

D. J. H. Garling

---



# Inequalities

A Journey into  
**Linear Analysis**

---

CAMBRIDGE

# INEQUALITIES: A JOURNEY INTO LINEAR ANALYSIS

D. J. H. GARLING



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS  
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo  
Cambridge University Press  
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK  
Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org  
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521876247

© D. J. H. Garling 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without  
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge  
*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data*

ISBN 978-0-521-87624-7 hardback  
ISBN 978-0-521-69973-0 paperback

Garling, D. J. H.

Inequalities: a journey into linear analysis / D. J. H. Garling.  
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-69973-0 (pbk.: alk. paper) – ISBN 978-0-521-87624-7 (hard-  
back: alk. paper) 1. Inequalities (Mathematics) 2. Functional analysis. I.  
Title.

QA295.G319 2007  
515'.26–dc22

2007015539

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or  
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to  
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such  
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# Contents

<i>Introduction</i>	<i>page</i>	1
<b>1 Measure and integral</b>		4
1.1 Measure		4
1.2 Measurable functions		7
1.3 Integration		9
1.4 Notes and remarks		12
<b>2 The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality</b>		13
2.1 Cauchy’s inequality		13
2.2 Inner-product spaces		14
2.3 The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality		15
2.4 Notes and remarks		17
<b>3 The AM–GM inequality</b>		19
3.1 The AM–GM inequality		19
3.2 Applications		21
3.3 Notes and remarks		23
<b>4 Convexity, and Jensen’s inequality</b>		24
4.1 Convex sets and convex functions		24
4.2 Convex functions on an interval		26
4.3 Directional derivatives and sublinear functionals		29
4.4 The Hahn–Banach theorem		31
4.5 Normed spaces, Banach spaces and Hilbert space		34
4.6 The Hahn–Banach theorem for normed spaces		36
4.7 Barycentres and weak integrals		39
4.8 Notes and remarks		40
<b>5 The <math>L^p</math> spaces</b>		45
5.1 $L^p$ spaces, and Minkowski’s inequality		45

5.2	The Lebesgue decomposition theorem	47
5.3	The reverse Minkowski inequality	49
5.4	Hölder's inequality	50
5.5	The inequalities of Liapounov and Littlewood	54
5.6	Duality	55
5.7	The Loomis–Whitney inequality	57
5.8	A Sobolev inequality	60
5.9	Schur's theorem and Schur's test	62
5.10	Hilbert's absolute inequality	65
5.11	Notes and remarks	67
<b>6</b>	<b>Banach function spaces</b>	<b>70</b>
6.1	Banach function spaces	70
6.2	Function space duality	72
6.3	Orlicz spaces	73
6.4	Notes and remarks	76
<b>7</b>	<b>Rearrangements</b>	<b>78</b>
7.1	Decreasing rearrangements	78
7.2	Rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces	80
7.3	Muirhead's maximal function	81
7.4	Majorization	84
7.5	Calderón's interpolation theorem and its converse	88
7.6	Symmetric Banach sequence spaces	91
7.7	The method of transference	93
7.8	Finite doubly stochastic matrices	97
7.9	Schur convexity	98
7.10	Notes and remarks	100
<b>8</b>	<b>Maximal inequalities</b>	<b>103</b>
8.1	The Hardy–Riesz inequality ( $1 < p < \infty$ )	103
8.2	The Hardy–Riesz inequality ( $p = 1$ )	105
8.3	Related inequalities	106
8.4	Strong type and weak type	108
8.5	Riesz weak type	111
8.6	Hardy, Littlewood, and a batsman's averages	112
8.7	Riesz's sunrise lemma	114
8.8	Differentiation almost everywhere	117
8.9	Maximal operators in higher dimensions	118
8.10	The Lebesgue density theorem	121
8.11	Convolution kernels	121
8.12	Hedberg's inequality	125

