West Nutshell Series
KIMBERI FE K. KOVACH

## **Mediation**

# ina nut shell

2nd Edition

**WEST**®

## **MEDIATION**

#### IN A NUTSHELL

SECOND EDITION

By



**WEST**<sub>®</sub>

A Thomson Reuters business

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nutshell Series, In a Nutshell and the Nutshell Logo are trademarks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

- © West, a Thomson business, 2003
- © 2010 Thomson Reuters

610 Opperman Drive St. Paul, MN 55123 1–800–313–9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-0-314-90880-3

#### WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

#### JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Berkeley

#### JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

#### YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Michigan

#### MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

#### LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

#### JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

#### ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard University

#### GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

#### A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Associate Professor of Law, Washington & Lee University School of Law

#### JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

#### For Eric

III

#### **PREFACE**

During the last thirty years, the field of mediation has evolved and matured, perhaps far more than those involved in the process envisioned. In most ways, this growth of mediation use has been very encouraging, constructive and valuable. Individuals are learning innovative and creative ways to solve problems. Matters are being resolved expeditiously. Awareness that dispute resolution need not be based upon a win-lose, right-wrong paradigm has increased. But along with that progress have also come concerns and unease. Like any maturity in innovation, "growing pains" have emerged. This is particularly true of mediation use as it relates to the courts. Not surprisingly, the last several years have brought us many developments in terms of how and where mediation is conducted as well as expansion of the parameters of practice. These rules, guidelines and policy matters surrounding mediation practice are now found in case law, statutes, court rules, books, law reviews and professional journals.

This book is an attempt to provide a concise, yet comprehensive overview of most of the issues that currently impact mediation practice. Although space did not permit in depth analyses of each of the myriad of issues raised, the reader hopefully

#### PREFACE

will find useful resources through the references, cases and bibliographies at the end of each chapter.

I wish to thank my friends and colleagues who made suggestions with regard to the content and outline of this book. My indebtedness is also extended to all of those whose thoughtful and insightful publications have formed the foundation of the continuing knowledge and further innovation in the field of dispute resolution.

A special note of thanks and admiration to Jim Coben and those at Hamline's Dispute Resolution Institute, and the Mediation Case Law Project.\* This compilation of mediation case law with summaries assisted greatly with this work.

Much appreciation to Rafael Boza for his research and assistance in editing. I also thank Rita Cannon and David Square for their dedication and work on this project. I also acknowledge Liz Herre, whose help was so significant to the first edition.

And, finally, a special expression of gratitude to my wonderful family, for whose presence and support I am eternally thankful.

KIMBERLEE K. KOVACH

Austin, Texas December 2009

<sup>\*</sup> http://law.hamline.edu/adr/mediation-case-law-project.html.

#### References are to Pages

#### A

Adkins v. Hansen, 2002 WL 1070693 (Ohio App. 5 Dist.2002), 137, 160, 162

Allen v. Leal, 27 F.Supp.2d 945 (S.D.Tex.1998), 189, 240, 242
 Ames, Matter of Marriage of, 860 S.W.2d 590 (Tex.App.-Amarillo 1993), 243

Anonymous, In re, 283 F.3d 627 (4th Cir.2002), 201, 211, 223 Atlantic Pipe Corp., In re, 304 F.3d 135 (1st Cir.2002), 130 Avril v. Civilmar, 605 So.2d 988 (Fla.App. 4 Dist.1992), 163

#### $\mathbf{B}$

Barnett v. Sea Land Service, Inc., 875 F.2d 741 (9th Cir.1989), 242

Bennett v. Bennett, 587 A.2d 463 (Me.1991), 163

Borror v. MarineMax of Ohio, Inc., 2007 WL 431737 (Ohio App. 6 Dist.2007), 158, 163

Bulkmatic Transport Co., Inc. v. Pappas, 2002 WL 975625 (S.D.N.Y.2002), 163

#### $\mathbf{C}$

Carr v. Runyan, 89 F.3d 327 (7th Cir.1996), 243 Carter, State v., 658 N.E.2d 618 (Ind.App.1995), 157, 164

Cartwright, In re, 104 S.W.3d 706 (Tex.App.-Hous. (1 Dist.) 2003), 341, 343

Castellano, State v., 460 So.2d 480 (Fla.App. 2 Dist.1984), 214, 223

- Certainteed Corp. v. Celotex Corp., 2005 WL 217032 (Del.Ch. 2005), 154, 163
- Chappell v. Roth, 353 N.C. 690, 548 S.E.2d 499 (N.C.2001), 232, 243

