

CLARENDON LAW SERIES

Public Law

ADAM TOMKINS

PUBLIC LAW

ADAM TOMKINS

John Millar Professor of Public Law
University of Glasgow

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CLARENDON LAW SERIES

Introduction to Roman Law

By BARRY NICHOLAS

Legal Reasoning and Legal Theory

By NEIL MACCORMICK

Natural Law and Natural Rights

By JOHN G. FINNIS

The Concept of Law (2nd edition)

By H. L. A. HART

An Introduction to the Law of Contract (5th edition)

By P. S. ATIYAH

Playing by the Rules

By FREDERICK SCHAUER

Precedent in English Law (4th edition)

By SIR RUPERT CROSS AND JIM HARRIS

An Introduction to Administrative Law (3rd edition)

By PETER CANE

Policies and Perceptions of Insurance

By MALCOLM CLARKE

An Introduction to Family Law

By GILLIAN DOUGLAS

Discrimination Law

By SANDRA FREDMAN

The Conflict of Laws

By ADRIAN BRIGGS

The Law of Property (3rd edition)

By F. H. LAWSON AND BERNARD RUDDEN

Introduction to Company Law

By PAUL L. DAVIES

Tort Law

By TONY WEIR

Personal Property Law (3rd edition)

By MICHAEL BRIDGE

An Introduction to the Law of Trusts (2nd edition)

By SIMON GARDNER

Employment Law

By HUGH COLLINS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Bangkok Buenos Aires Cape Town Chennai
Dar es Salaam Delhi Hong Kong Istanbul Karachi Kolkata
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Mumbai Nairobi
São Paulo Shanghai Taipei Tokyo Toronto

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© Adam Tomkins 2003

The moral rights of the author have been asserted
Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published 2003

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate
reprographics rights organizations. Enquiries concerning reproduction
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Data available

ISBN 0-19-926077-X

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

Typeset in Ehrhardt
by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk
Printed in Great Britain by
Biddles Ltd., Guildford and King's Lynn

‘... the nature of every state depends on the character and will of its ruling body. So liberty has no home in any state except a democracy. Nothing can be sweeter than liberty. Yet if it isn’t equal throughout, it isn’t liberty at all. For how can liberty be equal throughout, I will not say in a monarchy, where slavery is evident and unmistakable, but in those states where everyone is free in name only?’

CICERO, *De Republica*, c.52 BC
(trans. N. Rudd)

Preface

This book offers an account of English public law that is designed to complement the treatment of the subject in the standard textbooks. The book has been written principally with students in mind, although I hope that teachers of public law and other interested readers may also benefit from it. I have not imagined that this book will be primarily used as a tool for learning the rules of public law: for that purpose there are already many fine text- and source-books on the market. This book does not aim to teach the rules of public law: rather, it offers an interpretation of what we might make of those rules. My aim has been to provide an argument about English public law that offers something of a counterblast to the assumptions contained in much of the existing literature.

Thus, I argue for example that the difference between written and unwritten constitutions is less important than that between legal and political constitutions; I set out and defend a new model of the separation of power, a model which has extensive consequences for the way in which I think we should understand our contemporary public law; I suggest that no account of public law can succeed unless the problems associated with the concept (and with the continuing power) of the Crown are fully dealt with; and I argue, against the prevailing orthodoxy, that political forms of accountability through doctrines such as ministerial responsibility to Parliament remain central to our system of public law. The book also contains a number of arguments about the sovereignty of Parliament, the law of judicial review, and human rights.

These are issues which are fundamental both to constitutional and to administrative law, and while it may be that it is to first-year law students, meeting public law for the first time, that this book primarily appeals, there is I hope something in it also for more experienced readers who are returning to the subject. In writing the book I have tried to keep footnotes to a minimum, but I have included a short bibliographical essay at the end of the book which may be used as a guide to further reading.