8.13	Martingales	127
8.14	Doob's inequality	130
8.15	The martingale convergence theorem	130
8.16	Notes and remarks	133
<b>9</b>	<b>Complex interpolation</b>	135
9.1	Hadamard's three lines inequality	135
9.2	Compatible couples and intermediate spaces	136
9.3	The Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem	138
9.4	Young's inequality	140
9.5	The Hausdorff–Young inequality	141
9.6	Fourier type	143
9.7	The generalized Clarkson inequalities	145
9.8	Uniform convexity	147
9.9	Notes and remarks	150
<b>10</b>	<b>Real interpolation</b>	154
10.1	The Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem: I	154
10.2	Lorentz spaces	156
10.3	Hardy's inequality	158
10.4	The scale of Lorentz spaces	159
10.5	The Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem: II	162
10.6	Notes and remarks	165
<b>11</b>	<b>The Hilbert transform, and Hilbert's inequalities</b>	167
11.1	The conjugate Poisson kernel	167
11.2	The Hilbert transform on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$	168
11.3	The Hilbert transform on $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ for $1 < p < \infty$	170
11.4	Hilbert's inequality for sequences	174
11.5	The Hilbert transform on $\mathbf{T}$	175
11.6	Multipliers	179
11.7	Singular integral operators	180
11.8	Singular integral operators on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$	183
11.9	Notes and remarks	185
<b>12</b>	<b>Khintchine's inequality</b>	187
12.1	The contraction principle	187
12.2	The reflection principle, and Lévy's inequalities	189
12.3	Khintchine's inequality	192
12.4	The law of the iterated logarithm	194
12.5	Strongly embedded subspaces	196
12.6	Stable random variables	198
12.7	Sub-Gaussian random variables	199



12.8	Kahane's theorem and Kahane's inequality	201
12.9	Notes and remarks	204
<b>13</b>	<b>Hypercontractive and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities</b>	206
13.1	Bonami's inequality	206
13.2	Kahane's inequality revisited	210
13.3	The theorem of Latała and Oleszkiewicz	211
13.4	The logarithmic Sobolev inequality on $D_2^d$	213
13.5	Gaussian measure and the Hermite polynomials	216
13.6	The central limit theorem	219
13.7	The Gaussian hypercontractive inequality	221
13.8	Correlated Gaussian random variables	223
13.9	The Gaussian logarithmic Sobolev inequality	225
13.10	The logarithmic Sobolev inequality in higher dimensions	227
13.11	Beckner's inequality	229
13.12	The Babenko–Beckner inequality	230
13.13	Notes and remarks	232
<b>14</b>	<b>Hadamard's inequality</b>	233
14.1	Hadamard's inequality	233
14.2	Hadamard numbers	234
14.3	Error-correcting codes	237
14.4	Note and remark	238
<b>15</b>	<b>Hilbert space operator inequalities</b>	239
15.1	Jordan normal form	239
15.2	Riesz operators	240
15.3	Related operators	241
15.4	Compact operators	242
15.5	Positive compact operators	243
15.6	Compact operators between Hilbert spaces	245
15.7	Singular numbers, and the Rayleigh–Ritz minimax formula	246
15.8	Weyl's inequality and Horn's inequality	247
15.9	Ky Fan's inequality	250
15.10	Operator ideals	251
15.11	The Hilbert–Schmidt class	253
15.12	The trace class	256
15.13	Lidskii's trace formula	257
15.14	Operator ideal duality	260
15.15	Notes and remarks	261
<b>16</b>	<b>Summing operators</b>	263
16.1	Unconditional convergence	263

