

LECTURE NOTES IN LOGIC



LOGIC AND ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES IN QUANTUM COMPUTING

EDITED BY
JENNIFER CHUBB
ALI ESKANDARIAN
VALENTINA HARIZANOV

CAMBRIDGE

ASL

LECTURE NOTES IN LOGIC 45

*Logic and Algebraic Structures in
Quantum Computing*

Edited by

JENNIFER CHUBB


University of San Francisco

ALI ESKANDARIAN

George Washington University, Washington DC

VALENTINA HARIZANOV

George Washington University, Washington DC



ASSOCIATION FOR SYMBOLIC LOGIC



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107033399

Association for Symbolic Logic

Richard Shore, Publisher

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

<http://www.aslonline.org>

© Association for Symbolic Logic 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Names: Chubb, Jennifer. | Eskandarian, Ali. | Harizanov, Valentina S.

Title: Logic and algebraic structures in quantum computing / edited by Jennifer Chubb, University of San Francisco, Ali Eskandarian, George Washington University, Washington DC, Valentina Harizanov, George Washington University, Washington DC.

Description: Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 2016. | Series: Lecture notes in logic | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015042942 | ISBN 9781107033399 (hardback : alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Quantum computing--Mathematics. | Logic, Symbolic and mathematical. | Algebra, Abstract.

Classification: LCC QA76.889 .L655 2016 | DDC 006.3/843--dc23 LC record available at <http://lccn.loc.gov/2015042942>

ISBN 978-1-107-03339-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Logic and Algebraic Structures in Quantum Computing

Arising from a special session held at the 2010 North American Annual Meeting of the ASL, this volume is an international cross-disciplinary collaboration with contributions from leading experts exploring connections across their respective fields. Themes range from philosophical examination of the foundations of physics and quantum logic, to exploitations of the methods and structures of operator theory, category theory, and knot theory in an effort to gain insight into the fundamental questions in quantum theory and logic.

The book will appeal to researchers and students working in related fields, including logicians, mathematicians, computer scientists, and physicists. A brief introduction provides essential background on quantum mechanics and category theory, which, together with a thematic selection of articles, may also serve as the basic material for a graduate course or seminar.

JENNIFER CHUBB is Assistant Professor of Mathematics at the University of San Francisco, where she teaches a wide range of courses, including quantum computing, to students in physics, computer science, and mathematics. She has a background in physics, dynamical systems, and pure and applied math. Her current research focuses on computable structure theory and algorithmic mathematics.

ALI ESKANDARIAN holds the positions of Dean and Professor at The George Washington University. He is a theoretical physicist and a founding member of the groups in astrophysics and quantum computing/information. He serves as co-director of the Center for Quantum Computing, Information, Logic, and Topology.

VALENTINA HARIZANOV is a Professor of Mathematics at The George Washington University, where she also serves as co-director of the Center for Quantum Computing, Information, Logic, and Topology. She is internationally recognized for her research in mathematical logic, particularly in computability theory and computable model theory.

LECTURE NOTES IN LOGIC

A Publication of The Association for Symbolic Logic

This series serves researchers, teachers, and students in the field of symbolic logic, broadly interpreted. The aim of the series is to bring publications to the logic community with the least possible delay and to provide rapid dissemination of the latest research. Scientific quality is the overriding criterion by which submissions are evaluated.

Editorial Board

Jeremy Avigad

Department of Philosophy, Carnegie Mellon University

Zoe Chatzidakis

DMA, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris

Peter Cholak, Managing Editor

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Indiana

Volker Halbach

New College, University of Oxford

H. Dugald Macpherson

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds

Slawomir Solecki

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Thomas Wilke

Institut für Informatik, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

More information, including a list of the books in the series, can be found at
<http://www.aslonline.org/books-lnl.html>

PREFACE

This project grew out of a Special Session on *Logic and the Foundations of Physics* at the 2010 North American Annual Meeting of the Association for Symbolic Logic¹. Many of the session's lecturers investigated the role of algebraic structures in the context of the foundations of quantum physics, especially in quantum information and computation. In addition to this session, attendees heard tutorial lectures on quantum computing (given by Bob Coecke, University of Oxford) and an invited lecture on intuitionistic quantum logic (by Klaas Landsman, Radboud University, Nijmegen). The talks were so well-received by conference participants that we felt a volume of collected works on this subject would be a valuable addition to the literature.

