Proportions of phone survey respondents displaying third-person effect and reverse third-person effect once severity of reputational damage is taken into account: all reports aggregated	264
7.6	Proportion of 'no/no' phone survey respondents in relation to whether they could nevertheless regard someone who would think less of the subject of the media report as ordinary and/or reasonable	275
7.7	Proportions of phone survey respondents who thought compensation should be paid by the careful media organization in relation to perceptions of damage to reputation in the eyes of the first and/or third persons	280

Acknowledgements

This book originates in research carried out by me as part of the *National Defamation Research Project* (NDRP), a major research undertaking into social attitudes and defamation law, conducted at the Communications Law Centre, formerly a research centre of the University of New South Wales. All of the empirical research was conducted in Australia and much of the case law examined is Australian. However, almost the entirety of this book is of direct relevance to most common law countries outside the United States of America. Much of the book, particularly those sections relating to social psychology (especially the third-person effect) is also of direct relevance within the USA as well as non-common law countries.

The NDRP was financed by the Australian Research Council in conjunction with industry partners John Fairfax Holdings, the Australian Publishers Association, the Seven Network and law firm Cornwall Stodart. The Chief Investigators of the NDRP were Michael Chesterman, Emeritus Professor, Faculty of Law, UNSW and Professor Philip Bell, formerly Head of the university's School of Media and Communications. I am indebted to both for their invaluable guidance during the course of the NDRP, as well as to the staff of the Communications Law Centre for their support during my time there, particularly Dr Derek Wilding, Therese Iverach and Jo Hobson.

The book also draws on empirical research funded by a grant awarded to me by Macquarie University, Sydney. I am further grateful to that University for the sabbatical leave needed to complete this book.

I acknowledge the contribution to the design of the initial phone surveys made by Professor Ralph Hall of UNSW's School of Social Science and Policy. Valuable advice was also received from Dr Denis Muller, in terms of both the quantitative and qualitative social research. In particular, Dr Muller conducted some of the early focus group discussions with aplomb that I could only hope to emulate in later groups.

I am indebted to the staff of Australian Fieldwork Solutions, who carried out all the phone surveys with admirable efficiency. I also thank the legal practitioners, judges and other residents of Australia who took part in the surveys from which this book draws.

Throughout the process of developing and writing up my research, Professor Chesterman, along with Professor Brendan Edgeworth, also of UNSW's Faculty of Law, have been unstinting in their advice and encouragement. I thank them both for making this work possible.

Roy Baker
Sydney, 5 June 2011

Table of cases

- AB v. XY [1917] SC 15 **89**
- Abbott v. Random House
Australia Pty Ltd, Costello v.
Random House Australia
Pty Ltd (1999) ACTR 1 **105**,
106, **123**, **129**, **177**, **178**,
179
- Agnant v. Shakur 30 F Supp. 2d
420 (1998, US District Court,
New York) **82**
- Amalgamated Television
Services Pty Ltd v.
Marsden [1998] 43 NSWLR
148 **43**
- Anderson v. Kocsis 86 OTC
107 **94**
- Anderson v. Stewart (1851) 8
UCQB 243 (CA) **103**
- Anon (1869) 29 UCQB 456
(CA) **89**
- Aqua Vital Western Australia v.
Swan TV [1995] Aust Torts
R 62,709 **24**
- Arab News Network v. Jihad Al
Khazen [2001] EWCA Civ
118 (unreported, Gibson,
Chadwick and Keene LJ,
2 February 2001) **56**, **57**
- Arcidiacono v. Queensland
Newspapers Pty Ltd
(unreported, Supreme Court
of Queensland, Case 561 of
1974) **65**
- Attorney General v. News Group
Newspapers Ltd
[1986] 3 WLR 365
(EWCA) **70**
- Australian Broadcasting
Corporation v. Reading [2004]
NSWCA 411 (unreported,
15 November 2004) **61**
- Australian Newspaper v. Bennett
[1894] AC 284 **37**
- Awa v. Independent News
Auckland [1995] 3 NZLR
701 **36**
- Baffsky v. John Fairfax & Sons
(1991) 106 FLR 21 **36**
- Ballantyne v. TVNZ [1992] 3
NZLR 455 **38**
- Barbaro v. Amalgamated
Television Services
(1985) 1 NSWLR 30 **143**
- Bellino v. Australian Broadcasting
Corporation (unreported,
QLD Court of Appeal,
McPherson, Pincus JJA and
Williams J, 2 June 1998) **72**
- Berry v. British Transport
Commission [1961] 1 QB
149 **69**
- Berry v. British Transport
Commission [1962] 1 QB
306 **69**
- Berry v. Irish Times Ltd [1973] IR
368 (Supreme Court of
Ireland) **78**, **124**
- Bishop v. New South Wales
(No 20992 of 1997, NSW
Supreme Court) **113**
- Blair v. Mirror Newspapers Ltd
(1970) 2 DCR(NSW) 191
(NSW District Court) **83**,
124, **142**