16.2	Absolutely summing operators	265
16.3	$(p, q)$ -summing operators	266
16.4	Examples of $p$ -summing operators	269
16.5	$(p, 2)$ -summing operators between Hilbert spaces	271
16.6	Positive operators on $L^1$	273
16.7	Mercer's theorem	274
16.8	$p$ -summing operators between Hilbert spaces ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ )	276
16.9	Pietsch's domination theorem	277
16.10	Pietsch's factorization theorem	279
16.11	$p$ -summing operators between Hilbert spaces ( $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ )	281
16.12	The Dvoretzky–Rogers theorem	282
16.13	Operators that factor through a Hilbert space	284
16.14	Notes and remarks	287
<b>17</b>	<b>Approximation numbers and eigenvalues</b>	289
17.1	The approximation, Gelfand and Weyl numbers	289
17.2	Subadditive and submultiplicative properties	291
17.3	Pietsch's inequality	294
17.4	Eigenvalues of $p$ -summing and $(p, 2)$ -summing endomorphisms	296
17.5	Notes and remarks	301
<b>18</b>	<b>Grothendieck's inequality, type and cotype</b>	302
18.1	Littlewood's $4/3$ inequality	302
18.2	Grothendieck's inequality	304
18.3	Grothendieck's theorem	306
18.4	Another proof, using Paley's inequality	307
18.5	The little Grothendieck theorem	310
18.6	Type and cotype	312
18.7	Gaussian type and cotype	314
18.8	Type and cotype of $L^p$ spaces	316
18.9	The little Grothendieck theorem revisited	318
18.10	More on cotype	320
18.11	Notes and remarks	323
	<i>References</i>	325
	<i>Index of inequalities</i>	330
	<i>Index</i>	331



# Introduction

Inequalities lie at the heart of a great deal of mathematics. G.H. Hardy reported Harald Bohr as saying ‘all analysts spend half their time hunting through the literature for inequalities which they want to use but cannot prove’. Inequalities provide control, to enable results to be proved. They also impose constraints; for example, Gromov’s theorem on the symplectic embedding of a sphere in a cylinder establishes an inequality that says that the radius of the cylinder cannot be too small. Similar inequalities occur elsewhere, for example in theoretical physics, where the uncertainty principle (which is an inequality) and Bell’s inequality impose constraints, and, more classically, in thermodynamics, where the second law provides a fundamental inequality concerning entropy.

Thus there are very many important inequalities. This book is not intended to be a compendium of these; instead, it provides an introduction to a selection of inequalities, not including any of those mentioned above. The inequalities that we consider have a common theme; they relate to problems in real analysis, and more particularly to problems in linear analysis. Incidentally, they include many of the inequalities considered in the fascinating and ground-breaking book *Inequalities*, by Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [HaLP 52], originally published in 1934.

The first intention of this book, then, is to establish fundamental inequalities in this area. But more importantly, its purpose is to put them in context, and to show how useful they are. Although the book is very largely self-contained, it should therefore principally be of interest to analysts, and to those who use analysis seriously.

The book requires little background knowledge, but some such knowledge is very desirable. For a great many inequalities, we begin by considering sums of a finite number of terms, and the arguments that are used here lie at the heart of the matter. But to be of real use, the results must be extended

to infinite sequences and infinite sums, and also to functions and integrals. In order to be really useful, we need a theory of measure and integration which includes suitable limit theorems. In a preliminary chapter, we give a brief account of what we need to know; the details will not be needed, at least in the early chapters, but a familiarity with the ideas and results of the theory is a great advantage.

Secondly, it turns out that the sequences and functions that we consider are members of an appropriate vector space, and that their ‘size’, which is involved in the inequalities that we prove, is described by a norm. We establish basic properties of normed spaces in Chapter 4. Normed spaces are the subject of linear analysis, and, although our account is largely self-contained, it is undoubtedly helpful to have some familiarity with the ideas and results of this subject (such as are developed in books such as *Linear analysis* by Béla Bollobás [Bol 90] or *Introduction to functional analysis* by Taylor and Lay [TaL 80]. In many ways, this book provides a parallel text in linear analysis.

Looked at from this point of view, the book falls naturally into two unequal parts. In Chapters 2 to 13, the main concern is to establish inequalities between sequences and functions lying in appropriate normed spaces. The inequalities frequently reveal themselves in terms of the continuity of certain linear operators, or the size of certain sublinear operators. In linear analysis, however, there is interest in the structure and properties of linear operators themselves, and in particular in their spectral properties, and in the last four chapters we establish some fundamental inequalities for linear operators.

