



# CONTROL OF RATS AND MICE

---

VOLUME 3

HOUSE MICE

EDITED BY

H. N. SOUTHERN

OXFORD  
AT THE CLARENDON PRESS  
1954

*Oxford University Press, Amen House, London E.C.4*

GLASGOW NEW YORK TORONTO MELBOURNE WELLINGTON

BOMBAY CALCUTTA MADRAS KARACHI CAPE TOWN IBADAN

*Geoffrey Cumberlege, Publisher to the University*

---

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

CONTROL OF  
RATS AND MICE

## ABBREVIATIONS

A.R.C.	Agricultural Research Council
A.L.D.	Average Lethal Dose
Antu	$\alpha$ -naphthylthiourea
B.S.	British Standard
B.S.I.	British Standards Institute
L.A.	Local Authority
L.D.	Lethal Dose
M.A.F.	Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries
M.O.F.	Ministry of Food
M.O.H.	Medical Officer of Health
M.O.S.	Ministry of Supply
P <sub>3</sub>	Protected Poison Point
P.L.H.A.	Port of London Health Authority
R.C.T.	Rat Control Test
S.A.L.A.M.	Société Anonyme Levant-Africaine Méditerranée
W.A.E.C.	War Agricultural Executive Committee

## LIST OF PLATES

*between pp. 96-97*

1. Twelve-month old oat rick showing the dilapidated appearance after severe mouse damage
2. A house-mouse feeding from a bait pile (rolled oats)
3. (a) Circular glass cage with cover box, zinc lid, and water bottle used for feeding experiments with mice  
(b) Break-back trap properly set cross-wise to the runway
4. (a) Tipping food tray with springs and electrical contacts underneath for recording the frequency of feeding  
(b) Recording drum with pricker arms to record visits to the food trays in three separate cages
5. A house mouse feeding from a bait tray of flour
6. (a) Typical fragments of grain ('kibblings') left after mice have fed in a store of sacked oats  
(b) Damaged oats 'bleeding' on to the floor from a pile of sacks
7. (a, b) The experimental stack for carbon-dioxide gassing
8. (a, b) Covers for gassing a rick

# CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	ix
LIST OF PLATES . . . . .	xi
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS . . . . .	xiv
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	I
1. A BRIEF NOTE ON THE WORLD DISTRIBUTION AND ECOLOGY OF <i>MUS MUSCULUS</i> L. . . . .	5
References . . . . .	6
2. THE HOUSE MOUSE AND ITS ENVIRONMENT . . . . .	8
1. The mouse's habitat . . . . .	8
(a) Cover and food supply . . . . .	8
(b) Climate and food requirements . . . . .	10
(c) Disturbance and nocturnal habit . . . . .	13
2. The mouse's senses . . . . .	14
(a) Sight . . . . .	14
(b) Hearing . . . . .	14
(c) Taste and smell . . . . .	14
(d) Kinaesthetic sense . . . . .	14
(e) Touch . . . . .	15
3. Experimental evidence on the normal daily range of house mice . . . . .	15
4. Observations on the normal daily range of house mouse . . . . .	20
5. Internal pressure and the formation of new ranges . . . . .	22
6. Variations in the normal daily range . . . . .	24
7. Observations on discontinuous ranges . . . . .	25
8. Observations on homogeneous environments . . . . .	27
9. Conclusions bearing upon control . . . . .	30
References . . . . .	31
3. THE HOUSE MOUSE AS A PEST . . . . .	33
1. Daily consumption of food . . . . .	33
2. Food taken by house mice under natural conditions . . . . .	36
3. Incidental damage . . . . .	37
4. Quantitative losses incurred . . . . .	38
5. The house mouse as a carrier of disease . . . . .	40
References . . . . .	41
APPENDIX . . . . .	42

