

THE PRINCETON COMPANION TO
Timothy Gowers EDITOR

June Barrow-Green & Imre Leader
ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Mathematics



The Princeton Companion to Mathematics

EDITOR

Timothy Gowers
University of Cambridge

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

June Barrow-Green
The Open University

Imre Leader
University of Cambridge

Princeton University Press
Princeton and Oxford

Copyright © 2008 by Princeton University Press

Published by Princeton University Press,
41 William Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

In the United Kingdom: Princeton University Press,
6 Oxford Street, Woodstock, Oxfordshire OX20 1TW

All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

The Princeton companion to mathematics / Timothy Gowers, editor ;
June Barrow-Green, Imre Leader, associate editors.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-691-11880-2 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Mathematics—Study and teaching (Higher) 2. Princeton University.

I. Gowers, Timothy. II. Barrow-Green, June, date- III. Leader, Imre.

QA11.2.P745 2008

510—dc22 2008020450

British Library Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available

Grateful acknowledgment is made for permission
to reprint the following illustrations in part VI:

Page 739. Portrait of René Descartes taken from *Pantheon
berühmter Menschen aller Zeiten* (Zwickau, 1830). Courtesy of
Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen.

Page 742. Portrait of Isaac Newton. By permission of
the Master and Fellows, Trinity College Cambridge.

Page 744. Copy after a portrait of Gottfried Leibniz by Andreas
Scheits (1703). Courtesy of Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz
Bibliothek—Niedersächsische Landesbibliothek Hannover.

Page 748. Portrait of Leonhard Euler by J. F. A. Darbès (inv. no.
1829-8). Copyright: © Musée d'art et d'histoire, Ville de Genève.

Page 756. Portrait of Carl Friedrich Gauss. Courtesy of
Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen.

Page 775. Portrait of Bernhard Riemann. Courtesy of
Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen.

Page 786. Portrait of Henri Poincaré. Courtesy of
Henri Poincaré Archives (CNRS,UMR 7117, Nancy).

Page 788. Portrait of David Hilbert. Courtesy of
Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen.

This book has been composed in LucidaBright

Project management and composition
by T&T Productions Ltd, London

Printed on acid-free paper ©
press.princeton.edu

Printed in the United States of America

Preface

1 What Is *The Companion*?

Bertrand Russell, in his book *The Principles of Mathematics*, proposes the following as a definition of pure mathematics.

Pure Mathematics is the class of all propositions of the form “ p implies q ,” where p and q are propositions containing one or more variables, the same in the two propositions, and neither p nor q contains any constants except logical constants. And logical constants are all notions definable in terms of the following: Implication, the relation of a term to a class of which it is a member, the notion of *such that*, the notion of relation, and such further notions as may be involved in the general notion of propositions of the above form. In addition to these, mathematics uses a notion which is not a constituent of the propositions which it considers, namely the notion of truth.

The Princeton Companion to Mathematics could be said to be about everything that Russell’s definition leaves out.

Russell’s book was published in 1903, and many mathematicians at that time were preoccupied with the logical foundations of the subject. Now, just over a century later, it is no longer a new idea that mathematics can be regarded as a formal system of the kind that Russell describes, and today’s mathematician is more likely to have other concerns. In particular, in an era where so much mathematics is being published that no individual can understand more than a tiny fraction of it, it is useful to know not just which arrangements of symbols form grammatically correct mathematical statements, but also which of these statements deserve our attention.

Of course, one cannot hope to give a fully objective answer to such a question, and different mathematicians can legitimately disagree about what they find interesting. For that reason, this book is far less formal than Russell’s and it has many authors with many different points of view. And rather than trying

to give a precise answer to the question, “What makes a mathematical statement interesting?” it simply aims to present for the reader a large and representative sample of the ideas that mathematicians are grappling with at the beginning of the twenty-first century, and to do so in as attractive and accessible a way as possible.

2 The Scope of the Book

The central focus of this book is *modern, pure* mathematics, a decision about which something needs to be said. “Modern” simply means that, as mentioned above, the book aims to give an idea of what mathematicians are now doing: for example, an area that developed rapidly in the middle of the last century but that has now reached a settled form is likely to be discussed less than one that is still developing rapidly. However, mathematics carries its history with it: in order to understand a piece of present-day mathematics, one will usually need to know about many ideas and results that were discovered a long time ago. Moreover, if one wishes to have a proper perspective on today’s mathematics, it is essential to have some idea of how it came to be as it is. So there is plenty of history in the book, even if the main reason for our including it is to illuminate the mathematics of today.

The word “pure” is more troublesome. As many have commented, there is no clear dividing line between pure and applied mathematics, and, just as a proper appreciation of modern mathematics requires some knowledge of its history, so a proper appreciation of pure mathematics requires some knowledge of applied mathematics and theoretical physics. Indeed, these areas have provided pure mathematicians with many fundamental ideas, which have given rise to some of the most interesting, important, and currently active branches of pure mathematics. This book is certainly not blind to the impact on pure mathematics of these other disciplines, nor does it ignore the practical and

intellectual applications of pure mathematics. Nevertheless, the scope is narrower than it could be. At one stage it was suggested that a more accurate title would be “The Princeton Companion to Pure Mathematics”: the only reason for rejecting this title was that it does not sound as good as the actual title.

Another thought behind the decision to concentrate on pure mathematics was that it would leave open the possibility of a similar book, a companion *Companion* so to speak, about applied mathematics and theoretical physics. Until such a book appears, *The Road to Reality*, by Roger Penrose (Knopf, New York, 2005), covers a very wide variety of topics in mathematical physics, written at a level fairly similar to that of this book, and Elsevier has recently brought out a five-volume *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006).

3 The Companion Is Not an Encyclopedia

The word “companion” is significant. Although this book is certainly intended as a useful work of reference, you should not expect too much of it. If there is a particular mathematical concept that you want to find out about, you will not necessarily be able to find out about it here, even if it is important; though the more important it is, the more likely it is to be included. In this respect, the book is like a human companion, complete with gaps in its knowledge and views on some topics that may not be universally shared. Having said that, we have at least aimed at some sort of balance: many topics are not covered, but those that are covered range very widely (much more so than one could reasonably expect of any single human companion). In order to achieve this kind of balance, we have been guided to some extent by “objective” indicators such as the American Mathematical Society’s classification of mathematical topics, or the way that mathematics is divided into sections at the four-yearly International Congress of Mathematicians. The broad areas, such as number theory, algebra, analysis, geometry, combinatorics, logic, probability, theoretical computer science, and mathematical physics, are all represented, even if not all their subareas are. Inevitably, some of the choices about what to include, and at what length, were not the result of editorial policy, but were based on highly contingent factors such as who agreed to write, who actually submitted after having agreed, whether those who submitted stuck to their word limit, and so on. Consequently, there are some areas that are not as fully represented as

we would have liked, but the point came where it was better to publish an imperfect volume than to spend several more years striving for perfect balance. We hope that there will be future editions of *The Companion*: if so, there will be a chance to remedy any defects that there might be in this one.

Another respect in which this book differs from an encyclopedia is that it is arranged thematically rather than alphabetically. The advantage of this is that, although the articles can be enjoyed individually, they can also be regarded as part of a coherent whole. Indeed, the structure of the book is such that it would not be ridiculous to read it from cover to cover, though it would certainly be time-consuming.

