

Understanding

CIVIL PROCEDURE

THE CALIFORNIA EDITION



Walter W. Heiser

Gene R. Shreve

Peter Raven-Hansen

Charles Gardner Geyh

 LexisNexis®

UNDERSTANDING CIVIL PROCEDURE

THE CALIFORNIA EDITION

Walter W. Heiser

Professor of Law

University of San Diego School of Law

Gene R. Shreve

Richard S. Melvin Professor of Law

Indiana University Maurer School of Law

Peter Raven-Hansen


Glen Earl Weston Research Professor of Law

George Washington University Law School

Charles Gardner Geyh

John F. Kimberling Professor of Law

Indiana University Maurer School of Law



LexisNexis®

ISBN: 978-0-7698-5156-3 (print)

ISBN: 978-0-7698-8852-1 (eBook)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Heiser, Walter W., author.

Understanding civil procedure / Walter W. Heiser, Professor of Law, University of San Diego School of Law; Gene R. Shreve, Richard S. Melvin Professor of Law, Indiana University Maurer School of Law; Peter Raven-Hansen Glen Earl Weston Research Professor of Law, George Washington University Law School; Charles Gardner Geyh, John F. Kimberling Professor of Law, Indiana University Maurer School of Law. -- The California Edition.

pages cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7698-5156-3

1. Civil procedure--California. 2. Civil procedure--California--Cases. 3. Civil procedure--United States. I. Shreve, Gene R., author. II. Raven-Hansen, Peter, 1946- author. III. Geyh, Charles Gardner, author. IV. Title.

KFC995.H455 2014

347.794'05--dc23

2013036348

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2013 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Acknowledgments

In preparing the *California Edition of Understanding Civil Procedure*, I benefited greatly from my collaboration with coauthors Peter Raven-Hansen and Charles Geyh. In particular, I would like to thank Professor Raven-Hansen for his comments and suggestions with respect to the initial manuscript. I would also like to express my gratitude to my wife, Susan, for her support and patience throughout this project.

San Diego, California

September, 2013

Preface to the California Edition

These materials discuss the entire subject of civil procedure as practiced in the federal courts and in the California state courts. This book contains the entire text of the Fifth Edition of *Understanding Civil Procedure*. In addition, it includes analysis of the relevant California procedural laws and doctrines at the end of each chapter. Although this book is primarily intended as a reference for law school civil procedure students in California, practitioners in California and elsewhere may also find it useful.

The emphasis of the California materials is often on those areas where California civil procedure departs from the general or federal rules. Accordingly, the discussion of California civil procedure makes frequent comparisons to analogous Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and federal court practice. In this manner, this book seeks to provide readers with an understanding of civil procedure in the federal courts and in the California courts, as well as appreciation of the important differences between the procedural laws in these two systems.

San Diego, California

September, 2013

Preface to the First Edition of Understanding Civil Procedure

This text treats the entire subject of civil procedure. It is primarily intended as a reference for law school civil procedure students. However, its treatment of recent developments in areas like Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 11, 16 and 26, personal jurisdiction and res judicata may make it useful to some practitioners as well.

If the law of contracts, torts or property reflects the substantive values of our society, civil procedure is the process for making those values real. The law of civil procedure governs the manner in which cases enter, transit, and leave the judicial process. It establishes the authority of courts to hear cases, opportunities for litigants to create and use a record of decision, and the force and effect of judgments.

We believe that the key to understanding the principles of civil procedure is knowing why: why they were created and why they are invoked. To these ends we have used a variety of means. History is the key to personal jurisdiction and the Erie doctrine, and we have explained them accordingly by tracing their historical evolution. Pragmatic concerns chiefly shape the civil procedure of pretrial discovery and motion practice, as well as trial practice, and we start discussion of these subjects by assessing why a lawyer is interested in them.

