

THE FUTURE OF THE PUBLIC DOMAIN
Identifying the Commons in Information Law

Lucie Guibault
P. Bernt Hugenholtz (eds.)

KLUWER LAW
INTERNATIONAL

INFORMATION LAW SERIES – 16

THE FUTURE OF THE PUBLIC DOMAIN
Identifying the Commons
in Information Law

Lucie Guibault

P. Bernt Hugenholtz

KLUWER LAW
INTERNATIONAL

A C.I.P Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 90-411-2435-7

Published by

Kluwer Law International,
P.O. Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands
Tel +31-172-64-1500
Fax +31-172-64-1515
Email sales@kluwerlaw.com
<http://www.kluwerlaw.com>

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by

Aspen Publishers, Inc.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Tel +1-877-529-5427 (Customer care)
Fax +1-301-698-7159
Email customercare@aspenpubl.com
<http://www.kluwerlaw.com>

Sold and distributed in all other countries by

Turpin Distribution Services Ltd.
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive
Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom
Tel +44-1767-604958
Fax +44-1767-601640
Email kluwerlaw@extenza-turpin.com
<http://www.extenza-turpin.com>

Printed on acid-free paper

© 2006 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 111 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201 United States. E-mail: permissions@kluwerlaw.com

Printed and bound in The Netherlands

Contents

Chapter I	
The Future of the Public Domain: An Introduction	1
<i>P. Bernt Hugenholtz and Lucie Guibault</i>	
Chapter II	
Challenges in Mapping the Public Domain	7
<i>Pamela Samuelson</i>	
1. Introduction	7
2. Considering Criticisms of the Public Domain Map	9
3. Normative Reasons to Map the Public Domain	21
Chapter III	
Economic Analysis of the Public Domain	27
<i>Eli M. Salzberger</i>	
1. Introduction	27
2. Law and Economics and Intellectual Property	29
3. The Tragedy of the Commons Paradigm and the Positive Analysis of the Public Domain	33
4. The Incentives Paradigm and the Normative Analysis of the Public Domain	37
4.1. The Incentive Paradigm versus the Tragedy of the Commons	37

4.2.	Alternatives to Intellectual Property within the Incentive Paradigm and their Effects on the Public Domain	42
5.	Some Hidden Assumptions of the Traditional Law and Economics Analysis	45
5.1.	Theories of Progress and the Evolution of Science	45
5.2.	The State of Technology	47
5.3.	The Arena – the Definition of Communities, Individuals and Time	50
6.	Property Rights and the Public Domain Revisited	55
7.	Conclusion	57

Chapter IV

More or Better? Shaping the Public Domain **59**

Michael D. Birnhack

1.	Introduction	59
2.	Speech: More or Better?	64
2.1.	Two Paradigms of Threats to Speech	64
2.2.	First (Amendment) Principles	66
2.2.1.	The Search for the Truth	67
2.2.2.	Democracy	69
2.2.3.	Participation	71
3.	Expression: More or Better?	73
3.1.	Author-Based Theories	74
3.2.	Instrumental Views of Copyright: The Economic Analysis	75
3.3.	Markets	77
3.3.1.	Quality	77
3.3.2.	Quantity	79
3.4.	The Democratic View of Copyright Law	79
4.	The Public Domain and the Market	82
4.1.	The Market and the Marketplace of Ideas	84
4.2.	Democratic Views of Copyright and of Free Speech	85
5.	Conclusion	86

Chapter V

Wrapping Information in Contract: How Does it Affect the Public Domain? **87**

Lucie Guibault

1.	Introduction	87
2.	Contracts Relating to Public Domain Information	89
2.1.	The Public Domain from a European Perspective	89
2.2.	Contracts over Information Not or No Longer Qualifying	94
2.3.	Contracts over Privileged Uses	97

3.	Impact of Contractual Practices on the Public Domain	98
3.1.	Legitimacy of Private Ordering	99
3.2.	Effectiveness of Private Ordering	101
3.3.	Symbolic Meaning	103
4.	Conclusion	104

Chapter VI

The Public Domain Commodified: Technological Measures and Productive Information Use 105

Kamiel J. Koelman

1.	Commodification and the Public Domain	105
2.	Rhetoric	106
3.	Control over Use	108
4.	Control over Information	110
5.	Economic Valuation	111
6.	Regulation	115
7.	Freedoms of Expression and Information	117
8.	Conclusion	119

