

EDITED BY MALCOLM SARGEANT

Age Discrimination and Diversity

Multiple Discrimination from an
Age Perspective




CAMBRIDGE

AGE DISCRIMINATION AND DIVERSITY

Multiple Discrimination from an Age Perspective

Edited by
MALCOLM SARGEANT



 **CAMBRIDGE**
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107003774

© Cambridge University Press 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Age discrimination and diversity : multiple discrimination from an age
perspective / [edited by] Malcolm Sargeant.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-1-107-00377-4 (hardback)

1. Age discrimination. 2. Older people. 3. Ageism. I. Sargeant, Malcolm.

HQ1061.A415 2011

305.2608-dc22

2011001814

ISBN 978-1-107-00377-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in
this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is,
or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel
timetables, and other factual information given in this work is correct at
the time of first printing but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee
the accuracy of such information thereafter.

AGE DISCRIMINATION AND DIVERSITY

This volume of essays is concerned with the discrimination against older people that results from a failure to recognise their diversity. By considering the unique combinations of discrimination that arise from the interrelationship of age and gender, pensions, ethnicity, sexual orientation, socio-economic class and disability, the contributors demonstrate that the discrimination suffered is multiple in nature. It is the combination of these characteristics that leads to the need for more complex ways of tackling age discrimination.

MALCOLM SARGEANT is Professor of Labour Law at Middlesex University Business School.

CONTRIBUTORS

Editor

MALCOLM SARGEANT is Professor of Labour Law at Middlesex University Business School, UK. He is author of *Age Discrimination in Employment* (Gower, 2007) and *Age Discrimination* (Gower, 2010), and editor of *The Law on Age Discrimination in the EU* (Kluwer Law, 2008) as well as having written numerous articles including, recently, 'The default retirement age', *Industrial Law Journal* (2010) and 'Age discrimination and the national minimum wage', *Policy Studies* (2010).

Authors

SARA ALLIN is an assistant professor at the University of Toronto, School of Public Policy and Governance; a Senior Researcher at the Canadian Institute for Health Information and a visiting Fellow at LSE Health. Her research focuses on health systems and comparative health policy, in particular equity in access to health care. In January 2009 she completed her PhD in Social Policy from the London School of Economics.

DR JOSE-LUIS FERNANDEZ is Deputy Director and Principal Research Fellow at PSSRU, the London School of Economics and Political Science. An economist, he specialises in ageing policies, the analysis of funding systems, service productivities, the interaction between health and social care, and the economic evaluation of health and social care services. Amongst others, he has advised bodies such as the English Department of Health, the UK Treasury, the UK parliament's Health Select Committee, the European Union, the WHO and the World Bank.

DIANE GRANT is Reader in Community and Social Studies, Liverpool John Moores University. She gained her PhD in 1996, entitled 'Poverty in Britain

in the 1990's: Rowntree re-visited', conducted at Liverpool John Moores University. She has researched and published in her research area interests which include poverty, social exclusion, age and gender discrimination. She was Research Director on two major bids funded by the European Social Fund into gender discrimination and ageist perceptions 2003–7.

KAREN M. KOBAYASHI is an Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology and a Research Affiliate at the Centre on Aging at the University of Victoria. She is a co-leader for the National Initiative on the Care for the Elderly's (NICE) Ethnicity and Aging team. Her research interests include the economic and health dimensions of ethnic inequality in Canada, intergenerational relationships and social support in mid and later life families, and the sociocultural dimensions of dementia and personhood.

SHARON KOEHN is a medical anthropologist who works with transdisciplinary teams in applied settings to explore the social and cultural dimensions of ageing, health and access to health care. She is a Research Associate at the Centre for Healthy Aging at Providence Health Care and a Clinical Assistant Professor in the Department of Family Practice at the University of British Columbia.

ALEXANDRA LOPES holds a PhD in social policy from the London School of Economics for a thesis on living arrangements and the well-being of older people in familialist social policy settings. Until early 2010 she was Director of the Department of Sociology of the University of Porto, where she works as a senior lecturer. Her research interests and experience include the analysis of inequality in old age, with a particular focus on theoretical and methodological instruments to address poverty in old age.