Federal Rule 11, discovery controls under Federal Rules 26(b)(1), 26(f), and 26(g), and expanded pretrial management under Federal Rule 16, are subjects so new that neither history nor pragmatic considerations are sufficient to anticipate their development. Using theory as well as both reported and unreported opinions available through early 1988, we have compensated by giving more prominence to these subjects than they presently enjoy in the typical civil procedure curriculum. This reflects our conviction that the subjects will grow in importance over the next few years. Finally, throughout the book we identify the latest sources which will enable readers with specialized needs to supplement the information we provide.

We have followed the practice of almost all civil procedure courses in using the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as our model. However, we have also referred to different state rules and doctrines where appropriate, striving to use a representative cross-section of state models. We have also referred frequently to major civil procedure treatises, using a short form for citations explained in § 5.

Bloomington, Indiana

Washington, D.C.

May, 1988

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	iii
Preface to the California Edition	v
Preface to the First Edition of Understanding Civil Procedure	vii

Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION 1

§ 1.01	WHAT IS CIVIL PROCEDURE?	1
[1]	Approaching the Subject	1
[2]	Substance and Procedure	2
[3]	Civil Procedure in the United States	3
[4]	Some Common Misperceptions of Civil Procedure	5
§ 1.02	SOURCES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE	7
§ 1.03	BRIEFING A CIVIL PROCEDURE CASE	11
§ 1.04	OUTLINING IN CIVIL PROCEDURE	14
§ 1.05	RESEARCH AND DRAFTING IN CIVIL PROCEDURE	15
§ 1.06	CIVIL PROCEDURE BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SHORT FORM CITATIONS	16
§ 1.07	CIVIL PROCEDURE IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	17
[1]	Introductory Note on California Civil Procedure	17
[2]	A Brief History of Civil Procedure in California	18
§ 1.08	SOURCES OF CALIFORNIA PROCEDURAL LAWS	19
[1]	“Written Law”	19
[a]	The United States Constitution and Laws	19
[b]	The California Constitution	19
[c]	The California Code of Civil Procedure	20
[d]	California Rules of Court	20
[e]	Local Rules of Court	20
[2]	“Unwritten” Law	21
[a]	Judicial Decisions	21
[b]	Publication of Appellate Court Opinions	21
[c]	Secondary Authorities (“Learned Treatises”)	22

Chapter 2 SELECTING A COURT — AN INTRODUCTION 23

§ 2.01	THE CHOICES: STATE AND FEDERAL JUDICIAL SYSTEMS	23
§ 2.02	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE SELECTION OF A COURT	26
§ 2.03	THE CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL SYSTEM	28
[1]	The California Judicial Branch	28
[a]	Superior Courts — California’s Trial Courts	28
[b]	California Courts of Appeal	29
[c]	California Supreme Court	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[d]	California Judicial Council	30
[2]	Factors Influencing the Selection of the California Courts	30
[a]	Interstate Selection: The California Courts vs. The Courts of Another State	30
[b]	Intrastate Selection: The California Courts vs. the Federal Courts	31
Chapter 3	A COURT WITH JURISDICTION OVER PERSONS AND THINGS	33
§ 3.01	PERSONAL JURISDICTION BASICS	33
[1]	How and Why Personal Jurisdiction Problems Arise	33
[2]	Law that Limits the Reach of Personal Jurisdiction	34
[a]	State Courts — Due Process and “Long Arm” Statutes	34
[b]	Federal Courts — Due Process and Rule 4	36
[3]	Traditional Categories of Jurisdiction	38
[a]	<i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction	39
[b]	<i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	39
[c]	<i>Quasi in Rem</i> Jurisdiction	39
§ 3.02	<i>PENNOYER v. NEFF</i> : AN ERA OF RIGID TERRITORIAL LIMITS . . .	41
[1]	<i>Pennoyer</i> and its Rationale	41
[2]	The Aftermath of <i>Pennoyer</i>	43
§ 3.03	<i>INTERNATIONAL SHOE CO. v. WASHINGTON</i> : THE SHIFT TO MINIMUM CONTACTS	45
[1]	Due Process and the Reasonableness of Plaintiff’s Forum Choice	45
[2]	The Advent of Minimum Contacts	47
[3]	Justifications for <i>Shoe</i> ’s Extension of Personal Jurisdiction	48
§ 3.04	SPECIFIC AND GENERAL JURISDICTION	49
[1]	The Four Scenarios from <i>International Shoe</i>	49
[2]	Specific and General Jurisdiction Compared	50
§ 3.05	SPECIFIC JURISDICTION — THE EMERGING, MULTIFACTOR ANALYSIS	52
[1]	<i>McGee v. International Life Ins. Co.</i>	52
[2]	<i>Hanson v. Denckla</i>	54
[3]	<i>World-Wide Volkswagen v. Woodson</i>	57
[4]	<i>Keeton v. Hustler Magazine</i>	59
[5]	<i>Calder v. Jones</i>	61
§ 3.06	SPECIFIC JURISDICTION — GIVING STRUCTURE TO THE EMERGING, MULTI-FACTOR ANALYSIS: <i>BURGER KING CORP. v. RUDZEWICZ</i>	62
§ 3.07	THE “STREAM OF COMMERCE” PROBLEM	65
[1]	The Stream of Commerce	65
[2]	<i>Asahi Metal Industry Co., Ltd. v. Superior Court</i>	67
[3]	<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	70

