

Understanding

CONFLICT OF LAWS

FOURTH EDITION



William M. Richman
William L. Reynolds
Christopher A. Whytock

 LexisNexis®

UNDERSTANDING CONFLICT OF LAWS

Fourth Edition

William M. Richman


*Distinguished University Professor of Law
University of Toledo College of Law*

William L. Reynolds

*Jacob A. France Professor of Judicial Process
University of Maryland School of Law*

Christopher A. Whytock

*Professor of Law and Political Science
University of California, Irvine School of Law*



LexisNexis®

ISBN: 978-0-7698-6449-5 (print)
ISBN: 978-0-3271-8975-6 (ebook)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Richman, William M.

Understanding conflict of laws / William M. Richman, Distinguished University Professor, University of Toledo College of Law, William L. Reynolds, Jacob A. France Professor of Judicial Process, University of Maryland, Christopher A. Whytock, Professor of Law and Political Science, University of California, Irvine School of Law. -- Fourth edition.

pages cm

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7698-6449-5

1. Conflict of laws--United States. I. Reynolds, William L., 1945- II. Whytock, Christopher A. III. Title.

KF411.R53 2013

340.90973--dc23

2013024291

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2013 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

121 Channon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

LEXISNEXIS LAW SCHOOL PUBLISHING ADVISORY BOARD

Paul Caron

Charles Hartsock Professor of Law
University of Cincinnati College of Law

Olympia Duhart

Professor of Law and Director of Lawyering Skills & Values Program
Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law School

Samuel Estreicher

Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law
Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law
NYU School of Law

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar
Elon University School of Law

Joan Heminway

College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law
University of Tennessee College of Law

Edward Imwinkelried

Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law
UC Davis School of Law

Paul Marcus

Haynes Professor of Law
William and Mary Law School

John Sprankling

Distinguished Professor of Law
McGeorge School of Law

Melissa Weresh

Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law
Drake University Law School

DEDICATION

For Carol.
W.M.R.

To My Parents, Roy and Doris.
W.L.R.

To My Parents, Norman and Emily.
C.A.W.

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION

In the decade since the publication of the third edition of this book, there have been steady changes in most of the areas covered. None has been revolutionary on its own, but together the developments have had a substantial impact; those changes are reflected throughout this edition. For example, Chapter 3 on Jurisdiction of Courts includes discussions of the U.S. Supreme Court's 2011 decisions in *J. McIntyre Machinery Ltd. v. Nicastro* and *Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown*, more expansive treatment of the forum non conveniens doctrine, an enhanced discussion of jurisdictional immunities, and an updated section on jurisdiction in cyberspace. Chapter 4 on Choice of Law is updated with new cases, a discussion of choice-of-law codification efforts, and recent developments related to the Erie doctrine. Chapter 6 includes updated discussions of conflict-of-laws issues surrounding same-sex marriage and the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

Moreover, the book's coverage of international matters has been enhanced throughout. In addition to Chapter 5's expanded treatment of the recognition and enforcement of foreign country judgments, there are new discussions of the Hague Service Convention, the Convention on Choice of Court Agreements, the U.N. Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the "New York Convention"), the Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance, the Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, and the Convention on Protection of Children and Cooperation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption.

The authors thank Sirena Wu, UC Irvine School of Law Class of 2014, for excellent research assistance. The authors also thank their families for their support.

