

Modern Studies in
**Property
Law**

Volume 2

Edited by
Elizabeth Cooke

Modern Studies in Property Law
Volume II
Property 2002

Edited by
ELIZABETH COOKE

Reader in Law
University of Reading



OXFORD – PORTLAND OREGON
2003

Published in North America (US and Canada) by
Hart Publishing c/o
International Specialized Book Services
5804 NE Hassalo Street
Portland, Oregon
97213-3644
USA

© The Contributors severally 2003

The contributors severally have asserted their rights under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as the authors of this work

Hart Publishing is a specialist legal publisher based in Oxford, England.
To order further copies of this book or to request a list of other publications please write to:

Hart Publishing, Salter's Boatyard, Folly Bridge,
Abingdon Road, Oxford OX1 4LB
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 245533 or Fax: +44 (0)1865 794882
e-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk
WEBSITE: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data Available
ISBN 1-84113-173-3 (hardback)

Typeset by Hope Services (Abingdon) Ltd.
Printed and bound in Great Britain on acid-free paper by
Biddles Ltd, www.biddles.co.uk

MODERN STUDIES IN PROPERTY LAW

This volume is published under the auspices of

THE CENTRE FOR PROPERTY LAW
THE UNIVERSITY OF READING

Patron

Lord Nicholls of Birkenhead

Board of Advisers

Lord Millett

Mr Justice Neuberger

Judge Paul Baker, QC

G Abbott (Clifford Chance)

Professor W Cornish

Dr S Cretney

S Fogel (Dechert)

Professor M Grant

C Harpum

PD Orchard Lisle, CBE TD

T Symes (Mills Group)

CJ West (The Solicitor to HM Land Registry)

D Wright (Nabarro Nathanson)

Emeritus Director

Professor Paul Jackson

Executive Committee

Mrs EJ Cooke (Director)

Ms BSL Crabb

Mrs SE Murdoch

Preface

IN MARCH 2002 the fourth biennial conference of the Centre for Property Law was held at the Centre's home, the University of Reading. As ever, the proceedings were both enjoyable and instructive, and most of the papers given are published in this second volume of *Modern Studies in Property Law*. We should like to thank Hart Publishing Ltd for all their help and expertise, and for their sponsorship of the conference reception; and a great debt of gratitude is owed to the panel of anonymous referees who have given their time and attention. Their comments and advice have been immensely helpful and they have made a significant contribution to this volume.

The executive committee of the Centre has a difficult biennial choice: to suggest a theme, and risk deterring contributions, or to invite contributions across the whole range of property law, and to risk a very disparate collection of papers. Invariably we have chosen not to restrict papers to a theme, and have been rewarded by a wide range of papers which nevertheless have grouped themselves into several topics, and which link with each other in a number of different ways.

This collection begins with some of the international papers given at the conference; first, two papers from South Africa, where the law must meet the challenge of a whole society seeking justice and reconciliation. How can the law of property serve those who have been marginalised and excluded for generations? Hanri Mostert and Andre Van der Walt look at this problem from two different perspectives, the one a doctrinal analysis of legal developments and the other more theoretical. Both explore the possibilities of a way forward through fragmentation, moving away from the inherited hierarchical system where ownership is all, towards a framework which gives a greater value to other property rights. Both present an exciting picture, and we hope to hear more about developments in South Africa at future Reading conferences. From far overseas we move to Europe. Piotr Stec's paper is an introduction to the law of *fiducia* in Poland; it provoked intense discussion at the conference as participants examined the similarities and differences between *fiducia* and the trust. Tom Allen's paper on the meaning of "possessions" under the European Convention on Human Rights reminds us of the increasingly European flavour of the law of England and Wales.

Pamela O'Connor's paper could very properly be grouped with the South African papers, because both raised issues about land registration. One of the challenges for the law in South Africa is to find a way of adapting the deeds registration system to the new democracy, since its very success is a source of difficulty and even of oppression in a climate where change is essential.

O'Connor's paper on land registration, by contrast, examines and compares the registration systems in the much more stable context of England and Australia. Her global perspective is followed by the microcosm of Graham Ferris' examination of the meaning of one section of the Land Registration Act 2002.

