

LAWYERS AND THE MAKING OF ENGLISH LAND LAW 1832–1940

J. STUART ANDERSON



CLARENDON PRESS OXFORD

LAWYERS AND THE
MAKING OF
ENGLISH LAND LAW
1832-1940

J. STUART ANDERSON

CLARENDON PRESS · OXFORD

1992

Oxford University Press, Walton Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford New York Toronto

Delhi Bombay Calcutta Madras Karachi

Petaling Jaya Singapore Hong Kong Tokyo

Nairobi Dar es Salaam Cape Town

Melbourne Auckland

and associated companies in

Berlin Ibadan

Oxford is a trade mark of Oxford University Press

Published in the United States

by Oxford University Press, New York

© J. Stuart Anderson 1992

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Oxford University Press

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Anderson, J. Stuart,

Lawyers and the making of English land law, 1832-1940 / J. Stuart Anderson

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Land titles—Registration and transfer—Great Britain—History.
2. Conveyancing—Great Britain—History. 3. Real property—Great Britain—History. 4. Lawyers—Great Britain—History. 5. Law reform—Great Britain—History. I. Title.

KD966.A7A53 1992 346.4204'38—dc20 [344.206438] 91-37908

ISBN 0-19-825670-1

Typeset by Cambridge Composing (UK) Ltd

Printed in Great Britain by

Biddles Ltd

Guildford & King's Lynn

LAWYERS AND THE MAKING
OF ENGLISH LAND LAW
1832-1940

Preface

THIS is a study spanning three sorts of concern. One is law-making. The land law in my title is predominantly land transfer law, with a good dash of ownership thrown in. Legal change came through statute, and this book addresses the conception, formulation, frustrations, and enactment of that form of legal process. Land use law is wholly omitted because its genesis was different; judges played an important part there. Here they are virtually absent, just an occasional extra-judicial pronouncement reminding us of their existence. It is planned and purposive legal change (and resistance to it) which features here, not the outcomes of the accidents of litigation, however significant the pattern they can be made to fit.

A central concern is thus with the law-makers themselves. The law of real property was tough law, always the preserve of specialists. Its reformulation, for whatever purpose and at whosoever behest, had to involve them. The book looks at them and their kin, but within an examination of the structure of the legal professions and its effect upon law-making. Shortly before the start of my chosen period it would have been legal profession, singular; and the bar would have been meant. Attorneys were tradesmen; and for some quite long time after they had constituted themselves a profession the name stuck. Whether it was just a derogatory name, or whether there was something in the claim that solicitors' 'qualifications', and hence their entry to the hall of the professions, applied to just part of their work is a theme running throughout the book. If Harold Perkin's *The Rise of Professional Society* had appeared before the bulk of the text had been completed I would have pointed some of my arguments more than I did. I hope that what I have to say about the formation of the solicitors' profession as such, the tensions within it between London and the north of England, its relation to barristers (as will be seen, 'barristers' is more apt than 'the bar') in co-operating and competing over the management of legal change

will add to his analysis. This is the book's second sort of concern.

One small corner of professional formation needs early mention. The body that ends this book under the grand name of The Law Society first appeared in the 1820s as the Law Institution, but from 1833 called itself the Incorporated Law Society, or, more formally, but very rarely, The Incorporated Law Society. It was managed by a committee which at first was called just that, but which later became the Council, especially to its members. To some outsiders and to critics it became instead 'the council', the small 'c' denoting unwillingness to accept that oligarchic group's pretensions to professional leadership. In writing of it I have used the formal name consistently for the later period, when its position was generally accepted, but more usually the descriptive small 'c' for the earlier, in an attempt to capture more accurately the contemporary nuances. On the other hand, since nothing turned on it, I have simplified to 'the Incorporated Law Society' until 1903, with The Law Society thereafter.