March 2013
Toledo, Ohio
Baltimore, Maryland
Irvine, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION	1
§ 1	THE NATURE OF THE SUBJECT	1
[a]	Domicile	2
[b]	Jurisdiction	2
[c]	Choice of Law	3
[d]	The Effect of a Judgment	3
[e]	Domestic Relations	3
§ 2	BIBLIOGRAPHY	3
Chapter 2	DOMICILE	5
§ 3	DOMICILE: GENERALLY	5
§ 4	THE DEFINITION: PRESENCE	6
§ 5	THE DEFINITION: INTENT	7
[a]	Derivative Domicile	8
[b]	Proving Intent: The <i>Dorrance</i> Cases	8
[c]	Intent Versus Motive	9
§ 6	RELATED CONCEPTS	10
[a]	Citizenship	10
[b]	Residence	10
[c]	Habitual Residence	11
[d]	Corporations	11
§ 7	THE MULTIPLE DOMICILE PROBLEM	12
§ 8	DOMICILE: DOES IT ALWAYS MEAN THE SAME THING?	13
Chapter 3	JURISDICTION OF COURTS	15
Part A	INTRODUCTION	19
§ 9	OVERVIEW	19
§ 10	SELECTING THE PROPER COURT — JURISDICTION AND RELATED CONCEPTS	20
§ 11	TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION — JURISDICTION OVER PERSONS AND PROPERTY	20
[a]	The Need for a Jurisdictional Basis	20
[b]	Categories of Jurisdiction	21
[c]	Consequences of Lack of Jurisdiction	21
§ 12	SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION — COMPETENCE	22
[a]	Distinct from Jurisdiction Over Persons and Property	22
[b]	Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts	23
§ 13	VENUE	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 14	SELECTING THE PROPER COURT — A SUMMARY	24
§ 15	JURISDICTION AND THE CONSTITUTION: DUE PROCESS AND FULL FAITH AND CREDIT	25
§ 16	NOTICE	26
[a]	Relation of Notice to Jurisdictional Basis	26
[b]	The Standard for Adequate Notice — <i>Mullane</i>	26
[c]	Methods of Giving Notice	27
[d]	Notice in In Rem Actions	29
Part B	HISTORY: FROM POWER AND TERRITORIALITY TO CONTACTS AND FAIRNESS	30
§ 17	EARLY DOGMA	30
§ 18	STRETCHING THE DOGMA BY FICTIONS	32
[a]	The Problem: Natural Persons	32
[b]	Implied Consent and the Early Long-Arm Statutes	32
[c]	Foreign Corporations	33
§ 19	INADEQUACY OF THE TERRITORIAL THEORY	35
§ 20	THE REVOLUTION IN PERSONAL JURISDICTION: CONTACTS AND FAIRNESS	36
[a]	<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i>	36
[b]	<i>McGee v. International Life Insurance Co.</i>	38
[c]	<i>Hanson v. Denckla</i>	40
Part C	JURISDICTION AFTER 1977 — THE RECENT CASES	42
§ 21	<i>SHAFFER v. HEITNER</i>	42
§ 22	<i>WORLD-WIDE VOLKSWAGEN CORP. v. WOODSON</i>	44
§ 23	<i>KEETON v. HUSTLER MAGAZINE</i>	48
§ 24	<i>CALDER v. JONES</i>	51
§ 25	<i>HELICOPTEROS NACIONALES DE COLOMBIA v. HALL</i>	52
§ 26	<i>BURGER KING CORP. v. RUDZEWICZ</i>	57
§ 27	<i>ASAHI METAL INDUSTRY CO. LTD. v. SUPERIOR COURT</i>	61
[a]	The Reasonableness Analysis	61
[b]	The Contacts Analysis	64
§ 27A	<i>J. MCINTYRE MACHINERY LTD. v. NICASTRO</i>	66
§ 27B	<i>GOODYEAR DUNLOP TIRES OPERATIONS, S.A. v. BROWN</i>	69
Part D	BASES FOR JURISDICTION	70
§ 28	PERSONAL SERVICE WITHIN THE STATE	71
[a]	Basics	71
[b]	History: <i>Pennoyer</i> , <i>International Shoe</i> , and <i>Shaffer</i>	71
[c]	<i>Burnham v. Superior Court</i>	72
[d]	Justice Scalia and Historical Pedigree	73
[e]	Justice Brennan and Contemporary Notions of Due Process	74

