

# The Function of Law in the International Community

---

Sir Hersch Lauterpacht

OXFORD

THE FUNCTION OF LAW  
IN THE  
INTERNATIONAL  
COMMUNITY

BY

H. LAUTERPACHT

LL.D., DR. JUR., DR. SC. POL.

*Lecturer in Public International Law*

*in the*

*London School of Economics and*

*Political Science*



OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.  
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,  
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi  
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi  
New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece  
Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore  
South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press  
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States  
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, 1933;  
introduction: Martti Koskenniemi, 2011

The moral rights of the author have been asserted  
Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence  
Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI  
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

First published 1933  
This edition 2011

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,  
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate  
reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction  
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,  
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover  
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data  
Library of Congress Control Number : 2011920653

Typeset by SPI Publisher Services, Pondicherry, India  
Printed in Great Britain  
on acid-free paper by  
CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham, Wiltshire

ISBN 978-0-19-960881-2

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

*To*

ARNOLD DUNCAN M<sup>c</sup>NAIR

## FOREWORD BY SIR ELIHU LAUTERPACHT

CBE, QC, LL.D

Amongst the many contributions of my father, the late Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, to the literature of international law, *The Function of Law in the International Community* may properly be regarded as the most important by reason of the rigour of its exposition of the judicial role in the settlement of international differences. To say this is not to detract from the originality and value of the other books that he published – *Private Law Sources and Analogies of International Law*, *International Law and Human Rights*, *Recognition in International Law*, and the *Development of International Law by the World Court*. However, there is about *Function of Law* an intensity of thought, comprehensiveness of vision and display of erudition which gives it a special quality that has been widely recognised.

The work was originally published in 1933 and the possibility of preparing a second edition certainly engaged my father's attention in the intervals of his work as a judge of the International Court of Justice between 1955 and 1960.<sup>1</sup> He left behind a partially edited text of the first sixty pages of the volume, for which no use could be found until the idea of this reprint emerged. It is, therefore, fortunate that it has been possible to incorporate in the present reprint the amendments that he contemplated.

The initiative of the Oxford University Press in producing this reprint, with the addition of a learned introduction by Professor Koskeniemi, which will be widely appreciated, is much to be valued.

<sup>1</sup> See *The Life of Hersch Lauterpacht*, by myself, published by the Cambridge University Press in 2010, pp. 399 and 414.

## NOTE FROM THE PUBLISHER

This paperback edition of *The Function of Law in the International Community* features revisions and updates made by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht after the book first published in 1933, which have never before been implemented in the text. These revisions occur in the first three chapters of the book. As a result, eight pages have been added to the length of the work and the pagination may thus differ from that of the original edition of *The Function of Law*. A full overview of all the revisions made to this new paperback can be found on our website at <http://ukcatalogue.oup.com/product/9780199608812.do>.

## PREFACE

THE plan of this book has undergone in the course of its preparation a series of substantial changes. It has grown out of an article, published in 1928 in *Economica* under the title 'The Doctrine of Non-Justiciable Disputes in International Law' and a course of lectures with a similar title given at the Academy of International Law at The Hague in 1930. Its original purpose was to examine the current doctrine—a doctrine accepted by most international lawyers and embodied in leading international conventions for pacific settlement—of the inherent limitations of the place of law and of the judicial process in the society of States. According to this doctrine, international disputes are, by virtue of the peculiar structure of international law and relations, necessarily divided into two categories variously described as 'legal' and 'political', as 'justiciable' and 'non-justiciable', or as disputes as to 'rights' and conflicts of 'interests'. In the opinion of the adherents of this doctrine, this distinction not only affords a satisfactory basis for scientific exposition, but also can, and ought to, be used in international treaties having for their object the creation of a legal duty of pacific settlement in all possible contingencies. This doctrine the writer believes to be juridically unsound, and the original object of the book was to substantiate this view.

