The Influenza Viruses and Influenza

EDITED BY

Edwin D. Kilbourne

Department of Microbiology Mount Sinai School of Medicine of the City University of New York New York, New York COPYRIGHT © 1975, BY ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPY, RECORDING, OR ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER.

ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. 111 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10003

United Kingdom Edition published by ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. (LONDON) LTD. 24/28 Oval Road, London NW1

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Main entry under title:

The Influenza viruses and influenza.

Bibliography: p. Includes index.

2. Influenza viruses. Kilbourne, Influenza. I. Edwin D. [DNLM: Influenza. 2. Influenza Viruses. QW160.I4 I43]

RC150.I48

616.2'03'0194 75-13091

ISBN 0-12-407050-7

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

List of Contributors

Numbers in parentheses indicate the pages on which the authors' contributions begin.

- William J. Bean, Jr. (125), Waksman Institute of Microbiology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey
- D. Bucher (83), Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine of the City University of New York, New York, New York
- Purnell W. Choppin (15), The Rockefeller University, New York, New York
- Richard W. Compans (15),* The Rockefeller University, New York, New York
- R. Gordon Douglas, Jr. (395), Departments of Medicine and Microbiology, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York
- W. R. Dowdle (243, 315), World Health Organization, Collaborating Center for Influenza, Virology Division, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia
- B. C. Easterday (449), Department of Veterinary Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin
- E. D. Kilbourne (1, 483), Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine of the City University of New York, New York, New York
- Hans-Dieter Klenk (215), Institut für Virologie, Justus Liebig-Universität, Giessen, Germany
- W. Graeme Laver (269), John Curtin School for Medical Research, Australian National University, Canberra City, Australia
- P. Palese (83), Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine of the City University of New York, New York, New York

^{*} Present address: Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama.

- Marcel W. Pons (145), Department of Virology, The Public Health Research Institute of the City of New York, New York, New York
- G. C. Schild (243, 315),* World Health Organization, World Influenza Center, National Institute for Medical Research, Mill Hill, London, England
- Christoph Scholtissek (215), Institut für Virologie, Justus Liebig-Universität, Giessen, Germany
- J. L. Schulman (373), Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine of the City University of New York, New York, New York
- Irene T. Schulze (53), Department of Microbiology, St. Louis University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri
- Robert W. Simpson (125), Waksman Institute of Microbiology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey
- Akira Sugiura (171), The Institute of Public Health, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan
- Robert G. Webster (269), Laboratories of Virology and Immunology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee

^{*} Present address: National Institute of Biological Standards and Control, Holly Hill Hamstead, London, England.

Preface

To the biased beholder and student of influenza, it is always an appropriate time to consider its chameleon complexities and review the vagaries of its protean virus. With the present decennial periodicity of pandemics of the disease, it is always just after, between, or just before major changes in the virus.

But now does seem to be a particularly propitious time for a collation of the increasingly abundant data from many laboratories on the biochemistry, structure, and function of the influenza viruses. Not because all the questions have been answered but because the problems have been isolated and better defined—and that is prelude to progress. And although the control of no infectious disease has yet depended upon a definitive understanding of the molecular biology of its causative agent, influenza may well be the first exception. Influenza vaccines, imperfect as they are, are the first to deliberately exploit genetic manipulation in their production. The epidemiology and immunity of the disease are already better understood through the recent clear definition of two discrete viral glycoproteins through which the virion interacts with the host and its environment. Studies of influenza viral structure are well advanced, and understanding of replication is progressing rapidly. On this understanding depends the development of rational approaches to chemoprophylaxis and therapy.

In a real sense, this book began in Madison, Wisconsin in September, 1971, with the first of a series of workshops on influenza sponsored by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. At this first informal conference (with international representation) most of the authors of this book were present, and many also attended the subsequent six conferences during the next three years. At these workshops we got to know each other well and to understand and respect each other's work. The fortunate result has been a continuing active international communication and even collaboration, as is the case with this effort to present a comprehensive view of the influenza viruses and the results of their existence.

