# Towards WTO Competition Rules

Key Issues and Comments on the WTO Report (1998) on Trade and Competition

Proceedings of the seminar, Zurich University, 8–10 July 1999, organized in cooperation with Marino Baldi, Wolfgang Fikentscher, Ulrich Immenga and Hanns Ullrich

with contributions by

Carlos Maria Correa Josef Drexl Wolfgang Fikentscher Harry First Eleanor M. Fox Warren S. Grimes John O. Haley Andreas Heinemann Ulrich Immenga Hiroshi Iyori Frédéric Jenny Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann Jean-François Pons Stanislaw Soltysinski Hanns Ullrich Dieter Wolf Roger Zäch



Roger Zäch (editor)

### **Towards WTO Competition Rules**

**Key Issues and Comments on the WTO Report (1998)** on Trade and Competition

Proceedings of the seminar, Zurich University, 8–10 July 1999, organized in cooperation with Marino Baldi, Wolfgang Fikentscher, Ulrich Immenga and Hanns Ullrich

with contributions by

Carlos Maria Correa Josef Drexl Wolfgang Fikentscher Harry First Eleanor M. Fox Warren S. Grimes John O. Haley Andreas Heinemann Ulrich Immenga Hiroshi Iyori Frédéric Jenny Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann Jean-François Pons Stanislaw Soltysinski Hanns Ullrich Dieter Wolf Roger Zäch



Stæmpfli Publishers Ltd. Berne 1999



Kluwer Law International The Hague – London – Boston 1999 This publication is protected by international copyright law. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Sold and distributed in Switzerland by Stæmpfli Publishers Ltd., Berne

In all other countries, sold and distributed by Kluwer Law International,
The Hague – London – Boston

© Stæmpfli Publishers Ltd. Berne · 1999

Produced by Stæmpfli AG, Berne Printed in Switzerland

ISBN 3-7272-9958-4 (Stæmpfli) ISBN 90-411-1288-X (Kluwer)

#### **Preface**

The progressive elimination of formal, governmentally imposed trade barriers under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade ("GATT") and – since 1995 – the World Trade Organization ("WTO") has brought competition policy into focus. This is because it is necessary to prevent private enterprises from impeding by restraints of competition the advantages that nations expect to make when international trade is freed from governmental barriers.

For several years already two groups of independent academic experts have dealt with the issues of establishing and implementing International Antitrust or Competition rules for the global economy. Wolfgang Fikentscher of Munich University, Germany, and his group set up the first coherent proposal for world competition law, the Draft International Antitrust Code, that in the fall of 1993 was presented to the WTO and OECD (see Wolfgang Fikentscher/Ulrich Immenga (editors), Draft International Antitrust Code, Baden-Baden 1995). John O. Haley, University of Washington, USA, and Hiroshi Iyori, Chuo University and Mitsubishi Research Institute, Japan, initiated a joint research project in 1992 on Comparative Competition and Trade Policy. Two workshops were held in 1994 in Seattle and in 1995 in Hawaii, that focused on Antitrust as Trade Remedy (see Haley John O./Iyori Hiroshi (editors), Antitrust: A New Trade Remedy? Pacific Rim Law & Policy Association 1995).

In 1997 members of both groups began to "merge" and met at the College of Europe in Bruges, Belgium, to discuss issues relating to an international system of antitrust law (see Hanns Ullrich (editor), Comparative Competition Law: Approaching an International System of Antitrust Law, Baden-Baden 1998). In Bruges it was also decided that the groups should continue their work with a seminar to be held at Zurich University, Switzerland. At that moment it was already clear that International Competition Law which had started out as a topic of academic interest and concern had in the meantime become a political issue on the agenda of WTO. In fact the first WTO Ministerial Conference, held in Singapore in December 1996, directly addressed the issue and established a Working Group on the Interaction between Trade and Competition Policy. The Group, chaired by Professor F. Jenny presented a comprehensive report on its deliberations in November 1998 (Jenny-Report).

The Seminar at Zurich University took place from July 8 to 10, 1999. The group, with participants from Japan, the USA, Argentina and Europe, invited high ranking officials from the WTO, the European Commission, Directorate-General IV: Competition, and the German Bundeskartellamt. The main seminar aims were to comment on the Jenny Report (1998), to identify key issues of competition law for the WTO market place and to present proposals for such a law. By doing this the participants of the seminar hoped to show possible approaches to establishing WTO competition rules. The results are presented in this volume.

