

Patent Policy

Legal-economic effects in a
national and international
framework

Pia Weiss



The Economics of Legal Relationships

Patent Policy

Legal—economic effects in a national
and international framework

Pia Weiss



First published 2010

by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada

by Routledge

270 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2010 Pia Weiss

Typeset in Times New Roman by Glyph International Ltd.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by The MPG Books Group

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Weiss, Pia, 1969–

Patent policy : legal-economic effects in a national and international framework / Pia Weiss.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Patent laws and legislation–Economic aspects. 2. Technological innovations. 3. Technology transfer. I. Title.

K1505.W45 2010

346.04'86–dc22

2009042245

ISBN13: 978-0-415-48105-2 (hbk)

ISBN13: 978-0-203-85318-4 (ebk)

To my father

Abbreviations

$[a, b]$	closed interval
(a, b)	open interval
$\{a, b\}$	set
\mathbb{R}_+	set of positive real numbers
\mathbb{R}_0	set of non-negative real numbers
a_i^I	aggregated research effort of $n_i - 1$ firms
α	appropriability measure
b	patent breadth
β	efficiency parameter
$c(\cdot)$	production cost function
$C(\cdot)$	research cost function
d	index for the Markov-state
$d(\cdot)$	instantaneous deadweight loss
δ	deadweight loss relative to monopoly profits
E	expectation operator
$F[u_k]$	cumulative distribution function for the improvement size of an invention
$f[u_k]$	corresponding density function to $F[u_k]$
g_i	particular path of history until i
G_i	set of histories that lead to g_i at i
h	research effort
H_i	industry research effort
h	hazard rate for firms
i, l	country index
j	firm index
k	patent race index
$k(t)$	renewal fees
K	patent fees
λ_i	innovation efficiency of country i firms
$\bar{\lambda}_i$	some cut-off level of the innovation efficiency of country i firms
n_i	number of firms in country i
p	price
$\pi_{ji}(z)$	general, state-dependent instantaneous (flow) profit
π^c	instantaneous (flow) profit under competition

π^m	instantaneous (flow) profit for a monopoly
ψ	maximum of the non-obviousness standards
$Q(\cdot)$	demand function
q_i	market demand; market share
r	exogenous interest rate
$r(\cdot)$	best response function
$R(\cdot)$	aggregated best response function
ρ	minimum of the non-obviousness standards
s	non-obviousness standard
σ	consumers' surplus relative to monopoly profits
T	patent term
θ	probability that a given inventions satisfies the non-obviousness standard
u_k	improvement size of the k th invention upon the preceding one
U_k	cumulated quality
$V_{ji}(z)$	general, state-dependent value function
$w(z)$	instantaneous welfare
\mathfrak{w}	hazard rate for the society
$W_i(z)$	general, state-dependent welfare function
x	disutility parameter
y	patent scope
$Z[\cdot]$	distribution of the history
z	<i>state</i> of a Markov chain
z^C	state challenger of the Markov chain
z^I	state incumbent of the Markov chain

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	xiii
<i>List of tables</i>	xiv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xv
1 General introduction	1
PART I	
Patent systems and patent law	5
2 Introduction	7
3 A short history of the patent system	8
3.1 <i>A general overview</i>	8
3.1.1 The first patents	9
3.1.2 The guilds in the middle ages	9
3.1.3 The development in the United States	11
3.2 <i>International patent treaties</i>	13
3.2.1 The Paris Convention	13
3.2.2 The TRIPs Agreement	15
3.2.3 The Patent Cooperation Treaty	18
3.A <i>Important development steps of patents</i>	19
4 Foundations of patent systems	22
4.1 <i>Natural rights theory</i>	22
4.1.1 John Locke and the natural rights theory	22
4.1.2 Intellectual property and natural rights	23
4.2 <i>Utilitarian theory</i>	24
4.2.1 Jeremy Bentham and utilitarianism	24
4.2.2 Utilitarianism and patent systems	24
4.2.3 Two patent theories	27