With a title as broad as *Public Law*, it will always be necessary to delimit the subject somehow. The argument in this book proceeds on the basis that public law does two things: it provides for the institutions that exercise political power, and it provides for mechanisms of holding the

exercise of such power to some form of account. After an introductory chapter on constitutions, the first part of this book (chapters 2–4) is concerned with the first of these themes, ‘power’, and the second part (chapters 5–6) is concerned with the other, ‘accountability’. One aspect of the law that might be considered important to public law, but which is not considered in depth here, is civil liberties and human rights law. While the impact of human rights law on our themes of power and accountability is considered (in chapters 4 and 6), the substance of civil liberties law is not otherwise dealt with here. This is for two reasons: first, because much of modern human rights law does not seem obviously ‘public’ in character—disputes concerning glossy magazines and the wedding photographs of film stars, for example, seem to be matters for tort or media lawyers, rather than for public lawyers. Secondly, human rights law has now become such a central component of the legal curriculum that squeezing its consideration into already over-crowded public law books is no longer appropriate, even if it ever was. Civil liberties and human rights law require books of their own, books which can discuss both the public law and private law dimensions of modern human rights.

By the time this book is published, I will have moved to Glasgow, but it was written while I was a Fellow and Tutor in Law at St Catherine’s College, Oxford. I would like to thank the Master and Fellows of St Catherine’s for granting me the sabbatical leave in early 2003 that enabled me to finish the book, and more generally (but no less importantly) for generating an atmosphere that was just about as conducive to research and writing as is possible nowadays in an undergraduate Oxford college. This is no mean achievement, and I am grateful for having been able to benefit from it.

I have been thinking about public law for more than fifteen years, and in that time I have accumulated a number of debts, intellectual and otherwise. In London I was extremely fortunate to have Carol Harlow, Rick Rawlings, Keith Ewing, and Conor Gearty as colleagues. In Oxford I have benefited from numerous insights gained from conversations with Paul Craig, Liz Fisher, Nicholas Bamforth, and Joshua Getzler. Martin Loughlin, Peter Oliver, Denis Baranger, and Nick Barber were all good enough to read several draft chapters, and their often critical comments are very much appreciated. I shall remember with particular fondness my many fights in North Oxford pubs with Nick Barber over questions of sovereignty, separation, the rule of law, and other matters. At OUP Jane Kavanagh has been an energetically supportive editor, and I am grateful

to her. For football, beer, and other diversions I thank Mark and Lionel in London and Peter in Oxford. But most of all, for her extraordinary support, and for being the light in my life, I thank Lauren.

Table of Legislation

Acquisition of Land (Assessment of Compensation) Act 1919	
s. 7	106
Act of Settlement 1701	44, 45, 46, 55, 89
Act of Union 1707	2, 124
Act of Union 1800	2, 124
Act to Abolish the Court of Star Chamber 1641	58
Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001	80
Appellate Jurisdiction Act 1876	99
Bill of Rights 1689	44, 45, 46, 87, 103, 104, 123
Art. 1	44
Art. 4.....	44
Art. 6.....	44
Art. 9	44, 126
Colonial Laws Validity Act 1865	106
Counter-Inflation Act 1972	85
Courts and Legal Services Act 1990	37
Criminal Injuries Compensation Act 1995	30
Criminal Justice Act 1988	
ss. 108–117	25
s. 171	25–9
Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994	80, 193
Crown Private Estates Act 1800	89
Crown Private Estates Act 1862	89
Crown Proceedings Act 1947	89
s. 21	52, 114, 115
Deregulation and Contracting Out Act 1994	74
European Communities Act 1972 .. 17, 108–12, 116–18, 118–19, 123, 124	
s. 2(1)	108–10
s. 2(2).....	109, 110, 123
s. 2(4).....	110, 111, 124
s. 3(1).....	110–11, 116–17, 118
Freedom of Information Act 2000	25, 156, 168

Government of Wales Act 1998	2, 14, 124
House of Commons Disqualification Act 1975	49
House of Lords Act 1999	100, 102
Housing Act 1925	106
Housing (Homeless Persons) Act 1977	181
Human Rights Act 1998	14, 17, 24, 47, 79, 80, 121-2, 124, 166, 186, 188-201, 203-4, 205-6, 208
s. 1	192, 195-6, 197
s. 2	192, 195-6, 197, 204
s. 3	122, 188, 190-1, 197
s. 4	122, 188, 190, 197, 200
s. 6	188-91, 197, 206
s. 7	203
Life Peerages Act 1958	99, 102
Magna Carta 1215	15, 40-2, 46, 123
cap. 12	41
cap. 29	40
Meeting of Parliament Act 1694	63
Merchant Shipping Act 1894	113
Merchant Shipping Act 1988	113, 114, 115, 116, 118-19
s. 14	113, 119
Official Secrets Act 1989	80
Parliament Act 1911	48, 70, 96-7
s. 7	63, 97
Parliament Act 1949	48, 70
Parliamentary Papers Act 1840	126
Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984	16
Prison Act 1952	
s. 47	184-5
Race Relations Act 1976	
s. 71	179
Referendums (Scotland and Wales) Act 1997	14
Reform Act 1832	124, 165
Reform Act 1867	124, 165
Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000	80
Representation of the People Act 1983	17