- Blair v. Mirror Newspapers Ltd [1970] 2 NSW 604 (NSW Court of Appeal) **83, 124, 142, 179**
- Blomfield v. Nationwide News Pty Ltd (unreported, Harrison J, NSW Supreme Court, 9–10 September 2009) **112**
- Bogusz v. Thomson and Truth Newspapers Pty Ltd (1989) 95 FLR 167 **110, 122, 177**
- Brander v. Ryan [2000] SASC 446 (unreported, Prior, Lander & Bleby JJ, 21 December 2000) **70, 71, 96, 128**
- Brenner v. Cisek (1929) 23 Sask LR 573 **104**
- Brill v. Madeley [1937] TPD 106 **12**
- Brown v. Ramsey (unreported, Victoria Supreme Court, Master Barker, 24 July 1987) **92, 128**
- Buck v. Savage 323 SW 2d 363 (1959) (Tex Civ App) **90**
- Burrascano v. Levi 452 F Supp 1066 (1978) (US District Court, Maryland) **83**
- Burton v. Parker [1998] TASSC 104 (unreported, Evans J, 28 August 1998) **70, 73**
- Byrne v. Deane [1937] 1 KB 818 **58, 79, 81, 87, 124, 125, 142, 163, 179**
- Cairns v. John Fairfax & Sons Ltd; Morosi v. John Fairfax & Sons Ltd [1983] 2 NSWLR 708 **108, 113, 121, 177, 182, 197**
- Campbell, Duncan v. British Broadcasting Corporation **92**
- Capel v. Jones 4 CB 264 **77**
- Capital and Counties Bank Ltd v. Henty (1882) 7 App Cas 741 **22, 58**
- Cassidy v. Daily Mirror Newspapers Ltd [1929] 2 KB 331 **45, 46**
- Chakravarti v. Advertiser Newspapers (1998) 193 CLR 519 **149**
- Chakravarti v. Advertiser Newspapers [1998] HCA 37 **27, 31**
- Chapman v. Allan (1999) 74 SASR 274 **36**
- Chapman v. Byrd 124 N.C. App. 13; 475 S.E.2d 734 (North Carolina Court of Appeals) **101**
- Chapman v. Radio 2CH Pty Ltd (Unreported judgment, NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 16 Dec 1994) **108, 123**
- Chappell v. TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd (1998) 14 NSWLR 153 **115, 177**
- Charles v. 6PR Southern Cross Radio Pty Ltd [1999] WASC 1025 (unreported, Steytler J, 22 March 1999) **73**
- Colman v. Godwin (1782) 3 Dougl. 90, 99 ER 554 **89**
- Connelly v. McKay 28 NYS 2d 327 (1941) (Supreme Court of New York) **81, 87, 123**
- Corby v. Channel Seven Sydney Pty Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Simpson J, May 2008) **73, 126, 178**
- Costello v. Random House Australia Pty Ltd, Abbott v. Random House Australia Pty Ltd (1999) 137 ACTR 1 **105, 106, 123, 129, 177, 178, 179**