This book journeys into the foothills of linear analysis, and provides a view of high peaks ahead. Important fundamental results are established, but I hope that the reader will find him- or herself hungry for more. There are brief Notes and Remarks at the end of each chapter, which include suggestions for further reading: a partial list, consisting of books and papers that I have enjoyed reading. A more comprehensive guide is given in the monumental *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces* [JoL 01,03] which gives an impressive overview of much of modern linear analysis.

The Notes and Remarks also contain a collection of exercises, of a varied nature: some are five-finger exercises, but some establish results that are needed later. Do them!

Linear analysis lies at the heart of many areas of mathematics, including for example partial differential equations, harmonic analysis, complex analysis and probability theory. Each of them is touched on, but only to a small extent; for example, in Chapter 9 we use results from complex analysis to prove the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, but otherwise we seldom

use the powerful tools of complex analysis. Each of these areas has its own collection of important and fascinating inequalities, but in each case it would be too big a task to do them justice here.

I have worked hard to remove errors, but undoubtedly some remain. Corrections and further comments can be found on a web-page on my personal home page at [www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk](http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk)

# 1

## Measure and integral

### 1.1 Measure

Many of the inequalities that we shall establish originally concern finite sequences and finite sums. We then extend them to infinite sequences and infinite sums, and to functions and integrals, and it is these more general results that are useful in applications.

Although the applications can be useful in simple settings – concerning the Riemann integral of a continuous function, for example – the extensions are usually made by a limiting process. For this reason we need to work in the more general setting of measure theory, where appropriate limit theorems hold. We give a brief account of what we need to know; the details of the theory will not be needed, although it is hoped that the results that we eventually establish will encourage the reader to master them. If you are not familiar with measure theory, read through this chapter quickly, and then come back to it when you find that the need arises.

Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a set. A *measure* ascribes a size to some of the subsets of  $\Omega$ . It turns out that we usually cannot do this in a sensible way for all the subsets of  $\Omega$ , and have to restrict attention to the *measurable* subsets of  $\Omega$ . These are the ‘good’ subsets of  $\Omega$ , and include all the sets that we meet in practice. The collection of measurable sets has a rich enough structure that we can carry out countable limiting operations.

A  $\sigma$ -field  $\Sigma$  is a collection of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  which satisfies

- (i) if  $(A_i)$  is a sequence in  $\Sigma$  then  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Sigma$ , and
- (ii) if  $A \in \Sigma$  then the complement  $\Omega \setminus A \in \Sigma$ .

Thus

- (iii) if  $(A_i)$  is a sequence in  $\Sigma$  then  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Sigma$ .

The sets in  $\Sigma$  are called  $\Sigma$ -*measurable* sets; if it is clear what  $\Sigma$  is, they are simply called the *measurable sets*.

Here are two constructions that we shall need, which illustrate how the conditions are used. If  $(A_i)$  is a sequence in  $\Sigma$  then we define the *upper limit*  $\overline{\lim}A_i$  and the *lower limit*  $\underline{\lim}A_i$ :

$$\overline{\lim}A_i = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \cup_{j=i}^{\infty} A_j \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\lim}A_i = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \cap_{j=i}^{\infty} A_j \right).$$

Then  $\overline{\lim}A_i$  and  $\underline{\lim}A_i$  are in  $\Sigma$ . You should verify that  $x \in \overline{\lim}A_i$  if and only if  $x \in A_i$  for infinitely many indices  $i$ , and that  $x \in \underline{\lim}A_i$  if and only if there exists an index  $i_0$  such that  $x \in A_i$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ .

If  $\Omega$  is the set  $\mathbf{N}$  of natural numbers, or the set  $\mathbf{Z}$  of integers, or indeed any countable set, then we take  $\Sigma$  to be the collection  $P(\Omega)$  of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . Otherwise,  $\Sigma$  will be a proper subset of  $P(\Omega)$ . For example, if  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^d$  (where  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the set of real numbers), we consider the collection of *Borel sets*; the sets in the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that contains all the open sets. This includes all the sets that we meet in practice, such as the closed sets, the  $G_\delta$  sets (countable intersections of open sets), the  $F_\sigma$  sets (countable unions of closed sets), and so on. The Borel  $\sigma$ -field has the fundamental disadvantage that we cannot give a straightforward definition of what a Borel set looks like – this has the consequence that proofs must be indirect, and this gives measure theory its own particular flavour.