5	An introduction to patent law and policy instruments	30
5.1	<i>The patent system</i>	31
5.1.1	Patent prosecution	31
5.1.2	Patent enforcement	34
5.2	<i>Legal policy instruments and their economic effects</i>	35
5.2.1	Patent prosecution	35
5.2.2	Patent enforcement	43
5.3	<i>From patent law to economic modelling</i>	46
5.A	<i>A comparison of patent fees</i>	48
PART II		
	Patent policy from a national perspective	49
6	Introduction	51
7	The optimal patent term	53
7.1	<i>Patent maintenance</i>	54
7.2	<i>Nordhaus' model of optimal uniform patent life</i>	55
7.2.1	Public vs private knowledge as the source for ideas	55
7.2.2	The timing of the decisions	56
7.2.3	The market stage	57
7.2.4	The inventor's research effort	58
7.2.5	The optimal patent length	60
7.3	<i>Optimal renewal schemes</i>	63
7.3.1	Asymmetric information on the patent value	63
7.3.2	The benchmark models	64
7.3.3	Optimal differentiation policy	67
7.A	<i>Proofs to the lemmata and propositions</i>	72
8	Patent scope	74
8.1	<i>Exogenous patent value</i>	75
8.1.1	The general framework	75
8.1.2	General results	79
8.1.3	The optimal policy design for horizontal product differentiation	82
8.2	<i>Endogenous patent value</i>	86
8.2.1	A patent race with endogenous research effort	87
8.2.2	The optimal investment decision	89
8.2.3	The socially optimal policy design	90

8.3	<i>Patent scope and costly imitation</i>	92
8.3.1	A model with endogenous research effort and costly imitation	92
8.3.2	The firms' decisions	93
8.3.3	The optimal patent length and patent scope when imitation is costly	96
8.A	<i>Proofs to the lemmata and propositions</i>	97
9	Patent breadth	100
9.1	<i>A simple model without patent length</i>	101
9.1.1	A sequence of two inventions	101
9.1.2	The optimal patent–anti-trust policy	107
9.2	<i>An infinite sequence of inventions with patent term</i>	112
9.2.1	A sequence of innovations and patent instruments	112
9.2.2	A model of homogeneous tastes	115
9.2.3	Heterogeneous tastes in a model of a natural oligopoly	121
9.A	<i>Proofs to the lemmata and propositions</i>	127
10	The non-obviousness standard	128
10.1	<i>The basic framework of a model with an infinite sequence of patent races</i>	129
10.1.1	An infinite sequence of patent races	129
10.1.2	Patentability and the non-obviousness standard	130
10.1.3	Demand and prices	132
10.1.4	The expected profits	132
10.2	<i>Firms and the optimal research effort</i>	133
10.2.1	The ex-post value functions	133
10.2.2	The ex-ante value function	136
10.2.3	The optimal research effort	136
10.3	<i>The optimal non-obviousness standard</i>	140
10.3.1	The ex-post version	140
10.3.2	The ex-ante version	142
10.4	<i>The ease of invention</i>	144
10.A	<i>Proofs to the lemmata and propositions</i>	147
PART III		
	Patent policy in an international framework	153
11	Introduction	155

12	New patentable subject matters	157
12.1	<i>A simple model of new patentable subject matters</i>	158
12.1.1	Two firms engaged in a single patent race	158
12.1.2	The domestic firm's disadvantage	159
12.1.3	The home country's decision	163
12.1.4	Shortcomings	166
12.2	<i>New patentable subject matters and endogenous research effort</i>	166
12.2.1	Basic assumptions	167
12.2.2	The firms' value functions	169
12.2.3	The firms' optimal choice	173
12.2.4	The effect on firms	178
12.2.5	The home country's welfare functions	180
12.2.6	Welfare considerations	183
12.A	<i>Proofs to the lemmata and propositions</i>	183
13	Different non-obviousness standards	188
13.1	<i>The basic framework</i>	188
13.1.1	Patentability and the non-obviousness standard	189
13.1.2	Demand and prices	190
13.1.3	The firms' position in an ex-post situation	190
13.1.4	The expected profits	191
13.2	<i>Differences in the non-obviousness standard and their effect on firms</i>	193
13.2.1	The value functions	193
13.2.2	The effect of a diverging domestic non-obviousness standard on firms	196
13.3	<i>The optimal non-obviousness standard</i>	198
13.3.1	The general forms of the social welfare functions	198
13.3.2	The society's unilateral decision	202
13.3.3	Simultaneous decision	205
13.4	<i>Policy implications</i>	206
13.A	<i>Proofs to the lemmata and propositions</i>	208
	<i>Notes</i>	213
	<i>Bibliography</i>	223
	<i>Author index</i>	232