Chapter VII

Copyright, Commodification, and Culture: Locating the Public Domain 121

Julie E. Cohen

1.	Commodification and the Public Domain: Four Puzzles	121
2.	The Construction of the Public Domain: A Brief History of an Idea	124
2.1.	From Public Property and Publici Juris to Public Domain	125
2.2.	Public Domain, Public Property, and Publici Juris in Nineteenth-Century US Law	127
2.3.	The Public Domain in Contemporary Copyright Law	132
2.4.	The Four Puzzles Revisited	135
3.	The Common in Culture: Toward a Social Theory of Creative Practice	137
3.1.	Cultural Mechanics	138
3.2.	Cultural Biology	141
3.3.	Cultural Anthropology	143
3.4.	Notes Toward a Sociology of Creative Practice	146
4.	The Public Domain (and Commodification) Reconsidered	157
4.1.	From the Public Domain to the Cultural Landscape	157
4.2.	Recognizing the Cultural Landscape	160
4.3.	The Postcolonialist Critique	165
5.	Conclusion	166

Chapter VIII**Database Protection: The Commodification of Information****167***Mark Davison*

1.	An Overview of the Directive	168
1.1.	More than Just Copyright	169
1.2.	Case Law relating to the Directive	170
2.	The History of the Directive and American Proposals	172
2.1.	The Directive	173
2.2.	The American Proposals	174
2.2.1.	The Current Proposal	175
2.2.2.	Why the Differences do not Matter	176
2.2.2.	... And Why They do Matter	179
3.	Lessons from the EU and American Processes	180
3.1.	Eliminating References to 'Qualitative' Investment in and 'Qualitatively' Substantial Parts of Databases	181
3.2.	Ensuring Maximum and Uniform Implementation of Exceptions	181
3.3.	Copyright Exemption for Downloading Data	182
3.4.	Altering the Provisions in Respect of the Duration of Protection to Ensure Data Falls into the Public Domain after 15 Years	182
3.5.	Application of Antitrust Generally	183
3.6.	Rights Over the Contents of Databases	183
4.	Notion and Role of the Public Domain in the Context of Information Law and Policy	184
4.1.	Open Warfare	185
4.1.1.	Symbolism and the Battle of Ideas	185
4.1.2.	Independent Watchdogs	187
5.	Conclusion	188
	Postscript	188

Chapter IX**Patenting Science: Protecting the Domain of Accessible Knowledge****191***Graeme B. Dinwoodie and Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss*

1.	The Nature of the Debate	192
1.1.	What Counts as Public?	193
1.2.	Does Access Matter?	194
1.3.	Is the Domain of Accessible Knowledge Shrinking?	196
1.4.	Are there Constraints on Reform?	204
2.	Protecting the Domain of Accessible Knowledge	209
3.	Mapping the International Domain of Accessible Knowledge	218
4.	Conclusion	221

Chapter X**Property and Privacy: European Perspectives and the Commodification of our Identity****223***Corien Prins*

- | | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 1. | Introduction | 223 |
| 2. | Background | 226 |
| 3. | Privacy and Property: 'Ownership' Models on the Internet | 228 |
| 4. | Establishing a Property Right in Personal Data | 230 |
| 5. | Property Rights and Human Rights | 234 |
| 5.1. | Property, Privacy and Personality | 237 |
| 5.2. | Property, Human Dignity and the Human Body | 239 |
| 5.3. | Contractual Freedom and Human Rights | 241 |
| 6. | Contractual Freedom, Control Rights and the EU Personal Data Directive | 242 |
| 7. | Reflections on Property in Personal Data | 246 |
| 8. | The Costs of a Property Rights Approach | 251 |
| 9. | Commodification of Personal Data, Identities and the Public Domain | 253 |
| 10. | Conclusion | 257 |

Chapter XI**Towards an Indigenous Public Domain?****259***Brad Sherman and Leanne Wiseman*

- | | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 1. | Introduction | 259 |
| 2. | IP Protection and Indigenous Creations | 261 |
| 3. | Impact of Extending IP Protection to Indigenous Creations | 265 |
| 4. | Reconfiguring the Public Domain in the Interest of Indigenous Cultures | 267 |