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 3.08	GENERAL JURISDICTION	72
[1]	<i>Perkins v. Benguet Consolidated Mining Co.</i>	73
[2]	<i>Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia v. Hall</i>	74
[3]	<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations v. Brown</i>	75
§ 3.09	PENNOYER REVISITED: THE LINGERING RELEVANCE OF TERRITORIAL PRESENCE	76
[1]	Presence of Property: The Decline of <i>Quasi in Rem</i> Jurisdiction	77
[a]	<i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	77
[b]	<i>Rush v. Savchuk</i>	79
[2]	Presence of Persons — The Retention of Transient Jurisdiction: <i>Burnham v. Superior Court of California</i>	80
§ 3.10	LITIGATING JURISDICTION: TIMING THE CHALLENGE	82
[1]	Direct Attack	82
[2]	Collateral Attack	82
§ 3.11	WAIVER OF OR CONSENT TO PERSONAL JURISDICTION	84
[1]	How Waiver Operates	84
[2]	Special and Limited Appearances	85
[3]	Litigating Jurisdiction as Partial Consent or Waiver	87
[4]	Jurisdiction by Pre-Litigation Consent	88
§ 3.12	ISSUES ON THE FRONTIER OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION	90
[1]	Pendent or Supplemental Personal Jurisdiction	90
[2]	Jurisdiction in Cyberspace	92
[3]	Globalization and Personal Jurisdiction	95
§ 3.13	PERSONAL JURISDICTION IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	96
[1]	The California Long-Arm Statute	96
[2]	Due Process: General vs. Specific Jurisdiction	96
[a]	<i>Vons Companies, Inc. v. Seabest Foods, Inc.</i> : The “Substantial Connection” Test	96
[b]	<i>Snowney v. Harrah’s Entertainment, Inc</i>	99
[c]	Recent Lower Court Decisions	99
[3]	The “Reasonableness” Factors	100
[4]	“Stream of Commerce”	100
[5]	Due Process: The “Effects” Test	101
[6]	Transient Jurisdiction	102
[7]	Nonresident Parent Corporations	103
[a]	Alter Ego and Agency Doctrines	103
[b]	Representative Services Doctrine	104
[8]	Raising the Personal Jurisdiction Defense	105
[a]	Waivable Due Process Right	105
[b]	Timely Motion to Quash Required	105
[c]	Appellate Review: Writ of Mandate	106
[9]	Forum Selection Agreements in the California Courts	106