Influenza transcends national boundaries so that this present international collaboration seems particularly appropriate.

Edwin D. Kilbourne

Contents

	List of Contributors Preface											ix xi
1 I.	The Influenza Viruses and Inf E. D. Kilbourne									101		
1.	Introduction—Influenza, an Unv	aryın	g Di	seas	e C	ause	ed l	оу :	a V	ary	ing	
II.	Virus			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	1
III.	Taxonomy of the Influenza Viruse A Comparison of Influenza A,	S. B.	 nd (· .	· ·:			.1		•		3
	Differences	ь, а	na c	ı v	irus	es—	-51n	niia	ritie	es a	ind	5
IV.	Structural and Functional Relation	onshir	of	Infl	ilen,	, ,	Vir.		· to	Ot	hor	3
	RNA Viruses				ucii	La	v 11 t	1505	ιο	Ot.	1161	9
V.	Antigenic Variation of Influenza	Vir	uses	Cor	itras	sted	wi	th	An	tige	nic	J
	Variation in Other Infectious Age	ents					2					10
VI.	Unanswered Questions											12
	References											13
	FI 0:											
2	The Structure of Influenza Vir											
_	Purnell W. Choppin and	Rich	ard	W.	Co	mp	ans	S				
I.	Introduction		,									15
II. III.	Composition of the Virus Particle			2								16
IV.	Morphology and Arrangement of C	Compo	onent	ts in	the	Vi	rion					30
V.	Assembly											44
٧.	Summary—Model of the Influenza	Virio	n.	*								45
	References			٠							,	47
3 7	ho Diologically A.L. D											
	he Biologically Active Protein	s of	Influ	enz	a V	/iru	s:					
- 1	he Hemagglutinin											
_	Irene T. Schulze											
I.	Introduction											53
11.	The Hemagglutination Reaction											55
III.	Structure of the Hemagglutinin				,							62

vi	Contents

IV												73
V	. Concluding Remarks											78
	References											79
									-		•	
4	The Biologically Active Proteins o	£ 1.	٠£١.			V:						
•	Neuraminidase	1 11	IIIL	ien	Zd	VII	us:					
	D. Bucher and P. Palese											
I.												84
II.	- P of rectrammidase											84
III.												86
IV.	Chemical Properties of the Enzyme.											91
V.	- I the Livelo	эe										93
VI.	Characterization of the Isolated Produ	uct										100
VII.												103
VIII.	Neuraminidase as an Antigen			8								108
IX.	Lectins and Neuraminidase											110
X.	Inhibitors to Neuraminidase Activity.											111
XI.	Role of Neuraminidase											114
	References										•	119
							-			•	•	113
5	The Piologically Active Bustains of											
3	The Biologically Active Proteins of	ın	itiu	enz	a v	/iru	ıs:					
	Influenza Transcriptase Activity of	Ce	ells	an	d V	/iri	ons	S				
	Robert W. Simpson and Willi	am	١J.	Be	an	. Jr						
I.	Introduction											125
II.	RNA Polymerase Activity of Infected	C	ells			•	•					126
III.	Virion-Associated RNA Polymerase A	ctiv	itv	•	•	•						131
IV.	Concluding Remarks		10,	•		•		-				141
	References	•		•	•	•				2.		141
		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	141
6	Influence Vince DNA()											
O	Influenza Virus RNA(s)											
	Marcel W. Pons											
I.	Introduction											145
II.	Methods											146
III.	Physical and Chemical Characteristics	of	In	flue	nza	Vi	ral	RN	A's		•	153
IV.	Protein-Associated RNA (The RNP)											156
V.	Intracellular Virus-Specific RNA's .				•			•			•	159
VI.	Effect of Inhibitors on RNA Synthesis				:							164
VII.	Conclusions					•	•	•			•	167
								:	:		•	167
		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	107
7	Influence VI C											
7	Influenza Virus Genetics											
	Akira Sugiura											
I.	Introduction—Historical Review .											171
II.	The Influenza Viral Genome					•	•			•	•	185
III.	Mutation, Variation, Adaptation, and	Ho	st-C	ont	:011		Ма	die.	·	'n	•	187
IV.	Genetic Markers	. 10		JIII.	OII	Lu I	VIO	uIII	allo	11	•	190
V.							•	•	•		•	190
			•				•			•		198