Similarly, if  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, then the Borel sets of  $X$  are sets in the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that contains all the open sets. [Complications can arise unless  $(X, d)$  is separable (that is, there is a countable set which is dense in  $X$ ), and so we shall generally restrict attention to separable metric spaces.]

We now give a size (non-negative, but possibly infinite or zero) to each of the sets in  $\Sigma$ . A *measure* on a  $\sigma$ -field  $\Sigma$  is a mapping  $\mu$  from  $\Sigma$  into  $[0, \infty]$  satisfying

- (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and
- (ii) if  $(A_i)$  is a sequence of disjoint sets in  $\Sigma$  then  $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ :  $\mu$  is *countably additive*.

The most important example that we shall consider is the following. There exists a measure  $\lambda$  (*Borel measure*) on the Borel sets of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with the property that if  $A$  is the rectangular parallelepiped  $\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$  then  $\lambda(A)$  is the product  $\prod_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i)$  of the length of its sides; thus  $\lambda$  gives familiar geometric objects their natural measure. As a second example, if  $\Omega$  is a countable set, we can define  $\#(A)$ , or  $|A|$ , to be the number of points, finite or infinite, in  $A$ ;  $\#$  is *counting measure*. These two examples are radically different: for counting measure, the one-point sets  $\{x\}$  are *atoms*; each has positive measure, and any subset of it has either the same measure or zero measure. Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is *atom-free*; no subset is an atom. This is equivalent

to requiring that if  $A$  is a set of non-zero measure  $A$ , and if  $0 < \beta < \mu(A)$  then there is a measurable subset  $B$  of  $A$  with  $\mu(B) = \beta$ .

Countable additivity implies the following important continuity properties:

(iii) if  $(A_i)$  is an increasing sequence in  $\Sigma$  then

$$\mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i).$$

[Here and elsewhere, we use ‘increasing’ in the weak sense: if  $i < j$  then  $A_i \subseteq A_j$ . If  $A_i \subset A_j$  for  $i < j$ , then we say that  $(A_i)$  is ‘strictly increasing’. Similarly for ‘decreasing’.]

(iv) if  $(A_i)$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\Sigma$  and  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$  then

$$\mu(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i).$$

The finiteness condition here is necessary and important; for example, if  $A_i = [i, \infty) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , then  $\lambda(A_i) = \infty$  for all  $i$ , but  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$ , so that  $\lambda(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = 0$ .

We also have the following consequences:

(v) if  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ ;

(iv) if  $(A_i)$  is any sequence in  $\Sigma$  then  $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ .

There are many circumstances where  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ , so that  $\mu$  only takes finite values, and many where  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . In this latter case, we can consider  $\mu$  as a probability, and frequently denote it by  $\mathbf{P}$ . We then use probabilistic language, and call the elements of  $\Sigma$  ‘events’.

A *measure space* is then a triple  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a set,  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$  (the *measurable sets*) and  $\mu$  is a measure defined on  $\Sigma$ . In order to avoid tedious complications, we shall restrict our attention to  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces: we shall suppose that there is an increasing sequence  $(C_k)$  of measurable sets of finite measure whose union is  $\Omega$ . For example, if  $\lambda$  is Borel measure then we can take  $C_k = \{x: |x| \leq k\}$ .

Here is a useful result, which we shall need from time to time.

**Proposition 1.1.1 (The first Borel–Cantelli lemma)** *If  $(A_i)$  is a sequence of measurable sets and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) < \infty$  then  $\mu(\overline{\lim} A_i) = 0$ .*

*Proof* For each  $i$ ,  $\mu(\overline{\lim} A_i) \leq \mu(\cup_{j=i}^{\infty} A_j)$ , and  $\mu(\cup_{j=i}^{\infty} A_j) \leq \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

If  $\mu(A) = 0$ ,  $A$  is called a *null set*. We shall frequently consider properties which hold except on a null set: if so, we say that the property holds *almost everywhere*, or, in a probabilistic setting, *almost surely*.