4 The Structure of *The Companion*

What does it mean to say that *The Companion* is “arranged thematically”? The answer is that it is divided into eight parts, each with a different general theme and a different purpose. Part I consists of introductory material, which gives a broad overview of mathematics and explains, for the benefit of readers with less of a background in mathematics, some of the basic concepts of the subject. A rough rule of thumb is that a topic belongs in part I if it is part of the necessary background of all mathematicians rather than belonging to one specific area. GROUPS [I.3 §2.1] and VECTOR SPACES [I.3 §2.3] belong in this category, to take two obvious examples.

Part II is a collection of essays of a historical nature. Its aim is to explain how the distinctive style of modern mathematics came into being. What, broadly speaking, are the main differences between the way mathematicians think about their subject now and the way they thought about it 200 years ago (or more)? One is that there is a universally accepted standard for what counts as a proof. Closely related to this is the fact that mathematical analysis (calculus and its later extensions and developments) has been put on a rigorous footing. Other notable features are the extension of the concept of number, the abstract nature of algebra, and the fact that most modern geometers study *non-Euclidean* geometry rather than the more familiar triangles, circles, parallel lines, and the like.

Part III consists of fairly short articles, each one dealing with an important mathematical concept that has not appeared in part I. The intention is that this part of the book will be a very good place to look if there is a concept you do not know about but have often

heard mentioned. If another mathematician, perhaps a colloquium speaker, assumes that you are familiar with a definition—for example, that of a SYMPLECTIC FORM [III.88], or the INCOMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATION [III.23], or a SOBOLEV SPACE [III.29 §2.4], or the IDEAL CLASS GROUP [IV.1 §7]—and if you are too embarrassed to admit that in fact you are not, then you now have the alternative of looking these concepts up in *The Companion*.

The articles in part III would not be much use if all they gave was formal definitions: to understand a concept one wants to know what it means intuitively, why it is important, and why it was first introduced. Above all, if it is a fairly general concept, then one wants to know some good examples—ones that are not too simple and not too complicated. Indeed, it may well be that providing and discussing a well-chosen example is all that such an article needs to do, since a good example is much easier to understand than a general definition, and more experienced readers will be able to work out a general definition by abstracting the important properties from the example.

Another use of part III is to provide backup for part IV, which is the heart of the book. Part IV consists of twenty-six articles, considerably longer than those of part III, about different areas of mathematics. A typical part IV article explains some of the central ideas and important results of the area it treats, and does so as informally as possible, subject to the constraint that it should not be too vague to be informative. The original hope was for these articles to be “bedtime reading,” that is, clear and elementary enough that one could read and understand them without continually stopping to think. For that reason, the authors were chosen with two priorities in mind, of equal importance: expertise and expository skill. But mathematics is not an easy subject, and in the end we had to regard the complete accessibility we originally hoped for as an ideal that we would strive toward, even if it was not achieved in every last subsection of every article. But even when the articles are tough going, they discuss what they discuss in a clearer and less formal way than a typical textbook, often with remarkable success. As with part III, several authors have achieved this by looking at illuminating examples, which they sometimes follow with more general theory and sometimes leave to speak for themselves.

Many part IV articles contain excellent descriptions of mathematical concepts that would otherwise have had articles devoted to them in part III. We originally

planned to avoid duplication completely, and instead to include cross-references to these descriptions in part III. However, this risked irritating the reader, so we decided on the following compromise. Where a concept is adequately explained elsewhere, part III does not have a full article, but it does have a short description together with a cross-reference. This way, if you want to look a concept up quickly, you can use part III, and only if you need more detail will you be forced to follow the cross-reference to another part of the book.

Part V is a complement to part III. Again, it consists of short articles on important mathematical topics, but now these topics are the theorems and open problems of mathematics rather than the basic objects and tools of study. As with the book as a whole, the choice of entries in part V is necessarily far from comprehensive, and has been made with a number of criteria in mind. The most obvious one is mathematical importance, but some entries were chosen because it is possible to discuss them in an entertaining and accessible way, others because they have some unusual feature (an example is the FOUR-COLOR THEOREM [V.12], though this might well have been included anyway), some because the authors of closely related part IV articles felt that certain theorems should be discussed separately, and some because authors of several other articles wanted to assume them as background knowledge. As with part III, some of the entries in part V are not full articles but short accounts with cross-references to other articles.

Part VI is another historical section, about famous mathematicians. It consists of short articles, and the aim of each article is to give very basic biographical information (such as nationality and date of birth), together with an explanation of why the mathematician in question is famous. Initially, we planned to include living mathematicians, but in the end we came to the conclusion that it would be almost impossible to make a satisfactory selection of mathematicians working today, so we decided to restrict ourselves to mathematicians who had died, and moreover to mathematicians who were principally known for work carried out before 1950. Later mathematicians do of course feature in the book, since they are mentioned in other articles. They do not have their own entries, but one can get some idea of their achievements by looking them up in the index.

After six parts mainly about pure mathematics and its history, part VII finally demonstrates the great

external impact that mathematics has had, both practically and intellectually. It consists of longer articles, some written by mathematicians with interdisciplinary interests and others by experts from other disciplines who make considerable use of mathematics.

The final part of the book contains general reflections about the nature of mathematics and mathematical life. The articles in this part are on the whole more accessible than the longer articles earlier in the book, so even though part VIII is the final part, some readers may wish to make it one of the first parts they look at.

The order of the articles within the parts is alphabetical in parts III and V and chronological in part VI. The decision to organize the articles about mathematicians in order of their dates of birth was carefully considered, and we made it for several reasons: it would encourage the reader to get a sense of the history of the subject by reading the part right through rather than just looking at individual articles; it would make it much clearer which mathematicians were contemporaries or near contemporaries; and after the slight inconvenience of looking up a mathematician by guessing his or her date of birth relative to those of other mathematicians, the reader would learn something small but valuable.

In the other parts, some attempt has been made to arrange the articles thematically. This applies in particular to part IV, where the ordering attempts to follow two basic principles: first, that articles about closely related branches of mathematics should be close to each other in the book; and second, that if it makes obvious sense to read article A before article B, then article A should come before article B in the book. This is easier said than done, since some branches are hard to classify: for instance, should arithmetic geometry count as algebra, geometry, or number theory? A case could be made for any of the three and it is artificial to decide on just one. So the ordering in part IV should not be taken as a classification scheme, but just as the best linear ordering we could think of.

As for the order of the parts themselves, the aim has been to make it the most natural one from a pedagogical point of view and to give the book some sense of direction. Parts I and II are obviously introductory, in different ways. Part III comes before part IV because in order to understand an area of mathematics one tends to start by grappling with new definitions. But part IV comes before part V because in order to appreciate a theorem it is a good idea to know how it fits into an area of mathematics. Part VI is placed after

parts III-V because one can better appreciate the contribution of a famous mathematician after knowing some mathematics. Part VII is near the end for a similar reason: to understand the influence of mathematics, one should understand mathematics first. And the reflections of part VIII are a sort of epilogue, and therefore an appropriate way for the book to sign off.