			Cor	itent	s			Vi
VI.	Phenotypic Mixing and Heterozygosis							206
VII.		ts N	· · · Iutani	·	•			
VIII.								209
	References						•	209
		•		•	•	•	•	200
8	Influenza Virus Replication							
	Christoph Scholtissek and Hans-Diet	er k	(lenk					
I.								215
II.	Adsorption, Penetration, Uncoating							216
III.	Transcription							219
IV.	Synthesis of Viral Proteins							224
V.	Carbohydrate Synthesis							231
VI.	Lipid Synthesis							233
VII.	Assembly							000
VIII.	Release							236
IX.	Abnormal Forms of Multiplication							237
	References							238
I. II. III. IV.	Materials W. R. Dowdle and G. C. Schild Introduction Laboratory Propagation Experimental Host Range Virus Isolation from Clinical Material References. Antigenic Variation of Influenza Viruses							243 244 257 263 264
	Robert G. Webster and W. Graeme La							
I.	Introduction	ver						
II.	Introduction Historical Aspects of Influenza				•	•		270
III.	Properties of the Influenza Virus Genome	•	•		•		•	272
IV.	The Hemagglutinin and Neuraminidase Subunit			. :	•	٠.		274
	Antigens	ts as	Hig.	aly	Va:	riab	le	120 20000
V.	Antigens			•		•		275
VI.	Mechanism of Antigenic Drift (Minor Antigenic V	arıa	tion)		•	•		287
VII.	Mechanism of Antigenic Shifts (Major Antigenic Further Evidence to Support of Research)	c Va	ariatio	n)	٠.			293
	Further Evidence to Support a Recombinational I	Even	t in t	ne C	rig	in	of	
VIII.	New Pandemic Influenza Viruses Future Antigenic Variation in Influenza Viruses a	٠			٠	٠		299
	ticinating Variation and Controlling Variati	nd I	ossibi	litie	s of	Aı	1-	
	ticipating Variation and Controlling the Disease		•	. •	•			308
	References			•	•		*	310
11 I	nfluenza Virus Characterization and Di-							
	nfluenza Virus Characterization and Diagno	OSTIC	Ser	Olog	gy			
т	G. C. Schild and W. R. Dowdle							
I. II.	Introduction							316
III.	Summary of the Antigenic Components of Influe	enza	Virus	ses .				317
111.	Methods of Antibody Assay							310

***	0
VIII	Contents

IV.	Recommended Procedures	for	the	Assay	and	Cha	arac	teriz	atio	n	of	
	Antigens											349
V.	Recommended Procedures	for	Immu	nologic	Assa	у.						359
	References										*1	368
12	mmunology of Influenz	a										
	J. L. Schulman											
I.	Introduction											373
II.	Expressions of Immunity.	•					•	•	•	•	•	374
III.	Immune Responses Median								·		oin.	371
111.	and Antibody Specific for											379
IV.	Comparison of Effects of											373
1 V .	Parenteral Immunization w											384
V.	Effect of Antigenic Drift or											386
VI.	Heterotypic Immunity .									•		388
VII.	Original Antigenic Sin .									•	•	389
VIII.	Cell-Mediated Immunity in						100		•	•	•	390
IX.	Summary									•	٠	391
IA.	References											392
	References	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	334
10												
13	nfluenza in Man											
	R. Gordon Douglas	, Jr										
I.	Introduction									•		395
II.	Naturally Occurring Influe	nza	Virus	Infecti	on in	Man						396
III.	Experimental Influenza Vir	us I	nfectio	ns in I	Man .							419
IV.	Summary and Conclusions						¥					441
	References									•	•	443
14	Animal Influenza											
	B. C. Easterday											
I.												449
II.	History						•	•	•	•	•	454
III.	The Disease									•		456
IV.	Epizootiology							•	•	•	•	464
V.	Control											476
٧.	References			: :					:			477
	references	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	177
15	Fridamiolamı at Influen											
15	Epidemiology of Influen	za										
	E. D. Kilbourne											
I.	Introduction								•	•		483
II.	History											485
III.	Influenza A, B, and C-A											486
IV.	Influenza A: Pandemic, Ep	idem	ic, and	d Ende	mic D	isease	e .			•		491
	References											532
	Index											539
		100							*(**)			