Figures

5.1	Bibliographic data of a patent	32
5.2	The body of a patent	33
5.3	The claims of a patent	34
7.1	Patent maintenance at the European Patent Office (EPO), the Japanese Patent Office (JPO) and the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) in 2005.	54
7.2	The optimal research effort	60
8.1	The optimal combination of patent scope and patent length	79
8.2	Welfare functions	81
8.3	Imitation and patenting behaviour depending on the patent policy	95
9.1	An example of the optimal patent–anti-trust policy	111
9.2	Patent breadth and patent term	113
9.3	Licensing under a patent policy with infinite leading breadth	119
9.4	The equilibrium under complete lagging patent breadth and the social optimum	124
10.1	A sequence of patent races	129
10.2	A sample path for firm i	130
10.3	The relation between patentable inventions	131
10.4	The ex-post welfare function	141
10.5	A parametrized cumulative distribution function and its properties	146
12.1	The effects of domestic protection for additional subject matters on firms	165
13.1	Restriction	197
13.2	The optimal non-obviousness standard for the domestic country	203
13.3	Non-existence of a pure strategy equilibrium	206
13.4	The optimal non-obviousness standard for a developed and a developing country	207
13.5	The functional form of ϕ	211
13.6	Non-obviousness standards for an industrialized and developing country	212

Tables

3.1	History of the patent system, important inventions and historical events	20
5.1	Legal and economic patent policy instruments	46
5.2	Patent fees in the United States (US) and the European Union (EU)	47

1 General introduction

Patent rights seem to be a policy instrument that provokes great controversy. Proponents claim that, although not perfect in design, patent systems facilitate innovation, stimulate economic growth and therefore raise social welfare. Opponents point out that patent systems create an entry barrier for small innovative firms, are used as an anti-competitive device and bar access to modern technologies for poor countries; thus, patent systems reduce social welfare. Discussions about the advantages and disadvantages have accompanied the entire history of patent systems and will certainly continue.

Over the decades, a vast body of theoretical and empirical literature on patent systems, patent instruments and related issues, such as innovation and licensing, has been accumulated. The focus of the literature has shifted. Formerly, an answer to the *grand question* of whether or not patent systems as a whole should be relied on to foster innovations has been sought. Nowadays, only few scholars study alternative mechanisms to encourage new and (socially) useful inventions. Instead, ways are explored that are likely to improve the effectiveness and the efficiency of *existing* patent systems.

The shift in the principal object of inquiry is not so much a proof of failure to answer the *grand question*; rather, it bears witness to the fact that patent systems have become extremely complex instruments, and that we know surprisingly little about how they work.

A number of policy instruments have been studied, but mostly without reference to an international framework. This is remarkable especially because the import of technology or the role of national patent laws as a trade barrier were important factors in establishing and shaping patent systems. The most recent example is the Agreement of Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property (TRIPs Agreement) which creates a minimum standard for the protection of intellectual property with which every member of the World Trade Organization (WTO) has to comply. Some of the developing countries might only have ratified the TRIPs Agreement because they expected it to have a positive effect on their economic development. Although there is empirical evidence for this supposition, there are also studies that cast serious doubt on this hypothesis.

2 Introduction

To shed some light on the circumstances under which developing countries may benefit from a certain patent regime is reason enough to examine the effects of patent instruments again. Yet, even for industrialized countries, the exercise may yield new insights as to how the performance of existing patent systems can be improved in an increasingly globalized world economy.