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[f]	Justice Scalia's Rejoinder	75
[g]	Justice White	76
[h]	Justice Stevens: Easy Cases and Bad Law	77
[i]	Evaluation and Prediction	78
§ 29	DOMICILE — RESIDENCE — CITIZENSHIP	79
§ 30	APPEARANCE AND CONSENT	82
[a]	General Appearance	82
[b]	Special Appearance	83
[c]	Consent	85
[1]	Statutory	85
[2]	Contractual	85
[3]	Class Action Plaintiffs	88
[d]	Appearance, Consent, Waiver, and Jurisdictional Theory	91
§ 31	TRADITIONAL BASES FOR JURISDICTION OVER CORPORATIONS	91
[a]	Domestic Corporations	91
[b]	Foreign Corporations	92
[c]	Parents and Subsidiaries	93
§ 32	TRADITIONAL BASES FOR JURISDICTION OVER PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS	94
§ 33	LONG-ARM STATUTES	94
[a]	In General	94
[b]	The California Style Long-Arm Statute	95
[c]	Enumerated Act Statutes	95
§ 34	LONG-ARM JURISDICTION IN FEDERAL COURTS	102
[a]	Constitutional Power	102
[b]	Rule 4(k)	102
Part E	UNDERSTANDING PERSONAL JURISDICTION	104
§ 35	CONSTITUTIONAL SOURCE AND UNDERLYING POLICY	104
[a]	The Source	104
[b]	Policies and Justifications	107
§ 36	THE TWO-PART TEST: "MINIMUM CONTACTS . . . FAIRPLAY AND SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE"	108
§ 37	MEASURING CONTACTS	109
[a]	Contacts Required	109
[b]	General Jurisdiction, Specific Jurisdiction and the Sliding Scale	109
[c]	How Much Contact?	111
[d]	Whose Contacts?	113
[e]	Foreseeability	114
[f]	Initiation — Who Went to Whom	115
[g]	Purposefulness and the Stream of Commerce	116

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 38	MEASURING FAIRNESS OR REASONABLENESS	119
[a]	Fairness Required	119
[b]	The Fairness Factors	119
[1]	The Burden on the Defendant	119
[2]	The Forum State's Interest in Adjudicating the Dispute	120
[3]	Plaintiff's Interest in Obtaining Convenient and Effective Relief	121
[4]	The Interstate Judicial System's Interest in Obtaining the Most Efficient Resolution of Controversies	122
[5]	The Shared Interest of the Several States in Furthering Substantive Social Policies	122
[c]	Balancing Fairness Against Contacts	123
§ 39	JURISDICTION WITHOUT CONTACT AND FAIRNESS	124
§ 40	ANALYTICAL SUMMARY: ATTACKING A PERSONAL JURISDICTION PROBLEM	125
Part F	JURISDICTION OVER PROPERTY	128
§ 41	THE TRADITIONAL TAXONOMY	129
[a]	Jurisdiction In Rem and Jurisdiction In Personam	129
[b]	Jurisdiction In Rem, Jurisdiction Quasi-In-Rem and Attachment Jurisdiction	130
§ 42	THE DEVELOPMENT OF ATTACHMENT JURISDICTION	132
[a]	<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	132
[b]	<i>Harris v. Balk</i> — Intangibles	134
[c]	<i>Seider v. Roth</i>	135
§ 43	A PARTIAL MEASURE: THE LIMITED APPEARANCE	136
§ 44	THE IN REM REVOLUTION: <i>SHAFFER</i> v. <i>HEITNER</i>	138
§ 45	IN REM JURISDICTION AFTER <i>SHAFFER</i> v. <i>HEITNER</i>	140
[a]	True In Rem Jurisdiction and Quasi-In-Rem Type I Jurisdiction	140
[b]	Attachment Jurisdiction	141
[1]	A Close Relation	141
[2]	Minimum Contacts	142
[3]	Attachment to Enforce	142
[4]	Attachment as Security	143
[5]	Land	144
[6]	No Other Forum	144
§ 46	THE <i>SEIDER</i> DOCTRINE TODAY	145
[a]	<i>Seider</i> and the <i>Shaffer</i> Test	146
[b]	The "Direct Action" Argument	146
Part G	ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS IN JURISDICTION	147
§ 47	LIMITS: FORUM NON CONVENIENS AND FEDERAL TRANSFER	147
[a]	The Forum Non Conveniens Doctrine	147