The Influenza Viruses and Influenza—An Introduction

E. D. Kilbourne

1.	Introduction—Influenza, an Unvarying Disease Caused by	
	a Varying Virus	1
II.	Taxonomy of the Influenza Viruses	
III.	A Comparison of Influenza A, B, and C Viruses—Similarities	
	and Differences	5
IV.	Structural and Functional Relationship of Influenza Viruses	
	to Other RNA Viruses	9
V.	Antigenic Variation with Influenza Viruses Contrasted with	,
	Antigenic Variation in Other Infectious Agents	10
VI.	Unanswered Questions	12
	References	_
	References	13

I. Introduction—Influenza, an Unvarying Disease Caused by a Varying Virus

The extraordinary attention that influenza and its causative viruses have received during the period of modern virology deserves comment in view of the very ordinary symptomatology of this generally uncomplicated infection of the human respiratory tract. The influenza virus replicates in straightforward fashion as a productive infection, without apparent recourse to latency, neoplastic induction, or other subtleties of virus—host relationship, to produce an acute inflammatory disease focused in the trachea that is attended by severe but briefly sustained fever and prostration. The disease in the typical patient has been essentially unvarying during at least the past 400 years, while, paradoxically, it has smoldered as a nuisance, or recurrently has aroused terror as the last great plague of man (Kilbourne, 1963). Paradoxically, also, the disease continues to defy control by artificial immunization despite the proved immunogenicity of specific vaccine

almost 40 years age (Chenoweth et al., 1936). In 1947, with the appearance of the H1N1 strains (Table 1.2), it was first appreciated that the magnitude of antigenic variation of influenza A virus might be so great as to circumvent previously acquired immunity to antecedent strains. Thus, the unvarying disease appears to be caused by a varying virus-indeed, by a virus unique among infectious agents in its antigenic variability. Coincident with the growing evidence of the epidemiologic complexity of influenza, its virus has proved increasingly interesting as an object of laboratory study—the first virus for which hemagglutination was demonstrated (Hirst, 1941; McClelland and Hare, 1941), the animal virus first shown to undergo "recombination" (Burnet and Lind, 1949), the virus first demonstrated to contain an enzyme as a structural protein (Hirst, 1942; Rafelson et al., 1963; Kilbourne and Laver, 1966; Drzeniek et al., 1966), and the virus first shown to reproduce by budding from the plasma membrane of the host cell (Murphy and Bang, 1952). Yet remarkably, research on the virus rarely has been isolated or segregated from research on the disease. The seminal early investigations of the "first generation" of scientists interested in influenza, Shope, Andrewes, Smith, Laidlaw, Stuart-Harris, Hoyle, Mulder, Burnet, Hirst, Francis, Horsfall, and Smorodintsev, have been conducted alternately at the bench and in the field, gaining lessons first from one source and then the other. This tradition has continued to the present so that many of the authors of this book could have written effectively about other aspects of influenza viruses or influenza than those assigned for their special consideration.

The last 10 years have witnessed an accelerated advance in understanding of the structure, replication, and immunology of the virus that has been in part dependent on the application of techniques widely used in molecular biology and in part upon the development of special systems and techniques for study of influenza virus. The latter include the development of plaquing and cloning systems that have facilitated genetic and antigenic studies of the virus (Simpson and Hirst, 1961; Sugiura and Kilbourne, 1965), techniques for isolation and purification of the viral polypeptides (Laver, 1963; Eckert, 1966; Compans et al., 1970; Haslam et al., 1970; Schulze, 1970; Skehel and Schild, 1971), the development of animal models for investigation of pathogenesis and immunity (Shope, 1931; Loosli, 1949; Schulman and Kilbourne, 1963; Nayak et al., 1965; Webster et al., 1971; Potter et al., 1973), and the application of new serologic techniques to viral antigenic analysis and field investigations (see Schild and Dowdle, Chapter 11). The result of this activity has been a considerable increase in knowledge of the nature of the virus, a marked improvement in epidemiologic surveillance, the design of novel approaches to the fabrication of new vaccines (Chapter 15), and, as yet, no substantial impact on the incursions of the disease.