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[a]	Forum Selection Clauses, Generally	106
[b]	Forum Clauses and Forum Non Conveniens	107
[c]	Validity of Forum Clauses in Consumer Contracts	107
Chapter 4	NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD	109
§ 4.01	THE DUE PROCESS GUARANTEE OF NOTICE AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD	109
§ 4.02	SPECIAL DUE PROCESS CONCERNS ARISING FROM CLASS ACTIONS AND PREJUDGMENT ATTACHMENTS	112
[1]	Class Actions	112
[2]	Prejudgment Attachments	113
§ 4.03	RULES REGULATING SERVICE OF PROCESS	115
[1]	The Interplay of Constitutional Law and Rules or Statutes; Diversity of Local Approaches	115
[2]	The Federal Rule Model	116
[3]	Service Abroad; The Hague Convention	119
§ 4.04	SERVICE OF PROCESS IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	120
[1]	Introductory Note on Manner of Service	120
[2]	Service Within California: Personal Service and Substituted Service . .	121
[a]	Personal Service Preferred for Individual Defendants	121
[b]	Substituted Service on Individuals	121
[c]	Substituted Service on Entities	122
[3]	Constructive Service by Publication	122
[4]	Discretionary Relief from Default When Service Provides No "Actual Notice"	123
[5]	Service by Mail Within California	124
[6]	Special Service Statutes	124
[7]	Service in Another State	124
[a]	Service Options	124
[b]	Service by Mail, Return Receipt Required	124
[8]	Substituted Service on Agent Within California	125
[9]	Service Outside the United States	126
[10]	Motion to Quash for Lack of Proper Service	127
[11]	Time Limits on Service of Process	128
Chapter 5	A COURT WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE SUBJECT	129
§ 5.01	INTRODUCTION	129
[1]	Subject Matter Jurisdiction Generally	129
[2]	Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts	131
PART A.	Federal Question Jurisdiction	133

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5.02	CONSTITUTIONAL SCOPE	133
§ 5.03	STATUTORY SCOPE	134
[1]	The Substantial Question Filter	135
[2]	The Well-Pleaded Complaint Filter	136
[3]	The Significance Filter	139
[a]	The Creation Test	139
[b]	The Embedded Federal Question Test	140
PART B.	Diversity Jurisdiction	143
§ 5.04	IN GENERAL	143
[1]	Rationale and the Modern Critique	143
[2]	Diversity Between Whom?	145
[3]	Further Limitations on Diversity Jurisdiction	146
§ 5.05	CITIZENSHIP	148
§ 5.06	AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY	151
[1]	The “Legal Certainty Test” and the Single Claim	151
[2]	Aggregating Multiple Claims	154
PART C.	Removal and Supplemental Jurisdiction	156
§ 5.07	REMOVAL JURISDICTION	156
[1]	In General	156
[2]	Removal of Joined Federal and State Law Claims	160
§ 5.08	OVERVIEW OF SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION AND ITS ANTECEDENTS	161
§ 5.09	PENDENT JURISDICTION	164
[1]	Pendent Claim Jurisdiction	164
[2]	Pendent Party Jurisdiction	166
§ 5.10	ANCILLARY JURISDICTION	169
§ 5.11	STATUTORY SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION	172
[1]	The Three-Part Test for Supplemental Jurisdiction	172
[a]	Qualifying Under Section 1367(a)	173
[b]	Disqualifying Under § 1367(b)	174
[c]	Discretion Under § 1367(c)	175
[2]	Problems With Subsection 1367(b)	176
[a]	Overinclusiveness?	176
[b]	Underinclusiveness?	176
§ 5.12	SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	179
[1]	Introductory Note	179
[2]	Superior Court Jurisdiction	180
[a]	California Trial Court Unification	180
[b]	“Limited” vs. “Unlimited” Civil Cases	180
[c]	Small Claims Court	182