Chapter XII**The Commercialization of Public Sector Information: Delineating the Issues****279***Mireille van Eechoud*

- | | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 1. | Delineating the Field | 280 |
| 1.1. | Definition of Public Sector | 280 |
| 1.2. | Types of Government Information | 281 |
| 2. | Overview of Market-Oriented Tendencies | 283 |
| 2.1. | Decentralization | 283 |
| 2.2. | Privatization | 284 |
| 2.3. | Public Private Partnerships | 285 |

3.	Policy Instruments Affecting Access	289
3.1.	Intellectual Property	289
3.2.	Freedom of Information	290
3.3.	Information Registers & Publication Schemes	292
3.4.	Fair Information Practice Policies	293
3.5.	United States OMB Circular A-130	293
3.6.	The Netherlands Instructions on Market Activity by Public Sector Bodies	295
3.7.	United Kingdom's Information Fair Trader Scheme	297
3.8.	Pricing Strategies	298
4.	Conclusion	300

Chapter XIII

Free and Open Source Software: An Answer to Commodification? 303

Maurice Schellekens

1.	Introduction	303
2.	What is Open Source?	304
3.	Is Open Source an Answer to Commodification?	309
3.1.	Open Source, Public Domain and Commodification	309
3.1.1.	The Persistence of the GPL	311
3.1.2.	The End of Copyright as We Know It?	315
3.1.3.	Technical Protection and Open Source	316
3.2.	Other Types of Information than Software	318
3.3.	The Role of Government in Open Source	319
3.4.	Conclusion	322

Chapter XIV

Exploring Creative Commons: A Skeptical View of a Worthy Pursuit 325

Niva Elkin-Koren

1.	Introduction	325
2.	Ideology and Strategy	326
2.1.	What is Wrong with the Current Copyright Regime?	327
2.2.	Creative Remedy: A Licensing Platform	329
2.3.	Ideological Fuzziness	332
3.	Empowering Owners to Govern Their Own Works	334
4.	Private Ordering and Public Welfare	339
5.	Proliferation of Licenses and Barriers on Access	341
6.	Conclusion	344

Workshop Discussions	347
<i>Roy Melzer and Lucie Guibault</i>	
Introduction	347
DAY I	349
Plenary Session	349
Session I	352
Session II	356
Session III	360
DAY II	361
Session IV	361
Session V	368
Session VI	371
 About the Contributors	 373

Chapter I

The Future of the Public Domain: An Introduction

P. Bernt Hugenholtz and Lucie Guibault

The presence of a robust public domain is an essential precondition for cultural, social and economic development and for a healthy democratic process. But the public domain is under pressure as a result of the ongoing march towards an information economy. Items of information, which in the 'old' economy had little or no economic value, such as factual data, personal data, genetic information and pure ideas, have acquired independent economic value in the current information age, and consequently become the object of property rights making the information a tradable commodity. This so-called 'commodification of information', although usually discussed in the context of intellectual property law, is occurring in a wide range of legal domains, including the law of contract, privacy law, broadcasting and telecommunications law.

The increasing commodification of information has sparked, particularly in the United States, an intense social debate on the present state and future of the public domain, and has already led to a rich body of scholarly literature,¹ initially as a result of three important academic conferences organized by the University of Haifa in 1999, New York University in 2000 and Duke University in 2001.² Understandably, much of these discussions has focused on the apparently unstoppable expansion of intellectual property rights, both in traditional fields (copyright, patent and trademark

-
1. See Nancy Kranich, 'The Information Commons: Selected Bibliography', Revised November 2002, <www.willfulinfringement.com/bibliography.asp>.
 2. Proceedings published in: R. Cooper Dreyfuss, D. Leenheer Zimmerman, H. First (eds.), *Expanding the Boundaries of Intellectual Property*, Oxford, Oxford University Press 2001; N. Elkin-Koren and N. Weinstock Netanel (eds.), *The Commodification of Information*, The Hague, London, Boston, Kluwer Law International, 2002, Information Law Series No. 11; and J. Boyle (ed.), 'Duke Conference on the Public Domain', 66 *Law and Contemporary Problems* 1-483 (2003).

law) and in new 'sui generis' domains, such as the special database right introduced by the European legislature in 1996 or the yet-to-be-established protection of 'traditional knowledge'. In this connection, the dangers of information 'enclosure' due to the application of technological protection measures has also been, and still is, widely debated. At a more pragmatic level, these discussions have led to exciting experiments with copyright and contract based alternatives, such as Open Source or 'Creative Commons' licensing, in order to safeguard the public domain.