II. Taxonomy of the Influenza Viruses

The influenza viruses are relatively large enveloped viruses that contain RNA in divided or segmented form as genome. The single-stranded RNA molecules are of nonmessage polarity ["negative" in Baltimore's terminology (Baltimore, 1971)] and accordingly contain an RNA-dependent RNA transcriptase within the virion. Transcripts of the five to seven RNA segments code (probably as monocistronic messengers) for the production of five to seven virion structural proteins and possibly cell associated nonstructural protein(s) as well (see Chapters 2, 6, and 8).

As orthomyxoviruses, the influenza viruses bear certain striking similarities to the larger parainfluenza viruses of the paramyxovirus genus (Table 1.1), including the possession of negative stranded RNA and a virion transcriptase, an external envelope that contains glycoprotein spikes bearing

Table 1.1 Taxonomic Relationship of Influenza Viruses (Orthomyxoviruses) to Other RNA Animal Viruses^a

Family	Genus	"Species"c
Picornaviridae	Enterovirus	
	Rhinovirus	
	Calicivirus	
Togaviridae	Alphavirus	
0	Flavivirus	
b	Orthomyxovirus	Influenza (type) A Influenza (type) B
		Influenza (type) C
b	Paramyxovirus	
b	Coronavirus	
b	Arenavirus	
b	Bunyamwera "supergroup"	
	(genus provisional)	
<u>b</u>	Leukovirus ("oncornavirus";	
	"RNA tumor viruses")	
b	Rhabdovirus	
Reoviridae	Reovirus)	
	Orbivirus }	"Diplornavirus" suggested

^a Based on 1971 report of International Committee on Nomenclature of Viruses (ICNV), Wildy (1971) and Fenner *et al.* (1975).

^b No family designation recognized.

⁶ Species designation seems appropriate on basis of absent complementation and recombination among influenza A, B, and C viruses.

Table 1.2 Taxonomy of Influenza Viruses

Family	Genus	"Species" (type)	Subtype ^a (human)	Prevalence	Antigenic variant ^b (within subtype)
None defined	Orthomyxovirus	Influenza A	H0N1 H1N1 H2N2 H3N2	(?1929–1947) (1946–1957) (1957–1968) (1968–)	Several (not listed) Several (not listed) Several (not listed) Hong Kong/1/68 ^d England/42/72 Port Chalmers/1/73 Scotland/840/74
			(animal) Hsw1N1° Heq1Neq1, etc.		0
		Influenza B	No true subtypes but antigenic variation may be equivalent to that observed within an influenza A subtype (e.g., H3N2)		
		Influenza C	None		Significant variation has not been defined

^a Major antigenic variant with respect to hemagglutinin and/or neuraminidase external virion antigens. Chronologic transition from one ^b Minor antigenic variant within a subtype, probably resulting from series of point mutations and selection. May effectively challenge subtype to another constitutes antigenic "shift" and is usually associated with pandemic disease.

subtype-specific immunity within an interpandemic period. Antigenic "drift." Probable human prototype strain of 1918–1929.

^d Strain designation is A/Hong Kong/1/68 (H3N2) [Bull. W.H.O. 45, 119 (1971)].

^e See Tables 14.1 and 14.2.

hemagglutinating and neuraminidase activity, and respiratory tract pathogenicity. Unlike the parainfluenza viruses, however, the influenza viruses possess a segmented genome, undergo high frequency genetic recombination or reassortment (Chapter 7), have a nuclear actinomycin D-susceptible replication phase, demonstrate no cell fusing or hemolytic properties, appear to contain hemagglutinin and neuraminidase activities in separate proteins, and have not been convincingly shown to cause persistent infections experimentally or in nature.