## 1.2 Measurable functions

We next consider functions defined on a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . A real-valued function  $f$  is  $\Sigma$ -*measurable*, or more simply *measurable*, if for each real  $\alpha$  the set  $(f > \alpha) = \{x: f(x) > \alpha\}$  is in  $\Sigma$ . A complex-valued function is *measurable* if its real and imaginary parts are. (When  $\mathbf{P}$  is a probability measure and we are thinking probabilistically, a measurable function is called a *random variable*.) In either case, this is equivalent to the set  $(f \in U) = \{x: f(x) \in U\}$  being in  $\Sigma$  for each open set  $U$ . Thus if  $\Sigma$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of a metric space, then the continuous functions are measurable. If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable then so are  $f + g$  and  $fg$ ; the measurable functions form an algebra  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . If  $f$  is measurable then so is  $|f|$ . Thus in the real case  $\mathcal{M}$  is a lattice: if  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable, then so are  $f \vee g = \max(f, g)$  and  $f \wedge g = \min(f, g)$ .

We can also consider the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of a compact Hausdorff space  $(X, \tau)$ : but it is frequently more convenient to work with the *Baire  $\sigma$ -field*: this is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing the closed  $G_\delta$  sets, and is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field for which all the continuous real-valued functions are measurable. When  $(X, \tau)$  is metrizable, the Borel  $\sigma$ -field and the Baire  $\sigma$ -field are the same.

A measurable function  $f$  is a *null function* if  $\mu(f \neq 0) = 0$ . The set  $\mathcal{N}$  of null functions is an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In practice, we identify functions which are equal almost everywhere: that is, we consider elements of the quotient space  $M = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}$ . Although these elements are equivalence classes of functions, we shall tacitly work with representatives, and treat the elements of  $M$  as if they were functions.

What about the convergence of measurable functions? A fundamental problem that we shall frequently consider is ‘When does a sequence of measurable functions converge almost everywhere?’ The first Borel–Cantelli lemma provides us with the following useful criterion.

**Proposition 1.2.1** *Suppose that  $(f_n)$  is a decreasing sequence of non-negative measurable functions. Then  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere if and only if  $\mu((f_n > \epsilon) \cap C_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

*Proof* Suppose that  $(f_n)$  converges almost everywhere, and that  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $((f_n > \epsilon) \cap C_k)$  is a decreasing sequence of sets of finite measure, and if  $x \in \cap_n (f_n > \epsilon) \cap C_k$  then  $(f_n(x))$  does not converge to 0. Thus, by condition (iv) above,  $\mu((f_n > \epsilon) \cap C_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For the converse, we use the first Borel–Cantelli lemma. Suppose that the condition is satisfied. For each  $n$  there exists  $N_n$  such that  $\mu((f_{N_n} > 1/n) \cap C_n) < 1/2^n$ . Then since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu((f_{N_n} > 1/n) \cap C_n) < \infty$ ,



$\mu(\overline{\lim}((f_{N_n} > 1/n) \cap C_n)) = 0$ . But if  $x \notin \overline{\lim}((f_{N_n} > 1/n) \cap C_n)$  then  $f_n \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.1** *A sequence  $(f_n)$  of measurable functions converges almost everywhere if and only if*

$$\mu \left( \left( \sup_{m,n \geq N} |f_m - f_n| > \epsilon \right) \cap C_k \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

for each  $k$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

It is a straightforward but worthwhile exercise to show that if  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  when the limit exists, and  $f(x) = 0$  otherwise, then  $f$  is measurable.

Convergence almost everywhere cannot in general be characterized in terms of a topology. There is however a closely related form of convergence which can. We say that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  *locally in measure* (or *in probability*) if  $\mu(|f_n - f| > \epsilon) \cap C_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ ; similarly we say that  $(f_n)$  is *locally Cauchy in measure* if  $\mu(|f_m - f_n| > \epsilon) \cap C_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ . The preceding proposition, and another use of the first Borel–Cantelli lemma, establish the following relations between these ideas.