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[d]	Probate Court	182
[3]	Superior Court vs. Administrative Tribunal Jurisdiction	183
[a]	Exclusive Agency Jurisdiction	183
[b]	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies	183
[4]	No Waiver of Jurisdictional Defense	184
Chapter 6	A CONVENIENT COURT	185
§ 6.01	TRADITIONAL BASES FOR VENUE	185
[1]	In General	185
[2]	Bases for Venue	186
[3]	Judge-Made Exceptions	190
§ 6.02	CHANGE OF VENUE	191
[1]	Dismissal and Forum Non Conveniens	191
[2]	Transfer of Venue	194
§ 6.03	PROPOSALS REGARDING THE RELATIONSHIP OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE	196
§ 6.04	PROPER VENUE IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	197
[1]	Introductory Note on Proper Venue in the California Courts	197
[2]	General Venue Rules and Exceptions	197
[3]	Proper Venue in "Mixed Actions"	199
[a]	The "Main Relief" Rule	200
[b]	Mixed Action Rules: Single Defendant and Multiple Causes of Action	200
[c]	Mixed Action Rules: Multiple Defendants and Causes of Action ...	200
[4]	Importance of the Complaint	202
[5]	Raising Improper Venue: Motion to Transfer Required	202
[6]	Change of Venue for Convenience of Witnesses, Etc.	203
[7]	Contractual Venue Provisions	203
[8]	California's Forum Non Conveniens Doctrine	204
[a]	Relevant Factors Under the California Doctrine	204
[b]	Adequate Alternate Forum	205
[c]	The "No Remedy at All" Exception	205
[d]	Effect of Plaintiff's Residency: Dismissal vs. Stay	206
[e]	Procedures for Raising Forum Non Conveniens	206
[f]	Forum Non Conveniens and Forum Selection Clauses	207
Chapter 7	ASCERTAINING THE APPLICABLE LAW	209
§ 7.01	OVERVIEW	209
§ 7.02	THE EVOLUTION FROM <i>SWIFT</i> TO <i>ERIE</i>	210
[1]	<i>Swift v. Tyson</i>	210
[2]	The Controversial Reign of the <i>Swift</i> Doctrine	210

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[3]	<i>Erie R.R. Co. v. Tompkins</i>	211
§ 7.03	TESTS FOR APPLYING <i>ERIE</i>	214
[1]	Substance-Versus-Procedure and the Outcome Determination Tests . . .	214
[2]	<i>Byrd</i> and the Assessment of State and Federal Interests	216
[3]	<i>Hanna</i> and the Modified Outcome-Determination Test	217
[4]	Later <i>Erie</i> Issues	218
[5]	Analytical Summary: Three Contemporary Tests Under the <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	220
§ 7.04	STATE LAW VERSUS FEDERAL PROCEDURAL STATUTES AND RULES PROMULGATED UNDER THE RULES ENABLING ACT . . .	222
[1]	Early Uncertainty	222
[2]	<i>Hanna, Walker, Woods, and Shady Grove</i> : The Resilience of Federal Rules of Procedure	223
[3]	Modern Analysis	228
[a]	Matters “Procedural” Within the Meaning of the Rules Enabling Act	228
[b]	The Relationship Between the Rules Enabling Act and the Rules of Decision Act	229
[4]	Other Federal Procedural Statutes	230
§ 7.05	WHICH STATE’S LAW?	232
[1]	The Conflict-of-Laws Problem	232
[2]	The <i>Klaxon</i> Rule	232
[3]	<i>Klaxon</i> and § 1404(a)	233
§ 7.06	ASCERTAINING THE CONTENT OF STATE LAW	235
[1]	The Elusive Model of the Highest State Court	235
[2]	Data to Be Used in Forecasting State Law	236
[3]	How Much Freedom Do Federal Judges Have in Handling State Law? .	238
§ 7.07	FEDERAL COMMON LAW	239
Chapter 8	SIMPLE PLEADING AND PRACTICE	245
§ 8.01	OVERVIEW: THE FUNCTIONS AND HISTORY OF PLEADING . . .	245
§ 8.02	PRE-CODE PLEADING AND PRACTICE	246
[1]	Common Law Issue Pleading and Practice	246
[2]	Equity Pleading and Practice	249
§ 8.03	CODE FACT PLEADING AND PROCEDURE	251
[1]	In General	251
[2]	The Cause of Action and the Theory of the Pleadings	252
[3]	The Specificity of Fact Pleading Under the Codes	253
§ 8.04	MODERN FEDERAL PLEADING	255
[1]	The Specificity of Federal Pleading	255
[a]	Notice Pleading	255

