CIVIL LAW TRANSLATIONS

5

BAUDRY-LACANTINERIE & TISSIER

Prescription

TRAITÉ THÉORIQUE ET PRATIQUE DE DROIT CIVIL Vol. XXVIII, Nos. 1-815 (4th ed. 1924)

AUBRY & RAU

Chapter 4. Of the Different Manners in which Actions are Extinguished or Become Ineffective, and Especially of Prescription

DROIT CIVIL FRANÇAIS, Vol. XII, §§ 770-776 bis (6th ed. 1958, by Paul Esmein)

JEAN C. CARBONNIER

Notes on Liberative Prescription

50 Revue Trimestrielle De Droit Civil 171-181 (1952)
Éditions Sirey

An English Translation

By The

Louisiana State Law Institute

Jaro Mayda, Translator

BAUDRY – LACANTINERIE & TISSIER

Prescription

TRAITÉ THÉORIQUE ET PRATIQUE DE DROIT CIVIL

Vol. XXVIII, Nos. 1-815 (4th ed. 1924)

AUBRY & RAU

Chapter 4. Of the Different Manners in which Actions are Extinguished or Become Ineffective, and Especially of Prescription

DROIT CIVIL FRANÇAIS

Vol. XII, §§ 770–776 *bis* (6th ed. 1958, by Paul Esmein)

JEAN C. CARBONNIER

Notes on Liberative Prescription

50 REVUE TRIMESTRIELLE DE DROIT CIVIL 171–181 (1952) ÉDITIONS SIREY

An English Translation
by the
LOUISIANA STATE LAW INSTITUTE

with the authorization of Librairies Techniques, Éditions Sirey, Jurisprudence Générale Dalloz, and Professors Jean C. Carbonnier and André Ponsard

ST. PAUL, MINN.
WEST PUBLISHING CO.
1972

FOREWORD

The legislative charter of the Louisiana State Law Institute imposes upon it the responsibility of making available translations of civil law materials and commentaries in the interest of a better understanding of the civil law of this state and the philosophy upon which it is based. With the generous cooperation of the West Publishing Company, the *Civil Law Translations* series is designed to further this objective.

This, the fifth volume in the series, covers the important subject of prescription, both in historical and philosophical perspective and in the light of its later development in the law of France. To present an adequately comprehensive view of the subject of prescription, the following translations have been included:

- A. 28 Baudry-Lacantinerie & Tissier, Traité Théorique et Pratique de Droit Civil, Prescription (4th ed. 1924) nos. 1–815;
- B. 12 Aubry & Rau, Droit Civil Français, Prescription (6th ed., 1958, by Paul Esmein) §§ 770–776 bis; and
- C. Carbonnier, "Notes on Liberative Prescription," 50 Revue Trimestrielle de Droit Civil 171–181 (1952).

There is included also, by way of updating the Baudry-Lacantinerie & Tissier material, over 270 new references to decisions, legislation and doctrine covering the period 1924–1967. The principal source of this material was Dalloz, <code>Encyclopédie Juridique—Répertoire de Droit Civil</code>, Volume IV, <code>Civil Prescription</code>, §§ 1–554, by Jean Radouant, Professor at the Faculty of Law and Political Science of Strasbourg (1954, Supplement 1967). The updating of the Baudry-Lacantinerie & Tissier volume by means of these materials is counted as particularly significant in view of the fact that modern developments have been largely decisional rather than legislative.

The article by Professor Carbonnier deals with the subject of liberative prescription, a topic underdeveloped in the commentaries because most of the authors "either died or ran out of breath before they got to it." It is based on decisional law, largely post-Baudry. It contains numerous references to recent commentaries and theses, is particularly well written, and the analysis it contains is both interesting and instructive. The high caliber of the Baudry-Lacantinerie & Tissier and Aubry & Rau commentaries is too well known to require embellishment. The former contains what is counted as

FOREWORD

the most elaborate discussion extant of the general subject of prescription. The portions of the Aubry & Rau treatise which are included herein relate also to the subject of liberative prescription.