I have divided the text roughly in the 1870s because thereafter the presence of the state was much more obviously felt, which is at least suggestive of a qualitative change. I am inclined to think that that was not permanently so, and that that is what at root divides me from Avner Offer's pioneering work on the period after 1870.¹ I admire his vision and owe him a great debt, not in any way reduced by what must seem like congenital inability to agree with him. At times this may seem wilful, since in his text itself there are numerous counter-indications which could be used to construct conclusions quite different from his own. Yet what he means as his conclusions cannot be mistaken, so forcefully are they drawn. It is these that I have used. If I have misrepresented his analysis, I am sorry. The earlier section of the book is new territory.

Like Dr Offer I have relied in part upon Departmental papers in the Public Record Office, though only for the later chapters, where much of the significant activity happened in private. My

¹ 'The Origins of the Law of Property Acts, 1910–1925', (1977) 40 *MLR* 505; *Property and Politics, 1870–1914* (1981).

main source however cannot easily be classified in historians' terms as either primary or secondary. It is the professional press. This is a massive archive of professional activity and opinion, containing details by the hundred not available elsewhere and in the aggregate conveying an unrivalled picture of a profession in the process of self-formation. It cemented loyalties, purveyed values, relayed news, caused disputes, resolved them, and made things happen. It itself was a constitutive agent of the profession, for solicitors in particular. Sadly its indexes were never meant to bear that burden, and the volumes must be read entire, advertisements and all, and in sequence if their value is to be realized. I have found the *Solicitors' Journal* the most fruitful, with *The Law Times* an honourable second.² Each ran at about 800 pages of text per year, indexes and advertisements extra. The *Law Journal*³ was of similar size. There may be private correspondence and diaries which will illuminate murky corners left by these three, but they will certainly be few and the light scarcely needed. This study only just begins to exploit the richness that historians of the professions can find there. Lawyers, academic lawyers in particular, who believe that the scholarly periodical tradition began with the *Law Quarterly Review*⁴ should think again—there is a wealth of material in these weekly professional papers that is quite indistinguishable from the later journals.

Some small fragment of it is discussed when this study reaches its third major concern, addressed particularly to students of modern land law inquisitive about the origin of their texts. Towards the end of the book I offer an account of the compilation of the 1925 legislation which covers many of the problems with which they will be familiar. Before that, however, they will find discussion of a much wider range of possibilities strung over a long period—indeed, for virtually the entire span covered by this book there were far-reaching proposals for systematic rewriting of real property law extant. They came to very little, and I offer explanations of why. Non-lawyers will no doubt skip much of my discussion of

² The *Solicitors' Journal* began in 1857, replacing the *Legal Observer*, which first appeared in 1830. See further pp. 98–106 below. *The Law Times* was first published in 1843.

³ First published 1866.

⁴ First published 1885.

texts. At one point in particular that would be a mistake. The Conveyancing Acts of the early 1880s are no doubt technical stuff, but they are the very ideal-type of law-making by and for a profession, carrying a significance far beyond their dry appearance.

Much of the book concerns title registration, reflecting its dominance of professional and political thinking over long periods. I have tried to show that it was not one issue but many, a point which I think Dr Offer rather missed. Like him, however, I found that its origin lay not in 'the land question' but in 'law reform', which I analyse at some length and relate to the evolution of the legal professions. This sets up the central tension: law reform is a good for the professions, but reform of these particular laws is, as it were, reflexive—they concern not just land but lawyers too; every reform of the land laws by lawyers was also self-reform. Every reform of the land laws from 'outside' was a reform of the profession from outside and a diminution of its claims over law reform itself. But the land laws were from time to time a matter of high political controversy. So how was change to come, and whose changes were they to be?