**Proposition 1.2.2** (i) *If  $(f_n)$  converges almost everywhere to  $f$ , then  $(f_n)$  converges locally in measure.*

(ii) *If  $(f_n)$  is locally Cauchy in measure then there is a subsequence which converges almost everywhere to a measurable function  $f$ , and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally in measure.*

*Proof* (i) This follows directly from Corollary 1.2.1.

(ii) For each  $k$  there exists  $N_k$  such that  $\mu(|f_m - f_n| > 1/2^k) \cap C_k < 1/2^k$  for  $m, n > N_k$ . We can suppose that the sequence  $(N_k)$  is strictly increasing. Let  $g_k = f_{N_k}$ . Then  $\mu(|g_{k+1} - g_k| < 1/2^k) \cap C_k < 1/2^k$ . Thus, by the First Borel–Cantelli Lemma,  $\mu(\overline{\lim}(|g_{k+1} - g_k| > 1/2^k) \cap C_k) = 0$ . But  $\overline{\lim}(|g_{k+1} - g_k| > 1/2^k) \cap C_k = \overline{\lim}(|g_{k+1} - g_k| > 1/2^k)$ . If  $x \notin \overline{\lim}(|g_{k+1} - g_k| > 1/2^k)$  then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g_{k+1}(x) - g_k(x)| < \infty$ , so that  $(g_k(x))$  is a Cauchy sequence, and is therefore convergent.

Let  $f(x) = \lim g_k(x)$ , when this exists, and let  $f(x) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $(g_k)$  converges to  $f$  almost everywhere, and locally in measure. Since  $(|f_n - f| > \epsilon) \subseteq (|f_n - g_k| > \epsilon/2) \cup (|g_k - f| > \epsilon/2)$ , it follows easily that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally in measure.  $\square$

In fact, there is a complete metric on  $M$  under which the Cauchy sequences are the sequences which are locally Cauchy in measure, and the convergent sequences are the sequences which are locally convergent in measure. This completeness result is at the heart of very many completeness results for spaces of functions.

If  $A$  is a measurable set, its *indicator function*  $I_A$ , defined by setting  $I_A(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$  and  $I_A(x) = 0$  otherwise, is measurable. A *simple function* is a measurable function which takes only finitely many values, and which vanishes outside a set of finite measure: it can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i I_{A_i}$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are measurable sets of finite measure (which we may suppose to be disjoint).

**Proposition 1.2.3** *A non-negative measurable function  $f$  is the pointwise limit of an increasing sequence of simple functions.*

*Proof* Let  $A_{j,n} = (f > j/2^n)$ , and let  $f_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{4^n} I_{A_{j,n} \cap C_n}$ . Then  $(f_n)$  is an increasing sequence of simple functions, which converges pointwise to  $f$ .  $\square$

This result is extremely important; we shall frequently establish inequalities for simple functions, using arguments that only involve finite sums, and then extend them to a larger class of functions by a suitable limiting argument. This is the case when we consider integration, to which we now turn.

### 1.3 Integration

Suppose first that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i I_{A_i}$  is a non-negative simple function. It is then natural to define the integral as  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i)$ . It is easy but tedious to check that this is independent of the representation of  $f$ . Next suppose that  $f$  is a non-negative measurable function. We then define

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} g d\mu : g \text{ simple, } 0 \leq g \leq f \right\}.$$

A word about notation: we write  $\int_{\Omega} f d\mu$  or  $\int f d\mu$  for brevity, and  $\int_{\Omega} f(x) d\mu(x)$  if we want to bring attention to the variable (for example, when  $f$  is a function of more than one variable). When integrating with respect to Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , we shall frequently write  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) dx$ , and use familiar conventions such as  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ . When  $\mathbf{P}$  is a probability measure, we write  $\mathbf{E}(f)$  for  $\int f d\mathbf{P}$ , and call  $\mathbf{E}(f)$  the *expectation* of  $f$ .