The articles in this volume by mathematicians, philosophers, and scientists address foundational issues and fundamental abstract structures arising in highly active areas of theoretical, mathematical, and even experimental physics relevant to quantum information and quantum computation. We hope that the present collection advances this worthwhile program of scientific and mathematical progress.

We would like to thank the authors that contributed to this volume, and the ASL and Cambridge University Press for publishing it. This project was partially supported by the George Washington University Centers & Institutes Facilitating Fund Grant and by the University of San Francisco Faculty Development Fund. Many thanks also to Bryan Fregoso (a University of San Francisco student) for his invaluable assistance in assembling this volume.

Jennifer Chubb
Ali Eskandarian
Valentina Harizanov
Summer, 2015, Washington, D.C.

¹The full program is available in the *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 17 (2011), no. 1, pp. 135–137, available online at <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~asl/bsl/1701-toc.htm>.

CONTENTS

Preface	vii
Jennifer Chubb, Ali Eskandarian, and Valentina Harizanov	
Introduction	1
Jennifer Chubb and Valentina Harizanov	
A (very) brief tour of quantum mechanics, computation, and category theory	8
Allen Stairs	
Could logic be empirical? The Putnam-Kripke debate	23
William C. Parke	
The essence of quantum theory for computers	42
Adam Brandenburger and H. Jerome Keisler	
Fiber products of measures and quantum foundations	71
Samson Abramsky and Chris Heunen	
Operational theories and categorical quantum mechanics	88
Bart Jacobs and Jorik Mandemaker	
Relating operator spaces via adjunctions	123
Andreas Döring	
Topos-based logic for quantum systems and bi-Heyting algebras	151
Bob Coecke	
The logic of quantum mechanics – Take II	174
Dimitri Kartsaklis, Mehrnoosh Sadrzadeh, Stephen Pulman, and Bob Coecke	
Reasoning about meaning in natural language with compact closed categories and Frobenius algebras	199
Louis H. Kauffman	
Knot logic and topological quantum computing with Majorana fermions	223
Index	337

INTRODUCTION

JENNIFER CHUBB, ALI ESKANDARIAN, AND VALENTINA HARIZANOV

In the last two decades, the scientific community has witnessed a surge in activity, interesting results, and notable progress in our conceptual understanding of computing and information based on the laws of quantum theory. One of the significant aspects of these developments has been an integration of several fields of inquiry that not long ago appeared to be evolving, more or less, along narrow disciplinary paths without any major overlap with each other. In the resulting body of work, investigators have revealed a deeper connection among the ideas and techniques of (apparently) disparate fields. As is evident from the title of this volume, logic, mathematics, physics, computer science and information theory are intricately involved in this fascinating story. The inquisitive reader might focus, perhaps, on the marriage of the most unlikely and intriguing fields of quantum theory and logic and ask: *Why quantum logic?*

By many, “logic” is deemed to be panacea for faulty intuition. It is often associated with the rules of correct thinking and decision-making, but not necessarily in its most sublime role as a deep intellectual subject underlying the validity of mathematical structures and worthy of investigation and discovery in its own right. Indeed, within the realm of the classical theories of nature, one may encounter situations that defy comprehension, should one hold to the intuition developed through experiencing familiar macroscopic scenarios in our routine impressions of natural phenomena.