Back home, then, in England and Wales, we focus on equity in the next group of papers. Mark Thompson looks at the law of undue influence in the context of mortgages, following the House of Lords' decision in *Royal Bank of Scotland v Etridge* (No 2) [2001] 4 All ER 449. Two papers follow on the law of estoppel, by Nicholas Hopkins and Martin Dixon; they belong together as papers on estoppel, but Martin Dixon's paper is closely concerned with the new Land Registration Act 2002, and so has close links with the two papers on land registration by O'Connor and Ferris. Paul Eden looks at the equitable ownership of shares, and provides us with a welcome reminder that the Centre for Property Law is not concerned solely with real property. Finally in this group is Heather Conway and Sheena Grattan's paper on aspects of the law in Northern Ireland, in many ways so close to and yet so far removed from the law of England and Wales and certainly a matter upon which many English lawyers are lamentably ignorant. Their topics link naturally with those that immediately precede them; yet they could equally have been grouped with Stuart Bridge's paper as their discussion of the ownership of the family home is relevant to the Law Commission's project on of the rights of those who are home-sharers.

There follows a group of papers on the law of landlord and tenant. Warren Barr addresses the vexed question of whether a change in the terms of a lease effects a variation or a new lease; and Jill Morgan looks at the rights and responsibilities of the child as tenant. Nicholas Roberts examines those groups of homeowners who have been left out by the leasehold reform legislation. David Clarke's conference paper on commonhold can be found at [2002] *The Conveyancer* 349.

The final group of papers is headed rather loosely 'Environment and neighbours'; the papers examine different aspects of the way that the use of land affects others. Christine Willmore considers the role of publicly owned land within National Parks, while Sarah Nield looks at the legal structure of one very famous National Park, the New Forest. Roger Gibbard examines an issue in planning law, the Crichel Down rules. Finally Scott Grattan considers legislation authorising access to neighbouring land.

Last of all comes Stuart Bridge's paper; his address was the keynote session of the conference, but his paper appears here in amended form following the very recently published Law Commission discussion paper, *Sharing Homes*.

It has been a joy to be involved in the planning and execution of this conference; the next biennial event in 2004 is anticipated with pleasure.

Notes on Contributors

Tom Allen is a Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Leeds.

Warren Barr is a Lecturer in the Law School, and Director of the Charity Law Unit, at the University of Liverpool.

Stuart Bridge is a Law Commissioner for England and Wales.

Heather Conway is a Lecturer in the School of Law at the Queen's University of Belfast.

Martin Dixon is a University Lecturer at Cambridge University and a fellow of Robinson College, Cambridge.

Paul Eden is a Lecturer in International Commercial Law at the University of Sussex.

Graham Ferris is a Lecturer in the Department of Law at Nottingham Trent University.

Roger Gibbard is Director of Rural Studies in the Department of Land Management and Development at the University of Reading.

Scott Grattan is a Lecturer in the Faculty of Law, and a member of the Legal Intersections Research Centre, at the University of Wollongong in Australia.

Sheena Grattan is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Law at the Queen's University of Belfast.

Nicholas Hopkins is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Southampton.

Jill Morgan is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Law, University of East Anglia

Hanri Mostert is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Private and Roman Law at the University of Stellenbosch.

Sarah Nield is a Lecturer in the Faculty of Law at the University of Southampton.

Pamela O'Connor is a Senior Lecturer in Law, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.

Nicholas Roberts is a Lecturer in law at Oxford Brookes University and is also Legal Adviser to the Federation of Private Residents' Associations Ltd.

Piotr Stec is a Lecturer in Law at the Silesian University of Technology, Poland.

Mark Thompson is a Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Leicester.

Andre Van der Walt is a Professor in the Department of Public Law at the University of Stellenbosch.

Christine Willmore is a Lecturer in the Department of Law at the University of Bristol.