List of Abbreviations

A.-G.	Attorney-General
APLS	Associated Provincial Law Societies
BLL	Bodleian Law Library
DNB	<i>Dictionary of National Biography</i>
EHR	<i>English Historical Review</i>
HCD	House of Commons Debates
HCP	House of Commons Papers
HLD	House of Lords Debates
HLP	House of Lords Papers
<i>Hist. Jo.</i>	<i>Historical Journal</i>
ILS	Incorporated Law Society
<i>Jo. Leg. Hist.</i>	<i>Journal of Legal History</i>
LA	Law Association
LAS	Law Amendment Society
<i>Law Jo.</i>	<i>Law Journal</i>
<i>Law Mag. & Law Rev.</i>	<i>Law Magazine and Law Review</i>
<i>Law Rev.</i>	<i>Law Review</i>
<i>Law Soc. Gaz.</i>	<i>Law Society's Gazette</i>
<i>Leg. O.</i>	<i>Legal Observer</i>
LQR	<i>Law Quarterly Review</i>
LS	Law Society
LT	<i>Law Times</i>
Manchester LA	Manchester Law Association
M&PLA	Metropolitan and Provincial Law Association
MLR	<i>Modern Law Review</i>
PD	Parliamentary Debates
PRO	Public Record Office
<i>Proc. Brit. Acad.</i>	<i>Proceedings of the British Academy</i>
S.-G.	Solicitor-General
<i>Sol. Jo.</i>	<i>Solicitors' Journal</i>
SR&O	Statutory Rules & Orders

Table of Cases

<i>A.-G. v. Odell</i> [1906] 2 Ch. 47	215
<i>Barnhart v. Greenshields</i> (1853) 9 Moore PC 18	274
<i>re Blaiberg and Adams</i> [1899] 2 Ch. 340	272
<i>Bull v. Bull</i> [1955] 1 QB 234	331
<i>Capital and Counties Bank v. Rhodes</i> [1903] 1 Ch. 631	214
<i>Celsteel v. Alton House</i> [1985] 2 All ER 562	241
<i>re Chafer and Randall's Contract</i> [1916] 2 Ch. 8	271
<i>City of London Building Society v. Flegg</i> [1987] 2 WLR 1266	330, 331
<i>Dennis v. McDonald</i> [1981] 1 WLR 810 [1982] 2 WLR 275	331
<i>Dodsworth v. Dodsworth</i> (1973) 228 Estates Gazette 1115	331
<i>Gibbs v. Messer</i> [1891] AC 248	215
<i>Grey v. I.R.C.</i> [1960] AC 1	311
<i>re Harman and Uxbridge & Rickmansworth Railway Co.</i> (1883) 24 Ch.D. 720	271
<i>Hunt v. Luck</i> [1901] 1 Ch. 45	275–80
<i>Incorporated Law Society v. Blake and Shaw; Incorporated Law Society v. Waterlow Bros. and Layton</i> (1881–2) 9 QBD 1	155
<i>Ives (E.R.) Investment Ltd. v. High</i> [1967] 2 QB 379	330
<i>The Law Guarantee and Trust Society (Ltd.) and John Hunter v. The Bank of England</i> (1890) 24 QBD 406	320
<i>Law Society v. Skinner; Law Society v. Waterlow</i> (1882–3) 9 App. Cas. 407	155
<i>Lucas v. Peacock</i> (1844) 8 Beav. 1	54
<i>Munton v. Lord Truro</i> (1886) 17 QBD 783	170
<i>re Pope</i> (1886) 17 QBD 743	186
<i>re Soden and Alexander's Contract</i> [1918] 2 Ch. 258	268, 271

<i>Shiloh Spinners v. Harding</i> [1972] Ch. 326 (CA), [1973] AC 691 (HL)	330
<i>Ungurian v. Losnoff</i> [1989] 3 WLR 840	331
<i>William's & Glyn's Bank v. Boland</i> [1981] AC 487	330