Influenza viruses exist as three biologically similar, but antigenically heterologous types, A, B, and C (Table 1.2). These viruses share no viruscoded antigens in common, differ in epidemiology and probably to some degree in the severity of illness that they cause. Genetic recombination, or complementation, among these types has not been verified. Therefore, the types appear to deserve identification as separate viral species (see footnote c to Table 1.1), Subtypes of influenza A virus comprise the major antigenic variants that when they first appear are associated with pandemics. Only one such subtype is present in the human population at any one time—each being superseded by the next in turn. In the case of animal influenza viruses, antigenically different subtypes can coexist (Chapters 9 and 15).

In man, significant variation in both neuraminidase and hemagglutinin antigens of a subtype is recognized every two to three years during interpandemic periods. Such variants probably exist as a continuum based on sequential point mutations of the original prototype strain (Chapter 10). However, rapid strain selection in nature plus inadequacies of sampling creates a picture of rather limited variation which, however, is sufficiently different among strains for their categorical definition. In each period of subtype prevalence such recognizable minor variants have appeared. Table 1.2 lists only the four minor variants of the contemporary H3N2 (Hong Kong) subtype that have thus far been associated with significant widespread epidemic disease. Such variants possess some antigenic determinants in common, but differ completely with respect to others (Laver et al., 1974). The revised taxonomy of influenza virus strain designations appears in Table 1.3.

III. A Comparison of Influenza A, B, and C Viruses— Similarities and Differences

Soon after the isolation of influenza A virus from human disease, it became evident that not all cases of influenza were associated with that virus. In 1940, Francis (1940) and Magill (1940) independently isolated a virus

 Table 1.3
 Antigenic Characteristics of Reference Influenza A Viruses

		_														
	Neuramin-								Hemagglutinin subtypes	lutinin su	btypes					
1	subtypes	ОН	H	Н2	H3	Hsw1	Heq1	Heq2	Hav1	Hav2	Hav3	H0 H1 H2 H3 Hsw1 Hcq1 Hcq2 Hav1 Hav2 Hav3 Hav4 Hav5 Hav6 Hav7	Hav5	Hav6	Hav7	Hav8
	N1 N2	1	2	3	4	5								141		
	Neq1 Neq2						9	7	∞	10					15	
	Nav1 Nav2 Nav3								6		11	12	13			
ı	Nav4 Nav5													17		16
	^a Revised from tabulated data from <i>Bull. W.H.O.</i> 45 , 119 (1971). ^b Previous designations:	n tabu ignatio	llated	data fr	om B	ull. W.H	.0. 45, 1	119 (197	<u></u>							
	3 2 2	1 = AO/PR/8/34 2 = A1/FM/1/47 3 = A2/Singapore	PR/8/ M/1/4 ngapo	1	/57		7 = A/8 $8 = A/9$ $9 = A/9$	7 = A/equine-2/Miam $8 = A/FPV/Dutch/27$ $9 = A/turkey/England$	7 = A/equine-2/Miami/63 $8 = A/FPV/Dutch/27$ $9 = A/turkey/England/63$	/63	13 = 14 = 15 = 15	13 = A/tern/South Africa/61 14 = A/turkey/Mass./65 15 = A/duck/Ukraine/1/63	outh Afri /Mass./6 Ukraine/	ica/61 5 1/63		