Table of Cases

AUSTRALIA

<i>Attorney-General (NSW) v Quin</i> (1990) 170 CLR 1	34	
<i>Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd v Westpac Banking Corporation</i> (1988) 164 CLR 662		148
<i>Bahr v Nicolay (No 2)</i> (1988) 164 CLR 604	94	
<i>Biggs v McElister</i> (1880) 14 SALR 86	90	
<i>Blulock Pty Ltd v Majic</i> [2001] NSWSC 1063	360	
<i>Bogdanovich v Koteff</i> (1988) 12 NSWLR 472	90	
<i>Breskvar v Wall</i> (1971) 126 CLR 376; [1972] ALR 205	91	
<i>Commonwealth of Australia v Verwayen</i> [1990] 170 CLR 394	157	
<i>Conlan v Registrar of Titles</i> (2001) 24 WAR 299	91	
<i>Edward Street Properties Pty Ltd, Ex parte</i> [1977] Qd R 86	360	
<i>Garcia v National Australia Bank Ltd</i> (1998) 72 AJLR 1243	127	
<i>Goodwin v Yee Holdings Pty Ltd</i> (1997) 8 BPR 15,795	359	
<i>Hanny v Lewis</i> (1998) 9 BPR 16,205	359	
<i>Heid v Reliance Finance Corporation Pty Ltd</i> (1983) 154 CLR 326	95	
<i>Herdegen v Federal Commissioner of Taxation</i> (1988) 84 ALR 271 (FCA)		200
<i>J & JH Just (Holdings) Pty Ltd v Bank of New South Wales</i> (1971) 125 CLR 546		95
<i>Katakouzinos v Roufir Pty Ltd</i> (1999) 9 BPR 17,303	359	
<i>Kevin, In re</i> (2001) 28 Fam LR 158	222	
<i>Kindervater, Re</i> , unreported, 2 August 1995	359–60	
<i>King v Smail</i> [1958] VR 273	90	
<i>Latec Investments Ltd v Hotel Terrigal Pty Ltd</i> (1965) 113 CLR 342	93	
<i>Leros Pty Ltd v Terara Pty Ltd</i> (1991) 174 CLR 407	95	
<i>Marshall v Council of the City of Wollongong</i> (2000) 10 BPR 18,163	360	
<i>Mitchell v Boutagy</i> [2001] NSWSC 1045	359	
<i>Official Receiver v Klau</i> (1987) 74 ALR 67	90	
<i>117 York Street Pty Ltd v Proprietors of Strata Plan No 16123</i> (1998) 43 NSWLR 504		359
<i>Permanent Trustee Australia Ltd, Re</i> (1997) 8 BPR 15,551	359	
<i>Rasmussen v Rasmussen</i> [1995] 1 VR 613	90–91	
<i>Registrar-General v Harris and Another</i> (1998) 45 NSWLR 404	96	
<i>Seaforth Land Sales Pty Ltd's Land (No 2), Re</i> [1977] Qd R 317	359	
<i>Tregoyd Gardens Pty Ltd v Jervis</i> (1997) 8 BPR 15,845	359	
<i>Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v Maher</i> (1987) 164 CLR 387	157–58	

<i>Washington Constructions v Ashcroft</i> (1982) Q Conv R paras 54–6	90
<i>Wengarin v Byron Shire Council</i> (1999) 9 BPR 16,985	363
<i>Worthston Pty Ltd, Re</i> [1987] Qd R 400	360
<i>Yerkey v Jones</i> (1939) 63 CLR 64.....	1277

EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>Beyeler v Italy</i> (2001) 33 EHRR 62.....	67–70, 76
<i>Brumărescu v Romania</i> (2001) 33 EHRR 35	61
<i>Darby v Sweden</i> Series A No 187, (1991) 13 EHRR 774.....	58
<i>Deumeland v Federal Republic of Germany</i> Series A No 100, (1986) 8 EHRR 448.....	74
<i>Engel v Netherlands</i> (No 1) Series A No 22, (1979–80) 1 EHRR 647	59
<i>Feldbrugge v Netherlands</i> Series A No 99, (1986) 8 EHRR 425.....	74
<i>Former King of Greece v Greece</i> (2001) 33 EHRR 21.....	69–70, 76
<i>Gasus Dosier- und Fördertechnik GmbH v Netherlands</i> Series A No 306–B, (1995) 20 EHRR 403	59–61, 69–70
<i>Gaygusuz v Austria</i> (1997) 23 EHRR 364	75–76
<i>Hentrich v France</i> Series A No 296–A, (1995) 18 EHRR 440.....	58
<i>Iatridis v Greece</i> (2000) 30 EHRR 97.....	69–70, 76
<i>Inze v Austria</i> Series A No 126, (1988) 10 EHRR 394	59, 75–76
<i>James v UK</i> Series A No 98, (1986) 8 EHRR 123	59
<i>König v Germany</i> (No 1) Series A No 27 (1976), (1979–80) 2 EHRR 170.....	61
<i>Louzidou v Turkey</i>	65–66, 75
<i>Malhous v Czech Republic</i> (Application 33071/96) 13 December 2000, ECHR 2000–XII	64–66
<i>Marckx v Belgium</i> Series A No 31, (1979) 2 EHRR 330.....	59, 75–76
<i>Matos e Silva Ida v Portugal</i> (1997) 24 EHRR 573.....	68, 70, 76
<i>Müller v Austria</i> [1976] 3 DR 25	30, 74
<i>National & Provincial Building Society and others v UK</i> (1998) 25 EHRR 127.....	63–65
<i>Neumeister</i> Series A No 8 (1968), (1979–80) 1 EHRR 91	59
<i>Öztürk v Germany</i> Series A No 73, (1984) 6 EHRR 409	61
<i>Pressos Compania Naviera SA v Belgium</i> Series A No 332, (1996) 21 EHRR 301	63–65, 75
<i>Prince Hans-Adam II of Liechtenstein v Germany</i>	66
<i>Ringisen v Austria</i> Series A No 13 (1971), (1979–80) 1 EHRR 455.....	59
<i>S v UK</i> (Application 11716/85)	223
<i>Salesi v Italy</i> Series A No 257–E, (1998) 26 EHRR 187	74
<i>Schuler-Zgraggen v Switzerland</i> Series A No 263, (1993) 16 EHRR 405	74
<i>Sheffield and Horsham v UK</i> (1998) 27 EHRR 163	223
<i>Špaček, s.r.o. v The Czech Republic</i> (2000) 30 EHRR 1010.....	58