4. METHODS OF CONTROL OTHER THAN POISONING	45
1. Combination of different methods	45
2. Exclusion of mice	45
3. Manipulation of the environment	47
4. Direct killing	47
5. Predators	48
6. Traps other than break-backs	50
7. Break-back traps	51
8. Trap-shyness and trap prebaiting	56
References	58
5. POISONS FOR HOUSE MICE	60
1. Poisons not tested by the Bureau	60
(a) 'Virus'	60
(b) Strychnine	60
(c) Phosphorus baits	60
2. Poisons upon which small-scale tests have been made	60
(a) Sodium silicofluoride	60
(b) $\alpha$ -naphthylthiourea	61
(c) Barium carbonate	62
3. Red squill	62
4. Arsenious oxide	66
5. Zinc phosphide	69
Reference	70
6. THE FEEDING ACTIVITY OF THE HOUSE MOUSE	71
1. Introduction	71
2. Previous work	71
3. Material and methods	76
4. The normal feeding rhythm of the house mouse	80
5. Experimental reversal of day-night rhythm	84
6. The short-term rhythm	86
7. Distribution of feeding between different points	87
8. Amount of food eaten each day	88
9. Number of visits each day	89
10. Individual variation in activity	90
11. Approach to new foods and to new sites	92
12. Diversion to baits	96
References	97
7. THE FOODS THAT MICE PREFER AND THE WAY IN WHICH THEY EAT THEM	99
1. Laboratory experiments on food preferences	99
2. Field experiments on food preferences	105
3. The inclusion of masking substances in bait	113

Reference . . . . .	115
APPENDIX . . . . .	116

8. THE USE OF PLAIN BAIT BEFORE POISONING IN CONTROLLING HOUSE MICE . . . . .	120
1. The efficiency of prebaiting in attracting mice . . . . .	120
2. Stomach contents of poisoned mice . . . . .	121
3. Stomach contents of trapped mice . . . . .	122
4. The use of prebaiting to show the distribution of mice . . . . .	123
5. The subsidiary use of prebait to attract mice . . . . .	124
6. Gap baiting . . . . .	125
7. When and how to use prebaiting for mice . . . . .	126
9. THE BEHAVIOUR OF THE HOUSE MOUSE TO POISON BAIT . . . . .	129
1. Introduction . . . . .	129
2. Procedure . . . . .	129
3. Initial poisoning and its effects . . . . .	131
4. Approach to poison bait with alternative plain food present . . . . .	136
5. Postbait behaviour after initial poison tests . . . . .	141
(a) Experimental evidence . . . . .	141
(b) Field evidence . . . . .	142
6. Subsequent poisonings and their effects . . . . .	143
(a) Approach to subsequent identical poison baits . . . . .	143
(i) Experimental evidence, (ii) Field evidence . . . . .	
(b) Postbait behaviour after repeated poisonings towards experienced and new foods . . . . .	145
(i) Experienced food, (ii) New food . . . . .	
(c) Approach to subsequent different poison bait . . . . .	146
(i) Same bait, new poison, (ii) New bait, same poison, (iii) New bait, new poison . . . . .	
10. CONTROL OF HOUSE MICE BY POISON IN FAVOURABLE ENVIRONMENTS . . . . .	150
1. What is a favourable environment? . . . . .	150
2. Direct poisoning . . . . .	154
3. The actual practice of a poisoning campaign . . . . .	158
(a) Equipment . . . . .	158
(b) Choice tests . . . . .	158
(c) Density of baits and measurement of prebait take . . . . .	158
(d) The placing of the poison bait . . . . .	160
(e) The length of time to leave down the poison . . . . .	161
(f) Poison bait take . . . . .	161
(g) Number of corpses picked up . . . . .	162
(h) Assessment of success . . . . .	162
(i) Second treatments . . . . .	164
4. Re-infestation and maintenance . . . . .	167