The materials in this volume have been translated and their updating was performed by Professor Jaro Mayda of the University of Puerto Rico School of Law. Professor Mayda has heretofore translated the Aubry & Rau volume covering obligations, which is Volume II of the Civil Law Translations series, the third volume of Planiol's Traité Elémentaire de Droit Civil, and Gény's Méthode d'Interprétation et Sources en Droit Privé Positif, which was published before the Translations series was begun. He has degrees in law from Masaryk University, in his native Czechoslovakia, and the University of Chicago. Rather than undertaking to achieve an improvement in structure and style by the liberal exercise of editorial freedom on the part of the translator, the Institute's choice was in favor of full translation. Civil law terminology has been used throughout.

The Institute acknowledges with special thanks the cooperation editorially of the Institute of Civil Law Studies of the Louisiana State University under the personal supervision of its director, Professor Joseph Dainow.

It deeply regrets the untimely death of Professor Paul Esmein, editor of Volume XII of the sixth edition of the Aubry & Rau treatise. Its warm thanks are gratefully extended to Librairies Techniques and to Professor André Ponsard, who collaborated with Professor Esmein in the preparation of the mentioned volume, for granting to it the right to publish the material translated from the Aubry & Rau treatise, to Jurisprudence Générale Dalloz for authorizing it to extract from the Encyclopédie Juridique the decisions rendered since 1924 in order that they might be inserted in this translation, and to Professor Jean C. Carbonnier and Éditions Sirey for their kindness in authorizing the publication of an English translation of M. Carbonnier's article in the Revue Trimestrielle. The Institute is particularly impressed by these manifestations of generosity and of interest in assisting it to enhance the understanding and appreciation of the civilian legal system.

Our sincerest thanks go again to the West Publishing Company for its cooperation, without which the *Civil Law Translations* series might not have been begun.

J. DENSON SMITH

Professor of Law, Louisiana State University Director, Louisiana State Law Institute

December, 1971

EXPLANATORY NOTES

I.

There are numerous cryptic citations in the Baudry-Lacantinerie & Tissier commentary, presumably because it was assumed that the reader would be familiar with certain standard treatises. On the other hand, the citing style is frequently pedantic and makes the footnotes longer and thus visually less wieldy. The translator tried to correct this as much as feasible by the following procedures:

- 1. Treatises on *Prescription* are cited only by author's name (e. g. Pothier, Dunod, Troplong, etc.).
- 2. Frequently cited other treatises are also identified only by the name of the author(s). They are:

Aubry & Rau	Aubry & Rau, Cours de droit civil français (Ed. 4, 8 vols., 1869–1883; Ed. 5, 12 vols., 1897–
	1922). Ed. 5 is cited, unless noted otherwise. Sections 200 f. correspond to vol. II; sections 700 f. to vol. VII. Paragraph numbers in brackets have been added for indexing purposes.

Bélime	Bélime, Philosophie du droit (2 vols.).
Bufnoir	Bufnoir, C., Propriété et contrat (1900).

Colmet de Santerre	Demante & Colmet de Santerre, Cours analy-
	tique de Code civil (Ed. 2, 9 vols., 1881-1895),
	vol. VIII (unless noted otherwise).

Duranton	Duranton, A., Cours de droit français (1844).
Guillouard	Traités divers (continuation of the unfinished Cours de Code Napoléon, by Demolombe); vol-
	uma on Prescription (1896)

	unie on Frescription (1830).
Huc	Huc, Commentaire théorique et pratique du
	Code civil (15 vols., 1892–1903), vol. XIV.

Laurent	Laurent,	F., Prin	cipes de	droit	civil f	rançais
	(33 vols	., 1869;	supplem	ent, 8	vols.,	1898-
	1903), vo	ol. XXXII				

Planiol	Planiol,	M.,	$Trait\'e$	élémentaire	de	droit	civil
	(Ed. 2, 1	1900).				

Poulain du Parc	Poulain du Parc, Principes du droit français.
Taulier	Taulier, Vol. VII.