5 Cross-References

From the start, it was planned that *The Companion* would have a large number of cross-references. One or two have even appeared in this preface, signalled by `THIS FONT`, together with an indication of where to find the relevant article. For example, the reference to a `SYMPLECTIC FORM` [III.88] indicated that symplectic forms are discussed in article number 88 of part III, and the reference to the `IDEAL CLASS GROUP` [IV.1 §7] pointed the reader to section 7 of article number 1 in part IV.

We have tried as hard as possible to produce a book that is a pleasure to read, and the aim is that cross-references should contribute to this pleasure. This may seem a rather strange thing to say, since it can be annoying to interrupt what one is reading in a book in order to spend a few seconds looking something up elsewhere. However, we have also tried to keep the articles as self-contained as is feasible. Thus, if you do not want to pursue the cross-references, then you will usually not have to. The main exception to this is that authors have been allowed to assume some knowledge of the concepts discussed in part I. If you do not know any university mathematics, then you would be well-advised to start by reading part I in full, as this will greatly reduce your need to look things up while reading later articles.

Sometimes a concept is introduced in an article and then explained in that article. The usual convention in mathematical writing is to italicize a term when it is being defined. We have stuck to something like that convention, but in an informal article it is not always clear what constitutes the moment of definition of a new or unfamiliar term. Our rough policy has been to italicize a term the first time it is used if that use is followed by a discussion that gives some kind of explanation of the term. We have also italicized terms that are not subsequently explained: this should be taken as a signal that the reader is not required to understand the term in order to understand the rest of the article in question. In more extreme cases of this kind, quotation marks may be used instead.

Many of the articles end with brief “Further Reading” sections. These are exactly that: suggestions for further reading. They should not be thought of as full-scale bibliographies such as one might find at the end of a survey article. Related to this is the fact that it is not a major concern of *The Companion* to give credit to all the mathematicians who made the discoveries that it discusses or to cite the papers where those discoveries appeared. The reader who is interested in original sources should be able to find them from the books and articles in the further reading sections, or from the Internet.

6 Who Is *The Companion* Aimed At?

The original plan for *The Companion* was that all of it should be accessible to anybody with a good background in high school mathematics (including calculus). However, it soon became apparent that this was an unrealistic aim: there are branches of mathematics that are so much easier to understand when one knows at least some university-level mathematics that it does not make good sense to attempt to explain them at a lower level. On the other hand, there are other parts of the subject that decidedly *can* be explained to readers without this extra experience. So in the end we abandoned the idea that the book should have a uniform level of difficulty.

Accessibility has, however, remained one of our highest priorities, and throughout the book we have tried to discuss mathematical ideas at the lowest level that is practical. In particular, the editors have tried very hard not to allow any material into the book that they do not themselves understand, which has turned out to be a very serious constraint. Some readers will find some articles too hard and other readers will find other articles too easy, but we hope that all readers from advanced high school level onwards will find that they enjoy a substantial proportion of the book.

What can readers of different levels hope to get out of *The Companion*? If you have embarked on a university-level mathematics course, you may find that you are presented with a great deal of difficult and unfamiliar material without having much idea why it is important and where it is all going. Then you can use *The Companion* to provide yourself with some perspective on the subject. (For example, many more people know what a ring is than can give a good reason for caring about rings. But there are very good reasons, which you can read about in RINGS, IDEALS, AND MODULES [III.8.1] and ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS [IV.1].)

If you are coming to the end of the course, you may be interested in doing research in mathematics. But undergraduate courses typically give you very little idea of what research is actually like. So how do you decide which areas of mathematics truly interest you at the research level? It is not easy, but the decision can make the difference between becoming disillusioned and ultimately not getting a Ph.D., and going on to a successful career in mathematics. This book, especially part IV, tells you what mathematicians of many different kinds are thinking about at the research level, and may help you to make a more informed decision.

If you are already an established research mathematician, then your main use for this book will probably be to understand better what your colleagues are up to. Most nonmathematicians are very surprised to learn how extraordinarily specialized mathematics has become. Nowadays it is not uncommon for a very good mathematician to be completely unable to understand the papers of another mathematician, even from an area that appears to be quite close. This is not a healthy state of affairs: anything that can be done to improve the level of communication among mathematicians is a good idea. The editors of this book have learned a huge amount from reading the articles carefully, and we hope that many others will avail themselves of the same opportunity.

7 What Does *The Companion* Offer That the Internet Does Not Offer?

In some ways the character of *The Companion* is similar to that of a large mathematical Web site such as the mathematical part of Wikipedia or Eric Weisstein’s “Mathworld” (<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/>). In particular, the cross-references have something of the feel of hyperlinks. So is there any need for this book?

At the moment, the answer is yes. If you have ever tried to use the Internet to find out about a mathematical concept, then you will know that it is a hit-and-miss affair. Sometimes you find a good explanation that gives you the information you were looking for. But often you do not. The Web sites just mentioned are certainly useful, and recommended for material that is not covered here, but at the time of writing most of the online articles are written in a different style from the articles in this book: drier, and more concerned with giving the basic facts in an economical way than with reflecting on those facts. And one does not find long essays of the kind contained in parts I, II, IV, VII, and VIII of this book.

Some people will also find it advantageous to have a large collection of material in book form. As has already been mentioned, this book is organized not as a collection of isolated articles but as a carefully ordered sequence that exploits the linear structure that all books necessarily have and that Web sites do not have. And the physical nature of a book makes browsing through it a completely different experience from browsing a Web site: after reading the list of contents one can get a feel for the entire book, whereas with a large Web site one is somehow conscious only of the page one is looking at. Not everyone will agree with this or find it a significant advantage, but many undoubtedly will and it is for them that the book has been written. For now, therefore, *The Princeton Companion to Mathematics* does not have a serious online competitor: rather than competing with the existing Web sites, it complements them.

8 How *The Companion* Came into Being

The Princeton Companion to Mathematics was first conceived by David Ireland in 2002, who was at the time employed in the Oxford office of Princeton University Press. The most important features of the book—its title, its organization into sections, and the idea that one of these sections should consist of articles about major branches of mathematics—were all part of his original conception. He came to visit me in Cambridge to discuss his proposal, and when the moment came (it was clearly going to) for him to ask whether I would be prepared to edit it, I accepted more or less on the spot.

What induced me to make such a decision? It was partly because he told me that I would not be expected to do all the work on my own: not only would there be other editors involved, but also there would be considerable technical and administrative support. But a more fundamental reason was that the idea for the book was very similar to one that I had had myself in an idle moment as a research student. It would be wonderful, I thought then, if somewhere one could find a collection of well-written essays that presented for you the big themes of mathematical research in different areas of mathematics. Thus a little fantasy had been born, and suddenly I had the chance to turn it into a reality.

We knew from the outset that we wanted the book to contain a certain amount of historical reflection, and soon after this meeting David Ireland asked June

Barrow-Green whether she was prepared to be another editor, with particular responsibility for the historical parts. To our delight, she accepted, and with her remarkable range of contacts she gave us access to more or less all the mathematical historians in the world.

There then began several meetings to plan the more detailed content of the volume, ending in a formal proposal to Princeton University Press. They sent it out to a team of expert advisers, and although some made the obvious point that it was a dauntingly huge project, all were enthusiastic about it. This enthusiasm was also evident at the next stage, when we began to find contributors. Many of them were very encouraging and said how glad they were that such a book was being produced, confirming what we already thought: that there was a gap in the market. During this stage, we benefited greatly from the advice and experience of Alison Latham, editor of *The Oxford Companion to Music*.