11. POISON CAMPAIGNS IN DIFFICULT ENVIRONMENTS	170
1. What is a difficult environment?	170
2. Modification of methods in difficult environments	173
3. Block treatments	177
12. DANGERS AND PRECAUTIONS	184
1. The limitations of poisoning for house mice	184
2. The large scale of operations against house mice	184
3. Retention of toxic qualities by baits	185
4. Toxicity of mice killed by poison	186
5. Precautions recommended during urban campaigns	187
Reference	189
13. POISONING HOUSE MICE IN HOMOGENEOUS ENVIRONMENTS	190
1. Introduction	190
2. Food-store experiments	191
3. Corn-rick poisoning	194
(a) Comparison of different poisons	195
(b) Different concentrations of zinc phosphide	197
(c) Residual populations and second baitings	197
(d) Importance of baiting the roof	197
(e) Optimum density of baits	197
(f) Danger from residues of poison bait	198
Reference	198
14. CARBON DIOXIDE FUMIGATION FOR HOUSE MICE	199
1. Introduction	199
2. Laboratory experiments	199
(a) Technique	199
(b) Results	200
3. Field tests in an Oxford food store	202
(a) The experimental stack and gas-proof cover	202
(b) Sampling the concentration	203
(c) Carbon dioxide supply	203
(d) Results	203
(e) Discussion	206
(f) Points in the practical application of the results	208
4. Fumigation of mouse-infested corn-ricks with carbon dioxide	208
(a) Solid 'Drikold' carbon dioxide	208
(i) Methods, (ii) Measuring technique, (iii) Field trials and results	
(b) Liquid carbon dioxide from cylinders	212
References	214
ROUGH KEY TO PROCEDURE WITH HOUSE MOUSE INFESTATIONS	216
INDEX	219

## LIST OF TABLES

1. Hourly activity of wild mice after introduction into new cage . . . . .	15
2. Parallel increase in total take and number of points visited . . . . .	20
3. Distribution of bait 'take' by mice from day to day . . . . .	25
4. Temporary reduction of marginal bait-take by baiting centre of a stack .	28
5. Effect of baiting the centre of a stack on take at the margins . . . . .	29
6. Percentage of tail corn in mouse-infested ricks . . . . .	39
7. Daily catches of mice with break-back traps . . . . .	54
8. Daily catches of mice in cold stores . . . . .	55
9. Comparison of weight groups in trapped and hand-caught mice . . . .	56
10. Approach of mice to prebaited traps (unset and set) . . . . .	57
11. Approach of mice to bait points after trapping and to subsequent traps .	57
12. Approach of mice to unset and set traps in oat stack 1. . . . .	58
13. Free-feeding tests on wild mice with various poisons . . . . .	61
14. Results of free-feeding tests with squill extracts on mice . . . . .	64
15. Times of hesitation and refusal in taking offered squill baits . . . . .	66
16. Group free-feeding tests upon wild mice with different grades of arsenious oxide . . . . .	67
17. Times of hesitation and refusal in taking offered arsenic baits . . . . .	68
18. Daily take of baits in two flour stacks during treatments with squill, arsenious oxide, and zinc phosphide . . . . .	68
19. Group free-feeding tests on wild mice with zinc phosphide . . . . .	69
20. Times of hesitation and refusal in taking offered zinc phosphide baits .	69
21. Comparison between activity records and food consumption . . . . .	79
22. Activity index figures for two days for twelve mice . . . . .	81
23. Experiment 103c. Activity index figures for one mouse on nine con- secutive nights . . . . .	82
24. Occurrence of short-term rhythm in feeding of individual house mice .	86
25. Activity index figures for hours during the main feeding period . . . .	87
26. Distribution of mean daily consumption of food by hours based on the activity curve in Fig. 6 . . . . .	88
27. Distribution of total amount of feeding during single nights, expressed as the summed hourly activity index per cent. of its maximum value .	90
28. Distribution of totals of daily visits to food tray from 32 days' records .	91
29. Bait choice experiments carried out in different environments in the field	106
30. Analysis of types of environment according to presence of water . . . .	107
31. Drop in take at the change from rolled oats to sausage-rusk mash accord- ing to the type of premises . . . . .	110
32. Effect of changing from dry to moist bait in a food store . . . . .	111
33. Experiments using sodium bicarbonate as a mask . . . . .	113
34. Experiments using sodium chloride as a mask . . . . .	114
35. Comparison of poison bait take with and without 10% sugar . . . . .	115
36. Stomach contents of poisoned mice from Department of Pharmacology, Oxford . . . . .	122