5 Civil Law Translations

V

EXPLANATORY NOTES

- 3. There is an occasional discrepancy between the wording of headlines in the text and in the table of contents. This eliminates unnecessary repetitions in the table, where the headlines follow one another, while in the text, with several pages intervening, it is desirable to repeat for the reader's easier orientation.
- 4. Page numbers in the notes are plain; section numbers are preceded by No. or §, according to the style of the original.

II.

5. As explained in the Foreword, this translation was updated by over 270 new references to decisions, legislation and writers, covering the period 1924–1967. The principal source for this updating was:

Dalloz—Encyclopédie juridique—Répertoire de droit civil. Tome IV. V° Prescription civile, secs. 1–554, pp. 7–47. (By Jean Radouant, Professor at the Faculté de droit et sciences politiques de Strasbourg.) Paris: Jurisprudence Générale Dalloz, 1954 (Suppl. 1967).

This new material is identified by brackets. If contained in a new footnote, the reference in the text is by an asterisk. The source is briefly cited as *Enc. Dal.*, followed by the number of the section.

- 6. The original is not consistent in identifying articles of the Civil Code as such. Since most of the statutory references are to the French Civil Code, they are cited simply by article number, e. g. Art. 2262. A statutory reference to a source other than the French Civil Code is given in full.
- 7. The topics in this volume are also dealt with (and referred to in the text) in two previous translations published by the Louisiana State Law Institute, which can be consulted through their respective indices:

Planiol, Civil Law Treatise (3 vols. 1959);

Aubry-Rau-Esmein, Droit Civil Français, Vol. II: Property (1966).

FIRST PART

A.

BAUDRY-LACANTINERIE & TISSIER, PRESCRIPTION (Ed. 4, 1924, updated)

CHAPTER I		
	Paragraph	Page
	Number	Number
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND		3
Section One. Roman Law		4
I. Acquisitive Prescription	3-12	4
II. Liberative Prescription	13-14	8
Section Two. Old French Law	15-23	9
CHAPTER II		
GENERAL PROVISIONS		14
I. Definitions	24-26	14
II. The Bases of Prescription	27-33	16
III. Distinguishing Acquisitive and Liberative	9	
Prescription	34-35	22
IV. Difference Between Prescription and For- feiture		23
V. When and How Prescription Can and Musi		20
be Pleaded		30
CHAPTER III		
THE RENUNCIATION OF PRESCRIPTION	59 54	37
Section One. Renunciation of Prescription in Ad		01
vance		37
Section Two. Renunciation of Acquired Prescription		43
I. Instances of Renunciation of the Prescrip		
tion		43
II. Legal Characteristics of the Renunciation	82-85	49
III. Legal Competence Required to Renounce		
an Acquired Prescription	86-90	51
IV. The Effects of a Renunciation of the Pre	-	
scription	91_92	54

VII

5 Civil Law Translations

FW7 6	TAT TO	OTT	CONTIN	THE PATERIOR
LA	BUE	OF	CONT	DIVID

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Danagnanh	Done
	Paragraph Number	
Section Three. Renunciation of a Running Prescrip		
tion		55
CHAPTER IV		
AGREEMENTS WHICH SHORTEN THE PRE	<u>-</u>	
SCRIPTIVE PERIOD		56
CHAPTER V		
THE EFFECTS OF PRESCRIPTION	101-121	64
CHAPTER VI		
PROPERTY AND RIGHTS SUSCEPTIBLE OF PRE	2-	
SCRIPTION		75
Section One. Acquisitive Prescription	_125-156	75
Section Two. Liberative Prescription		91
CHAPTER VII		
PERSONS WHO CAN INVOKE PRESCRIPTION	N	
AND PERSONS AGAINST WHOM IT RUNS	_175	99
Sec. I. The State	176_183	99
II. Municipalities		
III. Public Establishments		
CHAPTER VIII		
POSSESSION		105
Section One. Generalities		105
I. Definitions		105
II. Interests Susceptible of Possession		111
III. The Legal Nature of Possession		113
IV. The Basis of Possessory Protection	207-212	115
Section Two. Acquisition, Conservation and Loss of	of	
Possession	213	117
I. Acquisition and Constitutive Elements of	of _214–227	118
Possession		124
III. Loss of Possession		126
Section Three. The Effects of Possession		128
Coording Tillog. The Tillegra of I opperation	-01	140