<i>Sporrong and Lönnroth v Sweden</i> Series A No 52 (1982).....	58
<i>Tre Traktörer AB v Sweden</i> Series A No 159 (1989), (1991)	
13 EHRR 309.....	30, 60
<i>Wemhoff v Germany</i> Series A No 7 (1979–80) 1 EHRR 55	59
<i>X v FRG</i> (1956) 1 YB 202	254
<i>X v Italy</i> [1977] 11 DR 114	30
<i>X v The Netherlands</i> [1971] 14 YB 224.....	30, 74
<i>X v UK</i> [1970] 13 YB 892	30, 74
<i>X and Y v UK</i> (Application 9369/81).....	223

GERMANY

<i>BGHZ</i> 83 (1982)	29
<i>BVerfGE</i> 28 (1970)	29
<i>BVerfGE</i> 45 (1977)	29
<i>BVerfGE</i> 69 (1985) (<i>Eigenleistung</i>)	29, 31
24 <i>BVerfGE</i> 367 (<i>Hamburg Flood Control Case</i>)	71
53 <i>BVerfGE</i> 257 (1980) (<i>Equalisation of Pensions upon Dissolution of Marriage Case</i>).....	73–74
58 <i>BVerfGE</i> 300 (1981) (<i>Groundwater Case</i>).....	72

HONG KONG

<i>CA Pacific Finance Ltd (in Liquidation), Re</i> [2000] 1 BCLC 494 (HK CFI).....	201
---	-----

INDIA

<i>Deokinandan Prasad v The State of Bihar and Others</i> AIR (58) 1971 SC 1409.....	30
<i>Madan Mohan Patak and Another v Union of India and Others</i> AIR (65) 1978 SC 803.....	30

IRELAND

<i>Blake v Concannon</i> (1870) IR 4 CL 323.....	260
<i>Hand and Others v City of Dublin and Others</i> [1991] 1 IR 409.....	30
<i>Hempenstall and Others v Minister for the Environment</i> [1994] 2 IR 20.....	30
<i>Keegan v National Bank</i> [1931] IR 344	207
<i>Kelaghan v Daly</i> [1913] 2 IR 328.....	207

xiv *Table of Cases*

<i>Lawlor v Minister of Agriculture and Others</i> [1988] ILRM 400; [1990] 1 IR 356.....	30
<i>Lord Inchquin v Lyons</i> (1887) 20 LR Ir 474	239
<i>National Bank v Keegan</i> [1931] IR 344	207
<i>Shanahan, Re</i> [1919] 1 IR 131	207

MALAYSIA

<i>Adorna Properties Sdn Bhd v Boonsom Boonyanit @Sun Yon Eng</i> [2001] 1 MLJ 241.....	92
<i>Bhagwan Singh & Co Sdn Bhd v Hock Hin Bros Sdn Bhd</i> [1987] 1 MLJ 324.....	83