16 = A/turkey/Ontario/6118/68 17 = A/Shearwater/E. Australia/1/72

10 = A/chicken/Germany N/49 11 = A/duck/England/56 12 = A/duck/Czech./56

5 = A/swine/Wisconsin/15/306 = A/equine-1/Prague/56

4 = A2/Hong Kong/1/68

from human influenza that differed completely antigenically from influenza A virus. This virus, which resembled influenza A viruses in host range and biological behavior, was termed influenza B virus. Still later, in 1947, Taylor (1949) recovered a hemagglutinating virus from a subject suffering from mild upper respiratory tract infection. "Strain 1233" was originally thought to be a sporadic isolation of a virus of little public health importance, but Francis and his associates (1950) later isolated an antigenically identical virus from an epidemic of respiratory disease in children. Subsequently, the association of this virus with other episodes of mild influenza-like illness appeared to justify designation of the virus as influenza C. Difficulties in developing adequate tissue culture systems for replication of the virus and the infrequency of its demonstrable association with human disease have curtailed its study, so that much less information is available concerning its properties than is the case with influenza A and B viruses. At this writing, the presence of a viral neuraminidase has not been verified (Kendal and Kiley, 1974), nor has any other glycosidase been related to destruction of its cellular receptors (Table 1.4) that follows hemagglutination reactions. It seems probable that neuraminidase or other glycosidase activity will be found when appropriate experimental conditions have been defined.

The order of discovery of influenza A, B, and C viruses is also the rank order of these viruses with respect to their human and animal virulence, their host range in cell culture, the magnitude and frequency of antigenic variation, their optimal replication temperature, and their epidemiologic importance (see Table 1.4). Influenza A viruses differ importantly from B and C in their existence and serial transfer in lower animal species and in their association with pandemic disease—two factors that may be associated (Chapters 10 and 15). An interesting unexplained susceptibility to amantadine also differentiates influenza A from influenza B and C viruses, although some amantadine derivatives are reported to affect influenza B virus (Indulen et al., 1974). An actinomycin D-sensitive step early in influenza A viral replication (indicative of a need for cellular DNA transcription) has not yet been unequivocally established for influenza B or C viruses.

Perhaps reflecting its lesser virulence and apparent predilection for the upper respiratory tract, influenza C virus differs from influenza A and B viruses in replicating (at least in the chick embryo or *in vitro* infection) most efficiently at less than mean human body temperature (37°C). Possibly this virus, and to some extent influenza B virus, are "shut off" from replication at the higher temperature of the human lung in a manner similar to selected temperature-sensitive mutants of influenza A virus (Mills and Chanock, 1971) and consequently are reduced in primary human pathogenicity.

Table 1.4 Comparison of Influenza A, B, and C and Their Causative Viruses^a

	A	В	Ö
Virus first isolated Viral structure	1900b 5-7 negative strand RNA segments 5-7 structural proteins including RNA polymerase-enveloped	1940 Probably same as A, but less studied	1947 Possession of viral neuraminidase is uncertain
Antigenic variation Replication and inhibition	Major and minor Actinomycin D-sensitive step, inhibited by amantadine	Minor ? (but mitomycin C inhibition)° Not inhibited by amantadine	Very minor
Optimal replication temperature Genome and genetics	Segmented; high frequency	35°C Probably segmented; high	32°C Nature of genome and frequency
Host range (experimental)	Chick embryo, ferret, hamster, subhuman primates, mice, abortive (neurovirulent) replication in mouse brain; chick embryo, avian, primate, and bovine cell cultures	are due conformation Same as A except for lack of demonstrated experimental neurovirulence	of recombination not known Chick embryo, ferret, hamster, monkey; chick embryo, and primate cell cultures
Host range in nature	Man, various other vertebrates ^d	Man (rarely and sporadically	Man
Disease in man	Principally middle respiratory tract, possibly more virulent than B and C in adult	Principally middle respiratory tract, possibly more severe than A or C in child, as in	Principally upper respiratory tract, less severe than A or B
${f Epidemiology}$	Pandemic, epidemic, and endemic forms in all age groups Present in man at least since 1889e May exist and nersist in sub-	Epidemic and endemic phases; disease most common in older children Present in man at least since 1935*	Subclinical or endemic infection must be common Present in man at least since 1936
	human mammals and birds		

^a Note that some of the apparent differences among influenza A, B, and C viruses may simply reflect less adequate study of B and C.

^b First recovery of fowl plague virus from chickens (Stubbs, 1965). First isolation of a probable human virus was from swine in 1931 (Shope) and from man in 1933 (Smith, Andrewes, and Laidlaw). Nayak and Rasmussen (1966).

^a See Chapter 14.

^b Based on serologic studies.