Other aspects of commodification have thus far received less attention. Ironically, an important cause of commodification of information may lie with the government whose very duty it should be to promote and safeguard a robust public domain. However, largely in response to budgetary restrictions and – often ill-conceived – privatization efforts, especially in Europe many governmental institutions have turned to the commercialization of public information, whereby intellectual property rights and other property claims are exercised as instruments of exclusivity.

Building on the important findings of these prior studies and discussions, this project intends to take a somewhat broader, 'information law' oriented approach towards the question of preserving the public domain, in which a wide range of interrelated legal questions converge. Although the ongoing proliferation of intellectual property rights is undeniably an important 'culprit', it is our hypothesis that there is much more to the problem of preserving the public domain than defining the proper boundaries of intellectual property, i.e. finding that mythical 'delicate balance' between protecting information producers and preserving user freedoms. Fundamental rights and freedoms, such as freedom of expression and information and the right to privacy, obviously, are also important factors in this equation, as are commercial freedoms enshrined in competition law. Other (quasi) property rights, such as rights of 'ordinary' property in tangible goods or movable property, may also play a role as instruments of commodification. Paradoxically, in the right of privacy, being one of the core informational freedoms that might serve as a remedy against overbroad rights of intellectual property, lies a potential instrument of commodification. The right to privacy is at the core of so-called rights of publicity or 'portrait rights', that provide increasingly powerful proprietary protection to pecuniary interests in marketable names and images of public or less than public figures. Privacy rights also underlie proprietary claims of individuals in 'their' body tissues or genetic information.

From a perspective of information law and policy, other – broader – questions should also be posed. Assuming 'commodification' of information is actually occurring in these, and possibly other, legal domains, to what extent is the free flow of information really affected? Isn't a certain commodification inherent in copyright's function to act as 'engine of free expression'? An economist might even argue that commodification is a *sine qua non* for the growth of markets in information products and services – necessary prerequisites for a healthy information 'environment'.

How and to what extent does the commodification of information affect the free flow of information and the integrity of the public domain? Does the freedom of expression and information, guaranteed *inter alia* in the European Convention on Human Rights, call for active state intervention to 'save' the public domain?

What means – both legal and practical – are available or might be conceived to guarantee and foster a robust public domain? These were the main questions that were addressed in a major collaborative research project led by the Institute for Information Law of the University of Amsterdam (IViR) in cooperation with the Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society (TILT) of Tilburg University, and funded by ITeR, the Dutch National Program for Information Technology and Law. The preliminary papers resulting from the project were discussed during an international symposium held in Amsterdam on July 1-2, 2004. The final results are presented in this book.

Thirteen authors from academia worldwide have contributed a chapter to the present book, each author or pair of authors addressing the future of the public domain from a different angle. In addition, we have invited all authors to reflect upon the notion and role of the public domain in the context of information law and policy. Should this concept be limited to that of a ‘negative’ image of (intellectual) property protection, i.e. all publicly available information not subject to a property right, and therefore freely (i.e. *gratis*) available,³ or should a broader approach be taken, e.g. all information available from public sources at affordable cost? Should information policies be aimed at maximizing the public domain or optimizing information flows? To what extent are these aims congruent?

Following this introduction, the three first chapters of this book will deal with the public domain in a ‘horizontal’ way. First *Samuelson* will map the public domain by providing a schematic overview of the way and the extent to which the public domain is affected by various legal and paralegal influences, particularly in the digital realm. *Salzberger* will then examine the law and economics of the public domain. What does law and economics research teach us about the social utility of having a robust public domain? To what extent do the economics of the digital realm change the parameters underlying the traditional economic rationale of intellectual property? Is the oft-quoted ‘tragedy of the commons’ really a proper metaphor? Finally, *Birnhack* will discuss the public domain from the perspective of fundamental (human) rights and freedoms. To what extent is the idea(l) of a robust public domain recognized in free speech or possibly elsewhere in human rights or constitutional law? Are some domains more ‘public’ – more important to preserve – than others? Can fundamental freedoms provide remedies against ongoing commodification?