- Cruise v. Express Newspapers PLC [1999] Q B 931 **93**
- Cumming v. Ellis and John Fairfax Group Pty Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 17 March 1994) **104, 107**
- Danias v. Fakis Del. Super. 261 A.2d 529 (1969) **124**
- Dorsey v. National Enquirer, Inc, 973 F.2d 1431; 20 Media L. Rep. 1745; 92 Cal. Daily Op. Service 7073; 92 Daily Journal DAR 11390 (US Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 1992) **134**
- Driscoll v. Australian Consolidated Press Ltd (No 17295 of 1978) (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Hunt J, 26 September 1984) **102**
- Duffy v. Heinrichbaehnk [1993] SASC 3828 (unreported, Cox J, 4 March 1993) **73**
- E Hulton & Co v. Jones [1910] AC 20 **46**
- Eason v. 3AW Broadcasting Co Pty Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Hunt J, 4 April 1985) **70, 73**
- Entienne Pty Ltd v. Festival City Broadcasters [2001] SASC 60 (unreported, Olsson, Duggan & Williams JJ, 8 March 2001) **71**
- Farquhar v. Bottom [1980] 2 NSWLR 380 **41, 43, 44, 49, 311**
- Faryna v. Chorny [1951] 4 WWR 171 (BCCA) **104**
- Favell v. Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd (2005) 221 ALR 186 **318**
- Fong v. Merena, 66 Haw 72; 655 P 2d 875; 1982 Haw LEXIS 255 **8**
- Galea v. Amalgamated Television Services (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 20 February 1998) **102, 126, 179**
- Gardiner v. John Fairfax & Sons (1942) 42 SR (NSW) 171 **21, 36, 39, 311**
- General Steel Industry Incorporated v. Commissioner of Railways [1965] ALR 636 **84**
- Goold v. Newcastle Newspapers Pty Ltd [2000] NSWSC 133 (unreported, Studdert J, 9 March 2000) **111, 113**
- Graham v. Roy (1851) 13 D 634 **76, 123**
- Greek Herald v. Nikolopoulos (2002) 54 NSWLR 165 **98, 143**
- Grundmann v. Georgeson (1996) Aust Torts R 63,500 **61**
- Hanson v. Australian Broadcasting Corporation (unreported, Queensland Court of Appeal, de Jersey CJ, McMurdo P, McPherson JA, 28 September 1998) **94, 128**
- Hanson v. Australian Broadcasting Corporation (unreported, Queensland Supreme Court (in Chambers) Ambrose J 1 September 1997) **94, 128**
- Harris v. Perkins (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Ireland J, 24 March 1999) **95, 128**
- Harrison v. Galuszko (unreported, WA Supreme Court, Adams M, 8 November 1991) **105, 128, 129**

- Hart v. Wrenn (No 727 of 1990, NT Supreme Court) **72**
- Hayes v. Smith 832 P 2d 1022 **90, 91**
- Head v. Newton 596 SW 2d 211 (1980) (Tex Civ App) **90**
- Hepburn v. TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd [1983] 2 NSWLR 682 **59–65, 121, 127, 133, 141, 143, 145, 146, 155, 166–168, 178, 195, 208, 274, 277**
- Horner v. Goulburn City Council (unreported NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 5 December 1997) **90, 94, 98, 128**
- Hough v. London Express Newspaper Ltd [1940] 2 KB 507 **32, 45, 46**
- Hyams v. Peterson [1991] 3 NZLR 648 **36, 38**
- Ison v. Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 12 December 1997) **72, 73**
- Ison v. John Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Brownie AJ, 17 February 2000) **103**
- Jackson v. TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd [2001] NSWCA 108 (unreported, Handley JA, Hodgson & Wood CJJ, 10 March 2001) **71, 103**
- James v. Gannett Co, Inc, 40 NY 2d 415, 419, 386 NYS 2d 871, 874, 353 N E 2d 834 (1976) **7**
- John Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd v. Rivkin 201 ALR 77 (2003) **38**
- Jones v. Herne (1759) 2 Wilson 87 **104**
- Jones v. Jones [1916] 2 AC 481 **30, 104**
- Jones v. Skelton [1963] SR (NSW) 644 **43**
- Kelly v. John Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd [2003] NSWSC 586 (unreported, Levine J, 27 June 2003) **98, 128, 179**
- Kennedy v. Allan (1848) 10 SC 1293 **75, 123**
- Kennett v. Nationwide News Pty Ltd (No 4292 of 1998, Victoria Supreme Court) **112, 121**
- Keogh v. Incorporated Dental Hospital of Ireland [1910] 2 Ir R 577 **43**
- Kerr v. Kennedy [1942] 1 KB 409 **90, 105, 111, 128**
- Kershaw v. Wilton (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Newman J, 19 May 1995) **73**
- Krahe v. Freeman (1988) ATPR para 40–871 **102, 127**
- Krahe v. TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd (1986) 4 NSWLR 536 **63, 111**
- Lang v. Australian Consolidated Press Ltd [1970] 2 NSWLR 408 **43**
- Lanteri v. Mildura Independent Newspapers (unreported, Victoria Supreme Court, 1990) **71**
- Lawlor v. Gallagher Presidents' Report, Inc, SDNY 394 F Supp 721 (1975) **82**
- Lee v. Commonwealth Broadcasting Corporation Pty Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Hunt J, 9 April 1986) **127, 128**
- Lewis v. Daily Telegraph Ltd [1964] AC 234 **43, 44, 47, 58, 241**