GABRIELLE MASTIN is a doctoral student in the School of Sociology and Social Policy at the University of Leeds. Her research examines systems of state consultation with elderly and disabled people.

MARK PRIESTLEY is Professor of Disability Policy at the Centre for Disability Studies at the University of Leeds, and Scientific Director of the European Commission's Academic Network of European Disability experts (ANED). He previously held an Economic and Social Research Council research fellowship on 'Disability, Social Policy and the Life

Course' and has published numerous works on the intersection of disability and generational issues.

LYNN ROSEBERRY, Associate Professor of Commercial Law, Department of Law, Copenhagen Business School, received her JD degree from Arizona State University College of law, her LLM degree from Harvard Law School, and her PhD from Copenhagen Business School. Before joining Copenhagen Business School, she was a practising trial attorney in Phoenix, Arizona. Her main research interests are employment law, discrimination law and legal theory, especially feminist and critical race theory.

ATHINA VLACHANTONI is a Lecturer in Gerontology at the Centre for Research on Ageing and the ESRC Centre for Population Change in the University of Southampton, UK. Her research interests combine the areas of ageing, gender and social policy. Her most recent publications include 'The demographic characteristics and economic activity patterns of older carers: evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing', *Population Trends* (2010); and (with J. Falkingham and M. Evandrou) 'Gender, poverty and pensions in the UK', in S. Chant (ed.), *International Handbook on Gender and Poverty* (Edward Elgar, 2010).

CONTENTS

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> vi
<i>List of tables</i>	vii
<i>List of contributors</i>	viii
 1 Ageism and age discrimination	
MALCOLM SARGEANT	1
 2 Multiple discrimination	
LYNN ROSEBERRY	16
 3 Older women, work and the impact of discrimination	
DIANE GRANT	41
 4 Still disadvantaged? Women in modern pension systems	
ATHINA VLACHANTONI	65
 5 Ageing and social class: towards a dynamic approach to class inequalities in old age	
ALEXANDRA LOPES	89
 6 Age, sexual orientation and gender identity	
MALCOLM SARGEANT	111
 7 Age and ethnicity	
SHARON KOEHN AND KAREN KOBAYASHI	132
 8 Disability and age discrimination	
GABRIELLE MASTIN AND MARK PRIESTLEY	160
 9 New approaches for understanding inequalities in service use among older people	
SARA ALLIN AND JOSE-LUIS FERNANDEZ	183
 <i>Index</i>	198

FIGURES

3.1	Male and female employment rates by age, autumn 2004	<i>page 46</i>
4.1	At-risk-of-poverty rate among men and women aged 65 and over, in selected EU countries, 2008	67
4.2	Men's and women's employment rates in the EU-27, 2000–8	70
4.3a	Men's official and effective retirement age in selected EU countries, 2002–7	73
4.3b	Women's official and effective retirement ages in selected EU countries, 2002–7	73
9.1	Stylised concentration curve of income-related inequality in service use	185

TABLES

1.1 Percentage of unemployed out of work for twelve months or more	<i>page</i> 5
1.2 Percentage of population aged 60 and over, 65 and over, 80 and over, by region and sex	6
1.3 Percentage of disabled people for age groups (UK)	9
6.1 Number and percentage of participants who agreed or strongly agreed	122

Ageism and age discrimination

MALCOLM SARGEANT

Introduction

This book is about the diversity of older people and the discrimination that results. Older people are often stereotyped according to their age. Age stereotyping is concerned with associating certain characteristics, or the lack of them, with certain ages. It in effect homogenises the particular age group as being all the same, rather than recognising any diversity within that age group (Robinson, Gustafson and Popovich 2008). There is an impression that older people share certain attributes, patterns of behaviour, appearances and beliefs (Ward *et al.* 2008). This stereotyping according to age is not restricted to older people of course and can apply to all ages and age groups. Here is a useful quote that illustrates how the issue of age pervades many aspects of the life course:

Our lives are defined by ageing: the ages at which we can learn to drive, vote, have sex, buy a house, or retire, get a pension, travel by bus for free. More subtle are the implicit boundaries that curtail our lives: the 'safe' age to have children, the 'experience' needed to fill the boss's role, the physical strength needed for some jobs. Society is continually making judgments about when you are too old for something – and when you are too old.