NEW ZEALAND

<i>Liggett v Kensington</i> [1993] 1 NZLR 257	189
---	-----

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

<i>Administration of the Territory of Papua New Guinea v Blasius Tirupia</i> [1971-72] PNGLR 229.....	92
--	----

POLAND

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 3 February 1960, OSPiKA 1961 entry 75.....	51
Judgment of the Supreme Court of 24 April 1964, OSPiKA 1965 entry 229	51
Judgment of the Supreme Court of 28 December 1976, OSNCP 1977 entry 121.....	52
Judgment of the Supreme Court of 29 May 2000, OSN 11 entry 213.....	51

SOUTH AFRICA

<i>Administrator, Transvaal and others v Traub and others</i> 1989 (4) SA 731 (A)	34
<i>Barnard v Liquidators of S Seligmann & Co (Pty) Ltd</i> 1964 (3) SA 692 (E).....	23

<i>Britz v Sniegocki</i> 1989 (4) SA 372 (D)	23
<i>Chief Nchabeleng v Chief Phasha</i> 1998 (3) SA 578 (LLC)	8
<i>Commissioner of Customs & Excise v Randles Brothers & Hudson Ltd</i> (1941) AD 369	19
<i>Diepsloot Residents' and Landowners' Association v Administrator, Transvaal</i> 1993 (1) SA 577 (T); 1993 (3) SA 49 (T)	10
<i>Dulabh and Another v Department of Land Affairs</i> 1997 (4) SA 1108 (LCC)	8
<i>Geldenhuys, Ex parte</i> 1926 OPD 155	5
<i>Government of the Republic of South Africa v Grootboom</i> 2001 (1) SA 46 (CC).....	36
<i>Karabo v Kok</i> 1998 (4) SA 1014 (LCC)	16
<i>Lorentz v Melle</i> 1978 (3) SA 1004 (T)	5
<i>Minister of Public Works and others v Kyalami Ridge Environmental Association and others</i> 2001 (7) BCLR 652 (CC)	36
<i>Mnisi v Chauke</i> 1994 (4) SA 715 (T)	13
<i>Odendaalsrus Gold, General Investments & Extensions Ltd v Registrar of Deeds</i> 1953 (1) SA 600 (O)	5
<i>Pearly Beach Trust v Registrar of Deeds</i> 1990 (4) SA 614 (C).....	5
<i>Standard Bank of SA Ltd v Ocean Commodities Inc</i> 1983 (1) SA 276 (A)	23

SWITZERLAND

BGE 106 Ia 163 (<i>Graf</i>) (1980).....	29
---	-----------

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

<i>Bahadur v Attorney General</i> [1989] LRC (Const) 632	30
---	-----------

UNITED KINGDOM

<i>Abigail v Lapin</i> (1934) AC 491.....	95
<i>Akiens v Salomon</i> (1993) 65 P & CR 364	178
<i>Allcard v Skinner</i> (1887) 36 Ch D 145	126
<i>Allen & Unwin Case</i> (1980)	333
<i>Alliance and Leicester Building Society v Carlisle High Court (NI), unreported, 8 September 1995.....</i>	211
<i>Amalgamated Property Company v Texas Bank</i> [1982] 1 QB 84.....	174
<i>Argyle Building Society v Hammond</i> (1984) 49 P & CR 148.....	93
<i>Ashburn Anstalt v Arnold</i> [1988] 2 All ER 147	239
<i>Ashfeild v Ashfeild</i> (1628) W Jo 157.....	262