37. Summary of stomach analysis results from mice poisoned at Department of Pharmacology, Oxford . . . . .	122
38. The effect of leaving gaps during the course of baiting . . . . .	125
39. Rate of disappearance of baits in two flour stacks . . . . .	126
40. Time taken to build up to a plateau in prebait take . . . . .	127
41. Course of prebaiting in a confectioner's shop . . . . .	127
42. Experiments on poison bait take with activity recording machine . . . . .	132
43. Distribution of times of survival from placing of the poison bait at 5 p.m. . . . .	133
44. Consumption of poison bait (per cent. of last day's prebait take) according to concentration of poison used . . . . .	133
45. Time of survival according to concentration of poison used . . . . .	133
46. Summary of laboratory experiments . . . . .	135
47. Approach to poison bait with alternative food present . . . . .	138
48. Summary of field experiments . . . . .	140
49. Overcoming of shyness after poison baiting . . . . .	142
50. Results of poisoning a second time with the same poison . . . . .	144
51. Number of baits taken in successive poisonings with zinc phosphide and arsenic . . . . .	147
52. Number of baits taken in successive poisonings with arsenic and red squill . . . . .	147
53. Effect of leaving prebait down for 2 days on daily average take . . . . .	159
54. Poison tests by the Bureau showing proportion in which prebaiting was continued too long . . . . .	160
55. Take of poison bait when left down for more than 1 day . . . . .	161
56. Poison take in various tests compared with results . . . . .	162
57. Rise in postbait at various localities after poisoning . . . . .	164
58. Plain bait take before and after trapping . . . . .	173
59. Sequence of poisonings at a grocer's shop in the Oxford market between 10 August 1943 and 10 June 1944 . . . . .	175
60. Oxford market, north half . . . . .	179
61. Oxford market, south half . . . . .	180
62. Summary of results at Oxford market . . . . .	181
63. Time before first entrance by mice into bait boxes was recorded . . . . .	188
64. Daily take of plain bait at six points (one open, five covered) in a M.O.F. buffer depot . . . . .	189
65. Course of poison trials at M.O.F. buffer depot . . . . .	192
66. Effect on prebait level of removing mice by traps . . . . .	193
67. Intervals of time before points where mice had been caught were revisited . . . . .	194
68. Results of poison tests on mice in corn ricks . . . . .	196
69. Distribution of times of death at higher concentrations of carbon dioxide . . . . .	202
70. Results of field experiments . . . . .	204
71. Relation of maximum concentration to initial dose of carbon dioxide . . . . .	207
72. Results of experiments using solid carbon dioxide ('Drikold') on mouse-infested corn-ricks 11-17 April 1945. Threshing was done within 2 days of fumigation . . . . .	211
73. Results of experiments using cylinders of liquid carbon dioxide on mouse-infested ricks, 16 May-26 July 1945 . . . . .	213

## LIST OF FIGURES

1. Distribution of food consumption between six feeding points . . .	16
2. Ranges of house mice patronizing each feeding point . . .	17
3. Plan of Department of Pharmacology basement . . .	21
4. Plan of warehouse used for field test on speed of re-invasion by mice . . .	24
5. Plan of stack of bagged oats where inside baiting was done . . .	29
6. Feeding rhythm of house mouse with 11 hours' daylight . . .	83
7. Feeding rhythm of house mouse with 12 hours' daylight . . .	84
8. Change in feeding rhythm of house mouse owing to reversal of 'day' and 'night' . . .	85
9. Feeding activity of three mice for 8 consecutive days in time units of 6 minutes . . .	92
10. Food choice of mice after conditioning . . .	101
11. Food preference of house mice between rolled oats plain and mixed with sugar or oil . . .	102
12. Food preference of house mice between rolled oats with three different percentages of oil . . .	103
13. Food preference of house mice between rolled oats mixed with three different oils . . .	103
14. Food preference of house mice between dry rolled oats and moist sausage-rusk . . .	105
15. Order in which bait piles were eaten in a storeroom . . .	153
16. Distribution of baits eaten in a confectioner's premises after the first and last days of prebaiting . . .	166
17. Successive treatments with same poison to keep down re-infestation . . .	168
18. Toxicity of carbon dioxide to house mice . . .	200
19. Respiration rate of house mice in 23% carbon dioxide. . .	201
20. Carbon dioxide concentration curve in experimental stack with 12 lb. Drikold . . .	205
21. Carbon dioxide concentration curve in experimental stack with 17½ lb. Drikold . . .	206

## INTRODUCTION

THE work of the Bureau of Animal Population during 1939-45 was mainly concentrated on the biology and control of the brown rat. It was not until half-way through the war that any systematic and full-time research upon house mice was planned. Nevertheless, the possibility of such work was kept in view right from the beginning, and the formulation of the problem together with the discovery that methods developed for rats could not be merely adapted to mice were the result of preliminary work done by the Director, Mr. Charles Elton, between June 1940 and May 1942. After this H. N. Southern took over the house mouse problem as his main work. Miss E. M. O. Laurie assisted officially from the autumn of 1943, though she had helped for some months previously while she was working for a B.Sc. degree on the reproduction of house mice in various habitats. Finally, from August 1943 Dr. J. Rzóśka also gave most of his time to house mice in collaboration with Southern and Miss Laurie.