The present work does not attempt to provide an exhaustive treatise of patent instruments and their effects. Innovation activities are one of the most important aspects of economic life. Patent systems aim at increasing welfare by increasing or decreasing the incentives to create innovations. It has been demonstrated that the market structure does affect innovation activities and that a successful invention potentially changes the market structure in a given industry. Consequently, in answering the question of how to design a patent system, related issues such as competition policy and especially prevailing anti-trust regulations should be taken into account. Although certainly interesting and instructive, these aspects are beyond the scope of the present work.

Even if attention is restricted to the instruments of patent systems, the endeavour proves to be too ambitious. The patent system not only consists of the patent law. Some provisions are deliberately formulated in rather general terms so that the patent law becomes a flexible tool that is able to cope with present and future developments. Therefore, the patent office and the courts have considerable discretion. The guidelines of the patent offices or the case law developed by the courts are the result of the attempt to use this discretion in a systematic manner. Social tendencies, such as the anti-trust movement in the 1920s and 1930s in the United States, have certainly influenced patent guidelines and the case law. Again, a thorough investigation into the performance of any patent system has to take these factors into account. Since these *informal* sets of rules and customs are country specific, they have to be neglected when the general mechanisms of certain patent instruments are to be studied.

The present work, then, exclusively focusses on a few provisions and patent instruments that may well be regarded as the core of patent law: the patent requirements, patent length and the scope of a patent. It is demonstrated how changes in these policy instruments affect social welfare. In this way, conclusions on the circumstances under which a certain level or combination of the patent instruments proves to be optimal can be drawn.

The national framework

Patent law is restricted to a nation's territory, so it is natural to commence the examination of the effects of patent policy instruments in a framework of a closed economy. Indeed, most of the existing literature on patent policy relies on a model economy without international relations. The attention to a closed economy is well chosen, especially because the intricacies and the interplay of policy instruments require further study.

Consequently, patent instruments in a national framework constitute a principal part of the present work. Here, the effects of single and combinations of policy

instruments can be studied in a richer setting. In addition, the results provide a useful benchmark against which results obtained from an international framework can be evaluated.

The international framework

Although patent law is bounded by a country's border, it may have international consequences. Foreigners may apply for and be granted domestic patents. In case the underlying invention is turned into a marketable product which is produced in or imported into the domestic country, profits flow into the foreign country. Consequently, the design of the national patent system does not only affect domestic but also foreign welfare.

These cross-border profit flows may reach remarkable levels. Within the group of industrialized countries, flows into and out of a given country may have a similar magnitude. In this case, one might argue, using an international framework as the object of inquiry is not really necessary. Even if this argument were to hold true, the situation is completely different for developing countries. Almost by definition, firms in developing countries have only a limited capability of undertaking research and, therefore, of creating patentable inventions. As a consequence, the resulting net profit flows out of a developing country may well reach a level where they have to be considered when the efficiency of the patent system is to be improved.

The structure of the book

The work is divided into three parts. The first provides general information on patent systems. The second is dedicated to effects of patent policy instruments in a closed economy, whereas the third introduces two policy instruments in an open economy.

The first part commences with an overview of the history of patent systems and different attempts to justify intellectual property rights in the form of patents. It is demonstrated that patent systems are an extremely flexible policy tool. Indeed, this characteristic might be crucial in explaining why patents nowadays dominate alternative incentive mechanisms such as prizes or awards. Subsequently, a short introduction into patent law is given.

The second part presents models that focus on one patent instrument each. In particular, patent length, patent scope and breadth as well as the non-obviousness standard are considered. The questions to be answered, however, remain the same: (1) Given that the number of policy instruments is limited, how should the patent system be designed and, (2) which factors affect the optimal design.

Traditionally, policy recommendations for developing countries or specific technology fields or industries are made on the basis of the results obtained in Part II. Usually, the results are adapted so as to take deviating country characteristics into account. However, it seems undisputed that identical economies should

4 *Introduction*

use the same design for their patent system. In Part III, the policy instrument of *patentable subject matters* and the non-obvious standard are used to demonstrate that the external effects generated by inventions are strong enough so that national patent laws can correct the associated incentive structure only to a limited degree. As a consequence, even identical countries may benefit from internationally heterogeneous patent systems.

Part I

Patent systems and patent law