One such example is a statement within the special theory of relativity that the speed of light is the same in all inertial frames. It certainly defies the common intuition regarding the observation of velocities of familiar objects in relative motion. One might be tempted to dismiss it as contrary to observation. However, while analyzing natural phenomena for objects moving close to the speed of light and, therefore, unfamiliar in the range of velocities we are normally accustomed to, logical deductions based on the postulates of the special relativity theory lead to the correct predictions of experimental observations.

There exists an undeniable interconnection between the deepest theories of nature and mathematical reasoning, famously stated by Eugene Wigner as the unreasonable efficacy of mathematics in physical theories. The sciences,

and in particular physics, have relied on, and benefited from, the economy of mathematical expressions and the efficacy and rigor of mathematical reasoning with its underlying logical structure to make definite statements and predictions about nature. Mathematics has become the *de facto* language of the quantitative sciences, particularly scientific theories, and the major discoveries and predictive statements of these theories (whenever possible) are cast in the language of mathematics, as it affords them elegance as well as economy of expression. *What happens if the syntax and grammar of such a language become inadequate?*

This seems to have been the case when some of the more esoteric predictions of the then new theory of quantum mechanics began to challenge the scientific intuition of the times around the turn of the 20th century. This violation of intuition was so severe that even the most prominent of scientists were not able to reconcile the dictates of their intuition with the experimentally confirmed predictions of the theory. The discomfort with some of the features and predictions of quantum theory were, perhaps, most prominently brought out in the celebrated work of Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen (EPR) in the mid 1930s. EPR fueled several decades of investigations on the foundations of quantum theory that continue to this day. The main assertion of the EPR work was that quantum theory had to be, by necessity, incomplete. Otherwise, long held understanding of what should be taken for granted as “elements of reality” had to be abandoned. Here, according to EPR, logical deductions based on primitives that were the very essence of reality and logical consistency forced the conclusion of the incompleteness of quantum theory; as if considering quantum theory as complete would question one’s logical fitness and one’s understanding of reality! Yet, in the decades since, with increasing sophistication in experimentation, and multiple ways of testing the theory, quantum theory has consistently outshined the alternatives. In particular, many predictions relying on the sensibilities of classical theories, where concepts such as separability, locality, and causality are the seemingly indispensable factors in our *understanding* of reality, are found to be entirely inconsistent with the *actual* reality around us. Quantum theory has not (as yet) suffered any such blow.

Confronted with the stark inability to reconcile the predictions of a theory, which are shown to be correct every time subjected to experimental verification, and a logical structure that seems to fall short in facilitating correct thinking and correct decision making (at least, in so far as the behavior of natural phenomena at the quantum level is concerned), one is forced to consider and question the validity of the premises on which that logical structure is built, or to discover alternative structures. Furthermore, the striking applications of quantum theory in the theory of computation, development of new algorithms, and the promising prospects for the building of a computing machine operating on the basis of the laws of quantum theory, necessitate a deeper investigation of alternative logical structures that encompass the elements of this new quantum

reality. One must then give credence to the argument that, perhaps, the fault is not with the revolutionary quantum theory; rather, it is with the inadequacies of logical structures that were insufficient to be expanded and applied to a world that does not comply with the notions embodied in our understanding of the macroscopic classical physical theories of nature.

The utility of logical rules is most pronounced when applied to the building and operation of computing machines. With the advent of computing that takes advantage of the laws of quantum theory, i.e., quantum computing, it is only natural to search for those logical and algebraic structures that underlie the scaffolding of the quantum rules in computations. As obvious as it is that Boolean logic underlies classical computing and much of classical reasoning, it is equally obvious that it is not sufficient to express the logic underlying quantum mechanics or quantum computing. Birkhoff and von Neumann were among the first to propose a generalization of Boolean logic in which propositions about quantum systems could be formulated. While their endeavor was revolutionary, the Birkhoff-von Neumann quantum logic was not to be the final word on the subject of a logic for quantum mechanics, and indeed the investigation continues with increasing urgency.