The next two chapters will look at the public domain through the lens of digital rights management. First *Guibault* will examine the increasing commodification of information by contractual means. The World Wide Web has created an ideal environment for establishing a multitude of contractual relationships between information providers and users. Many web-based contracts will be imposed unilaterally, as

3. Cf. J. Boyle, ‘The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain’, 66 *Law & Contemp. Probs.* 33-74 (2003), p. 58 ff (discussing different meanings of public domain).

standard forms, upon information users not able or even willing to negotiate. Often, such standard forms leave users little freedom to re-utilize the licensed information, either in whole or in part. In a future world totally dominated by contract, what will remain of statutory user freedoms aimed at safeguarding the public domain?

Similar questions can be asked with respect to the use of technical protection measures, which *Koelman* will then address. Technical measures, either as part of digital rights management systems integrating contractual and technical protection, or as 'stand-alone' copy-protection or access-control mechanisms, may serve as potentially powerful means of information 'enclosure'. In remarkable contrast to the history of intellectual property law, where exclusive rights were established to 'commodify' information that could not otherwise be excluded from public use, here actual excludability has led to an additional layer of legal protection. In some jurisdictions, the dangers of information enclosure due to the wide-scale application of technical measures have already been recognized in the law. The European Copyright (or 'Information Society') Directive calls for a complicated obligation on the part of rights owners applying technical measures to allow certain groups of information users to actually benefit from statutory exemptions. The Directive, however, fails to instruct EC Members States as to the methods and means of such facilitation.

The next three chapters will focus on intellectual property law, the legal domain that has been at the heart of most discussions concerning the encroachment of the public domain. *Cohen* will deal with copyright law, *Davison* with database protection law, in particular the European sui generis right that comes dangerously close to a property right in data, and finally *Dreyfuss* and *Dinwoodie* on patent law. Under an ideal system of intellectual property law, rights and freedoms constitute a 'delicate balance' between exclusivity and public domain, in which intellectual property's incentive function, principles of natural justice, the public interest and fundamental freedoms are all reflected. In recent years, due in part to the advance of information technology, this delicate balance has come under pressure. The domain of copyright, which was traditionally limited to the production of cultural goods, has been expanded by embracing (quasi-)technological products, such as industrial design and computer software. Concomitantly, the term of protection was extended, and existing copyright exemptions were curtailed. A new 'database right' was introduced, initially only in Europe, to protect collections of facts left to the public domain by way of copyright's idea/expression dichotomy. The domain of patent law, which originally limited the field of technology, also has undergone a gradual expansion. Here, too, we have seen the advent of computer software, followed later by biotechnological discoveries and, more recently, methods of doing business.

So, undeniably, commodification is occurring in the context of intellectual property law, but is it really harming the free flow of information? Does not a juxtaposition between a 'rights-free' public domain and its antithesis, intellectual property law, blind us from the fact that intellectual property law, as the famous US Copyright Clause will have it ('to promote the progress of science and useful arts'), actually provides powerful incentives for the dissemination of information to the general public, and technological innovation? Many information products

subject to intellectual property rights undergo large-scale commercialization, and are therefore widely available to the general public at low cost. On the other hand, (over)commodification may lead to counterproductive monopolies that stifle the free flow of information and impede further innovation. This may be true especially in areas where intellectual property rights cover 'raw data' or other building blocks of knowledge and creation, as is the case for the new European database right or for certain patents in the field of information technology or biotechnology.

From a perspective of sound information policy, the problem, then, is not simply one of 'saving' the public domain from (further) commodification, by cutting back on intellectual property rights as a matter of principle, but rather of fine-tuning the system in such a way that intellectual property's incentive function remains intact while not unnecessarily impeding further dissemination of information. In sum, commodification in intellectual property law raises many difficult, interrelated questions, some of which might require a rethinking of the rationales of intellectual property laws. How and in what areas does the proliferation of intellectual property rights actually affect the public domain? Is commodification still noticeable in recent legal developments, or has it 'peaked'? Assuming this proliferation has actually reduced the public domain, does it also jeopardize the free flow of information in a broader sense? To what extent, and how is the idea(l) of a public domain already internalized in the legal system (e.g. delineation of subject matter, scope, exceptions, etc.)? What legal measures are available, or might be introduced, to 'save' the public domain?