In the middle of 2003, David Ireland left Princeton University Press, and with it this project. This was a big blow, and we missed his vision and enthusiasm for the book: we hope that what we have finally produced is something like what he originally had in mind. However, there was a positive development at around the same time, when Princeton University Press decided to employ a small company called T&T Productions Ltd. The company was to be responsible for producing a book out of the files submitted by the contributors, as well as for doing a great deal of the day-to-day work such as sending out contracts, reminding contributors that their deadlines were approaching, receiving files, keeping a record of what had been done, and so on. Most of this work was done by Sam Clark, who is extraordinarily good at it and manages to be miraculously good-humored at the same time. In addition, he did a great deal of copy-editing as well, where that did not need too great a knowledge of mathematics (though as a former chemist he knows more than most people). With Sam's help we have not just a carefully edited book but one that is beautifully designed as well. Without him, I do not see how it would have ever been completed.

We continued to have regular meetings, to plan the book in more detail and to discuss progress on it. These meetings were now ably organized and chaired by Richard Baggaley, also from the Oxford office of Princeton University Press. He continued to do this until the summer of 2004, when Anne Savarese, Princeton's new reference editor, took over. Richard and

Anne have also been immensely useful, asking the editors the right awkward questions when we have been tempted to forget about the parts of the book that were not quite going to plan, and forcing on us a level of professionalism that, to me at least, does not come naturally.

In early 2004, at what we naively thought was a late stage in the preparation of the book, but which we now understand was actually near the beginning, we realized that, even with June's help, I had far too much to do. One person immediately sprang to mind as an ideal coeditor: Imre Leader, who I knew would understand what the book was trying to achieve and would have ideas about how to achieve it. He agreed, and quickly became an indispensable member of the editorial team, commissioning and editing several articles.

By the second half of 2007, we really were at a late stage, and by that time it had become clear that additional editorial help would make it much easier to complete the tricky tasks that we had been postponing and actually get the book finished. Jordan Ellenberg and Terence Tao agreed to help, and their contribution was invaluable. They edited some of the articles, wrote others, and enabled me to write several short articles on subjects that were outside my area of expertise, safe in the knowledge that they would stop me making serious errors. (I would have made several without their help, but take full responsibility for any that may have slipped through the net.) Articles by the editors have been left unattributed, but a note at the end of the contributor list explains which ones were written by which editor.

9 The Editorial Process

It is not always easy to find mathematicians with the patience and understanding to explain what they are doing to nonexperts or colleagues from other areas: too often they assume you know something that you do not, and it is embarrassing to admit that you are completely lost. However, the editors of this book have tried to help you by taking this burden of embarrassment on themselves. An important feature of the book has been that the editorial process has been a very active one: we have not just commissioned the articles and accepted whatever we have been sent. Some drafts have had to be completely discarded and new articles written in the light of editorial comments. Others have needed substantial changes, which have sometimes been made by the authors and sometimes by the editors. A few

articles were accepted with only trivial changes, but these were a very small minority.

The tolerance, even gratitude, with which almost all authors have allowed themselves to be subjected to this treatment has been a very welcome surprise and has helped the editors maintain their morale during the long years of preparation of this volume. We would like to express our gratitude in return, and we hope that they agree that the whole process has been worthwhile. To us it seems inconceivable that this amount of work could go into the articles *without* a substantial payoff. It is not my place to say how successful I think the outcome has been, but, given the number of changes that were made in the interests of accessibility, and given that interventionist editing of this type is rare in mathematics, I do not see how the book can fail to be unusual in a good way.

A sign of just how long everything has taken, and also of the quality of the contributors, is that a significant number of contributors have received major awards and distinctions since being invited to contribute. At least three babies have been born to authors in the middle of preparing articles. Two contributors, Benjamin Yandell and Graham Allan, have sadly not lived to see their articles in print, but we hope that in a small way this book will be a memorial to them.

10 Acknowledgments

An early part of the editorial process was of course planning the book and finding authors. This would have been impossible without the help and advice of several people. Donald Albers, Michael Atiyah, Jordan Ellenberg, Tony Gardiner, Sergiu Klainerman, Barry Mazur, Curt McMullen, Robert O'Malley, Terence Tao, and Avi Wigderson all gave advice that in one way or another had a beneficial effect on the shape of the book. June Barrow-Green has been greatly helped in her task by Jeremy Gray and Reinhard Siegmund-Schultze. In the final weeks, Vicky Neale very kindly agreed to proof-read certain sections of the book and help with the index; she was amazing at this, picking up numerous errors that we would never have spotted ourselves and are very pleased to have corrected. And there is a long list of mathematicians and mathematical historians who have patiently answered questions from the editors: we would like to thank them all.

I am grateful to many people for their encouragement, including virtually all the contributors to this volume and many members of my immediate family,

particularly my father, Patrick Gowers: this support has kept me going despite the mountainous appearance of the task ahead. I would also like to thank Julie Barrau for her less direct but equally essential help. During the final months of preparation of the book, she agreed to

take on much more than her fair share of our domestic duties. Given that a son was born to us in November 2007, this made a huge difference to my life, as has she.

Timothy Gowers

Contributors

Graham Allan, *late Reader in Mathematics,
University of Cambridge*
THE SPECTRUM [III.86]

Noga Alon, *Baumritter Professor of Mathematics and
Computer Science, Tel Aviv University*
EXTREMAL AND PROBABILISTIC COMBINATORICS [IV.19]

George Andrews, *Evan Pugh Professor in the Department of
Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University*
SRINIVASA RAMANUJAN [VI.82]

Tom Archibald, *Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Simon Fraser University*
THE DEVELOPMENT OF RIGOR IN MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS [II.5],
CHARLES HERMITE [VI.47]

Sir Michael Atiyah, *Honorary Professor,
School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh*
WILLIAM VALLANCE DOUGLAS HODGE [VI.90],
ADVICE TO A YOUNG MATHEMATICIAN [VIII.6]

David Aubin, *Assistant Professor,
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu*
NICOLAS BOURBAKI [VI.96]

Joan Bagaria, *ICREA Research Professor,
University of Barcelona*
SET THEORY [IV.22]

Keith Ball, *Astor Professor of Mathematics,
University College London*
THE EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM AND CONTINUED FRACTIONS [III.22],
OPTIMIZATION AND LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS [III.64],
HIGH-DIMENSIONAL GEOMETRY AND ITS
PROBABILISTIC ANALOGUES [IV.26]

Alan F. Beardon, *Professor of Complex Analysis,
University of Cambridge*
RIEMANN SURFACES [III.79]

David D. Ben-Zvi, *Associate Professor of Mathematics,
University of Texas, Austin*
MODULI SPACES [IV.8]

Vitaly Bergelson, *Professor of Mathematics,
The Ohio State University*
ERGODIC THEOREMS [V.9]

Nicholas Bingham, *Professor, Mathematics Department,
Imperial College London*
ANDREI NIKOLAEVICH KOLMOGOROV [VI.88]