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[b]	Federal Transfer	150
[c]	Forum Non Conveniens, Federal Transfer, and Choice of Law	151
§ 48	LIMITS: FORCE, FRAUD, AND IMMUNITY	152
§ 49	LIMITS: LOCAL ACTIONS	154
§ 50	LIMITS: PENALTIES, TAXES, AND PUBLIC POLICY	155
[a]	Penal Laws	155
[b]	Taxes	156
[c]	Public Policy	157
§ 51	LIMITS: FORUM SELECTION CLAUSES	158
§ 52	PROCEDURE: CONTINUING JURISDICTION	159
§ 53	PROCEDURE: MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION	160
§ 54	A NOTE ON COMPLEX LITIGATION	161
§ 55	THE CHALLENGE OF THE FUTURE: JURISDICTION IN CYBERSPACE	163
[a]	Easy Cases	163
[b]	More Difficult Cases	165
Chapter 4	CHOICE OF LAW	169
§ 56	OVERVIEW	174
Part A	SOME PERVASIVE PROBLEMS	176
§ 57	CHARACTERIZATION	176
§ 58	SUBSTANCE OR PROCEDURE	179
§ 59	RENOVI	181
[a]	Two Examples	182
[b]	Renvoi Terminology	183
[c]	The Uses of Renvoi	183
[d]	The Vices of Renvoi	185
§ 60	PUBLIC POLICY	185
§ 61	DÉPEÇAGE	187
§ 62	PROOF OF FOREIGN LAW	189
[a]	Judicial Notice	190
[b]	Failure to Prove Foreign Law	190
[c]	Certified Questions	192
Part B	THE FIRST RESTATEMENT	192
§ 63	THE FIRST RESTATEMENT — THEORY	193
[a]	A Bit of History	193
[b]	Vested Rights	193
[c]	Critique of the Vested Rights Theory	194
§ 64	THE FIRST RESTATEMENT IN PRACTICE — BROAD RULES AND ESCAPE DEVICES	195

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 65	TORTS	197
[a]	The Law of the Place of the Wrong	197
[b]	Escaping the Law of the Place of Injury	199
[1]	Characterization	199
[2]	Renvoi	201
[3]	Public Policy	201
§ 66	CONTRACTS	202
[a]	The Place of Making	202
[b]	Escaping the Place of Making	204
[1]	Manipulation of the Rule	204
[2]	Issues of Making and Issues of Performance	205
[3]	The Standard Escape Devices	206
§ 67	PROPERTY	207
[a]	Land	207
[b]	Movables — Personal Property	210
[1]	Inter Vivos Transactions	210
[2]	Succession on Death	211
[c]	Escaping the Law of the Situs	211
[1]	Equitable Conversion	211
[2]	Renvoi	212
[3]	Characterization	213
§ 68	THE FIRST RESTATEMENT — A CRITIQUE	214
Part C	MODERN CHOICE OF LAW	216
§ 69	INTRODUCTION	216
§ 70	CENTER OF GRAVITY	217
§ 71	THE SECOND RESTATEMENT: HISTORY AND THEORY	218
[a]	The Drafting History	218
[b]	An Overview	219
[c]	Section 6 and the Most Significant Relationship	220
[1]	Statutory Directive	220
[2]	The Most Significant Relationship	220
[d]	The Factors of § 6 (2)	221
[1]	The Needs of the Interstate and International Systems	221
[2]	The Policy Analysis Factors	221
[3]	Factor d: The Protection of Justified Expectations	222
[4]	Factor f: Certainty, Predictability, and Uniformity of Result	222
[5]	Factor g: Ease in the Determination and Application of the Law to Be Applied	222
[e]	The Specific Sections: A Wide Variety of Approaches	223
[1]	Territorial Presumptions	223
[2]	Non-Territorial Sections	224

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[f]	The Grouping-of-Contacts Sections	225
[g]	Applying the Second Restatement: The Drafters' Intent	226
[h]	A Note on Escape Devices	226
§ 72	THE SECOND RESTATEMENT IN THE COURTS	227
[a]	Dominance	227
[b]	Use and Abuse	228
[c]	Specific Versus General: In Practice	229
§ 73	THE SECOND RESTATEMENT: TORTS	230
[a]	Structure and Theory	230
[1]	The Most Significant Relationship	230
[2]	Types of Torts	231
[3]	Tort Issues	231
[4]	The Importance of the Place of Injury	232
[b]	Practice	233
§ 74	THE SECOND RESTATEMENT: CONTRACTS	236
[a]	Structure of the Chapter	236
[b]	Party Autonomy	236
[1]	Background	237
[2]	Section 187(1)	237
[3]	Section 187(2)	238
[c]	Presumptive Choices	241
[d]	The Most Significant Relationship	244
[e]	An Evaluation	244
§ 75	THE SECOND RESTATEMENT: PROPERTY	245
[a]	Generally	245
[b]	Immovables	246
[1]	The Situs Rule	246
[2]	Rationales for the Situs Rule	246
[c]	Movables	248
[1]	Inter Vivos Transfers	248
[2]	Marital Property	248
[3]	Succession on Death	249
§ 76	THE SECOND RESTATEMENT: PROCEDURE	250
§ 77	RETROSPECTIVE ON THE SECOND RESTATEMENT	252
§ 78	INTEREST ANALYSIS: THE THEORY	253
[a]	Overview	253
[b]	False Conflicts	254
[c]	True Conflicts	257
[d]	The Disinterested Forum	258
[e]	The Unprovided-for Case	259
§ 79	INTEREST ANALYSIS: RESOLVING TRUE CONFLICTS	260