The next chapters will deal with two instruments of commodification that might be qualified as 'quasi-property rights', and that are conceptually interrelated. *Prins* will deal with data protection and (other) privacy rights that underlie property-like claims in personal data and other privacy-based commodities. The increasing recognition of a general right of privacy, particularly in continental Europe, has led to powerful data protection laws and other substantive rules of privacy protection, such as 'portrait rights' or (broader) rights in personal names and faces. *Wiseman* and *Sherman* will then describe the emergence of a novel right in traditional knowledge and culture ('expression of folklore'), a yet to be fully developed and conceptualized quasi-property right which is the subject of intense debate in various international fora, such as WIPO. Interestingly, here is a form of commodification of information inspired not by economic theory, industry lobbying or commercial necessity, but by notions of natural justice and cultural policies aimed at protecting the cultural heritage and identity of non-western societies besieged and exploited by industrial development. Still, the idea of creating property rights or interests in science and culture that, under prevailing conceptions of intellectual property law, would fall squarely in the public domain, raises searching questions from a perspective of information law and policy.

The notion of the 'public domain' in property law traditionally refers to (im-movable) property belonging to the government, to be used for public purposes. This original connotation appears to be almost lost in market-inspired public policies that encourage public agencies to 'enter the marketplace', convert themselves into self-financing 'profit centers' and 'compete' with private enterprise. Privatisation of

government functions or government agencies and public-private partnerships easily lead to withdrawal of public-sector information from the public domain. *Van Eechoud* will describe the process of ‘commercialization’ of government information, as it is occurring in many countries not governed by the principle, well established in the United States, that such information remain firmly in the public domain. A recently adopted European Directive apparently deals with the risks of commodification of public sector information, albeit in a rather ambiguous and reluctant way.

The final two chapters will examine two self-regulatory initiatives that aim at safeguarding the public domain in a very pragmatic way. *Elkin Koren* will describe and critically assess the Creative Commons project, which was largely inspired by the Open Source Software movement that will first be evaluated by *Schellekens*. Both authors will reflect upon the capability of these and similar ‘self-help’ measures to serve as remedies against large-scale information enclosure. Is there any hope that the success of open source software will become a meaningful model of information distribution outside the realm of computer programming? If so, should such models be promoted by government and/or regulation, and in what way? What are the hidden dangers of promoting the public domain by using legal instruments based in copyright and contract law? What are the normative effects?

The proceedings of the two-day workshop where preliminary versions of the chapters of this book were discussed, are summarized – by *Melzer* and *Guibault* – at the very end of this book.

Chapter II

Challenges in Mapping the Public Domain

Pamela Samuelson

1. INTRODUCTION

The public domain has been terra incognita for far too long. It has suffered further by sometimes being defined negatively as what is left over when all forms of intellectual property-protected information are taken into account.¹ Such a definition devalues the public domain as a realm of informational resources, making it seem a sad jumble of things that don't deserve to be protected by intellectual property laws or as a netherworld where old information goes to die.² The public domain consists, in fact, of a vast and diverse assortment of contents, many of which have social value as public domain materials.³ In part to counter unclear and negative images of the public domain, I have in previous work proposed a 'map' of the public domain.⁴ In this essay, I offer further reasons for mapping the public domain. The essay will also consider various objections that might be raised to this map and will offer refinements of the map to make it more useful for an emerging international conversation about the public domain.

There are three principal reasons for mapping the public domain. A map of the public domain can have positive descriptive value. By depicting diverse aspects of this realm through a map, viewers can perceive public domain contents more distinctly and positively. They can thereby have a more comprehensive view of

-
1. See E. Samuels, 'The Public Domain in Copyright Law', 41 *J. Cop. Off. Soc'y USA* 137-182 (1993), p. 137.
 2. See, e.g., J.E. Cohen, 'Copyright, Commodification and Culture: Locating the Public Domain', in this volume (discussing negative images of the public domain).
 3. See, e.g., J. Litman, 'The Public Domain', 39 *Emory L.J.* 965-1023 (1990).
 4. P. Samuelson, 'Mapping the Digital Public Domain: Threats and Opportunities', 66 *Law & Contemp. Probs.* 147-171 (2003) (hereinafter referred to as 'Mapping').