Béla Bollobás, *Professor of Mathematics,
University of Cambridge and University of Memphis*
GODFREY HAROLD HARDY [VI.73],
JOHN EDENSOR LITTLEWOOD [VI.79],
ADVICE TO A YOUNG MATHEMATICIAN [VIII.6]

Henk Bos, *Honorary Professor, Department of Science Studies,
Aarhus University; Professor Emeritus, Department of
Mathematics, Utrecht University*
RENÉ DESCARTES [VI.11]

Bodil Branner, *Emeritus Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Technical University of Denmark*
DYNAMICS [IV.14]

Martin R. Bridson, *Whitehead Professor of Pure Mathematics,
University of Oxford*
GEOMETRIC AND COMBINATORIAL GROUP THEORY [IV.10]

John P. Burgess, *Professor of Philosophy, Princeton University*
ANALYSIS, MATHEMATICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL [VII.12]

Kevin Buzzard, *Professor of Pure Mathematics,
Imperial College London*
L-FUNCTIONS [III.47], MODULAR FORMS [III.59]

Peter J. Cameron, *Professor of Mathematics,
Queen Mary, University of London*
DESIGNS [III.14], GÖDEL'S THEOREM [V.15]

Jean-Luc Chabert, *Professor, Laboratoire Amiénois de
Mathématique Fondamentale et Appliquée, Université de Picardie*
ALGORITHMS [II.4]

Eugenia Cheng, *Lecturer, Department of Pure Mathematics,
University of Sheffield*
CATEGORIES [III.8]

Clifford Cocks, *Chief Mathematician,
Government Communications Headquarters, Cheltenham*
MATHEMATICS AND CRYPTOGRAPHY [VII.7]

Alain Connes, *Professor,
Collège de France, IHES, and Vanderbilt University*
ADVICE TO A YOUNG MATHEMATICIAN [VIII.6]

Leo Corry, *Director, The Cohn Institute for History and
Philosophy of Science and Ideas, Tel Aviv University*
THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE IDEA OF PROOF [II.6]

Wolfgang Coy, *Professor of Computer Science,
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin*
JOHN VON NEUMANN [VI.91]

Tony Crilly, *Emeritus Reader in Mathematical Sciences,
Department of Economics and Statistics, Middlesex University*
ARTHUR CAYLEY [VI.46]

Serafina Cuomo, *Lecturer in Roman History, School of History,
Classics and Archaeology, Birkbeck College*
PYTHAGORAS [VI.1], EUCLID [VI.2], ARCHIMEDES [VI.3],
APOLLONIUS [VI.4]

Mihalis Dafermos, *Reader in Mathematical Physics,
University of Cambridge*
GENERAL RELATIVITY AND THE EINSTEIN EQUATIONS [IV.13]

Partha Dasgupta, *Frank Ramsey Professor of Economics, University of Cambridge*
MATHEMATICS AND ECONOMIC REASONING [VII.8]

Ingrid Daubechies, *Professor of Mathematics, Princeton University*
WAVELETS AND APPLICATIONS [VI.3]

Joseph W. Dauben, *Distinguished Professor, Herbert H. Lehman College and City University of New York*
GEORG CANTOR [VI.54], ABRAHAM ROBINSON [VI.95]

John W. Dawson Jr., *Professor of Mathematics, Emeritus, The Pennsylvania State University*
KURT GÖDEL [VI.92]

Francois de Gandt, *Professeur d'Histoire des Sciences et de Philosophie, Université Charles de Gaulle, Lille*
JEAN LE ROND D'ALEMBERT [VI.20]

Persi Diaconis, *Mary V. Sunseri Professor of Statistics and Mathematics, Stanford University*
MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS [VII.10]

Jordan S. Ellenberg, *Associate Professor of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin*
ELLIPTIC CURVES [III.21], SCHEMES [III.82],
ARITHMETIC GEOMETRY [IV.5]

Lawrence C. Evans, *Professor of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley*
VARIATIONAL METHODS [III.94]

Florence Fasanelli, *Program Director, American Association for the Advancement of Science*
MATHEMATICS AND ART [VII.14]

Anita Burdman Feferman, *Independent Scholar and Writer*
ALFRED TARSKI [VI.87]

Solomon Feferman, *Patrick Suppes Family Professor of Humanities and Sciences and Emeritus Professor of Mathematics and Philosophy, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University*
ALFRED TARSKI [VI.87]

Charles Fefferman, *Professor of Mathematics, Princeton University*
THE EULER AND NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS [III.23],
CARLESON'S THEOREM [V.5]

Della Fenster, *Professor, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Richmond, Virginia*
EMIL ARTIN [VI.86]

José Ferreirós, *Professor of Logic and Philosophy of Science, University of Seville*
THE CRISIS IN THE FOUNDATIONS OF MATHEMATICS [II.7],
JULIUS WILHELM RICHARD DEDEKIND [VI.50],
GIUSEPPE PEANO [VI.62]

David Fisher, *Associate Professor of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington*
MOSTOW'S STRONG RIGIDITY THEOREM [V.23]

Terry Gannon, *Professor, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Alberta*
VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS [IV.17]

A. Gardiner, *Reader in Mathematics and Mathematics Education, University of Birmingham*
THE ART OF PROBLEM SOLVING [VIII.1]

Charles C. Gillispie, *Dayton-Stockton Professor of History of Science, Emeritus, Princeton University*
PIERRE-SIMON LAPLACE [VI.23]

Oded Goldreich, *Professor of Computer Science, Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel*
COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY [IV.20]

Catherine Goldstein, *Directeur de Recherche, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, CNRS, Paris*
PIERRE FERMAT [VI.12]

Fernando Q. Gouvêa, *Carter Professor of Mathematics, Colby College, Waterville, Maine*
FROM NUMBERS TO NUMBER SYSTEMS [II.1],
LOCAL AND GLOBAL IN NUMBER THEORY [III.51]

Andrew Granville, *Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Université de Montréal*
ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY [IV.2]

Ivor Grattan-Guinness, *Emeritus Professor of the History of Mathematics and Logic, Middlesex University*
ADRIEN-MARIE LEGENDRE [VI.24], JEAN-BAPTISTE JOSEPH FOURIER [VI.25], SIMÉON-DENIS POISSON [VI.27], AUGUSTIN-LOUIS CAUCHY [VI.29], BERTRAND ARTHUR WILLIAM RUSSELL [VI.71],
FRIGYES (FRÉDÉRIC) RIESZ [VI.74]

Jeremy Gray, *Professor of History of Mathematics, The Open University*
GEOMETRY [II.2], FUCHSIAN GROUPS [III.28],
CARL FRIEDRICH GAUSS [VI.26], AUGUST FERDINAND MÖBIUS [VI.30], NICOLAI IVANOVICH LOBACHEVSKII [VI.31],
JÁNOS BOLYAI [VI.34], GEORG BERNHARD FRIEDRICH RIEMANN [VI.49], WILLIAM KINGDON CLIFFORD [VI.55],
ÉLIE JOSEPH CARTAN [VI.69], THORALF SKOLEM [VI.81]

Ben Green, *Herchel Smith Professor of Pure Mathematics, University of Cambridge*
THE GAMMA FUNCTION [III.31], IRRATIONAL AND TRANSCENDENTAL NUMBERS [III.41], MODULAR ARITHMETIC [III.58], NUMBER FIELDS [III.63],
QUADRATIC FORMS [III.73], TOPOLOGICAL SPACES [III.90],
TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONS [III.92]