Scotland Act 1998	I, 14, 17, 124
s. 46	67
Septennial Act 1715	63, 97
Sexual Offences Act 1967	193
Special Immigration Appeals Commission Act 1997	176
Supreme Court Act 1981	
s. 31	202
s. 130.....	185-6
Triennial Act 1641	63
Weights and Measures Act 1985	123

Council of Europe

European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)	23-4, 47, 121-2, 183, 188, 192, 198, 204
Art. 3	193
Art. 5	193
Art. 6	200-1
Art. 8.....	192-4, 195
Art. 9	193
Art. 10.....	189, 193
Art. 11	193
Art. 13	192, 194-7

European Community

Treaty establishing the European Community (EC Treaty)	112
Art. 220	55
Art. 226	120
Art. 234	114
Art. 249	109

United States of America

United States Constitution

Art. II §4	8
Art. III.....	55

Table of Cases

Anisminic v Foreign Compensation Commission (1969)	171
Associated Provincial Picture Houses v Wednesbury Corporation (1948)	177-8, 179-80, 181, 182, 198-9
Attorney-General v De Keyser's Royal Hotel (1920)	82
Attorney-General v Jonathan Cape (1976)	10, 139
Attorney-General for New South Wales v Trethowan (1932)	106
Bate's Case (1606)	43, 55
British Broadcasting Corporation v Johns (1965)	82, 83, 87
Bromley London Borough Council v Greater London Council (1983)	178-9, 181
Bushell v Secretary of State for the Environment (1981)	175
Carltona v Commissioners of Works (1943)	85
Case of Proclamations (1611)	56, 57-58
Conway v Rimmer (1968)	171
Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service (1985)	84, 172, 180, 181, 182, 207
D v NSPCC (1978)	88
Darnel's Case (1627)	43, 55
Dr Bonham's Case (1610)	104
Duke v GEC Reliance (1988)	112
Eliot's Case (1630)	43, 55, 125
Ellen Street Estates v Minister of Health (1934) ...	105, 106, 107, 119, 124
Entick v Carrington (1765)	79-80
Feather v The Queen (1865)	54
Franklin v Minister of Town and Country Planning (1948)	174
Garland v British Rail (1983)	111-12
Godden v Hales (1686)	43
Litster v Forth Dry Dock and Engineering (1990)	112
Lloyd v McMahon (1987)	175-6
M v Home Office (1994)	51-4, 55, 58-9, 84, 88, 115

Mercury Communications v Director-General of Telecommunications (1996)	205
Nottinghamshire County Council v Secretary of State for the Environment (1986)	181
O'Reilly v Mackman (1983)	204–5
Padfield v Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries, and Food (1968)	171
Pickstone v Freemans (1989)	112
Poplar Housing Association v Donoghue (2002)	206
Prohibitions del Roy (1607)	56–7, 58
Puhlhofer v Hillingdon London Borough Council (1986)	181
R v A (No. 2) (2002)	122
R v Board of Visitors of the Maze Prison, ex parte Hone (1988):	175, 176
R v Cambridge Health Authority, ex parte B (1995)	187–8
R v City Panel on Takeovers and Mergers, ex parte Datafin (1987) ..	205
R v Disciplinary Committee of the Jockey Club, ex parte Aga Khan (1993)	205
R v Hampden (1637)	43, 55, 92
R v Inspectorate of Pollution, ex parte Greenpeace (No. 2) (1994)	203
R v Lord Chancellor, ex parte Witham (1998)	185–6, 187, 190, 191
R v Lord Chancellor's Department, ex parte Hibbit and Saunders (1993)	207
R v Lord Saville, ex parte A (2000)	175
R v Ministry of Defence, ex parte Smith (1996)	187–8, 192
R v Secretary of State for the Environment, ex parte Kirkstall Valley Campaign (1996)	174
R v Secretary of State for the Environment, ex parte Rose Theatre Trust (1990)	202–3
R v Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, ex parte Rees-Mogg (1994)	202
R v Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, ex parte World Development Movement (1995)	203
R v Secretary of State for Home Affairs, ex parte Hosenball (1977) ..	176
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Brind (1991)	189–90, 199, 202
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Cheblak (1991)	176
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Doody (1994)	172