As the work progressed, however, it became clear that a merely critical approach might fail to bring into relief the true implications of the scope of the judicial function in international society. As in any other system of law, so also in that which governs the relations of States *inter se*, the question of the limits of the rule of law is the central problem of jurisprudence. It may not be difficult to prove that there is no merit in a classification which is based on the opinion that certain categories of disputes are not amenable to judicial settlement on account of the absence of relevant rules of law. But even when this particular aspect of the doctrine has been disposed of, there still remain special problems confronting international tribunals on account of the shortcomings of the international legal system; for it is a system in which general principles have not always found specific expression in concrete rules, in which law frequently lags behind morals to an extent unknown to the law obtaining within the State, and in which

the process of adapting the law to changed conditions is still in a rudimentary stage. It may be easy to demonstrate that the absence from international society of law-making machinery which might effect a compromise between legal stability and social change is neither a sufficient basis for the classification of international disputes nor a reason for urging any limitation of the rule of law among States. But when this has been done there still remains the task of examining how the dangers arising from the absence of an international legislature may be overcome, and what is the solution, in the international sphere, of the perennial conflict between security and justice. To refute a doctrine and to avoid an issue of practical urgency and abiding legal interest would be too rigidly academic. Thus it happens that what was originally intended as a criticism of the orthodox doctrine of the inherent limitations of the international judicial function has been subordinated to an attempt to examine underlying legal problems of a more general nature. Subsequently, the extension of the original plan of the work made it necessary to consider the problem of the limitation of the place of law as a general problem of jurisprudence with special reference to the so-called 'specific' character of international law.

These are the reasons why what was originally intended as a monograph written *cum ira et studio* has developed into an examination, with reference to the relations of States, of some of the persistent problems of legal philosophy, such as the place of law in society, the nature of the judicial function, the problem of judicial discretion, and the antinomies of stability and change. This book is thus no longer a plea in support of a definite doctrine or an argument against a particular theory. It is an attempt at an exposition, by reference to the problem of the international judicial function, of what are believed to be the principal issues of the philosophy of international law.

I am deeply indebted to Professor Brierly for the care which he has bestowed upon the manuscript of this book. He has read it twice and made many suggestions, most of which I have adopted. My gratitude is the greater, because he is, as I know, not always in agreement with the views expressed in these pages. Mr. C. R. L. Fletcher, formerly one



of the Delegates of the Clarendon Press, has also read the manuscript. The usefulness of his suggestions is only surpassed by the modesty and courtesy with which he made them. Dr. M<sup>c</sup>Nair has read large portions of the manuscript in its earlier stage and parts of the proof. An international lawyer is fortunate to receive his advice.

My thanks are also due to the Editors of the *British Year Book of International Law* and of *Economica* for permission to make use of material published in these periodicals, and to the Curatorium of The Hague Academy of International Law for a similar permission in regard to my lectures given at The Hague in 1930 and published in the *Recueil des Cours*.

Miss G. Bloch, of the London School of Economics, has borne the brunt of copying the manuscript and the successive stages of the typescript, and I wish to express to her my warm thanks.

Both the Laura Spelman Foundation through its London Committee and the University of London through its Publications Fund have generously contributed towards the cost of publication.

To the Delegates and Staff of the Clarendon Press I wish to express my thanks for their patient and careful co-operation.

The manuscript of this book was concluded in June 1932. It has not been practicable to consider or cite the literature or decisions published after that date.

H. L.

LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS  
AND POLITICAL SCIENCE,  
1 February 1933.

## TABLE OF CASES CITED

- Aåland Islands Case, 306  
 Aargau *v.* Zürich, 299  
 Acquisition of Polish Nationality,  
     133, 342  
 Acton *v.* Blundell, 301  
 Aikens *v.* Wisconsin, 302  
 Alabama Arbitration, 152, 155-58,  
     163, 226, 337  
 Alabama *v.* Georgia, 451  
 Alaska Arbitration, 156, 157, 227  
 Allen *v.* Flood, 300, 301  
 Ambaticlos Case, 4  
 Antüppa *v.* Germany, 332  
 Arata Case, 134  
 Ashby *v.* White, 71  
 Attorney-General of British  
     Columbia *v.* Attorney-General  
     of Canada, 459  
 Austro-German Customs Union  
     Case, 164, 165, 212, 235, 237  
  