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[a]	Pure Interest Analysis	260
[b]	Comparative Impairment	262
[c]	Other Ways to Resolve True Conflicts	265
[d]	Balancing Interests: An Invitation to Heresy	267
§ 80	INTEREST ANALYSIS IN PRACTICE	267
§ 81	CHOICE-INFLUENCING CONSIDERATIONS AND THE BETTER LAW	270
[a]	The Considerations	271
[1]	Predictability of Result	271
[2]	Maintenance of Interstate and International Order	271
[3]	Simplification of the Judicial Task	271
[4]	Advancement of the Forum's Governmental Interests	272
[5]	The Better Rule of Law	272
[b]	The Better Law and Lex Fori	272
[1]	The Theoretical Objection	273
[2]	The Practical Objection	274
[c]	Leflar in Practice	274
[d]	A Final Word	275
§ 82	EHRENZWEIG'S "TRUE RULES"	275
§ 83	COUNTERATTACK: NEO-TERRITORIALISM	276
§ 84	COUNTERATTACK: THE SEARCH FOR RULES	278
[a]	The Problem	278
[1]	Predictability	278
[2]	Forum Favoritism	279
[b]	The Academic Solution	280
[c]	Judicially Created Rules	280
[d]	A Criticism of <i>Neumeier</i>	281
§ 85	CHOICE-OF-LAW THEORY TODAY	285
[a]	A State of Disarray	285
[b]	Is Disarray Bad?	287
[c]	The Future of Choice of Law	288
[d]	Are Legislative Solutions Desirable?	291
Part D	CHOICE OF LAW TODAY	292
§ 86	INTRODUCTION	292
§ 87	TORTS	292
[a]	Substantive Problems	292
[b]	Theoretical Problems	294
[c]	No-fault Statutes	294
§ 88	CONTRACTS	294
§ 89	PROPERTY	296
[a]	Immovables: The Situs Rule	296

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[b]	Movables	299
[c]	Marital Property	299
§ 90	ESTATES AND TRUSTS	300
[a]	The Validity of the Instrument	300
[b]	Administration	301
[1]	Trusts	301
[2]	Estates	301
§ 91	CORPORATIONS	302
§ 92	THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE	304
[a]	Generally	304
[b]	Secured Transactions under the Present Code	306
§ 93	STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS	306
[a]	Analysis	306
[b]	Substantive Limitations	307
[c]	Borrowing Statutes	308
[d]	Recent Developments	309
Part E	THE CONSTITUTION AND CHOICE OF LAW	310
§ 94	LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTION	310
§ 95	PRELUDE: THE EARLY CASES	310
§ 96	INTERMEZZO: THE NEW DEAL CASES AND THEIR PROGENY	312
§ 97	THE EIGHTIES' TRILOGY: <i>ALLSTATE</i> , <i>SHUTTS</i> , AND <i>WORTMAN</i>	315
[a]	<i>Allstate</i>	315
[1]	The Plurality Opinion	316
[i]	Employment	316
[ii]	Doing Business	316
[iii]	Change of Residence	317
[iv]	Aggregation	317
[2]	The Concurring Opinion	317
[3]	The Dissent	318
[4]	<i>Allstate</i> in Perspective	318
[b]	<i>Shutts</i>	319
[1]	The Test	319
[2]	A Perspective on <i>Shutts</i>	320
[c]	<i>Wortman</i>	321
[d]	A Perspective on the Trilogy	322
§ 98	ANOTHER LOOK AT FULL FAITH AND CREDIT AND DUE PROCESS	323
§ 99	CHOICE OF LAW AND DISCRIMINATION AGAINST NONCITIZENS	325
[a]	The Commerce Clause	325
[b]	Privileges and Immunities	327