Table of Statutes

Building Societies Act (1836),	39
Conveyance by Release without Lease Act (1841), 4 & 5 Vict., c. 119	8
Conveyance of Real Property Act (1845), 8 & 9 Vict., c. 119	52
Conveyancing Act (1882), 45 & 46 Vict., c. 39	148, 152, 153, 272
Conveyancing Act (1911), 1 & 2 Geo. V, c. 37	273
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act (1881), 44 & 45 Vict., c. 41	130, 151, 152, 155
Finance Act (1910),	250
Fines and Recoveries Act (1833), 3 & 4 Will. IV, c. 74 . . .	7, 51
Land Charges Act (1925), 15 & 16 Geo. V, c. 22	258, 300
Land Charges Registration and Searches Act (1888), 51 & 52 Vict., c. 51	186, 235
Land Registration Act (1925),	68, 265
Land Registry Act (1886), 49 & 50 Vict., c. 1	172
Land Registry (Middlesex Deeds) Act (1891), 54 & 55 Vict., c. 64	186
Land Registry (New Buildings) Act (1900), 63 & 64 Vict., c. 19	213
Land Transfer Act (1875), 38 & 39 Vict., c. 87	118–30, 136, 143–4, 147, 176, 177, 196–8
Land Transfer Act (1897), 60 & 61 Vict., c. 65	199–208, 209, 212, 226–7, 236, 265, 275
Law of Property Act (1922), 12 & 13 Geo. V, c. 16	300, 302, 303–8
Law of Property Act (1925), 15 & 16 Geo. V, c. 20	265, 286, 309, 313
Law of Property (Amendment) Act (1924), 15 & 16 Geo. V, c. 5	17, 308
Law of Property (Amendment) Act (1926), 16 & 17 Geo. V, c. 11	310

Local Registration of Title (Ireland) Act (1891), 54 & 55 Vict., c. 66	197
Married Women's Property Act (1906),	230
Middlesex Registry Act (1891), 54 & 55 Vict., c. 10	186
Mortgage Debentures Act (1865), 28 & 29 Vict., c. 78	112
Public Trustee Act (1906), ss. 4, 5	230
Real Property Act (1845), 8 & 9 Vict., c. 106	17, 35, 41
Real Property Amendment Act 8 & 9 Vict., c. 106	17, 35, 41
Real Property Limitation Act (1833), 3 & 4 Will IV, c. 27	7
Rules Publication Act (1893), 56 & 57 Vict., c. 66	188
Satisfied Terms Act (1845) 8 & 9 Vict., c. 112	56, 58, 60, 80
Settled Land Act (1882), 45 & 46 Vict., c. 38	148, 177, 247, 274, 289
Settled Land Act (1925), 15 & 16 Geo. V, c. 18	265-6, 313
Small Dwellings Acquisition Act (1899), 62 & 63 Vict., c. 44	212
Small Holdings Act (1892), 55 & 56 Vict., c. 31	187
Solicitors Act (1906)	230
Solicitors Act (1843), 6 & 7 Vict., c. 73	23, 28, 29, 30, 51
Solicitors Act (1888), 51 & 52 Vict., c. 65	210
Solicitors Remuneration Act (1881), 44 & 45 Vict., c. 44	151, 153
Transfer of Land Act (1862), 25 & 26 Vict., c. 53 [Land Registry Act]	106
Transfer of Property Act (1844), 7 & 8 Vict., c. 76	17, 26
Trustee Act (1888), 51 & 52 Vict., c. 59	186
Trustee Act (1893), 56 & 57 Vict., c. 53	273
Trustee Act (1925), 15 & 16 Geo. V, c. 19	293, 296
Vendors and Purchasers Act (1874), 37 & 38 Vict., c. 78	116, 130, 147
Yorkshire Registries Act (1884), 47 & 48 Vict., c. 54	168
Yorkshire Registries Act (1884), Amendment Act (1884), 48 & 49 Vict., c. 4	169
Yorkshire Registries Amendment Act (1885), 48 & 49 Vict., c. 26	168, 169
Wills Act (1837), 7 Will IV & 1 Vict., c. 26	7

Contents

ABBREVIATIONS	xi
TABLE OF CASES	xii
TABLE OF STATUTES	xiv

Part I. 1832–1875

1. Lawyers and Law Reform	3
2. Conveyancing Reform	41
3. Title Registration Achieved	85
<i>Retrospect, 1844–1875</i>	130

Interlude

4. Perfecting a Private Market	139
--------------------------------	-----

Part II. 1875–1940

5. Professionalism, Officialism: Solicitors and the State	161
6. 1897–1912: The Old Order Resurgent	209
7. Lawyers' Law: The Conveyancing Bills of 1913/14	254
8. Law Fit for Heroes	281

9. Retrospect and Epilogue	314
Appendix: Some Land Registry Statistics	333
SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY	341
INDEX	349

PART I

1832–1875