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[b]	Elements Pleading	256
[c]	Heightened Pleading	258
[d]	Plausible Pleading	260
[e]	Plausible Pleading After “ <i>Twiqbal</i> ”	264
[2]	Consistency and the Theory of the Pleadings in Modern Pleading	267
[3]	The Form of Pleading Under the Federal Rules	269
[4]	Special Pleading Rules in Modern Pleading	269
§ 8.05	CANDOR AND CARE REQUIREMENTS IN MODERN PLEADING	271
[1]	Verification	271
[2]	Certification and Rule 11	272
[a]	Rule 11 in General	272
[b]	Evidentiary Support	275
[c]	Legal Support	277
[d]	Improper Purpose	278
[e]	Sanctions	280
[f]	Assessment	281
§ 8.06	THE COMPLAINT	282
[1]	Statement of Jurisdiction	283
[2]	Statement of Claim and the Burden of Pleading	283
[3]	Demand for Relief	285
§ 8.07	CHALLENGING PLEADINGS: MOTION PRACTICE	286
[1]	Motion Practice in General	286
[2]	Bases for Motions	288
[a]	Defects of Form	288
[b]	Waivable Preliminary Defenses	289
[c]	Failure to State a Claim (or Defense) and Other Nonwaivable Defenses	290
[3]	Consequences of Challenging a Pleading	291
[a]	Successful Challenge	291
[b]	Unsuccessful Challenge	292
§ 8.08	THE ANSWER	292
[1]	Denials	292
[2]	Other Defenses	294
[a]	Preliminary, Nonwaivable and Affirmative Defenses	294
[b]	A Note on the Affirmative Defense of the Statute of Limitations	297
[3]	Claims by Way of Answer	299
§ 8.09	THE REPLY AND OTHER PLEADINGS	299
§ 8.10	AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS	300
[1]	Amendment Before Trial	300
[2]	Amendment During and After Trial	302
[a]	Conforming Amendment by Consent	303

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[b]	Conforming Amendment Over Objection	304
[c]	The Objecting Party's Tactical Dilemma	304
[3]	Amendment and the Statute of Limitations	305
[a]	Relation Back of Amendments Amending Claims Against the Same Parties	305
[b]	Relation Back of Amendments Amending Parties	307
[c]	Responding to Amendment	310
§ 8.11	A CONCLUDING NOTE ON COMMON SENSE IN PLEADING	311
§ 8.12	PLEADINGS IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	312
[1]	Introduction to California Code Pleading	312
[2]	The Complaint	312
[a]	Pleading Ultimate Facts	312
[b]	Federal Court Pleading Standard Compared	314
[c]	Heightened Specificity Requirements in Pleading	314
[d]	Special Pleading Rules for Punitive Damages	315
[3]	Inconsistent and Alternative Pleading	315
[4]	Prayer for Relief	316
[5]	Statement of Damages in Personal Injury Actions	316
[6]	California's "Truth in Pleadings" Statutes	317
[7]	Amendments to Pleadings in the California Courts	318
[a]	Liberal Amendment Policy	318
[b]	Amendments to Conform to Proof	318
[8]	Amended Complaints and California's Relation Back Doctrine, Generally	319
[a]	The "Same Accident" and "Same Instrumentality" Requirements . . .	320
[b]	The "Same Injury" Requirement	321
[c]	Federal Rule 15(c)(1)(B) Compared	321
§ 8.13	CALIFORNIA'S FICTITIOUS ("DOE") DEFENDANT PRACTICE . . .	322
[1]	Introductory Note	322
[2]	Requirements for Use of Doe Defendant Practice	323
[3]	Ignorance of the Defendant's "Name"	323
[a]	Ignorance of the Defendant's Actual Name	323
[b]	Ignorance of the Facts Giving Rise to the Cause of Action	324
[c]	Ignorance That the Law Provides a Cause of Action	325
[d]	The Requirement of Actual Ignorance	325
[4]	Policy Reason for California's Doe Defendant Practice	326
[5]	Time Limits for Service of the Amended Complaint	327
[6]	Federal Procedure Compared	327
§ 8.14	RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	328
[1]	The Demurrer	328
[a]	Introductory Note on Demurrers	328