 Badoit *v.* André, 302  
 Baranyai *v.* Yugoslavia, 114  
 Bedford (Duke of) *v.* British Mu-  
     seum, 288  
 Behring Sea Arbitration, 105, 168,  
     188, 227, 316, 324, 329, 332, 388  
*Betsey*, the, 188, 230  
 Boast *v.* Firth, 284  
 Boffolo Case, 297  
 Bordeaux Gas Case, 282  
 de Born *v.* Yugoslav State, 135  
 Brazil and French Guiana (Con-  
     vention of 10 April 1897), 139, 322  
 Bremen (Free Hansa City of) *v.*  
     Prussia, 286, 287, 447  
 Brignone Case, 135  
 British Guiana Arbitration, 55, 138,  
     155, 156, 158, 160, 227, 336  
 Buckle *v.* Holmes, 110  
 Bulama Island Case, 322  
*Bundesrath*, the, 203  
  
 Cadenhead Case, 130, 320  
 Canada (Dominion of) *v.* Province  
     of Ontario, 456  
 Canadian Claims for Refund of  
     Duties, 130  
 Canevaro Case, 231  
*Cape Horn Pigeon*, the, 125, 293  
 Casablanca Case, 83, 231  
 Le Caux *v.* Eden, 71, 88  
 Cayuga Indians Case, 260  
 Chapman *v.* Pickersgill, 63  
 Chasemore *v.* Richards, 305  
 Cherokee Nation *v.* State of  
     Georgia, 448  
 Chile and United States (Arbitration  
     Agreement of 10 November  
     1858), 322  
 Christie *v.* Davey, 301  
 Closure of Buenos Aires Case, 104  
 Cochrane (Lord) Case, 224  
 Coenca Brothers *v.* Germany, 120,  
     234  
 Colombia and Venezuela Boundary  
     Dispute, 132, 389  
*Comet*, the, 114, 155  
 Cook (George W.) Case, 101  
 Coronation Seat Cases, 281  
*Creole*, the, 114, 155  
  
 D. *v.* German Reich, 231  
 Danzig Railway Officials Case,  
     92, 164  
*David J. Adams*, the, 298, 320  
 Delagoa Bay Railway Arbitration,  
     126, 322  
 Denunciation of the Treaty  
     of 2 November 1865 between  
     China and Belgium, 115, 208,  
     334  
 Diplomatic Immunities (German  
     Foreign Office) Case, 397  
 Dred Scott *v.* Sanford, 405

- Eastern Carelia Case, 4  
 Eastern Extension, Australasia  
 and China Telegraph Co. Case,  
 118, 119, 252, 260, 320  
 Egerton v. Brownlow, 305  
*Encomium*, the, 114, 155  
  
 Faber Case, 298  
 Fabiani Case, 123-25  
 Factory at Chorzów, 4, 54, 115,  
 117, 122, 125, 126, 133, 208,  
 215, 238, 239, 245, 290, 331,  
 371, 435  
 Ferman Case, 297  
 Florida v. Georgia, 451  
 Forissier v. Chaveot, 302  
 Foster v. Driscoll, 312  
 France and Brazil (Convention of  
 10 April 1897), 139, 322  
 Free Zones of Upper Savoy  
 and the District of Gex, 115,  
 140, 238, 296, 315, 323, 324,  
 326, 328, 329, 333-335, 340,  
 341, 345, 388  
 French Claims against Peru, 231  
 Frystatzki v. Polish State, 100  
  
*General Armstrong*, the, 163  
 Gentini Case, 101, 126, 131, 260  
 German v. Chapman, 288  
 German Interests in Polish  
 Upper Silesia, 130, 164, 238,  
 239, 245, 274, 282, 289, 290,  
 297, 327, 335, 371  
 German Settlers in Poland Case,  
 121, 133, 216, 342  
 German State Succession Claims,  
 202  
 Germany and France (In the  
 Matter of the Cessions under  
 Article 357 of the Treaty of  
 Versailles), 329  
 Godden v. Hals, 224  
 Graves v. Cohen, 284  
 Graeco-Bulgarian Communities  
 Case, 228, 238, 342  
  