- Livingstone-Thomas v. Associated Newspapers Ltd (1969) 90 WN (Pt 1) (NSW) 223 **102**
- Loosley v. TCN Channel 9 Pty Ltd (No 13065 of 1988) **112**
- Loosley v. West Australian Newspapers [1993] ACTSC 16 (unreported, Master A Hogan, 26 February 1993) **112**
- Lynch v. Knight (1861) 9 HLC 577 **73, 104**
- Makim v. John Fairfax & Sons Ltd (No 15264 of 1988) **111**
- Makim v. John Fairfax & Sons Ltd (1990) 5 BR 196 **111**
- Makim v. Nationwide News Pty Ltd (1990) **111**
- Marley's Transport Pty Ltd v. West Australian Newspapers Ltd [2001] WASC 31 (unreported, WA Supreme Court, Hasluck J, 12 Feb 2001) **71**
- Matherson v. Marchello 473 NYS 2d 998 (1984) (SC App Div, NY) **90**
- Mawe v. Pigott (1869) 4 IR CL 54 (Court of Common Pleas, Ireland) **75, 76, 124**
- Mencher v. Chesley, 297 NY 94, 100, 75 N E 2d 257 (1947) **7**
- Middle East Airlines Airliban SAL v. Sungravure Pty Ltd [1974] 1 NSWLR 323 **24, 43**
- Miller v. David (1874) LR 9 CP 118 **58**
- Mirny v. Network Ten Pty Ltd [2001] NSWSC 177 (unreported, Simpson J, 4 May 2001) **113**
- Mirror Newspapers Ltd v. Harrison (1982) 149 CLR 293 **148, 243**
- Mirror Newspapers Ltd v. World Hosts Pty Ltd (1979) 141 CLR 632 **21, 44**
- Mitchell v. Clement (1919) 14 Alta LR 28 (CA) **105**
- Morgan v. Odhams Press Ltd [1970] 1 WLR 820 **34, 46**
- Morgan v. Odhams Press Ltd [1971] 1 WLR 1239 **34, 38, 43, 44, 45**
- Moricoli v. Schwartz (1977) 361 NE 2d 74 (App Ct, Ill) **90**
- Moroney v. John Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd [2001] NSWSC 1138 (unreported, Levine J, 14 December 2001) **176**
- Morosi v. Mirror Newspapers Ltd [1977] 2 NSWLR 749 **108, 114, 121, 177, 182, 197**
- Mount Cook Group v. Johnstone Motors [1990] 2 NZLR 488 **36, 41, 61**
- Mozart v. State of NY 441 NYS 2d 600 (1981) (Ct of Claims, NY) **90**
- Mularczyk v. Nationwide News Pty Ltd (No 21449 of 1996) **298**
- Murphy v. Australian Consolidated Press Ltd [1968] 3 NSW 200 **84**
- Myroft v. Sleight (1921) 90 LJ (KB) 883 **58**
- Nazeri v. Missouri Valley College 860 SW 2d 303 (1993) (SC En Banc, Missouri) **90**
- Nevill v. Fine Art and General Insurance Co [1897] AC 68 **83**

- Obermann v. ACP Publishing Pty Ltd [2001] NSWSC 1022 (unreported, Levine J, 16 November 2001) **98, 128**
- Palavi v. Radio 2UE Sydney Pty Ltd [2009] NSWDC 238 (unreported, Gibson DCJ, 10 September 2009) **62, 63, 108**
- Paliuk v. Masoruk [1931] 3 WWR 380 (Sask KB) **105**
- Parker v. John Fairfax & Sons Ltd (unreported, NSWCA, 31 May 1980) **44**
- Parmiter v. Coupland (1840) 6 M&W 105 **21**
- Peck v. Tribune Co, 214 US 185 (1909) **57**
- Porter v. McMahon (1885) 2 NBR 211 (CA) **103**
- Prophit v. British Broadcasting Corporation [1997] SLT 745 **102, 129**
- Q-Tone Broadcasting, Co v. Musicradio of Maryland, Inc (unreported, Superior Court of Delaware, New Castle, Silverman J, 22 Aug 1994) **91**
- Queensland Newspapers v. Baker [1937] St R Qd 153 **61**
- Quigley v. Creation Ltd [1971] 1 R 269 **79**
- Quilty v. Windsor (1999) SLT 346 **89, 95**
- Quinn v. Beales [1923] 3 WWR 561 **105**
- R v. Bishop [1975] QB 274 (CA, Criminal Division, June 1974) **91**
- Rakhimov v. Jennings [2001] NSWSC 12 (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 25 Jan 2001) **71**
- Random House Australia Pty Ltd v. Abbott, Random House Australia Pty Ltd v. Costello (1999) 167 ALR 224 **106**
- Ratcliffe v. Evans [1892] 2 QB 524 **31**
- Reader's Digest Services Pty Ltd v. Lamb (1982) 150 CLR 500 **9, 57–61, 97, 127, 133, 141, 166**
- Reynolds v. Malocco [1999] 2 IR 203 **91**
- Rigby v. John Fairfax Group Pty Ltd [1996] NSWCA (unreported, Kirby P, Priestley and Meagher JJA, 1 February 1996) **242–247**
- Rivkin v. Amalgamated Television Services Pty Ltd (No 20086 of 1998, NSW Supreme Court) **96, 127**
- Rivkin v. Amalgamated Television Services Pty Ltd [2001] NSWSC 432 (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Bell J, 28 May 2001) **97, 128, 179**
- Rivkin v. Amalgamated Television Services Pty Ltd [2002] NSWSC 496 (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Cripps AJ 18 June 2002) **99**
- Rivkin v. John Fairfax Publications Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Simpson J, 18 April 2001) **96, 99, 128**
- Rivkin v. John Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd (2003) 201 ALR 77 **99**
- Roberts v. Roberts (1864) 5 B&S 389 **104**
- Robson v. News Group Newspapers (unreported, English High Court (Queen's Bench Division),