In this volume, we present the work of a select group of scholars with an abiding interest in tackling some of the fundamental issues facing quantum computing and information theory, as investigated from the perspective of logical and algebraic structures. This selection, no doubt, reflects the intellectual proclivities and curiosities of the editors, within the reasonable limitations of space and coverage of topics for a volume of this size, and for the purpose of generating ideas that would fuel further investigation and research in these and related fields.

The first two articles, by Stairs and Parke, address philosophical and historical issues. Brandenburger and Keisler use ideas from continuous model theory to explore determinism and locality in quantum mechanical systems. Abramsky and Heunen, and Jacobs and Mandemaker describe the relationship between the category-theoretic and operator-theoretic approaches to the foundations of quantum physics. Döring gives a topos-based distributive form of quantum logic as an alternative to the quantum logic of Birkhoff and von Neumann. The papers by Coecke and Kartsaklis et al. use a diagrammatic calculus in analyzing quantum mechanical systems and, very recently, in computational linguistics. Kauffman's article presents an extensive treatment of the prominent role of algebraic structures arising from topological considerations in quantum information and computing; the pictorial approach used in knot theory is closely related to the quantum categorical logic presented in other articles in this volume.

Could logic be empirical? The Putnam-Kripke debate, by Allen Stairs. In his article in the present volume, Stairs outlines Hilary Putnam's position that quantum mechanics provides an empirical basis for a re-evaluation of our

idea of logic and Saul Kripke's response, in which he takes issue with the very idea of a logic that is based on anything empirical. Stairs carefully interprets their positions, and in the end offers the beginnings of a compromise, which includes "disjunctive facts," which can be true even if their disjuncts are not, and the notion of " I -complementarity," to describe the relationship between statements having non-commuting associated projectors. The article wrestles with the idea of whether and how quantum mechanics should inform our logic and reasoning processes.

The essence of quantum theory for computers, by William C. Parke. In this article, Parke provides a thorough yet succinct introduction to the elements of physical theories, classical and quantum, which are relevant to a deeper understanding of the mathematical and logical structures underlying (or derived) from such theories, and important in the appreciation of the more subtle quandaries of quantum theory, leading to its utilization in computation. The emphasis has been placed on the physical content of information and elements of computation from a physicist's point of view. This includes a treatment of the role of space-time in the development of physical theories from an advanced point of view, and the limitations that our current understanding of space-time imposes on building and utilizing computing machines based on the rules of quantum theory. The treatment of the principles of quantum theory is also developed from an advanced point of view, without too much focus on unnecessary details, but covering the essential conceptual ingredients, in order to set the stage properly and provide motivation for the work of the others on logical and algebraic structures.

Fiber products of measures and quantum foundations, by Adam Brandenburger and H. Jerome Keisler. In this model-theoretic article, the authors use fiber products of (probability) measures within a framework they construct for empirical and hidden-variable models to prove determinization theorems. These objects (fiber products) were conceived by Rae Shortt in a 1984 paper, and were used recently by Itai Ben Yaacov and Jerome Keisler in their work on continuous model theory (2009). Techniques in continuous model theory are relevant to the notion of models of quantum structures as in that context the "truth value" of a statement may take on a continuum of values, and can be thought of as probabilistic. In this case, a technique employed in continuous model theory is used in the construction of models in proofs of theorems that assert that every empirical model can be realized by an extension that is a deterministic hidden-variable model, and for every hidden-variable model satisfying locality and λ -independence, there is a realization-equivalent (both models extend a common empirical submodel) hidden-variable model satisfying determinism and λ -independence. The latter statement, together with Bell's theorem, precludes the existence of a hidden-variable model in which both determinism and λ -independence hold. The notion of λ -independence was

first formulated by W. Michael Dickson (2005). It says that the choices made by an entity as to which observable to measure in a system are not influenced by the process of the determination of the value of a relevant hidden-variable.