As was the case in Bruges, the Zurich seminar also made clear that the differences of approaches to an international competition law mean that negotiations in this area will be difficult. All the participants agreed that the WTO Working Group had done excellent work. While they individually suggest different and differentiated approaches to an international competition law they all recommended increased efforts by WTO to reach an international consensus.

It is obvious that the success of a seminar and a publication project like this is only possible thanks to the support of many people. I would like to express my gratitude by naming them all here:

The co-organizers Marino Baldi, Wolfgang Fikentscher, Ulrich Immenga and Hanns Ullrich and the authors, particularly for meeting the tough deadlines set for finalizing their papers,

the sponsors Dr. h.c. Wolfgang Schürer, MS Management AG, Jörg Kehl, Zurich University Foundation, Elisabeth Steiner, Migros-Kulturprozent, lic.rer.pol. Ilse Rollé-Ditzler, Coop Switzerland, Dr. Rudolf Stämpfli, Stämpfli Verlag AG, Dr. Markus Berni, Baker & McKenzie, the Government of the Canton of Zurich and Dr. Andreas Kellerhals, Postgraduate Program International Business Law of Zurich University,

my assistants lic.iur. Claudia Christen, lic.iur. Simon Schlauri and lic.iur. Matthias Amann, the administrative staff Muriel Brouwer, Eric Leake, Andrea Ledergerber and Marc Wichser of the Zurich University Europa Institute, Daniela Preisig of MS Management AG and from our publishers, Ursula Merz,

our hosts the Rector of Zurich University, Professor Dr. Hans Heinrich Schmid, the Dean of the Zurich Law Faculty, Professor Dr. Daniel Thürer and the President of the Zurich University Europa Institute, Dr. Eric Honegger as well as

my secretary Daniela De Marco who with notable enthusiasm and dedication worked even at nights and weekends in order to ensure that this project was completed on time.

Finally, a personal note of thanks to my academic mentor and friend, Professor Walter R. Schluep, the "Swiss member" of the Fikentscher Group, who awakend my interest in the area which is the subject of this volume, International Competition Law.

Zurich, August 10, 1999

Roger Zäch

#### The Authors

- CARLOS MARIA CORREA, Professor, Universidad de Buenos Aires
- JOSEF DREXL, Dr. jur., LL.M. (Berkeley), Professor of Law, University of Würzburg
- WOLFGANG FIKENTSCHER, Dr. Dr. h.c., LL.M., Prof. (em.), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich; External Member, Max-Planck Institute for Foreign and International Patent, Copyright and Competition Law, Munich; Visiting Professor, University of California, School of Law, Berkeley
- HARRY FIRST, Professor of Law, New York University School of Law, New York, on leave; Chief, Antitrust Bureau, New York State Office of the Attorney General
- ELEANOR M. FOX, Walter J. Derenberg Professor of Trade Regulation, New York University School of Law, New York, Member International Competition Policy Advisory, Committee to advise Attorney General and Assitant Attorney General
- WARREN S. GRIMES, Professor of Law, Southwestern University School of Law, Los Angeles
- JOHN O. HALEY, Garvey Schubert and Barer Professor of Law, Professor of International Studies, Director Asian Law Program, University of Washington School of Law, Seattle
- ANDREAS HEINEMANN, Dr. jur., Dipl.-Ök., University of Munich
- ULRICH IMMENGA, Dr. jur., Dr. en droit honoris causa, Professor of Law, University of Göttingen; former Chairman German Monopolies Commission
- HIROSHI IYORI, Professor, Chuo University (1993-1998), former Commissioner of the Fair Trade Commission (1986-1991), Head of Investigation Department (1981-1984), Head of Section, International Division (1969-1972), Attorney-at-Law (1998-)
- FRÉDÉRIC JENNY, Professor, Vice Chairman, Conseil de la Concurrence, Paris
- ERNST-ULRICH PETERSMANN, Professor, Université de Genève and Institut de Hautes Etudes Internationales; former legal adviser in WTO (1995-1998), GATT (1981-1995) and the German Ministry of Economic Affairs (1978-1981)
- JEAN-FRANÇOIS PONS, Deputy Director General, Directorate-General IV Competition, European Commission, Brussels
- STANISLAW SOLTYSINSKI, Dr. jur., LL.M. (Columbia), Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, Adam-Mickiewicz-University, Poznan; Attorney-at-Law, Warsaw, Poland's Codification Commission, member
- HANNS ULLRICH, Dr. jur., M.C.J. (N.Y. Univ.), Professor, Faculty of Business Administration, Universität der Bundeswehr München; Visiting Professor, College of Europe, Bruges
- DIETER WOLF, President of the Bundeskartellamt, Bonn
- ROGER ZÄCH, Dr. jur., Professor, University of Zürich, Vice Chairman Swiss Competition Commission

