

Complications in Laparoscopic Surgery

A Guide to Prevention
and Management

Cavit Avci
José M. Schiappa
Editors

EXTRAS ONLINE



Springer

Cavit Avci • José M. Schiappa
Editors

Complications in Laparoscopic Surgery

A Guide to Prevention
and Management



 Springer

Editors

Cavit Avci
General and Laparoscopic Surgery
Istanbul University Medical School
Istanbul
Turkey

José M. Schiappa
Department of Surgery
Hospital CUF Infante Santo
Lisbon
Portugal

ISBN 978-3-319-19622-0

ISBN 978-3-319-19623-7 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-19623-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015954103

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

Foreword

Not willing to prejudge what professional historians will decide, those in charge of writing history with a capital “H,” the starting point of the endo-laparoscopic revolution in digestive surgery can be pinpointed to around 1987, the dawn of the last decade of the twentieth century. In 2015, the middle of the second decade of the twenty-first century, the maturation of results already validated allows for a constructive critical inventory. This shows how timely the book conceived and realized by José Schiappa and Cavit Avci, and by the expert contributors they have invited, is. Receiving their invitation to write this preface was a great honor for me and I am grateful to them for it. They also gave me the pleasure of being the first person to read the book, and I have no doubt that future readers will be equally delighted.

The title gives clues: Complications in Laparoscopic Surgery. A Guidebook to Prevention and Management. Is it possible that a quarter century after its beginning, the new way to perform surgery, so contested in the beginning and having finally spread all over the planet, can still cause complications? The answer is “Yes,” because errors are still possible in choosing appropriate indications, and gaps still persist in some teaching programs devoted to good technical practice. We must congratulate the authors for having the courage to recognize these aspects and to try to find a solution for correcting them; this is imperative for reinforcing our patients’ safety and satisfaction. Nowadays, medical literature is more focused on “novelties and advanced techniques” – options that can indeed seem more attractive to younger generations, but are too recent to be considered definitively validated. It is premature to make a proper choice between marketing announcements without a future and beginnings of promising developments that are possibly sustainable; let these novelties first cross the “filter” of scientific studies done by reliable, specialized institutions.

Let us focus our attention on this book’s enhancing the relevance of everyday laparoscopic surgery, renamed “*conventional* laparoscopic surgery” after 25 years of uninterrupted successes. In this way we can teach it better and fine-tune it. We can consolidate what is already the trustworthy platform for launching new techniques in the clinical testing phase and also the trusted refuge in case of the test’s failure – in which case the surgeon can always return to a conventional validated laparoscopic procedure during the same surgery, a true guarantee for the patient’s safety. This has been our *golden rule* since 1989, when we launched the first procedures of endo-laparoscopic surgery. At that time, the only option was reverting to

open surgery. For the time being, that *golden rule* must remain our priority, but the surgeon now has the choice of returning to other options that are already within the realm of conventional endo-laparoscopic procedures that are so beneficial for our patients.

“Efficient surgery combined with patients’ safety” is the goal of this book; to reach that goal, I invite you to read it attentively.

There are seven chapters, each one having been selected by the editors to provide an example of the seriousness of their experiences, described from the point of view of complications.

Chapter 1. It is not possible to perform any laparoscopic surgery without first creating a space to work in under the closed abdominal wall of the patient. This is a subject of general interest regarding the establishment of the pneumoperitoneum by insufflating the abdominal cavity (also called the “coelomic cavity”). The reason that the term “coelio-surgery” [1] is preferred is that it is more precise – at least in the French language – than laparoscopic surgery. Insufflation of the virtual cavity needs very precise maneuvers and a complete respect for the safety tests in order to avoid serious or even lethal complications, and recent statistics have confirmed this. Levent Avtan, the author of this chapter, gives a complete review of how to program minimally invasive surgery so it does not turn into tragedy.