 Greek Government v. Vulkan  
 Werke, 332  
 Grisbadarna Case, 131, 231  
  
 Hampden Case, 224  
 Hardman Case, 320  
 Hemming Case, 226  
 Home Missionary Society Case, 320  
 Hopkins (George W.) Case, 130  
 Hungarian-Roumanian Optants  
 Dispute, 130, 215, 277, 345  
  
 International Labour Organiza-  
 tion (Competence to Regulate,  
 incidentally, the Personal Work  
 of the Employer), 133, 164, 217  
 Interpretation of Judgement No. 3  
 (Interpretation of the Treaty  
 of Neuilly, Article 179, Annex,  
 paragraph 4), 115  
 Interpretation of Judgements Nos. 7  
 and 8 (The Factory at Chor-  
 zów), 238, 245, 274, 335, 371  
 Interpretation of Peace Treaties  
 with Bulgaria, Hungary, and  
 Roumania, 4  
 Interpretation of the Graeco-Bulgar-  
 ian Agreement of 9 December,  
 1927 (Caphandaris-Mollof Agree-  
 ment), 344  
 Interpretation of the Graeco-Tur-  
 kish Agreement of 1 December  
 1926, 127, 244, 342, 388  
 Interpretation of the Treaty of Lau-  
 sanne, Article 3, paragraph  
 2 (Frontier between Turkey and  
 Iraq), 91, 115, 116, 126, 132, 217,  
 327, 388, 436  
 Interpretation of the Treaty of  
 Neuilly, Article 179, Annex, para-  
 graph 4, 115  
 Interpretation of the Treaty of  
 Neuilly between Greece and  
 Bulgaria respecting Reciprocal  
 Emigration (Question of  
 'Communities'), 228, 238, 342

- Interpretation of the Treaty of Versailles, Article 435 (Free Zones round Geneva), 115, 164, 209, 212, 221, 238, 245, 291  
 Iowa *v.* Illinois, 447  
  
 Japanese House Tax Case, 231  
 Jurisdiction of the Courts of Danzig, 92, 228, 238  
 Jurisdiction of the European Commission of the Danube between Galatz and Braila, 217, 245, 330, 331, 342, 343  
  
 Kansas *v.* Colorado, 299, 447, 452  
 Keeble *v.* Hickingrill, 301  
 Knight *v.* Simmonds, 288  
 Krell *v.* Henry, 201  
*Kronprinzessin Cecilie*, the, 281  
 Kulin *v.* Roumanian State, 215  
  
 Lake Lanoux, 42  
 Lazare Case, 293  
 Lewis and Others Case, 293  
*Lotus*, the, 85, 102, 103, 105, 114-116, 132, 212, 238, 242, 243, 245, 291  
 Louisiana *v.* Mississippi, 447  
 Louisiana *v.* Texas, 299, 453  
  
 Maal Case, 297  
*Macedonian*, the, 163  
 Manica Frontier Dispute, 222  
 Maninat Case, 135, 260  
*Maria Luz*, the, 114  
 Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions, 4, 115, 133, 140, 164, 204, 238, 245, 274, 335, 370, 436  
 Mayor of Bradford *v.* Pickles, 300-302, 305  
 McLeod Case, 162  
 Medina Case, 135  
 Missouri *v.* Illinois and the Sanitary District of Chicago, 299, 445, 452, 453  
 Missouri *v.* Iowa, 451  
 Mogul Steamship Co. *v.* MacGregor, 302  
  
 Monastery of Saint-Naoum (Albanian Frontier) Case, 235, 388  
 Monetary Gold Removed from Rome Case, 4  
*de Montfort v. Treuhänder Hauptverwaltung*, 135  
 Mortensen *v.* Peters, 410  
 Moses *v.* Macfarlane, 283  
 Moses *v.* Parker, 455  
  