The purpose of this introduction is, therefore, to outline the main contributions made by each member of this research team to the body of information contained in this volume. It is simplest to sketch the development of the research chronologically.

As early as April 1940 Mr. H. R. Hewer made a survey of urban (mainly shop) premises in Oxford for the purpose of estimating rat infestations and he included instances of serious damage by house mice. Partly as a result of this Elton started work in several grocers' shops and stores from June 1940 and continued intermittently until September 1941. Elton established beyond doubt the seriousness of the losses due to house mice in such places. Experiments were directed mainly to making rough estimates of populations, to testing the effect of trapping upon them, to studying the reactions of mice to bait boxes and plain baits, and to attempting control with permanent poison baits.

Since the last project failed, and since the technique of surplus pre-baiting by then had been developed for rats by D. H. Chitty, from April 1941 the emphasis of the research veered to studying the daily consumption of surplus plain bait over a period and the effect of temporary inclusion of poisons. More intensive work was forwarded by using certain cellars and sheds in the Oxford Museum area where house mice could be liberated and studied on these lines.

Finally, between November 1941 and February 1942, Elton turned his attention to the difficult problem presented by bulk food stores and made preliminary observations at a M.O.F. flour store on the numbers of mice and their reactions when he provided plain bait for them.

In May 1942, when Southern started full-time work upon house mice, he carried on the 'field' tests of baiting and poisoning sequences in Oxford

shops, and at the same time initiated a series of laboratory projects to break up the 'field' problem into simpler components for separate study.

In the first place he started a series of group free-feeding tests upon house mice to gather preliminary information on the mode of action and acceptability of a wide variety of poisons at different concentrations, and on the amount of poison bait relative to prebait which mice would eat in a successful field trial. Throughout the rest of the work all poisons, as they came to the Bureau's notice, underwent a quick, exploratory test in this way.

Again, since the amount of plain bait eaten during field trials varied greatly and the results of poisoning were poor, two complementary lines of investigation were pursued: (1) the tracing of the mean daily range of house mice by means of dyes mixed with the food of an experimental, free-living population, (2) the determination of the order of preference between different plain baits by choice tests on another free-living population.

Southern pursued these investigations throughout the autumn and winter of 1942-3. The characteristic distribution of mice resulting from the smallness of each animal's range enabled him to classify infestations according to the distribution of cover and food, and this classification was important from the point of view of control. 'Easy' infestations were those in which shelter and food were separated so that baiting could intercept the mice travelling from one to the other; 'difficult' infestations were those in which cover and food were co-extensive, the worst examples being bulk food stores and corn-ricks. Here baiting could only be really successful if the bait was extremely desirable to the mice. In between these extremes fell the general run of urban infestations, where cover and food were distributed piecemeal and intermingled in various degrees.

Since the successful baiting of mice in these environments became a question of taking the bait to the mice (owing to their small ranges and lack of any notable food preferences) instead of drawing the mice to the bait, as can be done with rats, there was gradually built up a technique of prebaiting, after a preliminary choice test, with many small baits widely disseminated, so that the routes between food and cover stood out and the mice could be intercepted more successfully.

A further problem was how to ensure, even when all mice had been brought into contact with the plain prebait, that they should eat a lethal dose of poison when this was laid. Again what was the behaviour of any survivors of a poison campaign, i.e. were they thenceforward shy of the poison and/or the bait base?

Accordingly in February 1943 Southern began a series of laboratory experiments on feeding behaviour which lasted until February 1946. In these the normal feeding rhythm and the approach to poison bait were analysed by means of an electrical recording apparatus, which registered the number and frequency of visits to food trays.