Chapters 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 address global issues, with some observations about the history of surgery (especially Chaps. 2 and 3). Each chapter is devoted to a particular procedure that was chosen because it had been scientifically confirmed for a long time and is performed daily all over the world. Each of the authors presents his own personal concept of the intervention he writes about, describing their indications, their technical techniques, an exhaustive description of all possible complications and their causes, ways of treatment, and ways of prevention while always trying to maintain, as much as possible, the advantages for the patient of the minimally invasive approach. They describe their strategies, their own prescriptions, advice, and “tips and tricks” in order to avoid any pitfalls that might be hidden, even at the early stage of choosing the right operative procedure, as well as at the second stage, i.e., during the chosen procedure’s progression.

Although the authors have written the chapters based on their own personal experiences, reading them gives the impression of a great homogeneity of points of view. This confirms the concept of the uniqueness of surgery that we considered as fundamental since the very beginnings of minimally invasive surgery. We were “hammering out” that principle in France during the early conferences, and to our first visitors, with Philippe Mouret, François Dubois, Edmond Estour, Pierre Testas, François Drouard, and also with our first assistants, who soon became our first emulators between 1987 and 1989. “Let’s not oppose open surgery to endo-laparoscopic surgery. The latter is a divergent branch merging from the central trunk of evolution of open surgery, as a result of oncoming technical innovations. We have to integrate them at the right places for the greater patients’ benefits. This does not mean the disappearance of open surgery; on the contrary, it will continue its own evolution with its own indications and its further merging of new innovative branches.” This concept was to us non-questionable evidence, as it matches the patients’ endless

demand “to be treated at best with the fewest possible adverse side effects.” Endoscopy opened for them the era of more comfortable surgery – the minimally invasive surgery whose limits of expansion are not yet determinable today.

Between 1987 and 1990, the only visceral surgeons with this point of view were the gynecologists [2], who took the great step forward in 1973, moving from exploratory laparoscopy to surgical laparoscopy to cure ectopic pregnancy. We were very few then, with the digestive tract surgeons following closely this evolution; the reason was that around 1975, with the beginning of the creation of separate medical specialties, the module dedicated to gynecologic procedures became optional in the educational program for residents in general surgery, and few people made that choice, which was completely abandoned later on. In 1988, very few digestive surgeons were able to understand how the invention of the minivideocamera made surgery possible without laparotomy. The great majority of them were fascinated by the dazzling successes of open surgery, then at the apogee of its development. In addition, the professors in charge of their education taught them that the unavoidable price to pay for these successes was the drawbacks of laparotomy. The larger they are, the more they allow better intra-corporeal vision and a deeper penetration of the surgeon’s hands in reaching the operating field. “For big surgeons, big incisions” was the popular saying. Our small group of “pro-coelioscopists” thought exactly the opposite, that there was no need to open in order to see better, and the duo of laparoscope and minivideocameras will take care of that, making the introduction of hands deep inside a patient’s body unnecessary. Surgeons’ hands will work from a distance, outside the body, maneuvering more and more sophisticated tools.

From the start, we were absolutely certain that we had the key to the future of surgery, but first we had to convince others. The operative handling of laparoscopy was different from that of open surgery and learning it necessitated a long and difficult training period with, at that time, very basic tools that did not allow for complex maneuvers. All this made its practice difficult and potentially dangerous for a small number of indications. Beginning in 1988, the minivideocamera worked as our “absolute convincing weapon” for that purpose, especially when it became easier to purchase. It was quite good at changing the minds of the “coelio-indifferent” and “coelio-skeptical;” fortunately, the latter were more numerous. In fact, it was not as successful among the “anti-laparoscopy-by-principle” adherents. They were not numerous, but they were important as their group included almost all the main leaders of academic teachers in digestive surgery. The solution was to subtly introduce our “absolute weapon” inside the scientific societies in charge of validating research and teaching works concerning therapeutic innovations. This type of society already existed in Europe (Germany, Benelux, France, Italy), but they usually worked without real interconnections, having a weak impact regarding innovations in surgical procedures. We managed to unify them and make them more efficient, by founding, for instance, EAES [3] in 1989–1990, after receiving advice from our American colleagues. In 1981 in the U.S., they founded SAGES [4], a society that had as its objective the creation of a program of education and research in endoscopic endoluminal digestive surgery, conceived by surgeons for surgeons and obtaining its

accreditation from the federal authorities in charge of these matters, which was achieved around 1986. For the founders of EAES, it was the best model to follow.