R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Fire Brigades Union (1995)	24-30, 51, 55, 83-4, 202
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Leech (1994)	184-5, 186-7, 190, 191
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Northumbria Police Authority (1989)	82-3, 84, 89
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Simms (2000)	186, 190, 191
R v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte Factortame (1990)	89, 112-13, 114-16, 124
R v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte Factortame (No. 2) (1991)	112-13, 116-20
R v Shayler (2002)	80
R (Alconbury) v Secretary of State for the Environment (2001)	196, 200-1
R (Anderson) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (2002)	33
R (Bancourt) v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs (2001)	40
R (Daly) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (2001)	197-9
R (Heather) v Leonard Cheshire Foundation (2002)	206
R (ProLife Alliance) v British Broadcasting Corporation (2002)	192
Raymond v Honey (1983)	185
Ridge v Baldwin (1964)	171
Roy v Kensington and Chelsea Family Practitioner Committee (1992)	205
Secretary of State for Education v Tameside (1977)	178-9, 181
Secretary of State for the Home Department v Rehman (2001)	207
Stockdale v Hansard (1839)	126
Thoburn v Sunderland City Council (2002)	16, 123-4
Town Investments v Department of the Environment (1978)	85, 89
Vauxhall Estates v Liverpool Corporation (1932)	106
Wheeler v Leicester City Council (1985)	179-80, 180-1

Canada

Quebec Secession Reference (1998)	33, 192
---	---------

Council of Europe

Brind v United Kingdom (1994)	189
Chahal v United Kingdom (1996)	176
Smith and Grady v United Kingdom (2000)	188, 192–7

European Community

Case 6/64, Costa v ENEL (1964)	108
Case 11/70, Internationale Handelsgesellschaft v Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getreide (1970)	108
Case C–106/89, Marleasing v La Comercial Internacional de Alimentacion SA (1990)	112
Case 26/62, Van Gend en Loos v Nederlandse Administratie der Berlastingen (1963)	109
Case 14/83, Von Colson and Kamann v Land Nordrhein–Westfalen (1984)	112

United States of America

Bush v Gore (2000)	8
--------------------------	---

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
1. On Constitutions	1
English Public Law	1
What are Constitutions for?	3
Written and Unwritten Constitutions	7
The Character of the Constitution	14
Political and Legal Constitutions	18
From Politics to Law: <i>Fire Brigades</i> as a Case-study	24
Part I: Power	
2. The Separation of Power	33
History and Principle	33
Power and its Separation	35
The Separation of Power in Historical Perspective	39
The Contemporary Position	44
(a) The Sovereignty of Parliament	47
(b) Ministerial Responsibility to Parliament	49
(c) The Law and the Crown	51
A Word on the Courts	54
3. The Crown	61
The Monarch	62
The Institutions of Central Government	72
The Executive and Public Law	78
The Nature of the Prerogative	81
Conclusions: Beyond the Crown?	83
4. Parliament	90
The Three Functions of Parliament	90
Questions of Composition: Commons and Lords	97

Legislative Supremacy: the 'Sovereignty of Parliament'	102
(a) The Source of the Doctrine	103
(b) The Scope of the Doctrine	104
(c) The Impact of the European Community	108
(d) The Human Rights Act 1998	121
(e) 'Constitutional' Statutes?	123
Parliamentary Privilege	125
 Part II: Accountability	
5. Political Accountability	131
Accountable Government	131
Collective Responsibility	135
Individual Responsibility	140
(a) Responsibility to Whom?	141
(b) Responsibility for What?	144
(c) Individual Responsibility and the Major Government	148
(d) Parliament's Response	154
The Mechanisms of Political Accountability	159
Conclusions	168
 6. Legal Accountability	170
The Development of Modern Judicial Review Law	170
(a) Procedural Review: Procedural Impropriety	172
(b) Substantive Review: Illegality and Irrationality	176
Judicial Review and Rights: Argument and Reform	182
The Impact of the Human Rights Act 1998	188
The Reach of Legal Accountability	201
Conclusions: the Limitations of Legal Accountability	206
 <i>Bibliographical Essay</i>	213
<i>Index</i>	227