<i>Assets Company Ltd v Mere Roibi</i> [1905] AC 176	92
<i>Attorney-General v Blake</i> [2001] 1 AC 268.....	153
<i>Attorney-General of Hong Kong v Humphrey's Estate (Queen's Gardens) Ltd</i> [1987] 1 AC 114.....	178
<i>Attorney-General for Hong Kong v Reid</i> [1994] 1 AC 324.....	107
<i>Austerberry v Oldham Corporation</i> (1885) 29 Ch D 750.....	271
<i>Avon Finance Co Ltd v Bridger</i> [1985] 2 All ER 281	124
<i>Aylesbond Estates Ltd v McMillan and Garg</i> (2000) 32 HLR 1	278–79
<i>Aylwen v Takla</i> , 6 April 2000 (CA).....	176
<i>Bainbrigge v Browne</i> (1881) 18 Ch D 188	137
<i>Baker v Baker</i> [1993] 2 FLR 247.....	151, 153
<i>Ballie, Re</i> [1972] Ch 698	172
<i>Banco Exterior Internacional v Mann</i> [1995] 1 All ER 936	134–35
<i>Bandar Property Holdings Ltd v JS Darwen (Successors) Ltd</i> [1968] 2 All ER 305	277
<i>Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA v Aboody</i> [1990] 1 QB 923.....	124–27, 132
<i>Bank of Montreal v Stuart</i> [1911] AC 120	128
<i>Bank of Scotland v Bennett</i> [1991] FLR 730.....	134
<i>Bannister v Bannister</i> [1948] 2 All ER 133	207
<i>Barclays Bank Ltd v Quistclose Investments Ltd</i> [1970] AC 567	192
<i>Barclays Bank Ltd v Stasek</i> [1957] Ch 28.....	235
<i>Barclays Bank Ltd v Taylor</i> [1974] Ch 137	95
<i>Barclays Bank plc v Boulter</i> [1999] 4 All ER 513.....	132
<i>Barclays Bank plc v Coleman</i> [2000] 1 All ER 385	132, 134
<i>Barclays Bank plc v O'Brien</i> [1994] 1 AC 180	126–27, 130–31, 134–41, 143
<i>Barclays Bank plc v Rivett</i> [1999] 1 FLR 730	130
<i>Barclays Bank plc v Thomson</i> [1997] 4 All ER 816	135
<i>Barclays Bank plc v Zaroovabili</i> [1997] 2 All ER 19.....	123
<i>Barker v Merckel</i> [1960] 1 QB 657	238
<i>Baroness Llandover's Will Trusts, Re</i> [1902] 2 Ch 679.....	207
<i>Beegas Nominees Ltd v BHP Petroleum Ltd</i> [1998] 2 EGLR 57	229
<i>Bellinger v Bellinger</i> [2001] 1 All ER 311	222
<i>Berry v Berry</i> [1929] 2 KB 316	230
<i>Berrycroft Management Co Ltd v Sinclair Gardens Investments (Kensington) Ltd</i> [1997] 1 EGLR 47	277
<i>Billage v Southee</i> (1852) 9 Hare 534	126
<i>Birmingham Citizens Permanent Building Society v Caunt</i> [1962] Ch 883	212
<i>Black-Clawson International Ltd v Papierwerke Waldhof-Aschaffenberg AG</i> [1975] AC 591	104
<i>Borland's Trustee v Steel Bros & Co Ltd</i> [1901] 1 Ch 279	183
<i>Bowes v East London Water Works Co</i> (1820) Jacob 324; 37 ER 873.....	110
<i>Bowring v Shepard</i> (1871) LR 6 QB 309	185