(Age Concern 2005)

This book is concerned with the effect on older people of age discrimination and ageism and how age relates to other diverse characteristics to create the potential for multiple discrimination.

Ageism

Age discrimination is a manifestation of ageism. This latter term, said to have been first used by Robert Butler MD in 1969, concerns the adoption of negative stereotypes of older people and the ageing process. Butler's

short article was about the strongly negative reaction of white affluent middle-class residents to a proposal for a public housing project for the 'elderly poor' in their district. He described ageism as 'prejudice by one age group against other age groups'. Although he highlighted the issue of ageism, the events that he described were a mixture of prejudice based upon race and class as well as age. Interestingly, he described the age prejudice that was revealed as 'a deep seated uneasiness on the part of the young and middle aged – a personal revulsion to and distaste for growing old, disease, disability; and fear of powerlessness, "uselessness" and death'. There is, however, little evidence generally that societal prejudice against the old is a result of such animosity. Butler was writing at a time, in the USA, when the Age Discrimination in Employment Act 1967 had recently been adopted. In fact a report to Congress entitled *The Older American Worker-Age Discrimination in Employment* in June 1965 stated that 'We find no significant evidence of . . . the kind of dislike or intolerance that sometimes exists in the case of race, color, religion, or national origin, and which is based on considerations entirely unrelated to ability to perform a job.' Professor MacNicol (2006) has further described ageism as the 'application of assumed age-based group characteristics to an individual, regardless of the individual's actual characteristics'. The application of stereotypical images is something that is prevalent in society. Stereotyping refers 'to a set of assumptions held about an individual or situation based on previous experience or cultural norms. Once a stereotype is applied to an individual, it is assumed that they will behave in a certain way, in line with a fixed general idea or set of associated images' (Rake and Lewis 2009). There have been ample studies which illustrate the stereotypical images of older people such as those by Taylor and Walker (1994) and McGregor (2002). These studies illustrate how employers, for example, can hold views about older and younger workers which can influence career decisions affecting those workers. So younger workers are perhaps more IT friendly, more dynamic and enthusiastic, whilst older workers might be seen as more reliable but also more conservative. Many of the stereotypes of older people are negative ones and studies have shown how the media perpetuates these images. One study identified eight negative stereotypes used in the media (Schmidt and Boland 1986). These were those who were (1) eccentrics; (2) curmudgeons (grouchy, angry, uncooperative, nosey/peeping toms); (3) objects of ridicule or the brunt of the joke; (4) unattractive; (5) overly affectionate or sentimental; (6) out of touch with current/modern society; (7) overly conservative; and (8) afflicted

(physically or mentally deficient). A later study (Sargeant 2008) of the national press in the UK found mostly negative stories about older people, which were about elderly criminals, elderly victims, elderly incompetence, eccentric behaviour, older people with animals, older people as record breakers and couples with a significant age difference.

It is not difficult to see how these perceptions lead to negative or less favourable treatment. Stereotypes are, by their nature, untrue. This is because they apply an image or allocate an attribute to a whole class of people but on an individual basis. Thus a statement that says that older people have problems with IT, for example, is actually saying that each individual older person has problems with IT. This is self-evidently not true. It is therefore the purpose of this book to identify the diversity of the older population and perhaps to present an argument that the current approach to age discrimination and ageism is inadequate.

Age discrimination

Age discrimination is widespread within the European Union. This is illustrated in a Eurobarometer survey of opinion in the twenty-seven EU Member States and three candidate countries.¹ One of the questions asked was:

In our country, when a company wants to hire someone and has the choice between two candidates with equal skills and qualifications, which of the following criteria may, in your opinion, put one candidate at a disadvantage?