In Europe, despite free access to our operating rooms, which were open to all surgeons who wanted to visit, the use of our “absolute weapon” in live demonstration sessions during our first symposia, the progress remained rather modest regarding the acceptance of this new kind of surgery. We lacked the impact of regular, successfully performed major laparoscopic operations to wake up the “coelio-indifferent,” to obtain the definitive adhesion of the “coelio-skeptical” and to break apart the *a priori* convictions of the “anti-laparoscopy-by-principle” people. This indeed happened on April 24, 1989, when one of our group presented the laparoscopic cholecystectomy [5] technique at the annual congress of SAGES, in Louisville, Kentucky, in the U.S. In front of an international audience, it was the ideal resonance box for launching the “big-bang” necessary to sweep away all doubts regarding the introduction of laparoscopic surgery to the everyday practice of surgeons all over the world. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has already been recorded in the history of surgery as being the emblematic operation that opened the gates of minimally invasive surgery.

Chapter 2 of this book, authored by Dr. José Schiappa, relates, as mentioned, to laparoscopic cholecystectomy. This is hardly a surprise for me, since he understood, as did Dr. Cavit Avci, the “big-bang” from Louisville, and both joined EAES where they became representatives of their countries – countries at the most distant extremities of southern Europe, i.e., the western Portugal and eastern Turkey; this is very meaningful. They immediately became our friends and colleagues, taking a very active part in the whole establishment and development of what became EAES, a member of IFSES [6], always bringing improvements in endo-laparoscopic surgery to the rest of the world. Both knew Philippe Mouret very well and had the greatest respect for him, as we all did; this respect definitely deserves an important place in the foreword of their book.

Philippe Mouret is the developer of the technique known as “laparoscopic cholecystectomy,” the technique now used by thousands of surgeons all over the world. He successfully completed this operation in his first attempt, in March, 1987, and operated successfully on more than 3,000 patients until his death in 2008. Of course, with the passing of time, and dozens of technical modifications and new instruments – some of them from Philippe Mouret himself – the quality and safety of this operation have improved, but his strategical approach and his original sequence and movements remain the same.

Today, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which began the “breakthrough” in the spirit of surgeons favoring the use of endoscopes in their everyday practice, is still a strong label of creativity. It is often a research model for testing the validity and interest of a new instrument and of a new operative technique. It was the first laparoscopic procedure designated as the “gold standard” for the treatment of gallbladder lithiasis by the NIH [7] in Bethesda, Maryland, in September 1992. At the beginning of the twenty-first century we were still surprised, together with Philippe

Mouret, to find so many papers in the medical literature relating to complications from this surgery, already so standardized. With all the evidence, José Schiappa shows us that there is always progress to be made in this area. In his chapter, he describes the benefits that modern imaging has brought for detecting anatomical variations in the biliary tree, important preoperative knowledge necessary for preventing peroperative lesions. He shows in detail new strategies and the “tips and tricks” of the operating procedures related both to prevention and to repair.

Chapter 3 is devoted to the treatment of gastro-esophageal reflux, a complex pathology of which some components raise questions that are always interesting and timely. Let us remember that in chronological historical order, during the last decade of the twentieth century, some of the fundoplication procedures were the second to obtain their homologations immediately after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. However, new minimally invasive techniques are arising, using the endolumenal approach. Dr. Cavit Avci approaches these difficult and still-pending problems in a thorough way, focusing his view on the study of complications.

Chapters 4, 5, 6, and 7. In addition to the analysis above, it is necessary to point out that each author has fully respected the pre-established writing guidelines agreement as per the title of the book about complications; as such, it would be repetitive to mention the contents chapter by chapter. All are as informative as the first three chapters. Kudos to the authors, all internationally known and recognized experts in their fields. It is necessary, however, to emphasize the precision and pertinence of the choice of bibliographic references and of the schematic illustrations throughout the entire book. In addition, illustrating the texts with video clips manages to show the updating of this book’s pedagogic quality, since studying surgery is at first understanding the correct mandatory maneuvers to be done to perfection, through animated images in order to reproduce them properly at the time of actual surgery.