#### City of (see name of city)

- CK DFW Partners Ltd. v. City Kitchens, Inc., 541 F.Supp.2d 839 (N.D.Tex.2008), 133
- CK DFW Partners Ltd. v. City Kitchens, Inc., 2007 WL 2381259 (N.D.Tex.2007), 105, 130, 163
- Cohen v. Cohen, 609 So.2d 785 (Fla.App. 4 Dist.1992), 243

#### D

- Decker v. Lindsay, 824 S.W.2d 247 (Tex.App.-Hous. (1 Dist.) 1992), 153, 163
- Deluca v. Allied Domecq Quick Service Restaurants, 2006 WL 2713944 (E.D.N.Y.2006), 216, 222
- DeValk Lincoln Mercury, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 811 F.2d 326 (7th Cir.1987), 162
- Doorstep Beverages of Longwood, Inc. v. Collier, 928 So.2d 482 (Fla.App. 5 Dist.2006), 160, 163

#### $\mathbf{F}$

- Fenton v. Howard, 118 Ariz. 119, 575 P.2d 318 (Ariz.1978), 201, 222
- Fields-D'Arpino v. Restaurant Associates, Inc., 39 F.Supp.2d 412 (S.D.N.Y.1999), 274
- Folb v. Motion Picture Industry Pension & Health Plans, 16 F.Supp.2d 1164 (C.D.Cal.1998), 219, 223
- Foxgate Homeowners' Ass'n, Inc. v. Bramalea California, Inc., 108 Cal.Rptr.2d 642, 25 P.3d 1117 (Cal.2001), 136, 154, 163

#### G

- Garland, Texas, City of, United States v., 124 F.Supp.2d 442 (N.D.Tex.2000), 138, 163
- Georgos v. Jackson, 790 N.E.2d 448 (Ind.2003), 234, 243
- G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir.1989), 135, 162

Gordon v. Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd., 641 So.2d 515 (Fla.App. 3 Dist.1994), 243

Graham v. Baker, 447 N.W.2d 397 (Iowa 1989), 148, 163

Grand Jury Subpoena Dated December 17, 1996, In re, 148 F.3d 487 (5th Cir.1998), 223

Gullo, United States v., 672 F.Supp. 99 (W.D.N.Y.1987), 223

#### H

Haghighi v. Russian-American Broadcasting Co., 577 N.W.2d 927 (Minn.1998), 243

Halaby, McCrea & Cross v. Hoffman, 831 P.2d 902 (Colo.1992), 150, 152, 163

Hoglund v. Meeks, 139 Wash.App. 854, 170 P.3d 37 (Wash.App. Div. 2 2007), 200, 223

Howard v. Drapkin, 222 Cal.App.3d 843, 271 Cal.Rptr. 893 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1990), 297

#### T

#### In re (see name of party)

#### K

Kalain v. Smith, 25 Ohio St.3d 157, 495 N.E.2d 572 (Ohio 1986), 150, 163

Keene Corp. v. Gardner, 837 S.W.2d 224 (Tex.App.-Dallas 1992), 162

Kieturakis, In re Marriage of, 41 Cal.Rptr.3d 119 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.2006), 223

Kirschenman v. Superior Court, 36 Cal.Rptr.2d 166 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1994), 103, 130

Kreiner, State ex rel. Schneider v., 83 Ohio St.3d 203, 699 N.E.2d 83 (Ohio 1998), 223, 240, 243

Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc., 85 Cal.Rptr.3d 20 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.2008), 178, 189

#### $\mathbf{L}$

Lake County Bd. of Com'rs, United States v., 2007 WL 1202408 (N.D.Ind.2007), 149, 164

Lange v. Marshall, 622 S.W.2d 237 (Mo.App. E.D.1981), 274
 Learjet Inc., In re, 59 S.W.3d 842 (Tex.App.-Texarkana 2001), 203, 208, 223

#### $\mathbf{M}$

Marriage of (see name of party)
Matter of (see name of party)

McKenzie Const. v. St. Croix Storage Corp., 961 F.Supp. 857 (D.Virgin Islands 1997), 274

#### N

Negron v. Woodhull Hosp., 173 Fed.Appx. 77, 2006 WL 759806 (2nd Cir.2006), 159, 163

Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 99 F.Supp.2d 1056 (E.D.Mo.2000), 153, 164

NLRB v. Joseph Macaluso, Inc., 618 F.2d 51 (9th Cir.1980), 214, 219, 223

Nwachukwu v. St. Louis University, 114 Fed.Appx. 264, 2004 WL 2472276 (8th Cir.2004), 233, 243