VIII

CHAPTER IX

CILLLE TITE III		
	Paragraph Number	
POSSESSION FROM THE STANDPOINT OF PRI	E-	400
SCRIPTION		130
Section One. Prerequisites of Possession Leading to		100
Prescription I. Possession Must be Continuous and Uninter		130
I. Possession Must be Continuous and Uninter		130
II. Possession Must be Peaceful		135
III. Possession Must be Public		138
IV. Possession Must be by Ownership Title		141
A. Precarious possession	264-273	141
B. Acts of pure faculty and by simple	le	
tolerance		145
V. Possession Must be Unequivocal	$_{287-294}$	152
Section Two. Proof of Possession	295-297	156
Section Three. The Scope of Possession and of Pre-		
scription	298-301	158
CHAPTER X		
PRECARIOUSNESS	302	161
I. Precarious Possessors		161
II. The Effects of Precariousness		166
III. Intervention of Title		173
IV. The Rule That One Can Prescribe Against		
His Title in the Case of Liberative Pre		170
scription	558-540	178
CHAPTER XI		
CONTINUATION AND CUMULATION OF POS		
SESSIONS	341-363	180
CHAPTER XII		
THE POINT OF DEPARTURE FOR SUSPENSION	V	
OF PRESCRIPTION		191
Section One. Generalities	364-379	191
Section Two. The Commencement of Prescription	_380	202
I. Liberative Prescription		202
II. Acquisitive Prescription	394-414	210

	Paragraph Number	Page Number
Section Three. Causes of Suspension of Prescription		221
I. Minors and Interdicts		223
II. Married Women		227
III. Suspension of Prescription Between Spouses		229
IV. Heir With Benefit of Inventory	447-453	230
V. Situations in Which Prescription is not Sus		222
pended		232
VI. The Effects of Suspension	463-464	235
CHAPTER XIII		
INTERRUPTION OF PRESCRIPTION	-	237
Section One. The Causes of Interruption		237
I. Preliminaries	465-467	237
II. Natural Interruption	468-475	238
III. Legal Interruption	476	241
A. Through notice (interpellation)		241
1. Summons in justice		245
2. Order to pay		256
 Execution on property Special statutes 		258 259
B. Through acknowledgment		260
Section Two. The Effects of Interruption		268
I. The Commencement and the Characteristics		200
of the New Prescription		268
II. Persons to Whom the Interruption of the	<u>.</u>	
Prescription Extends	555-570	273
III. Rights to Which the Interruption of the		
Prescription Applies	571–578	279
CHAPTER XIV		
THE COMPUTING OF THE PRESCRIPTION PE-		
RIOD	579–583	284
CHAPTER XV		
PRESCRIPTION BY THIRTY YEARS	584	286
Section One. Rights and Actions Subject to the Thir-		
ty-Year Term	585-612	286
Section Two. Prerequisites	613-619	306
Section Three. Effects	620	308
Section Four. Annuities: New Title	621-625	310

CHAPTER XVI

	Paragraph Number	
PRESCRIPTION OF A CIVIL ACTION BASED OF		010
A DELICT	626-649	313
CHAPTER XVII		
PRESCRIPTION BY TEN-TO-TWENTY YEARS	650-653	327
Section One. Prerequisites	654	328
I. Just Title	655-677	328
II. Good Faith	678-689	340
Section Two. The Applicable Time Period	-690-694	347
Section Three. Effects	695-700	349
CHAPTER XVIII		
PRESCRIPTION BY TEN YEARS	701-711	353
CHAPTER XIX		
SHORT PRESCRIPTIONS	712	361
Section One. Prescription by Six Months, and b		
One and Two Years	-	362
I. Prescription by Six Months	713-718	362
II. Prescription by One Year		366
III. Prescription by Two Years	724-740	369
IV. Rules Common to the Prescriptions Govern		
ed by Arts. 2271–2273	741-761	378
Section Two. Prescription by Three Years		391
Prescription by Four Years	[811-812]]
Section Three. Prescription by Five Years	763	391
I. Prescription governed by Art. 2276, par. 1		392
II. Prescription Governed by Art. 2277	767	393
	768-772	394
B. Creditor's rights to which applicable		396
C. The computing, interruption and suspension		410
III. Prescription by Five Years Outside the Civ		110
Code		412
Section Four. Rules Common to all Prescription		
Governed by Arts. 2271–2280		414

SECOND PART

B.