In conclusion: This book gives a good picture of what has become the “state of the art” of seven major procedures of laparoscopic surgery – nowadays classified as “conventional.” The book will find its place in university libraries, training and educational centers for endoscopic surgery, as well as in the personal libraries of residents in abdominal surgery. It will also interest surgeons already involved in daily practice and concerned with their obligations of continuing education. With the up-to-date information that it contains, this book also consolidates the platform for launching innovative research programs devoted to building the future of surgery as it is done in institutes for advanced education and research in minimally invasive surgery [8, 9].

We wish the book great success.

Doctor Jacques Périsat MD, PHD, FACS
Emeritus Professor of Digestive Surgery
University Victor Segalen, Bordeaux France
Member of the National Academy of Surgery, Paris
Honorary Member of the American Surgical Association

Bibliographic References

1. Le Journal de coelio-chirurgie founded in 1992, Edmond Estour chief Editor www.coelio-surgery.com
2. Bruhat MA (1994) Coeliochirurgie: Véritable avancée chirurgicale ou simple tentation du possible. *Bull Acad Natl Med* 178:199
3. *EAES*: European Association for Endoscopic Surgery. Founded in 1990 www.eaes-eur.org
4. *SAGES*: Society American Gastro-intestinal Endoscopic Surgeons. Founded in 1981 www.sages.org
5. Périssat J, Collet D, Belliard R (1989) Gallstones: laparoscopic treatment by intracorporeal lithotripsy followed by cholecystectomy or cholecystectomy. A personal technique. *Endoscopy* 21:373–374
6. *IFSES*: International Federation Societies Endoscopic Surgeons. Founded in 1992 www.ifses.org
7. Perissat J (1993) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: The European Experience. Presented at the NIH consensus conference on gallstones and Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Bethesda Maryland USA September 14–16, 1992. *Am J Surg* 165:444–449
8. European School of Laparoscopic Surgery G-B. Cadière President and Director: www.lap-surgery.com
9. IRCAD France J. Marescaux President and Director: www.ircad.fr

Acknowledgements

The editors acknowledge the surgeons who have anonymously provided the video clips shown with complications. Since all surgeries have complications, it is extremely important that the video clips, like those shown in this book, be used for educational purposes. We recognize the importance of exposing complications that have occurred in order to understand what went wrong and what can be done to improve surgical safety.

The editors also acknowledge the work of Ms. Carol Anne W. Guerreiro and Dr. Diamantino Guerreiro, who reviewed all texts written by non-English-speaking authors.

José M. Schiappa thanks Ms. Mafalda Penedo (mafaldapenedo@avenidadesign.pt), the artist who drew the figures presented in this chapter, for her help and involvement. Also, special thanks go to Dr. J. Roque for the photo that he provided.