Operational theories and categorical quantum mechanics, by Samson Abramsky and Chris Heunen. There are two complementary research programs in the foundations of quantum mechanics, one based on operational theories (also called general probabilistic theories) and the other on category-theoretic foundation of quantum theory. Samson Abramsky and Chris Heunen establish strong and important connections between these two formalisms. Operational theories focus on empirical and observational content, and quantum mechanics occupies one point in a space of possible theories. The authors define a symmetric monoidal categorical structure of an operational theory, which they call process category, and exploit the ideas of categorical quantum mechanics to obtain an operational theory as a certain representation of this process category. They lift the notion of non-locality to the general level of operational category. They further propose to apply a similar analysis to contextuality, which can be viewed as a broader phenomenon than non-locality.

Relating operator spaces via adjunctions, by Bart Jacobs and Jorik Mandemaker. By exploiting techniques of category theory, Jacobs and Mandemaker clarify and present in a unified framework various, seemingly different results in the foundation of quantum theory found in the literature. They use category-theoretic tools to describe relations between various spaces of operators on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, which arise in quantum theory, including bounded, self-adjoint, positive, effect, projection, and density operators. They describe the algebraic structure of these sets of operators in terms of modules over various semirings, such as the complex numbers, the real numbers, the non-negative real numbers. The authors give a uniform description of such modules via the notion of an algebra of the multiset monad. They show how some spaces of operators are related by free constructions between categories of modules, while the other spaces of operators are related by a dual adjunction between convex sets (conveniently described via a monad) and effect modules.

Topos-based logic for quantum systems and bi-Heyting algebras, by Andreas Döring. Döring replaces the standard quantum logic, introduced by Birkhoff and von Neumann, which comes with a host of conceptual and interpretational problems, by the topos-based distributive form of quantum logic. Instead of having a non-distributive orthomodular lattice of projections, he considers a complete bi-Heyting algebra of propositions. More specifically, Döring considers clopen subobjects of the presheaf attaching the Gelfand spectrum to each abelian von Neumann algebra, and shows that these clopen subobjects form a bi-Heyting algebra. He gives various physical interpretations of the objects in this algebra and of the operations on them. For example, he introduces two

kinds of negation associated with the Heyting and co-Heyting algebras, and gives physical interpretation of the two kinds of negation. Döring considers the map called outer daseinisation of projections, which provides a link between the usual Hilbert space formalism and his topos-based quantum logic.

The logic of quantum mechanics – Take II, by Bob Coecke. Schrödinger maintained that composition of systems is the heart of quantum computing, and Coecke agrees. He suggests that the Birkhoff-von Neumann formulation of quantum logic fails to adequately and elegantly capture composition of quantum systems. The author puts forth a model of quantum logic that is based on composition rather than superposition. He axiomatizes composition without reference to underlying systems using strict monoidal categories as the basic structures and explains a graphical language that exactly captures these structures. Imposing minimal additional structure on these categories (to obtain dagger compact categories) allows for the almost trivial derivation of a number of quantum phenomena, including quantum teleportation and entanglement swapping. This (now widely adopted) formalism has been used not only to solve open problems in quantum information theory, but has also provided new insight into non-locality.

Coecke's framework has been applied both to logic concerned with natural language interpretations, and to more formal automated reasoning processes. In this article, the focus is on the former. Coecke applies the graphical language of dagger compact categories to natural language processing—"from word meaning to sentence meaning"—implementing Lambek's theory of grammar and the notion of words as "meaning vectors." He argues that sentence meaning amounts to more than the meanings of the constituent words, but also the way in which they *compose*.

In the end, Coecke confesses that dagger compact categories do not capture all we might want them to, in particular, measurement, observables, and complementarity are left by the wayside. The model can be expanded (using spiders!) in such a way that all these are captured. Coecke closes with speculation about an important question: Where is the traditional logic hiding in all this?