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[c]	Equal Protection	327
§ 100	A PARTIAL SUMMING UP	328
§ 101	FORUM CLOSING I: CAN A STATE KEEP OUT FOREIGN LITIGATION?	328
§ 102	FORUM CLOSING II: CAN A STATE KEEP LITIGATION TO ITSELF?	330
Part F	SPECIAL PROBLEMS IN FEDERAL COURTS	331
§ 103	INTRODUCTION TO <i>ERIE</i>	331
§ 104	FEDERAL LAW OR STATE LAW — THE <i>ERIE</i> DOCTRINE	331
[a]	The Great 1938 Flip-Flop	332
[1]	Procedure	332
[2]	Substance	333
[b]	<i>Erie</i> : The Bases for the Decision	334
[c]	<i>Guaranty Trust Co. v. York</i> and the Outcome Determinative Test	335
[d]	<i>Byrd</i> and the Balancing Test	336
[e]	<i>Hanna</i> and the Presumptive Validity of the Federal Rules	336
[f]	<i>Woods</i> and <i>Ricoh</i> : <i>Hanna</i> Reaffirmed	338
[g]	<i>Gasperini</i> and <i>Shady Grove</i>	339
[h]	Analytical Summary	341
§ 105	ASCERTAINING STATE LAW	342
§ 106	DOOR CLOSING RULES	343
§ 107	<i>KLAXON</i> — CHOICE OF LAW IN FEDERAL COURTS	345
§ 108	FEDERAL COMMON LAW	348
Chapter 5	JUDGMENTS	353
Part A	BASIC PRINCIPLES	354
§ 109	FINALITY IN F-1 — RES JUDICATA	354
[a]	The Policies Behind Finality	355
[b]	Claim Preclusion and Issue Preclusion	355
[c]	The Dimensions of a Claim or Cause of Action	356
[d]	Persons Affected by Res Judicata — Parties and Privies	357
[e]	Strangers to the Litigation — Mutuality of Estoppel	358
§ 110	INTERSTATE FINALITY — FULL FAITH AND CREDIT	359
[a]	Generally	359
[b]	Enforcing the F-1 Judgment in F-2	361
[c]	Whose Law of Finality?	362
[1]	At Least as Much Preclusive Effect	362
[2]	More Preclusive Effect	365
§ 111	FULL FAITH AND CREDIT FOR IN REM PROCEEDINGS	366
[a]	Recognition of Interests in the Property	366
[b]	Binding the Parties Personally	367

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[c]	Preclusion of Litigated Issues	368
§ 112	RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN COUNTRY JUDGMENTS	370
§ 113	FULL FAITH AND CREDIT AND FEDERAL COURTS	375
[a]	Recognition of Federal Court Judgments	375
[b]	Recognition of State Judgments in Federal Courts	378
[1]	In General	378
[2]	Exceptions	379
[3]	Exclusive Jurisdiction	381
[4]	Civil Rights — § 1983 Cases	384
[5]	Civil Rights — Title VII Cases	387
Part B	THE REACH AND LIMITS OF FULL FAITH AND CREDIT	388
§ 114	THE IRON LAW OF FULL FAITH AND CREDIT	388
[a]	<i>Fauntleroy v. Lum</i>	388
[b]	Inconsistent Judgments	389
§ 115	EXCEPTIONS TO THE IRON LAW I — PROBLEMS WITH THE F-1 DECREE	390
[a]	Judgments Not on the Merits	390
[b]	Lack of Finality in F-1	391
[c]	Fraud in Obtaining the F-1 Judgment	393
[d]	Lack of Jurisdiction in F-1	394
[1]	Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person	394
[2]	Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Subject Matter	395
[e]	The Land Taboo	397
§ 116	EXCEPTIONS TO THE IRON LAW II: F-2'S ABILITY TO IGNORE A VALID F-1 JUDGMENT	399
[a]	The Lack of a Competent Court in F-2	399
[b]	Penal Judgments	400
§ 117	THE PUBLIC POLICY OF F-2: THE PROBLEM OF RESTATEMENT (SECOND) SECTION 103	401
[a]	Section 103 and <i>Yarborough v. Yarborough</i>	401
[b]	The Domestic Relations Cases	403
[c]	The Workers' Compensation Cases	404
[1]	<i>Magnolia</i>	404
[2]	<i>McCartin</i>	404
[3]	<i>Thomas</i> : The Plurality Opinion	405
[4]	<i>Thomas</i> : The Concurrence and the Dissent	407
[5]	<i>Thomas</i> : An Evaluation	408
[d]	<i>Baker v. General Motors</i>	409