From 1944 these experiments were linked with laboratory feeding tests by Rzóśka, in which various doses of different poisons were given to domestic and wild mice and the resulting behaviour to plain bait and subsequent poison baits observed.

These two parallel lines of work established that shyness towards poison baits did occur in house mice, but did not extend to the bait base in which the poison was mixed. They also showed that the sporadic feeding habits of mice made it very difficult to ensure that all mice visiting the prebait ate a lethal dose of poison bait.

During the whole of this period field trials with progressive modifications were carried out in Oxford by Southern, Rzóśka, and Miss Laurie until in all but the most difficult environments a routine poisoning system was evolved, which would kill 80–90% of the population.

While this intensive analysis of feeding behaviour was going on a determined attempt was made to tackle the really difficult environments such as corn-ricks and bulk food stores, where cover and food were one, even if this meant resorting to hit-and-miss methods.

From March to November 1943 Southern and Miss Laurie collaborated in an attempt to kill house mice in corn-ricks by large-scale baiting with moist sausage-rusk and zinc phosphide. The results, no doubt because of the dryness of the environment, were successful beyond expectation, and kills (verified at threshing) of between 60 and 90% were easily attainable. It proved impracticable, however, to recommend these methods because the small residues of poison bait exceeded the safety margin.

An attempt was made to circumvent this by using extract of red squill powder which had proved very toxic to house mice in laboratory tests, but in the field this poison proved useless. For the time work on corn-ricks was abandoned though between August 1943 and June 1944 Southern and Miss Laurie studied by means of break-back trapping the distribution of house mice in the fields around the ricks. This showed the presence throughout the year of a substantial number of mice living in the fields, so that even complete killing of mice in ricks before or after threshing would not prevent the next season's corn from being infested.

The other difficult environment—the bulk food store—was studied by Southern and Rzóśka with help from Miss Laurie. In the summer of 1943 experiments began at a M.O.F. buffer depot on the outskirts of Oxford and these continued until March 1944. This depot contained sacked oats and white flour, which are peculiarly liable to damage by mice. Throughout a long series of tests no reasonably satisfactory results were obtained, although prebaiting was very successful.

Accordingly, at the suggestion of Rzóśka, attention was turned to fumigation for killing house mice in these difficult, 'homogeneous' environments. Carbon dioxide was chosen since it could easily be obtained in solid form and was not dangerous to handle. The summer of 1944 was spent by

Southern and Rzóśka in establishing the toxicity curve of house mice in carbon dioxide-air mixtures upon adequate laboratory data and in making preparations for field tests in a specially built stack of oat sacks which could be enveloped in a gas-proof cover. These field tests were carried out in the autumn of 1944 and were completely successful.

In consequence the use of carbon dioxide under a cover was extended to corn-ricks and a series of tests was made in the spring of 1945 by Miss Laurie and A. D. Middleton in Northumberland. The small round ricks characteristic of this area proved ideal for experimental purposes and again complete kills were obtained, provided the requisite concentration was reached.

Finally, during the summer of 1945 an attempt was made to extend this method to large corn-ricks. This work was done mainly by Middleton and Miss Laurie with assistance from Southern in the later stages. It proved possible to fumigate large ricks in this way, but the apparatus needed was becoming very unwieldy and it seemed that the project would hardly be economical without further research.

At this point the active part of the research was discontinued, but a further year was occupied in completing reports of the work for the Agricultural Research Council. Then in 1947 Southern began the task of writing up the results in their present form. Most of the chapters, as they stand, have been completely recast from the original reports and many are put together from data which never appeared in reports. Fortunately both Rzóśka and Miss Laurie remained available for consultation until the volume was completed.

The second section of Chapter 15 (on gassing corn-ricks) was written by Middleton and Miss Laurie. Chapter 10 is based largely on Rzóśka's report of his free-feeding tests. Otherwise the responsibility for the writing rests with Southern. Many of the original data are given, but there are naturally many records of experiments and field observations whose inclusion would be bulky and unnecessary. These are deposited in the Bureau of Animal Population.

\*

\*

\*

This research was carried out during the war under the auspices of the Agricultural Research Council. A fuller account of its history may be read in the first chapter of Volume 1. The publication of the results has been eventually achieved through the sympathetic attitude of the Delegates of the Clarendon Press and a generous gift by an anonymous donor.