AUBRY & RAU, DROIT CIVIL FRANÇAIS (Ed. 6, by Paul Esmein, 1958) Vol. XII, §§ 770-776 bis

CHAPTER IV

		UIIAI II			
				aragraph Number	Page Number
OF	TION EFFI	DIFFERENT MANNERS S ARE EXTINGUISHED CCTIVE, AND ESPECIA PTION	IN WHICH ACOR BECOME IN- ALLY OF PRE-	(dumber	419
	770.	[Definitions]		7	419
					419
	771.	The Concept of Prescript Strict limitations			421
		Maxim "quae temporalia"	,	9	424
		Rules common to libera			
		and to usucapio		10	426
		Legal powers			426
		Imprescriptible actions		13-14	427
	773.	Period of Time Required	for Prescription		
		Ordinary prescription		15	429
	774.	Extraordinary Prescription	ons		
		1. By ten years		16-17	429
		2. By five years			430
		3. By four years			438
		Forfeitures in favor of	of the state	27	438
		4. By three years			439
		5. By two years			439
		6. By one year			443
		7. By six months			444
		8. By three months and	less	45	445
	774 k	is. Special Rules Applica scriptions	ble to Short Pre-		
		1. Suspension		46	446
		Prescriptions from si years		47-50	446
	774 t	er. Determination of the riod by the Parties	Prescription Pe-		
		1. Abbreviation		51	450
		2. Extension			451

	F	Paragraph Number	Page Number
775.			
	Effects		451
	1. Need to plead		451
	2. Who can plead		452
	3. Effects		453
	4. Prescription as peremptory exception		453
776.			454
776	bis. Liberative Prescription in Favor of the		
	State	58	456
	THIRD PART		
	С.		
	С.		
JEAN C.	ARBONNIER, NOTES ON LIBERATIVE P	RESCRI	PTION
Revue tri	mestrielle de droit civil, L (1952) 171–181		
Intro	oduction	1	461
I.	The Time Limits Governing Liberative Pre-		
	scription		461
	Thirty-year term	2-4	461
	Five-year term	5	462
	Short prescriptions (Arts. 2271-2273)	6	463
	Tort actions	7	464
II.	The Commencement, Suspension and Inter-		
	ruption		465
	Maxim "Contra non valentem agere"		465
	Interruption	10	465
III.	The Functioning of Liberative Prescription	11-12	466
IV.	Specific Kinds of Liberative Prescription		
	Arts. 2271–2273		467
	Strict limitations (forfeitures)	14-16	468
V.	Changes in the Liberative Prescription Since the Code Napoléon	17–20	470

Index

473

FIRST PART

A

PRESCRIPTION

(Vol. XXVIII of Traité théorique et pratique de Droit Civil)

By

G. Baudry-Lacantinerie and Albert Tissier

(4th Edition, 1924. §§ 1-815)