Ian Grojnowski, *Professor of Pure Mathematics, University of Cambridge*
REPRESENTATION THEORY [IV.9]

Niccolò Guicciardini, *Associate Professor of History of Science, University of Bergamo*
ISAAC NEWTON [VI.14]

Michael Harris, *Professor of Mathematics, Université Paris 7—Denis Diderot*
"WHY MATHEMATICS?" YOU MIGHT ASK [VIII.2]

Ulf Hashagen, *Doctor, Munich Center for the History of Science and Technology, Deutsches Museum, Munich*
PETER GUSTAV LEJEUNE DIRICHLET [VI.36]

Nigel Higson, *Professor of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University*
OPERATOR ALGEBRAS [IV.15],
THE ATIYAH-SINGER INDEX THEOREM [V.2]

Andrew Hodges, *Tutorial Fellow in Mathematics, Wadham College, University of Oxford*
ALAN TURING [VI.94]

F. E. A. Johnson, *Professor of Mathematics, University College London*
BRAID GROUPS [III.4]

Mark Joshi, *Associate Professor, Centre for Actuarial Studies, University of Melbourne*
THE MATHEMATICS OF MONEY [VII.9]

Kiran S. Kedlaya, Associate Professor of Mathematics,
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
FROM QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY TO CLASS FIELD THEORY [V.28]

Frank Kelly, Professor of the Mathematics of Systems and
Master of Christ's College, University of Cambridge
THE MATHEMATICS OF TRAFFIC IN NETWORKS [VII.4]

Sergiu Klainerman, Professor of Mathematics,
Princeton University
PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS [IV.12]

Jon Kleinberg, Professor of Computer Science, Cornell University
THE MATHEMATICS OF ALGORITHM DESIGN [VII.5]

Israel Kleiner, Professor Emeritus,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University
KARL WEIERSTRASS [VI.44]

Jacek Klinowski, Professor of Chemical Physics,
University of Cambridge
MATHEMATICS AND CHEMISTRY [VII.1]

Eberhard Knobloch, Professor, Institute for Philosophy, History
of Science and Technology, Technical University of Berlin
GOTTFRIED WILHELM LEIBNIZ [VI.15]

János Kollár, Professor of Mathematics, Princeton University
ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY [IV.4]

T. W. Körner, Professor of Fourier Analysis,
University of Cambridge
SPECIAL FUNCTIONS [III.85], TRANSFORMS [III.91],
THE BANACH-TARSKI PARADOX [V.3],
THE UBIQUITY OF MATHEMATICS [VIII.3]

Michael Krivelevich, Professor of Mathematics,
Tel Aviv University
EXTREMAL AND PROBABILISTIC COMBINATORICS [IV.19]

Peter D. Lax, Professor, Courant Institute of
Mathematical Sciences, New York University
RICHARD COURANT [VI.83]

Jean-François Le Gall, Professor of Mathematics,
Université Paris-Sud, Orsay
STOCHASTIC PROCESSES [IV.24]

W. B. R. Lickorish, Emeritus Professor of Geometric Topology,
University of Cambridge
KNOT POLYNOMIALS [III.44]

Martin W. Liebeck, Professor of Pure Mathematics,
Imperial College London
PERMUTATION GROUPS [III.68], THE CLASSIFICATION OF
FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS [V.7], THE INSOLUBILITY OF
THE QUINTIC [V.21]

Jesper Lützen, Professor, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Copenhagen
JOSEPH LIOUVILLE [VI.39]

Des MacHale, Associate Professor of Mathematics,
University College Cork
GEORGE BOOLE [VI.43]

Alan L. Mackay, Professor Emeritus,
School of Crystallography, Birkbeck College
MATHEMATICS AND CHEMISTRY [VII.1]

Shahn Majid, Professor of Mathematics,
Queen Mary, University of London
QUANTUM GROUPS [III.75]

Lech Maligranda, Professor of Mathematics,
Luleå University of Technology, Sweden
STEFAN BANACH [VI.84]

David Marker, Head of the Department of Mathematics,
Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago
LOGIC AND MODEL THEORY [IV.23]

Jean Mawhin, Professor of Mathematics,
Université Catholique de Louvain
CHARLES-JEAN DE LA VALLÉE POUSSIN [VI.67]

Barry Mazur, Gerhard Gade University Professor,
Mathematics Department, Harvard University
ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS [IV.1]

Dusa McDuff, Professor of Mathematics,
Stony Brook University and Barnard College
ADVICE TO A YOUNG MATHEMATICIAN [VIII.6]

Colin McLarty, Truman P. Handy Associate Professor of
Philosophy and of Mathematics, Case Western Reserve University
EMMY NOETHER [VI.76]

Bojan Mohar, Canada Research Chair in Graph Theory,
Simon Fraser University; Professor of Mathematics,
University of Ljubljana
THE FOUR-COLOR THEOREM [V.12]

Peter M. Neumann, Fellow and Tutor in Mathematics,
The Queen's College, Oxford; University Lecturer in
Mathematics, University of Oxford
NIELS HENRIK ABEL [VI.33], ÉVARISTE GALOIS [VI.41],
FERDINAND GEORG FROBENIUS [VI.58], WILLIAM BURNSIDE [VI.60]

Catherine Nolan, Associate Professor of Music,
The University of Western Ontario
MATHEMATICS AND MUSIC [VII.13]

James Norris, Professor of Stochastic Analysis,
Statistical Laboratory, University of Cambridge
PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS [III.71]

Brian Osserman, Assistant Professor, Department of
Mathematics, University of California, Davis
THE WEIL CONJECTURES [V.35]

Richard S. Palais, Professor of Mathematics,
University of California, Irvine
LINEAR AND NONLINEAR WAVES AND SOLITONS [III.49]

Marco Panza, Directeur de Recherche, CNRS, Paris
JOSEPH LOUIS LAGRANGE [VI.22]

Karen Hunger Parshall, Professor of History and Mathematics,
University of Virginia
THE DEVELOPMENT OF ABSTRACT ALGEBRA [II.3],
JAMES JOSEPH SYLVESTER [VI.42]

Gabriel P. Paternain, Reader in Geometry and Dynamics,
University of Cambridge
SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS [III.88]

Jeanne Peiffer, Directeur de Recherche,
CNRS, Centre Alexandre Koyré, Paris
THE BERNOULLIS [VI.18]

Birgit Petri, Ph.D. Candidate,
Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt
LEOPOLD KRONECKER [VI.48], ANDRÉ WEIL [VI.93]

Carl Pomerance, Professor of Mathematics, Dartmouth College
COMPUTATIONAL NUMBER THEORY [IV.3]

Helmut Pulte, Professor, Ruhr-Universität Bochum
CARL GUSTAV JACOB JACOBI [VI.35]

Bruce Reed, *Canada Research Chair in Graph Theory, McGill University*
THE ROBERTSON–SEYMOUR THEOREM [V.32]

Michael C. Reed, *Bishop–MacDermott Family Professor of Mathematics, Duke University*
MATHEMATICAL BIOLOGY [VII.2]