<i>Bray v Ford</i> [1896] AC 44	257
<i>Bristol and West BS v Henning</i> [1995] 1 WLR 778.....	124
<i>Britannia BS v Earl</i> [1990] 1 WLR 427.....	123
<i>Britannia BS v Pugh</i> [1997] 2 FLR 7	134
<i>British Benningtons Ltd v NW Cachar Tea Co</i> [1923] AC 48	230–32
<i>British Eagle International Airlines Ltd v Cie Nationale Air France</i> [1975] 1 WLR 758.....	194
<i>Bryan v Shoultz</i> , 21 April 1999 (CA)	176
<i>Bull v Bull</i> [1955] 1 QB 234	380
<i>Burns v Burns</i> [1984] 1 Ch 317	219, 380–81, 384
<i>Bushell v Secretary of State for the Environment</i> [1981] AC 75	339
<i>Business Computers Ltd v Anglo-African Leasing Ltd</i> [1977] 1 WLR 578	194
<i>Campbell v Griffin</i> [2001] EWCA Civ 990.....	165, 167–68, 182, 383
<i>Canty v Broad</i> , 25 May 1995 (CA)	178
<i>Carl-Zeiss Stiftung v Herbert Smith & Co (No 2)</i> [1969] 2 Ch 276.....	193
<i>Carlos Federspeil & Co SA v Charles Twigg & Co Ltd</i> [1957] 1 Lloyd's Rep 240	195
<i>Carlton v Goodman</i> [2002] EWCA Civ 545; [2002] 2 FLR 259	378
<i>Carne, Re</i> [1899] 1 Ch 324.....	207
<i>Carnell v Harrison</i> [1916] 1 Ch 328.....	262
<i>Caunce v Caunce</i> [1969] 1 WLR 286	123
<i>Chalmers v Pardoe</i> [1963] 1 WLR 677	156–57
<i>Chaplin & Co Ltd v Brammall</i> [1908] 1 KB 233	124
<i>Chapple v Cooper</i> (1844) 13 M & W 252	259
<i>Chase Manhattan Bank NA v Israel-British Bank (London) Ltd</i> [1981] Ch 105	189
<i>Cheltenham & Gloucester Building Society v Norgan</i> [1996] 1 All ER 449	123, 210–15
<i>Chun v Ho (In the Matter of Melodious Corporation),</i> 30 November 2001.....	165
<i>CIBC Mortgages Ltd v Pitt</i> [1994] 1 AC 200	125, 129–32
<i>City of London BS v Flegg</i> [1988] AC 54	123
<i>Clark v Chief Land Registrar</i> [1993] Ch 294	95
<i>Cloutte v Storey</i> [1911] 1 Ch 18	110
<i>Colchester Borough Council v Smith</i> [1992] Ch 421	169
<i>Coldunell Ltd v Gallon</i> [1986] QB 1184	124
<i>Collings v Lee</i> [2001] 2 All ER 332	119
<i>Collins v Claughton</i> [1959] 1 WLR 145	241
<i>Colonial Bank v Hepworth</i> (1887) 36 Ch D 36	185
<i>Colonial Bank v Whinney</i> (1886) 11 App Cas 426.....	183
<i>Commissioner of Stamp Duties v Bone</i> [1977] AC 511.....	230
<i>Compton Bassett Case</i> (1969)	333, 338
<i>Cook v Norlands Ltd</i> [2001] 1 All ER (D) 24	166–67

<i>Cooke v Head</i> [1972] 1 WLR 518.....	380
<i>Corbett v Corbett</i> [1970] 2 All ER 33	222
<i>Cowan v Department of Economic Development</i> [2001] NI 122	345
<i>Crabb v Arun DC (No 1)</i> [1976] 1 Ch 179	150, 166
<i>Craig, Re</i> [1971] Ch 95	126
<i>Credit Lyonnais Bank Nederland NV v Burch</i> [1997]	
1 All ER 144	128, 134–35
<i>Crisp v Churchill</i> (1794) 1 Bos & Pul 340; 126 ER 939	259
<i>Critchel Down Case</i>	331
<i>Cruse v Paine</i> (1868) LR 6 Eq 641	193
<i>Cundy v Lindsay</i> (1878) 3 App Cas 459	190
<i>Daejan Properties Ltd v London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal</i>	
[2001] EWCA Civ 1095.....	279
<i>Davies v Beynon-Harris</i> (1931) 47 TLR 424	260
<i>De Falco v Crawley</i> [1980] QB 460	253
<i>Dean v Walker</i> (1996) 73 P & CR 366	354
<i>Delaforce v Evans and Evans</i> (1971) 22 P & CR 770	280
<i>Derby & Co Ltd v ItC Pension Trust Ltd</i> [1977] 2 All ER 890	178
<i>Donoghue v Poplar Housing and Regeneration Community Association</i>	
Ltd [2001] 3 WLR 183	263
<i>Donoghue v Stevenson</i> [1932] AC 562.....	367
<i>Drake v Whipp</i> [1996] 1 FLR 826.....	382
<i>Duke of Westminster v Birrane</i> [1995] QB 263	280, 282
<i>Duncuft v Albrecht</i> (1841) Sim 189	186
<i>Edwin Shirley Productions Ltd v Workspace Management Ltd</i>	
[2001] 23 ER 158	178
<i>Evans v James</i> , 20 July 2000 (CA)	178
<i>Eves v Eves</i> [1975] 1 WLR 1338	219, 379
<i>Fenner v Blake</i> [1900] 1 QB 426	239
<i>Finchbourne v Rodrigues</i> [1976] 1 All ER 591.....	277–78
<i>First Middlesbrough Trading Co Ltd v Cunningham</i> (1974)	
28 P & CR 69	212
<i>Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association</i> [1999] 4 All ER 705	222
<i>Flight v Bolland</i> (1828) 4 Russ 298	264
<i>Flowermix Ltd v Site Development (Ferndown) Ltd</i> , 11 April 2000	167, 178
<i>Foskett v McKeown</i> [2001] 1 AC 102.....	107
<i>Frazer v Walker</i> [1967] 1 AC 569; [1967] 1 All ER 649	91, 94
<i>Freedman v British Railways Board</i> (1995) 69 P & CR 13.....	342
<i>Freeguard v Royal Bank of Scotland</i> (1998) 95/13 LS Gaz 29	95
<i>Friends Provident Life Office v British Railways Board</i> [1996]	
1 All ER 336.....	229–30, 235–36, 238, 243
<i>Fry, Re</i> [1946] Ch 312	196–97
<i>Gallagher v Abbey National Building Society</i> , unreported,	
14 December 1999 (CA)	215