Table of Contents for Videos

- Video 1.1 Trocar site bleeding 90 s
- Video 1.2 Preperitoneal insufflation 54 s
- Video 1.3 Injury risk related with the type of port 60 s (thanks to Prof. Selman Uranüs for this video)
- Video 2.1 Demonstration of the movement done with the “flag” manoeuvre and the way it allows to obtain the Critical View of Safety
- Video 2.2 “Classical” iatrogenic lesion of the CBD. Improper view, without having obtained CVS. The cystic duct is short and narrow and too much traction gives raise to “camel hump” of the CBD (at 01:58). Intraoperative cholangiography does not help avoiding the lesion; it only shows that it has been produced
- Video 2.3 An “almost” correct view of CVS has been obtained but it is not enough; it lacks freeing of the lower third of the gallbladder from its bed. There is a “camel hump” and the surgeon cuts a tubular structure without proper identification or control. The hepatic artery is well visualised, pulsating. Cystic duct arises from the right hepatic duct
- Video 2.4 Many wrong technical steps in this cholecystectomy: gallbladder wrongly grasped and wrongly positioned. Lack of vision at the infundibulum. Aggressive and not appropriate forceps. Cutting structures without proper dissection, without proper identification and following cutting with aggressive cautery
- Video 2.5 The use of electrosurgery, specially using the hook, can be extremely dangerous as, sometimes, there is a lack of control of the instrument. In this video, the hook, while dissecting the hilum of the gallbladder, “jumps” without being controlled, to the anterior surface of the duodenum. It was still active and burned the duodenum. The surgeon saw it but thought it would be a minimal problem. First day post-op, there was a duodenal perforation
- Video 2.6 Acute situations can be complex and inflammation makes strong alterations in local anatomy. Acute cholecystitis can be accompanied by local abscesses
- Video 3.1 Haemorrhage of short gastric vessels: Sometimes dissection and section of the short gastric vessels during Nissen’s operation may cause

injury of the spleen or of the short vessels themselves. In this video clip (2'.15), it is shown how the surgeon stops moderate bleeding that occurs on short gastric vessels, aiming at several small bleeding points using the LigaSure

- Video 3.2 Bleeding from relatively small vessels. The bleeding from wounds in relatively small vessels can often occur without serious consequences, and it is, often, stopped with simple coagulation. In this very short video clip (0'.23), a relatively small bleeding occurs during dissection of hiatal region and is controlled with precise coagulation
- Video 3.3 Serious haemorrhage during inattentive dissection of the lesser curvature. During dissection of the lesser omentum, there is, often, a question of whether to preserve or to cut the left hepatic artery. This, often, is accompanied by a left hepatic vagus nerve; it is recommended, if possible, to preserve a large-sized artery, without cutting it. If the artery is not cut, working through the upper or lower window of this space will not be very easy. However, if needed, it may be cut between two points of haemostasis in order to have a suitable working field; it must be, effectively controlled, with ligatures, clips or proper devices as LigaSure or Ultrasonic Dissector. In this video clip (2'.22), the section of a large artery without effective haemostasis causes important bleeding, and this serious haemorrhage is controlled with difficulty using only a bipolar cautery
- Video 3.4 Gastric perforation of the fundus, during dissection in a REDO surgery. In any REDO surgery, dissection is primordial and must be done with extreme caution. Before revision surgery, a clear definition of the anatomy shall always be done before any other step. At this point, it can happen to have a perforation of the gastric fundus during the difficult dissection in these complicated interventions. In this video clip (3'.53), the surgeon is working with the hook of monopolar cautery, trying to have a clear definition of possible anatomical changes. When the surgeon is dissecting the adhesions, small bleeding occurs during dissection; the surgeon coagulates it with the monopolar cautery and a perforation on the gastric fundus wrap is shown. The surgeon decides to repair this opening of gastric fundus with sutures and performs it laparoscopically using the mechanical suturing apparatus "Endo Stitch". Some points to be noted in this video clip are: Careful dissection is very important in any REDO surgery. Hook coagulator may be necessary to dissect tight tissues but can be dangerous when used inattentively. Intraoperative finding of a gastric fundus perforation is important, and its immediate repair with laparoscopic sutures is the correct solution. This is to be recommended if possible, as we see in the film
- Video 3.5 Pleural wound during mediastinal dissection. Pneumothorax is, in fact, very frequent and a not very serious complication of GERD surgery. It can be produced by a pleural wound during extensive mediastinal dissection. Pneumothorax is defined by the passage of CO₂ into the pleural

cavity through a pleural breach. It is not always the result of the operative act. In this video clip (0'.55), the surgeon opts to repair the breach with some sutures using the mechanical suturing apparatus "Endo Stitch"