Adrian Rice, *Associate Professor of Mathematics, Randolph–Macon College, Virginia*
A CHRONOLOGY OF MATHEMATICAL EVENTS [VIII.7]

Eleanor Robson, *Senior Lecturer, Department of History and Philosophy of Science, University of Cambridge*
NUMERACY [VIII.4]

Igor Rodnianski, *Professor of Mathematics, Princeton University*
THE HEAT EQUATION [III.36]

John Roe, *Professor of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University*
OPERATOR ALGEBRAS [IV.15],
THE ATIYAH–SINGER INDEX THEOREM [V.2]

Mark Ronan, *Professor of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Chicago; Honorary Professor of Mathematics, University College London*
BUILDINGS [III.5], LIE THEORY [III.48]

Edward Sandifer, *Professor of Mathematics, Western Connecticut State University*
LEONHARD EULER [VI.19]

Peter Sarnak, *Professor, Princeton University and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton*
ADVICE TO A YOUNG MATHEMATICIAN [VIII.6]

Tilman Sauer, *Doctor, Einstein Papers Project, California Institute of Technology*
HERMANN MINKOWSKI [VI.64]

Norbert Schappacher, *Professor, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Strasbourg*
LEOPOLD KRONECKER [VI.48], ANDRÉ WEIL [VI.93]

Andrzej Schinzel, *Professor of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences*
WAŁAW SIERPIŃSKI [VI.77]

Erhard Scholz, *Professor of History of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universität Wuppertal*
FELIX HAUSDORFF [VI.68], HERMANN WEYL [VI.80]

Reinhard Siegmund-Schultze, *Professor, Faculty of Engineering and Science, University of Agder, Norway*
HENRI LEBESGUE [VI.72], NORBERT WIENER [VI.85]

Gordon Slade, *Professor of Mathematics, University of British Columbia*
PROBABILISTIC MODELS OF CRITICAL PHENOMENA [IV.25]

David J. Spiegelhalter, *Winton Professor of the Public Understanding of Risk, University of Cambridge*
MATHEMATICS AND MEDICAL STATISTICS [VII.11]

Jacqueline Stedall, *Junior Research Fellow in Mathematics, The Queen's College, Oxford*
FRANÇOIS VIÈTE [VI.9]

Arild Stubhaug, *Freelance Writer, Oslo*
SOPHUS LIE [VI.53]

Madhu Sudan, *Professor of Computer Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
RELIABLE TRANSMISSION OF INFORMATION [VII.6]

Terence Tao, *Professor of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles*
COMPACTNESS AND COMPACTIFICATION [III.9], DIFFERENTIAL FORMS AND INTEGRATION [III.16], DISTRIBUTIONS [III.18], THE FOURIER TRANSFORM [III.27], FUNCTION SPACES [III.29], HAMILTONIANS [III.35], RICCI FLOW [III.78], THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION [III.83], HARMONIC ANALYSIS [IV.11]

Jamie Tappenden, *Associate Professor of Philosophy, University of Michigan*
GOTTLÖB FREGE [VI.56]

C. H. Taubes, *William Petschek Professor of Mathematics, Harvard University*
DIFFERENTIAL TOPOLOGY [IV.7]

Rüdiger Thiele, *Privatdozent, Universität Leipzig*
CHRISTIAN FELIX KLEIN [VI.57]

Burt Totaro, *Lowndean Professor of Astronomy and Geometry, University of Cambridge*
ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY [IV.6]

Lloyd N. Trefethen, *Professor of Numerical Analysis, University of Oxford*
NUMERICAL ANALYSIS [IV.21]

Dirk van Dalen, *Professor, Department of Philosophy, Utrecht University*
LUITZEN EGBERTUS JAN BROUWER [VI.75]

Richard Weber, *Churchill Professor of Mathematics for Operational Research, University of Cambridge*
THE SIMPLEX ALGORITHM [III.84]

Dominic Welsh, *Professor of Mathematics, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford*
MATROIDS [III.54]

Avi Wigderson, *Professor in the School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton*
EXPANDERS [III.24], COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY [IV.20]

Herbert S. Wilf, *Thomas A. Scott Professor of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania*
MATHEMATICS: AN EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCE [VIII.5]

David Wilkins, *Lecturer in Mathematics, Trinity College, Dublin*
WILLIAM ROWAN HAMILTON [VI.37]

Benjamin H. Yandell, *Pasadena, California (deceased)*
DAVID HILBERT [VI.63]

Eric Zaslow, *Professor of Mathematics, Northwestern University*
CALABI–YAU MANIFOLDS [III.6], MIRROR SYMMETRY [IV.16]

Doron Zeilberger, *Board of Governors Professor of Mathematics, Rutgers University*
ENUMERATIVE AND ALGEBRAIC COMBINATORICS [IV.18]

Unattributed articles were written by the editors. In part III, Imre Leader wrote the articles THE AXIOM OF CHOICE [III.1], THE AXIOM OF DETERMINACY [III.2], CARDINALS [III.7], COUNTABLE AND UNCOUNTABLE SETS [III.11], GRAPHS [III.34], JORDAN NORMAL FORM [III.43], MEASURES [III.55], MODELS OF SET THEORY [III.57], ORDINALS [III.66], THE PEANO AXIOMS [III.67], RINGS, IDEALS, AND MODULES [III.81], and THE ZERMELO–FRAENKEL AXIOMS [III.99]. In part V, THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE CONTINUUM HYPOTHESIS [V.18] is by Imre Leader and THE THREE-BODY PROBLEM [V.33] is by June Barrow-Green. In part VI, June Barrow-Green wrote all of the unattributed articles. All other unattributed articles throughout the book were written by Timothy Gowers.

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Contributors</i>	xvii

Part I Introduction

I.1	What Is Mathematics About?	1
I.2	The Language and Grammar of Mathematics	8
I.3	Some Fundamental Mathematical Definitions	16
I.4	The General Goals of Mathematical Research	47

Part II The Origins of Modern Mathematics

II.1	From Numbers to Number Systems	77
II.2	Geometry	83
II.3	The Development of Abstract Algebra	95
II.4	Algorithms	106
II.5	The Development of Rigor in Mathematical Analysis	117
II.6	The Development of the Idea of Proof	129
II.7	The Crisis in the Foundations of Mathematics	142

Part III Mathematical Concepts

III.1	The Axiom of Choice	157
III.2	The Axiom of Determinacy	159
III.3	Bayesian Analysis	159
III.4	Braid Groups	160
III.5	Buildings	161
III.6	Calabi-Yau Manifolds	163
III.7	Cardinals	165
III.8	Categories	165
III.9	Compactness and Compactification	167
III.10	Computational Complexity Classes	169
III.11	Countable and Uncountable Sets	170
III.12	C^* -Algebras	172
III.13	Curvature	172
III.14	Designs	172