<i>Gibbons, Re</i> [1920] 1 Ch 372	207
<i>Gibson v Bell</i> , High Court (NI), unreported, 29 October 1999.....	221
<i>Gilje v Charlegrove Estates Ltd</i> [2000] 3 EGLR 89	279
<i>Gillespie v Scott</i> , High Court (NI), unreported, 1997.....	206
<i>Gillet v Holt</i> [2000] 2 All ER 289; [2000] 3 WLR 815; [2001] Ch 210	148, 150, 161, 168, 175–76, 179, 181, 384
<i>Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech Health Authority</i> [1986] AC 112	249, 258, 265
<i>Gissing v Gissing</i> [1971] AC 886	378
<i>Godden v Merthyr Tydfil Housing Association</i> , 15 January 1997 (CA).....	174
<i>Goldcorp Exchange Ltd, Re</i> [1995] 1 AC 74.....	185, 189, 200
<i>Goode Durrant Administration v Biddulph</i> [1994] 2 FLR 551.....	134
<i>Grant v Cigman</i> [1996] 2 BCLC 24	186
<i>Grant v Edwards</i> [1986] 2 All ER 426; [1986] Ch 638	219, 381
<i>Grey v IRC</i> [1960] AC 1	116
<i>Griss v Trust Laboratories Ltd & Patrick Cattle</i> , 16 February 2000 (CA)....	165
<i>Halifax Building Society v Clark</i> [1973] 2 All ER 33	212
<i>Hall v Hall</i> [1982] 3 FLR 379	380
<i>Halsall v Brizell</i> [1957] Ch 169	271–73, 275
<i>Hammond v Mitchell</i> [1991] 1 WLR 1127	382
<i>Harris v Tremenhere</i> (1808) 15 Ves 34	126
<i>Harrogate BC v Simpson</i> (1986) 16 Fam Law 359.....	222
<i>Harvard Securities, Re</i> [1997] 2 BCLC 369	192, 200–1
<i>Harvela Investments Ltd v Royal Trust Co of Canada (CI) Ltd</i> [1986] AC 207	186
<i>Havenridge Ltd v Boston Dyers Ltd</i> [1994] 2 EGLR 73	277
<i>Hawks v McArthur</i> [1951] 1 All ER 22	195, 198
<i>Hodgson v Marks</i> [1971] 1 Ch 892	90
<i>Holme v Brunskill</i> (1878) 3 QBD 495	237
<i>Holroyd v Marshall</i> (1862) 10 HLC 191; (1863) 33 LJ Ch 193.....	186–87
<i>Horn v Sunderland Corporation</i> [1957] 1 QB 485.....	329
<i>Hounslow London Borough Council v Hare</i> (1990) 24 HLR 9	105
<i>Howes v Bennett</i> [1909] 2 KB 390	128
<i>Huguenin v Baseley</i> (1807) 14 Ves Jun 272	126
<i>Hunter v Moss</i> [1994] 1 WLR 452	192, 195, 199–201
<i>Hussey v Palmer</i> [1972] 1 WLR 1286	146
<i>Hypo-Mortgage Services Ltd v Robinson</i> [1997] 2 FCR 442	249
<i>Inwards v Baker</i> [1965] 2 QB 29	159–60
<i>IRC v Crossman</i> [1937] AC 26.....	183
<i>IRC v Gibbs</i> [1944] AC 402	234
<i>Ive's Case</i> (1597) 5 Co Rep 11a	239
<i>Jenkins R Lewis & Son Ltd v Kerman</i> [1970] 3 All ER 414.....	236, 238–39
<i>Jennings v Rice</i> [2002] EWCA Civ 159	166–68, 172, 182, 383
<i>John Trenberth Ltd v National Westminster Bank</i> (1979) 39 P & CR 104....	353