 National Sailors' and Firemen's Union *v.* Reed, 225  
 Naulilaa Incident Case, 113  
 Nebraska *v.* Iowa, 447  
 Neely *v.* Henkel, 397  
*Neptune*, the, 154  
 North Atlantic Fisheries Arbitration, 116, 139, 157, 227, 233, 318, 324, 332, 388  
 North Dakota *v.* Minnesota, 299  
 Northern Ireland (Government of) *v.* British Government, 459  
 Norwegian Loans, 43  
 Norwegian Shipowners' Claims, 231  
 Nuncomar Case, 224  
  
 Oetjen *v.* Central Leather Co., 396  
 Oliva Case, 297  
 Ontario-Manitoba Boundary Case, 459  
 Orinoco Steamship Co. Case, 231, 337  
 Ottoman Debt Arbitration, 274, 389  
  
 Pacifico (Don) Case, 168  
 Palmas Island Arbitration, 127, 131, 139, 141, 291, 292  
 Panama Canal Tolls Case, 204, 364  
 Paquet Case, 297  
 Pasley *v.* Freeman, 71  
 Payment in Gold of the Brazilian Federal Loans issued in France, 239, 241, 245  
 Payment of various Serbian Loans issued in France, 92, 115, 208, 238, 239, 290, 339, 340  
 Pelletier Case, 20, 293  
 Penn *v.* Lord Baltimore, 451, 455  
 Pennsylvania *v.* West Virginia, 299

- Pinson (Georges) Case, 322  
 Pious Fund of California Case, 101  
 Plessa (Polyxène) v. Turkish Government, 80  
 Polish-Czechoslovakian Frontier (Jaworzina), 235, 388  
 Portendick Case, 55, 298  
  
 Quinn v. Leathem, 301  
  
 Railway Traffic between Lithuania and Poland Case, 238, 245  
 Readaptation of the Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions (Jurisdiction), 238, 245  
 Reservations to the Genocide Convention (Advisory Opinion), 54  
 Rhode Island v. Massachusetts, 173, 384, 449, 451  
 Right of Passage over Indian Territory (Preliminary Objections), 37, 41  
 Rights of Minorities in Upper Silesia (Minority Schools), 4, 115, 122, 133, 134, 164, 238, 245, 335  
 Roberts Case, 130  
 Robinson v. Davison, 284  
 Russian Indemnity Case, 123, 125, 161, 286  
  
 Saar Territory (Prussian Officials) Case, 100  
 Sambiaggio Case, 260  
 San Pedro Case, 297  
 Sarropoulos v. Bulgarian State, 102, 126  
 Savarkar Case, 96, 114, 321  
 Sheikh Sultan Sani v. Sheikh Ajmondin, 455  
 Skinner & Co. v. Shew & Co., 302  
 Sobey v. Sainsbury, 288  
 Solothurn v. Aargau, 300, 402  
 Soltau v. de Held, 305  
 South Australia v. Victoria, 453, 455  
 Spanish Zone of Morocco Claims, 128, 208, 367, 368  
  
 Standard Oil Co. Case, 130  
 Steiner and Gross v. Polish State, 117  
 Sunkel Case, 203  
 Sutton v. Clarke, 301  
  
 Taylor v. Caldwell, 281  
 Tenant v. Goldwin, 301  
 Territorial Jurisdiction of the International Commission of the River Oder, 115, 122, 164, 208, 217, 235, 238, 245  
 Thomas v. Sorrell, 224  
 Thurgau (Canton of) v. St. Gallen (Canton of), 226, 447  
 Tunis and Morocco Nationality Decrees Case, 134, 184, 205-7, 216, 245, 291, 313, 349, 369, 370, 400  
 Turkey and Greece (Ambassadors' Award), 319  
  