III.15	Determinants	174
III.16	Differential Forms and Integration	175
III.17	Dimension	180
III.18	Distributions	184
III.19	Duality	187
III.20	Dynamical Systems and Chaos	190
III.21	Elliptic Curves	190
III.22	The Euclidean Algorithm and Continued Fractions	191
III.23	The Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations	193
III.24	Expanders	196
III.25	The Exponential and Logarithmic Functions	199
III.26	The Fast Fourier Transform	202
III.27	The Fourier Transform	204
III.28	Fuchsian Groups	208
III.29	Function Spaces	210
III.30	Galois Groups	213
III.31	The Gamma Function	213
III.32	Generating Functions	214
III.33	Genus	215
III.34	Graphs	215
III.35	Hamiltonians	215
III.36	The Heat Equation	216
III.37	Hilbert Spaces	219
III.38	Homology and Cohomology	221
III.39	Homotopy Groups	221
III.40	The Ideal Class Group	221
III.41	Irrational and Transcendental Numbers	222
III.42	The Ising Model	223
III.43	Jordan Normal Form	223
III.44	Knot Polynomials	225
III.45	K -Theory	227
III.46	The Leech Lattice	227
III.47	L -Functions	228
III.48	Lie Theory	229
III.49	Linear and Nonlinear Waves and Solitons	234
III.50	Linear Operators and Their Properties	239
III.51	Local and Global in Number Theory	241
III.52	The Mandelbrot Set	244
III.53	Manifolds	244
III.54	Matroids	244
III.55	Measures	246

III.56	Metric Spaces	247	IV.5	Arithmetic Geometry	372
III.57	Models of Set Theory	248	IV.6	Algebraic Topology	383
III.58	Modular Arithmetic	249	IV.7	Differential Topology	396
III.59	Modular Forms	250	IV.8	Moduli Spaces	408
III.60	Moduli Spaces	252	IV.9	Representation Theory	419
III.61	The Monster Group	252	IV.10	Geometric and Combinatorial Group Theory	431
III.62	Normed Spaces and Banach Spaces	252	IV.11	Harmonic Analysis	448
III.63	Number Fields	254	IV.12	Partial Differential Equations	455
III.64	Optimization and Lagrange Multipliers	255	IV.13	General Relativity and the Einstein Equations	483
III.65	Orbifolds	257	IV.14	Dynamics	493
III.66	Ordinals	258	IV.15	Operator Algebras	510
III.67	The Peano Axioms	258	IV.16	Mirror Symmetry	523
III.68	Permutation Groups	259	IV.17	Vertex Operator Algebras	539
III.69	Phase Transitions	261	IV.18	Enumerative and Algebraic Combinatorics	550
III.70	π	261	IV.19	Extremal and Probabilistic Combinatorics	562
III.71	Probability Distributions	263	IV.20	Computational Complexity	575
III.72	Projective Space	267	IV.21	Numerical Analysis	604
III.73	Quadratic Forms	267	IV.22	Set Theory	615
III.74	Quantum Computation	269	IV.23	Logic and Model Theory	635
III.75	Quantum Groups	272	IV.24	Stochastic Processes	647
III.76	Quaternions, Octonions, and Normed Division Algebras	275	IV.25	Probabilistic Models of Critical Phenomena	657
III.77	Representations	279	IV.26	High-Dimensional Geometry and Its Probabilistic Analogues	670
III.78	Ricci Flow	279			
III.79	Riemann Surfaces	282			
III.80	The Riemann Zeta Function	283			
III.81	Rings, Ideals, and Modules	284			
III.82	Schemes	285			
III.83	The Schrödinger Equation	285			
III.84	The Simplex Algorithm	288			
III.85	Special Functions	290			
III.86	The Spectrum	294			
III.87	Spherical Harmonics	295			
III.88	Symplectic Manifolds	297			
III.89	Tensor Products	301			
III.90	Topological Spaces	301			
III.91	Transforms	303			
III.92	Trigonometric Functions	307			
III.93	Universal Covers	309			
III.94	Variational Methods	310			
III.95	Varieties	313			
III.96	Vector Bundles	313			
III.97	Von Neumann Algebras	313			
III.98	Wavelets	313			
III.99	The Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms	314			
<hr/>					
Part IV Branches of Mathematics					
IV.1	Algebraic Numbers	315	IV.5	Arithmetic Geometry	372
IV.2	Analytic Number Theory	332	IV.6	Algebraic Topology	383
IV.3	Computational Number Theory	348	IV.7	Differential Topology	396
IV.4	Algebraic Geometry	363	IV.8	Moduli Spaces	408
			IV.9	Representation Theory	419
			IV.10	Geometric and Combinatorial Group Theory	431
			IV.11	Harmonic Analysis	448
			IV.12	Partial Differential Equations	455
			IV.13	General Relativity and the Einstein Equations	483
			IV.14	Dynamics	493
			IV.15	Operator Algebras	510
			IV.16	Mirror Symmetry	523
			IV.17	Vertex Operator Algebras	539
			IV.18	Enumerative and Algebraic Combinatorics	550
			IV.19	Extremal and Probabilistic Combinatorics	562
			IV.20	Computational Complexity	575
			IV.21	Numerical Analysis	604
			IV.22	Set Theory	615
			IV.23	Logic and Model Theory	635
			IV.24	Stochastic Processes	647
			IV.25	Probabilistic Models of Critical Phenomena	657
			IV.26	High-Dimensional Geometry and Its Probabilistic Analogues	670
<hr/>					
Part V Theorems and Problems					
V.1	The ABC Conjecture	681	V.1	The ABC Conjecture	681
V.2	The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem	681	V.2	The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem	681
V.3	The Banach-Tarski Paradox	684	V.3	The Banach-Tarski Paradox	684
V.4	The Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture	685	V.4	The Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture	685
V.5	Carleson's Theorem	686	V.5	Carleson's Theorem	686
V.6	The Central Limit Theorem	687	V.6	The Central Limit Theorem	687
V.7	The Classification of Finite Simple Groups	687	V.7	The Classification of Finite Simple Groups	687
V.8	Dirichlet's Theorem	689	V.8	Dirichlet's Theorem	689
V.9	Ergodic Theorems	689	V.9	Ergodic Theorems	689
V.10	Fermat's Last Theorem	691	V.10	Fermat's Last Theorem	691
V.11	Fixed Point Theorems	693	V.11	Fixed Point Theorems	693
V.12	The Four-Color Theorem	696	V.12	The Four-Color Theorem	696
V.13	The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra	698	V.13	The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra	698
V.14	The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic	699	V.14	The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic	699
V.15	Gödel's Theorem	700	V.15	Gödel's Theorem	700
V.16	Gromov's Polynomial-Growth Theorem	702	V.16	Gromov's Polynomial-Growth Theorem	702
V.17	Hilbert's Nullstellensatz	703	V.17	Hilbert's Nullstellensatz	703
V.18	The Independence of the Continuum Hypothesis	703	V.18	The Independence of the Continuum Hypothesis	703
V.19	Inequalities	703	V.19	Inequalities	703
V.20	The Insolubility of the Halting Problem	706	V.20	The Insolubility of the Halting Problem	706
V.21	The Insolubility of the Quintic	708	V.21	The Insolubility of the Quintic	708
V.22	Liouville's Theorem and Roth's Theorem	710	V.22	Liouville's Theorem and Roth's Theorem	710
V.23	Mostow's Strong Rigidity Theorem	711	V.23	Mostow's Strong Rigidity Theorem	711
V.24	The \mathcal{P} versus \mathcal{NP} Problem	713	V.24	The \mathcal{P} versus \mathcal{NP} Problem	713
V.25	The Poincaré Conjecture	714	V.25	The Poincaré Conjecture	714