#### **Sponsors**

The following organizations and a sponsor, who wishes to remain anonymous, made generous contributions without which the Zurich seminar and the publication of this volume would not have been possible:

- Hochschulstiftung, Universität Zürich
- Dr. h.c. Wolfgang Schürer, MS Management AG, St. Gallen
- Migros-Kulturprozent
- Coop Schweiz, Basel
- Stämpfli Verlag AG, Bern
- Europa Institut an der Universität Zürich
- Postgraduate Program International Business Law of Zurich University
- · Baker & McKenzie, Zurich Office
- Regierungsrat des Kantons Zürich

#### List of Abbreviations

ABI Official Journal of the European Communities

ASEAN Association of South-East Asian Nations

BGH Bundesgerichtshof

BKartA Bundeskartellamt

BWM Bundeswirtschaftsminister

DIAC Draft International Antitrust Code der Fikentscher Gruppe

E.C.R. European Court Reports

EC European Communities

ECJ European Court of Justice

ECLR European Competition Law Review

ECT Treaty Establishing the European Community

ed. edition

Ed. Editor

Eds. Editors

EEC European Economic Community

EFTA European Free Trade Association

Encl. Enclosure

EU European Union

EuZW Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht (journal)

EWS Europäisches Wirtschafts- und Steuerrecht (journal)

F. Supp. Federal Supplement

FKVO EG-Fusionskontrollverordnung (Council Regulation

[EEC] n. 4064/89 on the control of concentration between

undertakings)

Fordham Corp.L.Inst. Fordham Corporate Law Institute

GATS General Agreement on Trade in Services

GATT General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

GRUR Int. Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht,

Internationaler Teil (journal)

GWB German Act Against Restraints of Competition

IP Intellectual Property

IPR Intellectual Property Right

n. note

NAFTA North American Free Trade Association

O.J. Official Journal of the European Communities

p. page

R&D Research and Development

Swiss Cartel Act Swiss Federal Act on Cartels and other Restraints of

Competition

Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) Trade Regulation Reporter

TRIMs Trade Related Investment Measures

TRIPs Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights

U.S. United States Supreme Court Reports

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

WTO World Trade Organization

WuW Wirtschaft und Wettbewerb (journal)

Roger Zäch (editor)

#### **Towards WTO Competition Rules**

Key Issues and Comments on the WTO Report (1998) on Trade and Competition

J KS. 96

#### **Table of Contents**

	The Authors IX Sponsors X List of Abbreviations X
	I. Fundamentals
	Globalization, Competition and Trade Policy: Issues and Challenges
V	Competition-oriented Reforms of the WTO World Trade System –
	Antitrust, Market Conceptualization and the World Trade Organization – The Convention Approach
	Towards An International Common Law of Competition
	Competition Culture and the Aims of Competition Law
	Harmonized Rules, Peculiar Law: Recent Developments in Japanese Law 137  John O. Haley
	Lessons From A Century of Change –
	Legal Appraisal of Restrictive Agreements –

II. Competition Policy for International Markets	5
International Co-operations in Competition Matters –	ž
International Mergers	)
Control of Mergers and Acquisitions under Polish Law	
Trade-Related Restraints of Competition – The Competition Policy Approach 225 Josef Drexl	5
Antitrust Law Relating to High Technology Industries – A Case for or Against International Rules?	
Problems of Intellectual Property Rights and Competition Policy –	)
The Problem of State Action that Blesses Private Action	5
III. Antitrust and the Public Interest	
Ulrich Immenga  Competition Law and Development Policies	
Competition Law as Comparative Advantage	Š