 United States v. Percheman, 98  
  
 Vancouver Island Boundary Case, 138  
 Vaughan v. Menlove, 71  
 Vegelahn v. Guntner, 313  
 Venezuelan Preferential Claims, 90, 115, 200, 219, 222, 224  
 Ventense v. Yugoslav State, 231  
 Viceroy of Egypt v. Suez Canal Co., 319  
 Virginia v. West Virginia, 451  
 Virginius, the, 163  
  
 Williams Case, 101, 103, 121, 126  
 Wimbledon, the, 115, 116, 120, 164, 217, 235, 238, 245  
 Wisconsin v. Illinois, 299, 331  
 Württemberg and Prussia v. Baden, 300, 331, 447  
 Wyoming v. Colorado, 299  
  
 Zamora, the, 20

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS OF TITLES OF BOOKS AND PERIODICALS

Actes et Documents	Deuxième Conférence internationale de la Paix, Actes et Documents (1907).
A.J.	American Journal of International Law.
Lauterpacht, Analogies	Lauterpacht, Private Law Sources and Analogies of International Law (1927).
Annuaire	Annuaire de l'Institut de Droit international.
Annual Digest	Annual Digest of Public International Law Cases.
Arbitration and Security	Arbitration and Security: Systematic Survey of the Arbitration Conventions and Treaties of Mutual Security deposited with the League of Nations (2nd ed., 1927), C. 653. M. 216. 1927. V.
A.S., Proceedings	Proceedings of the American Society of International Law.
B.Y.	British Year Book of International Law.
Fauchille	Fauchille, Traité de Droit international public (8th ed. of Bonfils' Manuel de Droit international public), 2 vols. (1921-6).
Fischer Williams, Chapters	Chapters on Current International Law and the League of Nations (1929).
Hague Reports	Reports to The Hague Conferences of 1899 and 1907 (ed. by J. B. Scott, 1917).
Hall	Hall, A Treatise on International Law (8th ed. by Pearce Higgins, 1925).
Hyde	Hyde, International Law chiefly as Interpreted and Applied by the United States, 2 vols. (1922).
J.C.L. and I.L.	Journal of Comparative Legislation and International Law
L.N.T.S.	League of Nations Treaty Series.
Lafontaine	Lafontaine, Pasicrisie internationale (1902).
Lammasch, Rechtskraft	Lammasch, Die Rechtskraft internationaler Schiedssprüche (1913).
Lammasch, Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit	Lammasch, Die Lehre von der Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in ihrem ganzen Umfange (1914).
Laprédelle and Politis	Laprédelle-Politis, Recueil des Arbitrages internationaux, vol. i (1905), vol. ii (1924).
Mérignhac, Conférence	Mérignhac, La Conférence internationale de la Paix (1900).
Moore	Moore, History and Digest of International Arbitrations to which the United States has been a Party, 6 vols. (1898).

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

xxvii

Moore, Digest	Moore, A Digest of International Law, 8 vols. (1906).
Nielsen's Report	American and British Claims Arbitration (under the special agreement of 18 August 1910), Report by Nielsen (1926).
Nippold	Nippold, Die Fortbildung des Verfahrens in völkerrechtlichen Streitigkeiten (1907).
Official Journal	Official Journal of the League of Nations.
Oppenheim	Oppenheim, International Law (4th ed. by McNair), vol. ii (1926), vol. i (1928).
P.C.I.J.	Publications of the Permanent Court of International Justice. Series A—Judgements. B—Advisory Opinions. A/B—Cumulative Collection of Judgements and Advisory Opinions given since 1931. C—Acts and Documents relating to Judgements and Advisory Opinions given by the Court. D—Collection of Texts governing the Jurisdiction of the Court. E—Annual Reports.
Procès-Verbaux	Proceedings of the Committee of Jurists of 1920 appointed to draft the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice.
R.G.	Revue générale de Droit international public.
R.I.	Revue de Droit international et de la Législation comparée.
R.I. (Paris)	Revue de Droit international.
Recueil	Recueil des décisions des tribunaux arbitraux mixtes.
Recueil des Cours	Académie de Droit International, Recueil des Cours
Rivista	Rivista di diritto internazionale.
Schücking-Wehberg	Schücking und Wehberg, Die Satzung des Völkerbundes (2nd ed., 1924).
Verdross, Verfassung	Verdross, Die Verfassung der Völkerrechtsgemeinschaft (1926).
Westlake	Westlake, International Law, 2 vols. (2nd ed., 1910-13).
Z.f.a.ö.R. und V.	Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht.
Z.V.	Zeitschrift für Völkerrecht.