#### 0

Obermoller v. Federal Land Bank of St. Paul, 409 N.W.2d 229 (Minn.App.1987), 157, 164

Olam v. Congress Mortg. Co., 68 F.Supp.2d 1110 (N.D.Cal.1999), 211, 219, 223, 237, 240, 243

#### P

Patel v. Ashco Enterprises, Inc., 711 So.2d 239 (Fla.App. 5 Dist.1998), 243

Paul v. Friedman, 117 Cal.Rptr.2d 82 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.2002), 217, 223

Poly Software Intern., Inc. v. Su, 880 F.Supp. 1487 (D.Utah 1995), 253, 274

#### XXIV

#### $\mathbf{R}$

Rains, In re, 428 F.3d 893 (9th Cir.2005), 237, 243

Randle v. Mid Gulf, Inc., 1996 WL 447954 (Tex.App.-Hous. (14 Dist.) 1996), 223, 243

Reese v. Tingey Const., 177 P.3d 605 (Utah 2008), 243

Rinaker v. Superior Court, 74 Cal.Rptr.2d 464 (Cal.App. 3 Dist. 1998), 223

Rizzo v. Haines, 520 Pa. 484, 555 A.2d 58 (Pa.1989), 92, 125, 130 Roberts v. Rose, 37 S.W.3d 31 (Tex.App.-San Antonio 2000), 160,

Rvan v. Garcia, 33 Cal.Rptr.2d 158 (Cal.App. 3 Dist.1994), 243

#### S

Santana v. Olguin, 41 Kan. App. 2d 1086, 208 P.3d 328 (Kan. App. 2009), 130

Schneider, State ex rel. v. Kreiner, 83 Ohio St.3d 203, 699 N.E.2d 83 (Ohio 1998), 223, 240, 243

Silkey v. Investors Diversified Services, Inc., 690 N.E.2d 329 (Ind.App.1997), 237, 243

Smith v. Smith, 154 F.R.D. 661 (N.D.Tex.1994), 223

Smith v. Travelers Indem. Co., 343 F.Supp. 605 (M.D.N.C.1972), 297

Spencer v. Spencer, 752 N.E.2d 661 (Ind.App.2001), 243

State v. \_\_\_\_(see opposing party)

State ex rel. v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party and relator)

Stoehr v. Yost, 765 N.E.2d 684 (Ind.App.2002), 164

Stone, In re, 986 F.2d 898 (5th Cir.1993), 138, 163

Stone Age Equipment, Inc. v. Nelson Sports, Inc., 2005 WL 1208522 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.2005), 241, 243

Strandell v. Jackson County, Ill., 838 F.2d 884 (7th Cir.1987), 108, 130

Sweringen v. New York State Dispute Resolution Ass'n (NYS-DRA), 2006 WL 2811825 (N.D.N.Y.2006), 294, 297

#### $\mathbf{T}$

Texas Dept. of Transp. v. Pirtle, 977 S.W.2d 657 (Tex.App.-Fort Worth 1998), 153, 164

Texas Parks and Wildlife Dept. v. Davis, 988 S.W.2d 370 (Tex. App.-Austin 1999), 153, 164

Triad Mack Sales and Service, Inc. v. Clement Bros. Co., 113 N.C.App. 405, 438 S.E.2d 485 (N.C.App.1994), 159, 163

#### U

United States v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)
United States, In re, 149 F.3d 332 (5th Cir.1998), 138, 163

#### V

Vernon v. Acton, 732 N.E.2d 805 (Ind.2000), 237, 243

#### W

Wagshal v. Foster, 1993 WL 86499 (D.D.C.1993), 297

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Butler, 41 S.W.3d 816 (Tex.App.-Dallas 2001), 159, 163

Weekley Homes, Inc. v. Jennings, 936 S.W.2d 16 (Tex.App.-San Antonio 1996), 132, 162