- Previté QC as J, 9 October 1995) **86, 102**
- Rogers v. South Australia (No 2) [1998] 3760 SADC (unreported, Lunn J, 20 February 1998) **111**
- Rose v. Borenstein 119 NYS 2d 288 (1953) (City Court of New York) **123**
- Rudin v. Dow Jones & Co, Inc, No 79 Civ 433 (MEL), 557 F Supp 535; 1983 US Dist LEXIS 19011; 9 Media L Rep 1305 (US District Court for the Southern District of New York) **5, 6-8, 9**
- Saunders v. WHYY-TV 382 A 2d 257 (1978) (Supreme Court of Delaware) **82**
- Schomer v. Smidt 170 Cal Rptr 662 (1980) (Ct App, Cal) **90**
- Sefton v. Baskin (1917) 37 NZLR 157 (SC) **104**
- Serdar v. Metroland Printing Publishing and Distributing Ltd [2001] OTC 318 **101**
- Shah v. Akram (1981) 79 L S Gaz 814, CA **64**
- Shaha v. Dardiryan C.a. 466/83, PD 39 (4) 734 (1985) (Israeli Supreme Court) **85, 124**
- Sharratt v. Housing Innovations, Inc, Mass. Supr., 365 Mass. 141, 310 NE 2d 343 (1974) **82**
- Silkman v. Federal Capital Press of Australia Pty Ltd (unreported, ACT Supreme Court, Gallop J, 8 December 1989) **71**
- Sim v. Stretch (1936) 52 TLR 669 **21, 36, 39, 40, 58, 69, 311**
- Simpson v. The Press Publishing Co (1900) 67 NYS 401 **26**
- Sinclair v. John Fairfax & Sons Ltd (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Finlay J, 2 June 1986) **70, 103**
- Slatyer v. Daily Telegraph Newspaper Co Ltd (1907) 7 SR (NSW) 488 **36, 40, 311**
- Slatyer v. Daily Telegraph Newspaper Co Ltd (1908) 6 CLR 1 **21, 39, 40, 43**
- Snell v. Webling (1675) 2 Lev 150 83 ER 493 **89**
- Speight v. Gosnay (1891) 60 LJQB 231 **103, 104**
- Speirs v. Herald & Weekly Times (unreported, Victoria County Court, 1988) **72**
- Steele v. Mirror Newspapers Ltd [1974] 2 NSWLR 348 **44, 45, 46**
- Stein v. Trager 232 NYS 2d 362 (1962) (SC, NY) **90**
- Sungravure Pty Ltd v. Middle East Airlines Airliban SAL (1975) 134 CLR 1, 25 **23, 24**
- Sutherland v. ACP Publishing Pty Ltd [2000] NSWSC 1139 (unreported, NSW Supreme Court, Levine J, 8 December 2000) **109**
- Tait v. Beggs [1905] 2 Ir R 525 (CA) **104**
- The Hall-Gibbs Mercantile Agency Ltd v. Dun (1910) 12 CLR 84 **23**
- Tolley v. JS Fry & Sons Ltd [1930] 1 KB 467 **39, 56, 58**
- Varner v. Morton (1919) 53 NSR 180 (CA) **105**