# THE FUNCTION OF LAW IN THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY: INTRODUCTION

*Martti Koskenniemi\**

## I

In the recent advisory opinion by the International Court of Justice on the lawfulness of the unilateral declaration of independence of Kosovo, several States confronted the Court with the argument that in one way or another this was a ‘political question’ to which it was impossible or at least inappropriate to give a legal response. This claim has been made in most advisory proceedings at The Hague, and many States finding themselves in the position of respondent in contentious cases have used it to challenge the Court’s jurisdiction. The Court answered in 2010 as it had done in all those prior cases. It stated that ‘[w]hatever its political aspects, the Court cannot refuse to respond to the legal elements of a question which invites it to discharge an essentially judicial task, namely, in the present case, an assessment of an act by reference to international law’. The Court continued by stressing that, ‘in determining the jurisdictional issue of whether it is confronted with a legal question, it is not concerned with the political nature of the motives which may have inspired the request or the political implications which its opinion might have’.<sup>1</sup>

This is a response that Hersch Lauterpacht might have given, and it is likely to have been inspired by his insistence on the point. The claim that the ‘political’ nature of some issue—the way it touched the ‘vital interests and honour’ of a State—will automatically exempt it from legal settlement had been frequently heard in late nineteenth and early twentieth-century arbitral practice and *The Function of Law in the International Community* was conceived as an extended refutation of it. In

\* Professor of International Law, University of Helsinki. This text is based on my ‘The Function of International Law in the International Community: 75 Years After’ (2008) 79 BYIL 353–66.

<sup>1</sup> ICJ, *Accordance with international law of the unilateral declaration of independence in respect of Kosovo* (Advisory Opinion of 22 July 2010), 13 (para 27).



particular, Lauterpacht wanted to reject the view that the reservation for 'essential interests' in an arbitration clause or a declaration of compulsory jurisdiction would operate in a self-judging way. Today, this question has arisen anew in the context of investment treaty arbitration. For example, the 2004 model treaty of the United States contains a clause according to which:

Nothing in the Treaty shall:

... preclude a Party from applying measures that it considers necessary for the fulfillment of its obligations with respect to the maintenance or restoration of international peace or security, or the protection of its own essential security interests.<sup>2</sup>

The operative phrase here is: 'that it considers necessary'. Similar types of expression are now included in many investment treaties, inspiring or prompting to inspire what would be a fully 'Lauterpachtian' debate. Does such a formulation (or equivalent formulations) prevent an arbitral tribunal from examining whether the conditions in the State actually concerned its 'essential security interests' or at least whether the determination by the State that they did was made in good faith? Lauterpacht's response to such questions would have been a resounding 'of course not'.

The problem raises a series of perennial questions regarding the relationship between international law and that which at least *prima facie* appears outside it: political judgment. These questions have rarely been discussed in more detail or with more sense of urgency than here. This is no surprise. *The Function of Law in the International Community* was written at a time when persistent economic problems in the world had precipitated a constitutional crisis in many European countries as well as endangered international peace. A pressing need to clarify the relationship between law and politics had emerged. Many jurists, especially in the German realm, contributed to this debate, a fact that is visible on practically every page of this book. The work is thus much larger than a mere commentary on a technical aspect of the law concerning the jurisdiction of international tribunals. The author himself regarded it as his most important work. It is understandable why he would think so. The book is a restatement of practically all the important principles of law

<sup>2</sup> Article 18 of the Treaty Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (2004 Model BIT), <<http://ita.law.uvic.ca/documents/USmodelbitnovo4.pdf>>.