- Video 3.6 Partial splenic infarction. This complication is very rare, and very few cases have been published in the literature. In this video clip (2'.03), the surgeon tried several times to stop the moderate bleeding in the hilum of the spleen with coagulation without identifying the vessels. After this, a demarcation line becomes visible on the spleen. This partial splenic infarction may be due to the undesirable section of some vessels of the spleen, at the hilum
- Video 3.7 Hiatal repair with mesh. The use of a mesh to close or to reinforce a wide hiatus is currently being discussed. Some surgeons only use it in selected cases, which end up being the majority. Literature has few papers widening the indication. In this video clip (2'.04), a cruroplasty is seen, with mesh placement, after closing the pillars with sutures. It is a polypropylene mesh, in a "U" format, repositioned below the oesophagus, not too close and spread over the pillars, which are already approximated by the sutures. The mesh is fixed to the pillar with some tackers
- Video 4.1 Reconstruction of ureter; laparoscopic primary suture repair. "Video of stunting and laparoscopic repair of a ureter divided by a Harmonic scalpel during a case of severe stage IV endometriosis"
- Video 4.2 Orientation of taeniae coli prior to colorectal anastomosis for preventing colonic torsion. "Video showing the careful orientation of the left colon prior to colorectal anastomosis"
- Video 4.3 Placement of tension-relieving sutures for colorectal anastomosis. "Video showing laparoscopic placement of anastomotic sutures following a stapled colo-anal anastomosis"
- Video 4.4 Transanal endoscopic suture repair for a leak from a low rectal anastomosis. "Video showing transanal repair of an early identified partially dehiscenced colo-anal anastomosis following a laparoscopic TME surgery"
- Video 4.5 Staple line problems when introducing the anvil and solution
- Video 5.1 This video shows a moderately enlarged spleen with infiltration foci. The clinical suspicion of lymphoma was to be verified histologically by representative spleen biopsies. The first step in this laparoscopic operation is safe division of the vessels supplying the part of the spleen that is to be removed. Complications at this stage can be prevented by using a highly effective coagulation and dissection device. The parenchyma, fragile due to the lymphoma infiltrate, can easily tear and bleed during both compression and resection. The bleeding is stopped by compression with a pad followed by application of a haemostyptic
- Video 5.2 Here we see a laparoscopic partial resection of a considerably enlarged spleen with suspected lymphoma. The splenic capsule and parenchyma

- tear upon application of the stapler. The diffuse bleeding from the parenchyma is first tamponaded, then arrested with fibrin adhesive and collagen fleece. Fibrin adhesive is more effective if it is sprayed into the crevices in the parenchyma where the blood is coming from
- Video 5.3 At the beginning of the hilar dissection shown in this video, there is bleeding from a vein that is embedded in fatty tissue. The bleeding cannot be stopped laparoscopically because from the start the source of the bleeding could not be seen well and every attempt at coagulation made the bleeding worse. In such cases, rapid conversion and digital compression of the bleeding vessel are essential. Then the bleeding can be stopped with an appropriately placed ligature or suture
- Video 5.4 This film shows the limits of laparoscopic partial resection of a spleen that is enlarged and fragile due to lymphoma. The capsule tears while the parenchyma is being compressed. During transection, the parenchyma cannot be grasped with the stapler and diffuse bleeding develops. The bleeding is first stopped with fibrin adhesive and finally with FloSeal® and local compression
- Video 6.1 Avoiding complications during TEP
- Video 7.1 TAPP: Adhesions to a dislocated plug
- Video 7.2 TAPP: Bleeding controlled with compression
- Video 7.3 TAPP: Dislocated mesh without hernia
- Video 7.4 TAPP: Large indirect hernia

Electronic supplementary material is available in the online version of the related chapter on SpringerLink: <http://link.springer.com/>

Introduction

Since the first cases on laparoscopic surgery published and presented to the surgical community at the end of the 1980s there has been an enormous “explosion” of its practice all over the world. Depending upon the progress of surgery in each country, this introduction was either a little faster or slower, but soon every country had someone using the approach; however, together with the introduction of the approach came problems.

Many of the surgeons using the new technique were young and without much surgical experience. This, together with the complete change in the surgical approach, led to many complications that were already quite reduced in “classic,” open surgery – namely in cholecystectomy, where the rate of lesions to the biliary tract increased dramatically.