West Beach Marina, Ltd. v. Erdeljac, 94 S.W.3d 248 (Tex.App.-Austin 2002), 243

Wilson v. Wilson, 282 Ga. 728, 653 S.E.2d 702 (Ga.2007), 237, 244

#### Y

Yaekle v. Andrews, 195 P.3d 1101 (Colo.2008), 236, 244

## **MEDIATION**

### IN A NUTSHELL

SECOND EDITION

## **OUTLINE**

|          |  | Page           |
|----------|--|----------------|
| PREFA    | CE   | v              |
|          | of Cases   | XXI            |
| Chan     | ton 1 Mediation Distinguished  |                |
| Cnap     | ter 1. Mediation Distinguished<br>From Other Dispute Resolution                |                |
|          | Processes  | 1              |
| A. In    | troduction   | 1              |
|          | raditional Dispute Resolution Methods  | $\overline{2}$ |
| 1.       | Conflict and Disputing   | 3              |
|          | Common Responses   | 3              |
|          | a. Win-Lose, Right-Wrong Paradigms   | 3              |
|          | b. Avoidance   | 4              |
|          | mergence of ADR  | 4              |
|          | DR Processes and Procedures  | 6              |
|          | Private Judging  | 7              |
| 2.       | Arbitration  | 8              |
|          | a. Private Contractual Arbitration   | 9              |
|          | b. Variations  | 10             |
| 0        | c. Court-Annexed Arbitration   | 10             |
|          | Neutral Case Evaluation  | 11             |
| 4.<br>5. |  | 12             |
| ٥.       |  | 13             |
|          | Facilitative Processes   | 14             |
|          | Combinations and Hybrid Processes<br>lecting or Selecting the Appropriate Pro- | 14             |
| E. E.    | cess   | 15             |

#### OUTLINE

|    |  | rage |
|----|--|------|
| Ch | apter 2. The Mediation Process           | 19   |
| A. | 1112tollear 1 clepectives                | 19   |
|    | 1. Ancient International Examples        | 19   |
|    | a. Biblical References                   | 20   |
|    | b. Greece                                | 20   |
|    | c. China                                 | 20   |
|    | 2. United States                         | 21   |
|    | a. Colonial ADR                          | 21   |
|    | b. Labor                                 | 22   |
|    | c. Early Centers                         | 22   |
|    | i. Columbus, Ohio Night Prosecu-         |      |
|    | tor Program                              | 23   |
|    | ii. Rochester, New York                  | 24   |
|    | iii. San Francisco Boards                |      |
|    | d. Pound Conference                      | 24   |
|    | i. Neighborhood Justice Centers          |      |
|    | ii. Court Programs                       | 26   |
| В. | Modern Mediation Movement: Post Pound    |      |
|    | 1. Community Perspectives                |      |
|    | 2. Court Perspectives and Administration | 30   |
|    | a. Generally                             |      |
|    | b. Settlement Weeks                      |      |
|    | c. Family Law Mediation                  |      |
|    | 3. Role of Bar Associations              |      |
|    | a. ABA                                   |      |
|    | b. State and Local Bar Associations      |      |
|    | 4. Legal and Graduate Education          |      |
|    | 5. Government                            |      |
|    | 6. Privatization                         |      |
| C. | Benefits of Mediation                    |      |
|    | 1. Time and Cost Savings                 | 39   |
|    | 2. Confidentiality and Privacy           | 40   |
|    | 3. Self-Determination                    | 41   |

#### OUTLINE

|    |   | Page |
|----|---|------|
| C. |   |      |
|    | 4. Authorizing and Acknowledging Feel-  |      |
|    | ings and Emotions                       | 41   |
|    | 5. Opportunity for Preserving Relation- |      |
|    | ships                                   | 42   |
|    | 6. Potential for Creative Solutions     | 43   |
|    | 7. Process Flexibility and Informality  | 43   |
|    | 8. Avoidance of Legal Precedent         | 44   |
| D. | Dissection of the Mediation Process     | 45   |
|    | 1. Stages and Components                | 45   |
|    | 2. Skills Essential to Mediation        | 51   |
|    | a. Communication                        | 51   |
|    | i. Listening                            | 52   |
|    | ii. Questioning                         | 53   |
|    | iii. Two-Way Communication              | 53   |
|    | iv. Restating and Reframing             | 54   |
|    | v. Nonverbal Communication              | 55   |
|    | b. Information Processing               | 55   |
|    | c. Analysis and Interpretation          | 57   |
|    | d. Reality Testing                      | 58   |
|    | e. Organization                         | 59   |
|    | f. Counseling and Calming Skills        | 60   |
|    | g. Recognition of Cultural Diversity    | 61   |
|    | 3. Joint Process or Separate Sessions   | 62   |
|    | 4. A No Stage Perspective of Mediation  | 64   |
| E. | Mediation's Unique Focus on Interests   | 65   |
|    | 1. Philosophical                        | 65   |
|    | 2. Pragmatic                            | 66   |
| F. | Mediation's Potential in Transactional  |      |
|    | Matters                                 | 67   |
| G. | Variations and Adaptations of Mediation | 68   |