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[b]	Procedures	329
[c]	Federal Rules Compared	329
[2]	Standards for General Demurrers	329
[3]	Amendment After Sustained Demurrer	330
[4]	Motion to Strike	331
[5]	The Answer	331
[a]	Introductory Note	331
[b]	Denials	332
[c]	Affirmative Defenses (“New Matter”)	333
[d]	Brief Note on the Affirmative Defense of Statute of Limitations	333
[6]	Cross-Complaints	335
Chapter 9	COMPLEX PLEADING AND PRACTICE	337
§ 9.01	OVERVIEW	337
[1]	Definitions of Complex Litigation	337
[2]	Reasons for Complex Litigation	337
[a]	Judicial Efficiency	338
[b]	Avoiding Prejudice to a Party or Absentee	338
[3]	How the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Facilitate Expansion of Claims and Parties	339
	PART A. Adding Claims Without Adding Parties	339
§ 9.02	CLAIM JOINDER	340
§ 9.03	COMPULSORY AND PERMISSIVE COUNTERCLAIMS	341
§ 9.04	CROSSCLAIMS	344
	PART B. Adding Claims by Adding Parties	346
§ 9.05	PERMISSIVE AND COMPULSORY PARTY JOINDER	346
[1]	Permissive Joinder	346
[2]	Compulsory Party Joinder	348
[a]	Required Parties Under Rule 19(a) — When Must Joinable Persons Be Brought In?	348
[b]	Rule 19(a) — When is Joinder of a Required Party Feasible?	351
[c]	Rule 19(b) — When Is the Absence of a Person Who Cannot Be Joined So Problematic that the Case Must Be Dismissed?	352
§ 9.06	IMPLEADER	354
§ 9.07	INTERPLEADER	359
§ 9.08	INTERVENTION	361
[1]	Intervention of Right	361
[2]	Permissive Intervention	363
[3]	Comparing Intervention of Right and Permissive Intervention	364
§ 9.09	CLASS ACTIONS	365

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[1]	Due Process Requirements Common to Federal and State Class Actions	367
[2]	Class Actions Under Rule 23	370
[3]	Reconciling Judicial Economy and Public Law Enforcement (b)(3) Class Actions	375
[4]	Small-Claim, Large-Class Actions	377
[a]	Comparative Availability of Federal and State Class Actions	377
[b]	Problems Small-Claim, Large-Class Actions Pose in Federal Court	378
[5]	Class Actions in Global Perspective	381
§ 9.10	CONSOLIDATING CASES — CURRENT LAW AND POSSIBILITIES FOR THE FUTURE	382
[1]	Intradistrict Consolidation — Rule 42(a)	382
[2]	Federal Multidistrict Litigation Under Section 1407	383
§ 9.11	JOINDER OF PARTIES AND CLAIMS IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	385
[1]	Introduction to California Joinder Statutes	385
[2]	Permissive Joinder of Parties	385
[a]	Permissive Joinder of Plaintiffs	385
[b]	Permissive Joinder of Defendants	385
[3]	Permissive Joinder of Claims	386
[4]	Compulsory Joinder of Parties	386
[5]	Interpleader	388
[6]	Joinder by Cross-Complaints, Generally	390
[a]	The California Cross-Complaint — A Multi-Purpose Joinder Device	390
[b]	Cross-Complaints Against an Opposing Party	390
[c]	Statutes of Limitations	391
[d]	Cross-Complaint vs. Affirmative Defense	392
[e]	Res Judicata Compared	392
[7]	Cross-Complaint Against a New Party or a Co-Party	393
[a]	New Party Cross-Complaints, Generally	393
[b]	Cross-Complaints and Equitable Indemnity	394
[8]	Intervention	395
[a]	Permissive Intervention	395
[b]	Federal Court Permissive Intervention, Compared	397
[c]	Intervention of Right	397
[9]	Intervention Procedures	398
[a]	Status of Intervener	398
[b]	Timely Application	398
§ 9.12	CLASS ACTIONS IN THE CALIFORNIA COURTS	399
[1]	California Class Actions, Generally	399
[a]	Introductory Note	399