Progressively, laparoscopic surgery began to be used in other areas, even becoming the “gold standard” approach for some of these pathologies. Examples are, besides laparoscopic cholecystectomy, the surgical treatment of GERD, and non-traumatic colorectal and spleen surgery. Also here, the rate of complications showed that a great deal of attention had to be given to the education and training of all surgeons involved. When laparoscopic surgery began, most training was done through short courses; many were Industry-related and were followed by surgeons willing to jump on the “laparoscopy wagon,” invited there by the industry. These courses, mostly, were not certified and were not teaching in depth or correctly, all of the necessary details on how to perform laparoscopic surgery safely.

This can explain the need that many people think is absolutely necessary to impose: to re-evaluate all teaching programs in laparoscopic surgery and keep offering duly validated training courses and conference discussions on how to minimize the dangers of specific types of this approach.

The impact of these changes can make the difference between high and low rates of complications and iatrogenic lesions in laparoscopic surgery. It has been shown that no surgeon is immune to the possibility of having iatrogenic lesions develop during at least one such surgery; besides, the so-called “learning curve,” considered by many to be the main cause of complications, has proven to be not so. Many complications occur in the “consolidated” phase of a surgery; there are several reasons for this, and the texts in this book address that.

- *The risk goes beyond “first cases”; first 1284 cases (in a single Institution) – 0.58% / following 1143 cases – 0.50% (Morgenstren et al., Am Surg, 1995)*
- *Enquiry to 1500 surgeons – about 30% of BDIs occurred after the first 200 cases (Calvete et al., Surg Endosc 2000)*
- *Surgeon’s experience does not minimize the risk; without safety measures and careful acting, every surgeon can be struck by one of these complications.*

Learning curve and incidence of iatrogenic lesions

Laparoscopy France (24,300 patients) 0.27 % USA (77,600 patients) 0.6 %

Portugal (14,455 patients) 0.25 %

Italy (13,718 patients) 0.24 %

Metanalises 0.8–1 %

Laparotomy Johns Hopkins (H.Pitt) 0.1–0.2 % San Diego (A.R.Moossa) 0.5 %

Paul-Brousse (H.Bismuth) 0.2 %

Cornell Univ. (L.Blumgart) 0.2 %

Port. Soc. Surg. (B.Castelo) 0.55 %

This explains the purpose of this book: to help, as much as possible, to minimize some of these problems. In the various chapters we try to give some advice on the possible complications of each type of surgery and a few “tips and tricks” on how to avoid them. Each chapter is complemented by video clips showing examples of complications of surgical approaches to the pathology the author addresses. We suggest that readers look carefully at the video clips and try to identify the mistakes being made. It is also possible to try to find out, beforehand, what is going to happen as the video clip runs and what can be done to avoid the complication.

These video clips are from real surgeries that were given to us by the surgeons who performed them, during which there were complications; they were given for educational purposes. We thank them for providing the clips, and it goes without saying that these – anonymous – contributions are crucial for the education of surgeons trying to minimize possible complications. Only the realization that any surgeon can be a protagonist, but for different reasons and, as such, cause a serious complication, will provide us with the capabilities of understanding the absolute need to act in a constant, safe way.

Istanbul, Turkey
Lisbon, Portugal

Cavit Avci
José M. Schiappa

Contents

1	Creating the Pneumoperitoneum	1
	Levent Avtan	
2	Complications in Biliary Surgery: Tips and Tricks	17
	José M. Schiappa	
3	Complication in Laparoscopic GERD: A Guide to Prevention and Management	37
	Cavit Avci	
4	Complications, Reoperations, Tips and Tricks in Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery	59
	T.A. Rockall and D. Singh-Ranger	
5	Laparoscopic Spleen Surgery: Procedure, Complications, Reoperations and Tips and Tricks	73
	Selman Uranues and R. Latifi	
6	Complications After Total Endoscopic Preperitoneal (TEP) Repair	81
	Salvador Morales-Conde	
7	Complications in TAPP Hernia Repair	93
	Jan F. Kukleta	