Reasoning about meaning in natural language with compact closed categories and Frobenius algebras, by Dimitri Kartsaklis, Mehrnoosh Sadrzadeh, Stephen Pulman, and Bob Coecke. The authors apply category-theoretic methods to computational linguistics by mapping the derivations of the grammar logic to the distributional interpretation *via* a strongly monoidal functor. Such functors are structure preserving morphisms. Grammatical structure is modeled through the derivations of pregroup grammars. A pregroup is a partially ordered monoid with left and right adjoints for every element in the partial order. The authors build tensors for linguistic constructs with complex types by using a Frobenius algebra. The Frobenius operations allow them to assign and

compare the meanings of different language constructs such as words, phrases, and sentences in a single space. The authors present their experimental results for the evaluation of their model in a number of natural languages.

Knot logic and topological quantum computing with Majorana fermions, by **Louis H. Kauffman**. Kauffman presents several topics exploring the relationship between low-dimensional topology and quantum computing. These topics have been introduced and developed by Kauffman and Samuel J. Lomonaco over the last ten years. Kauffman uses the diagrammatic approach, and is particularly interested in models based upon the Temperley-Lieb categories. He discusses from several different perspectives the Fibonacci model related to the Temperley-Lieb algebra at fifth roots of unity. Kauffman shows how knots are related to braiding and quantum operators, as well as to quantum set-theoretic foundations. For example, the negation can generate the fusion algebra for a Majorana fermion, which is a particle that interacts with itself and can even annihilate itself. Thus, Kauffman calls the negation the mark.

He investigates the relationship between knot-theoretic recoupling theory and topological quantum field theory. Kauffman works with braid groups and their representations, and produces unitary representations of the braid groups that are dense in the unitary groups. He describes the Jones polynomial in terms of his bracket polynomial and applies his approach to design a quantum algorithm for computing the colored Jones polynomials for knots and links. Kauffman also gives a quantum algorithm for computing the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of three manifolds.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117
E-mail: jcchubb@usfca.edu

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
VIRGINIA SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CAMPUS
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
ASHBURN, VIRGINIA 20147
E-mail: ea1102@gwu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20052
E-mail: harizanv@gwu.edu

A (VERY) BRIEF TOUR OF QUANTUM MECHANICS, COMPUTATION, AND CATEGORY THEORY

JENNIFER CHUBB AND VALENTINA HARIZANOV

This chapter is intended to be a brief treatment of the basic mechanics, framework, and concepts relevant to the study of quantum computing and information for review and reference. Part 1 (sections 1–4) surveys quantum mechanics and computation, with sections organized according to the commonly known postulates of quantum theory. The second part (sections 5–7) provides a survey of category theory. Additional references to works in this volume are included throughout, and general references appear at the end.

PART 1: QUANTUM MECHANICS & COMPUTATION

§1. Qubits & quantum states.

Postulate of quantum mechanics: Representing states of systems. The state of a quantum system is represented by a unit-length vector in a complex Hilbert space¹, \mathcal{H} , that corresponds to that system. The state space of a composite system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the subsystems.

The Dirac bra-ket notation for states of quantum systems is ubiquitous in the literature, and we adopt it here. A vector in a complex Hilbert space representing a quantum state is written as a *ket*, $|\psi\rangle$, and its conjugate-transpose (adjoint, or sometimes Hermitian conjugate) is written as a *bra*, $\langle\psi|$. In this notation, a bra-ket denotes an inner product, $\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle$, and a ket-bra denotes an outer product, $|\varphi\rangle\langle\psi|$.

Each one-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} corresponds to a possible state of the system, and a state is usually described as a linear combination in a relevant orthonormal basis. The basis elements are often thought of as *basic states*. Quantum systems can exist in a *superposition* of more than one basic state: If a quantum system has access to two basic states, say $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, then, in general, the system’s “current state” can be represented by a linear combination of these states in complex Hilbert space:

$$|\psi\rangle = c_1|\alpha\rangle + c_2|\beta\rangle, \text{ where } ||\psi|| = 1.$$

¹A Hilbert space is a complete, normed metric space, where the norm and distance function are induced by an inner product defined on the space.