## I. Fundamentals



# Globalization, Competition and Trade Policy: Issues and Challenges

Frédéric Jenny'

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. The goals and benefits of trade liberalization
- II. The goals and benefits of regulatory reforms
- III. The goals and benefits of competition policy
- IV. The challenges of globalization
- The complementarity between trade policy, domestic deregulation and competition policy in the perspective of globalization
- VI. Competition policy and economic development
- VII. Options for the future
- VIII. Conclusion

For the last three years the trade and competition communities have hotly debated the question of how to address the interface between trade and competition in the context of the globalization of markets. Scores of conference have been held on this issue, hundreds of papers have been produced for academic conferences, all of the international organizations which deal with international economic relations have spent considerable time studying the issue. At times this debate has been highly emotional, at times it has been highly

Frédéric Jenny is Vice-Chairman of the Conseil de la concurrence, Chairman of the OECD Competition law and Policy Committee and Chairman of the WTO Working Group on Trade and Competition Policy. The views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author and should not be ascribed to the above organizations.

sophisticated. It has also been very complex because the study of the interface between trade and competition in the context of the globalization of markets raises political, economical, legal and institutional issues at both domestic and international levels. Very different opinions are still being expressed on how to deal with this issue and some may feel that we are no closer to building a consensus than we were three years ago and may wonder whether all the energy that has gone into the debate has not been spent in vain.

Yet, if we go beyond the political posturing which is inevitable before any upcoming WTO ministerial, it should be recognized that we are not where we were three years ago. For one thing, most participants in the debate now admit that the globalization process implies that the issue of the interface between international trade and competition has to be addressed in some way or, as Jim Rill would say, that ,,the elephant is on the table. It will not go away". Second, the debate has allowed us to explore in much more detail than had been the case previously the differences and the complementarities between trade policy and competition policy both at the substantive and at the instrumental level. The fact that the trade and competition officials in each capital had to agree to present a national contribution at the WTO Working group has contributed to this process. In the course of the debate, competition law enforcers in many developed countries have had the opportunity to abandon their situation of splendid isolation, have become less intellectually arrogant (vis a vis trade officials or officials of developing countries) and have gained in credibility with economic policy makers. At the same time, trade officials, on the other hand, have become more aware of the fact that their negotiating skills and tools had limits for gaining effective market access. The Asian crisis has also contributed to making developing countries more aware of the benefits of competition (or rather the dangers of neglecting the role of competition forces) and the usefulness of controlling global markets. The remarkable progress of reflection on bilateral cooperation instruments that has occurred in the context of OECD has also been partly a by-product of this debate.

Only time will tell if and how the international community will choose to build on these achievements.

In the remaining sections of the paper we explore some of the issues which were addressed in the course of the three year debate on the interaction between trade and competition policy.

#### I. The goals and benefits of trade liberalization

The benefits of international trade liberalization (which has so far mostly focused on the elimination of "at the border" trade obstacles) are well known. First, trade liberalization expands the economic opportunities of firms by allowing them to reach consumers located beyond their borders. By expanding the potential market of domestic firms, trade liberalization also allows them to benefit from economies of scale or of scope which they could not benefit from in a purely national context. Thus, it contributes to cost reduction and potential increase in real income.

Second, trade liberalization implies more market competition which in turn means that static efficiency gains in production and distribution are passed on to consumers and that innovations reach the market place.

Third, because trade liberalization is a two way street, it contributes to a reallocation of resources in each of the trading nations. Resources invested in domestic industries which produce at a relatively high cost compared to foreign industries tend to be shifted over the long run to industries where they create more value.

The above benefits constitute the main reason why the community of trading nations has pursued a determined effort to liberalize international trade for the last fifty years.

While increased domestic competition and increased foreign investment may entail long run gains for labor and consumers, trade liberalization also entails short or medium term adjustment costs and raises political difficulties.

First, although trade liberalization brings overall benefits for trading countries, the distribution of gains may be uneven among countries since some may be better able to take advantage of the enhanced economic opportunities because