The responses included the following:

The candidate's age 48%

The candidate's skin colour or ethnic origin 48%

A disability 37%

The expression of religious belief (e.g. wearing a visible religious symbol) 22%

The candidate's gender 19%

The candidate's sexual orientation 18%

The same survey stated that 58% of respondents perceived age discrimination to be widespread (16% very widespread, 42% fairly widespread)

¹ Discrimination in the EU: a special Eurobarometer report 317 (2009), European Commission, http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/archives/ebs/ebs_317_en.pdf.

compared to 37% who believed it to be rare (10% very rare, 27% fairly rare). The majority view in all but six countries (Turkey, Ireland, Luxembourg, Denmark, Malta and Germany) was that it was widespread. Hungary had the most respondents agreeing, with 79% saying that age discrimination was widespread in their country. This was followed by the Czech Republic (74%), France (68%), Latvia (67%) and the Netherlands (66%).

In the USA also, after more than forty years since legislation on age was adopted (Age Discrimination in Employment Act 1967), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission received almost 23,000 complaints about age discrimination in employment in 2009.² Other studies have shown that such age discrimination is still widely perceived as taking place (Neumark 2008).³

Of the 20 million people aged 50 or over in Great Britain, almost 9 million are aged between 50 and the State Pension Age (SPA).⁴ The proportion of older workers in work has been increasing for some years. For example, in the second quarter of 1999, some 66.5% of the workforce between the ages of 50 and SPA and some 7.9% of those at SPA or above were in employment; by the second quarter of 2010 these figures had increased to 71.2% and 12.2%.

A UK Government consultation on age discrimination in employment (*Towards Equality and Diversity* 2003) confirmed how widespread was discrimination based upon age. Some 50% of respondents either had suffered age discrimination at work or had witnessed others suffering such discrimination. This discrimination took a variety of forms, such as being forced to retire at a certain age (22%), not being given a job they applied for (18%), being prevented from attending training courses (17%), being told age was a barrier to general advancement (17%), assumptions being made about abilities due to age (15%) and being selected for redundancy because of age (13%). Older workers were less likely to be in paid work than younger groups and when they did work they were more likely to be working as self-employed or part-time.

It also stated that there was a greater risk of becoming economically inactive beyond the ages of 50 and 55 years; and that the chances of men

² See www.eeoc.gov.

³ Neumark (2002) cites a survey in which two thirds of respondents felt that age discrimination in the workplace did exist.

⁴ This, in 2010, was 60 years for women and 65 for men; the two retirement ages are to be equalised over the ten years up to 2020.

Table 1.1 *Percentage of unemployed out of work for twelve months or more*

Age	18–24	25–49	50 and over
2008	19.9	28.8	37.6
2009	19.9	23.9	30.3
2010	25.5	36.8	43.3

leaving inactivity for paid work were sharply reduced after the age of 50 and ‘were close to zero for those over 60’. For women the chances of moving out of inactivity were much reduced after the age of 40 and were ‘particularly uncommon for those in their late 50s’ (*Characteristics of Older Workers* 1998). Table 1.1 shows figures for long-term unemployment available from the UK Office for National Statistics.⁵

Younger age groups suffered during the years 2008–10 but the rate for older workers has been consistently high.

Older workers are more likely to be working part-time or in some form of flexible working. The likelihood of part-time working increases with age, so that, for example, whilst 13.4% of the 45–54-year-old population in the UK work part-time, some 22% of those 55 or over work part-time. The older a worker becomes, the more likely he or she is to be self-employed and to work part-time. He or she is less likely to be in a permanent job and will, on average, earn a lower gross hourly wage than younger age groups. The relationship with age is even more pronounced when one breaks down the figures for those aged 50+ into five-year age groups; for example, 20.2% of men aged between 50 and 54 are self-employed. This increases to 22.7% for those aged 55–60; 27.9% for those aged between 60 and 64; and 40.8% between 65 and 69.

Ageing populations

This issue is important because it can affect an increasing proportion of the population. The United Nations Report on *World Population Ageing* (2009) commented that population ageing is unprecedented, pervasive, profound and enduring. It is unprecedented because the increase in the

⁵ www.statistics.gov.uk; Labour Market Statistics, August 2010. The figures presented are for the period April to June in each year.