*



PRESCRIPTION

(Book III, Title XX of the [French] Civil Code)1

Chapter I

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

- 1. The reconstruction of the history of prescription presents a number of problems. Many points have not been clarified. In this brief historical summary we can not examine the complex questions concerning study of the rules of prescription in ancient legislations, nor even under the Bas-Empire, [Byzantine period 395 A.D.-1453 A.D.] or in the Middle Ages. We can only trace the origins of our present law, presenting those facts and institutions about which the writers seem to agree. Later in the text we shall encounter a number of special problems which will lead us to study in detail the historical precedents of the provisions of our civil code. It is certain that the field is wide open for legal-historical research. Especially
- I. The purpose of this work is to study only the function of prescription in positive private French law. Looked at on a higher and more general level, prescription raises numerous problems which do not concern us here. For instance, the close relations between prescription and the customary laws are well known (see d'Argentré, Cout. de Bret., art. 277; Dunod, 106-107; Troplong, No. 26; Brissaud, Hist. gén. de dr. franç., 240; Gény, Méthode d'interprétation et sources en droit privé positif, No. 116; Lambert, La fonction du droit civil comparé, I, 114, 142. We shall not examine the important fact that in the past possession from times immemorial could be based not only on a title, but even on a statute (see Merlin, Répert., V° Prescription, sec. 2, § 24). We shall also leave aside the close relations between prescription and new legislation: what effects on existing rights follow from a legislative reform; and to what extent must they be respected? Should the parties whose longheld rights are abolished by the new statute be indemnified? These questions arise whenever a profound social reform
- eliminates as unjust rights and relations which previous law upheld (see Lasalle, Théorie systematiques des droits acquis [Fr. transl., 1901]: Andler, Les origines du socialisme d'Etat en Allemagne 91 f.). Nor is it our task to deal with prescription in public law or in international law. Even in the domain of private law, we shall generally leave aside the analysis of foreign legislation and the question of the numerous reforms which it would be convenient to adopt from there into French law. On these points we shall make only brief reference where indicated.
- 2. On the institution of prescription in primitive societies see Stobbe, Handbuch der deutschen Privatrechts, II, 181; Kovalewski, Coutume contemporaire et loi ancienne 90. On prescription in old Greek and oriental laws, and in ancient Scandinavian, Germanic and Slavic laws, see Dareste, La prescription en droit civil, 2 f; Id., Bull. des trav. de l'Acad. des sciehces mor. et polit. XLII, 941. On Moslem law see Morand, Revue algér. I (1899) 37 f.

canon law and customary laws, as well as our old decisional law, have not thus far been clarified in sufficient depth.

2. Our law of prescription comes largely from Roman law, from which our old law took almost all its rules.³ However, canon law tried to introduce new rules mainly to protect parties in good faith and, with the help of prescription, to prevent unjust enrichment of dishonest holders or debtors. In the last stages, our old law of prescription consisted almost entirely of a combination of the principles of Roman law and the rules of canon law. In the preface to his famous *Traité des prescriptions*, Dunod stated correctly "I have used as a base of my work the rules of the civil and the canon laws. Although it is said that in the regions of customary law only the rules of prescription established by the custom, the ordinances and judicial decisions were recognized, we must admit that these rules are derived from the general principles of civil and canon laws. The customs have only modified and simplified them where civil law has become too subtle and canon law too difficult and onerous." ⁴

Section One ROMAN LAW

§ I. ACQUISITIVE PRESCRIPTION

3. Roman law has an abundance of texts on prescription. It has been often said that this is one of the best treated subjects in the compilations which have come to us.

It is known that Roman law knew for a long time only usucapio which has come to be described by the less fitting terms of acquisitive prescription. Usucapio was a mode of acquisition under the civil law.⁵ It went back to the Twelve Tables. The shortness of the prescription periods required by Roman law—one year for movables, two years for immovables—is explained by the fact that at the beginning of Roman history land property was limited and movements of people infrequent. The one and two-year periods were therefore sufficient to detect and prevent usurpation.⁷ The majority of old laws stipulate similarly short prescription periods.

- 3. Cf. Desjardins, Nouv. rev. hist. (1877)
- 4. Cf. also d'Espinay, De l'influence du droit canonique sur la législation française 80 f, 189 f, 270 f.
- 5. "Usucapione dominia adipiscimur, tam mancipi rerum wuam nec mancipi.

Usucapio est autem dominii deption per continuationem possessionis anni vel biennii; rerum mobilium anni, immobilium biennii." Ulpianus, Reg., XIX, § 8.

7. Troplong, I, 15; Esmein, Mélanges d'histoire de droit et de critique 171 f; Dareste (n. 1 above) 4.