Table 1.2 *Percentage of population aged 60 and over, 65 and over, 80 and over, by region and sex (UN Report on World Population Ageing, 2009).*

Region	60+			65+			80+		
	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F
World	10.8	9.7	11.9	7.5	6.6	8.4	1.5	1.1	1.9
Africa	5.3	4.9	5.8	3.4	3.1	3.7	0.4	0.3	0.5
Asia	9.7	9.0	10.5	6.6	5.9	7.2	1.1	0.9	1.3
Europe	21.6	18.5	24.5	16.2	13.2	18.9	4.1	2.7	5.4
Latin America and Caribbean	9.8	8.9	10.6	6.8	6.0	7.5	1.4	1.1	1.7
Northern America	18.0	16.2	19.7	12.9	11.2	14.5	3.8	2.8	4.8
Oceania	15.1	14.1	16.0	10.6	9.7	11.6	2.8	2.2	3.5

population over the age of 60 accompanied by reductions in the proportion of those under 15 is 'without parallel in the history of humanity'. By 2045 the number of older persons in the world is expected to exceed the number of children for the first time. It is pervasive because it affects nearly every country in the world. It is profound because it will have major consequences for all aspects of human life. Lastly it is enduring because it is a process that has been continuing since the nineteenth century in the developed world. As fertility levels are unlikely to rise again to the high levels seen in the past, the report forecasts that population ageing is irreversible. The pace of change is greatest in the developed countries, but the change is happening everywhere. It is illustrated by the increase in the median age of the world's population. According to the UN report the median age⁶ for the world's population is 28 years. The country with the youngest median age is Niger at 15 years; the oldest is Japan with a median age of 44 years. By 2050 the world's median age is expected to increase by ten years. It will only remain below 25 in nine countries, most of which are African; the oldest population will be in Japan, which is projected to have a median age of over 55 years (see Table 1.2).

It is noticeable how much 'older' Europe and Northern America are, when compared to other parts of the world. Oceania follows closely, but this is because Australia and New Zealand have a much older population

⁶ Defined as the age when half the population is below it and half above.

than the rest of the region, so the figures appear much higher than they would be without these countries.

The reasons for the change are, of course, a combination of a declining fertility rate and a lengthening of people's life spans. In the EU, for example, the total fertility rate (i.e. the average number of children per woman) has declined from 2.64 in 1960/64 to 1.50 in 2005 (Europe's Demographic Future 2007). In numerical terms this means, for example, that there were 5.1 million children born in the twenty-seven Member States of the EU in 2005 compared to 7.6 million forty years earlier in 1965 (*Demography Report 2008* 2009). In terms of living longer, the figures are equally clear. In the USA, for example, a person reaching the age of 65 had an average life expectancy of an additional 18.6 years⁷ (19.8 years for women and 17.1 years for men). A child born in 2007 could expect therefore to live for about thirty years longer than a child born in 1900 (*A Profile of Older Americans* 2009).

Such a structural change in the demographic makeup of the world's population has consequences. There are many more older people in work, or who wish to work. Retirement ages devised to meet the needs of a different demography become less relevant. There are additional strains on the resources necessary to fund pensions, health care and social care, some of which are illustrated in this book. Mostly, however, there needs to be a new attitude towards age and the older population. Older people need to be given equal treatment with those of other ages. This means the tackling of age discrimination. Below, we consider further what this means in practice.

Framework for diversity

Age is a characteristic that, if we are fortunate, will come to each of us. The result of this ageing process is not a uniform greying population who share the same identity and appearance. The older population is as diverse as any other age group within the population. It will consist of people from different ethnic backgrounds, different genders, and so on. Age is an extra dimension to the scope of discrimination that people may suffer. It is often the combination of age with another apparent disadvantage that may multiply the discrimination suffered. One study (Tackey *et al.* 2006), for example, highlighted the exceptional difficulties suffered by older Pakistani and Bangladeshi men:

⁷ Figure is for 2007.