

Contributions to Management Science

Nelio Oliveira

# Automated Organizations

Development and Structure  
of the Modern Business Firm



Physica-Verlag  
A Springer Company

Nelio Oliveira

# Automated Organizations

Development and Structure of the Modern  
Business Firm



**Physica-Verlag**  
A Springer Company

Professor Nelio Oliveira  
Pontifical Catholic University of Minas Gerais - PUC Minas  
Av. Dom José Gaspar, 500  
Coração Eucarístico  
30535-901 Belo Horizonte - MG  
Brazil  
neliol@uol.com.br

Original edition "Organizações automatizadas - Desenvolvimento e estrutura da empresa moderna" published in 2007 by Livros Técnicos e Científicos Editora S.A.

ISBN 978-3-7908-2758-3 e-ISBN 978-3-7908-2759-0

DOI 10.1007/978-3-7908-2759-0

Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011936635

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

Physica-Verlag is a brand of Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

Springer-Verlag is a part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

*To my mother*  
*To my wife*  
*To my daughter*

# Preface

This is a descriptive work.

This information needs to be initially provided for two reasons. First, it takes into consideration the large number of people who look into books to discover infallible techniques, secrets and magic formulas for success in business and management. If such formulas exist – that I do not believe – the reader will not find them here! Secondly, it considers the managers, students, researchers and other interested people who seek to unravel the intricacies of organizational life carefully. These people should be alerted to the fact that this study has the primary intent of portraying a reality, and not of advising or prescribing the most appropriate forms of management for specific situations.

The ‘Management’, area of knowledge related to organizations, has come a long way since initial studies were performed in this field; consequently, there is currently a set of theories, principles, and especially concepts that qualify it as a mature science. Therefore, whenever there are changes and new realities in the world of organizations, descriptive studies should first be carried out to understand these changes and later, in prescriptive intent, alternatives to the actions of managers should be presented. Unfortunately, the opposite situation is often seen: prescriptions are provided before understanding the reality. Based on this idea, this study aims to contribute to the understanding of the changes that have occurred in companies, given the questioning of organizational models that had prevailed until recently.

At a certain point in time, some scholars began observing profound changes not only in organizations, but in society as a whole, and began writing about them. In this endeavor, they proposed the Post-industrial, Post-capitalist, Knowledge, Informational, Post-bureaucratic, Post-Fordist society, and so on. Important works were generated mainly for its initiation, though many more empirical investigations were required. This fact is justified because the change process was in its starting phase, presenting many future possibilities, much conceptual confusion and little homogeneity in social and organizational reality. Many optimistic words were written, followed by criticism and skepticism. However, little was actually known on what was happening in society and in businesses.

Since this pioneering and somewhat confusing period, many studies followed, researches were conducted and theories presented. Studies intending to clarify and give a better understanding of the process that followed have appeared; however, despite the noble intention of capturing organizational reality and questioning the validity of old theories, the studies appeared loosely and without connection with each other. This fact generated isolated works and several theoretical constructs were created. There is no question about their importance, but the reality for many remains as confusing as in the beginning, at the time of the pioneering studies.

Thus, this book was written with the intention of linking several of these works and theories, combining them in a way to better characterize today organizations and, specifically to present a model for a new type of organization that has emerged and expanded in the business world.

The present study came up mainly from my recent academic studies; however, my curiosity about the subject was born long ago, specifically in an organizational practice in which I often felt bewildered in the face of changes in the firms I labored in. Bewildered by not understanding what was really going on.

I hope the description helps!

Nelio Oliveira

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank everyone who, directly or indirectly contributed to the realization of this work:

- The professors of Business and Management of PUC Minas by reviewing my original writings, mostly Andréa Alcione de Souza, Armindo dos Santos de Souza Teodósio, Denise de Castro Pereira, Hêlvio de Avellar Teixeira, José Wanderlei N. Silva, Maria de Fátima Pereira Rossi, Rodolfo Antônio Lopes, Ronaldo André Rodrigues da Silva, Sérgio Silveira Martins e Simone Nunes.
- The professors of PUC Minas of other areas of knowledge that helped, especially in clarifying concepts, mostly Marcelo Pereira de Mendonça, Jean Max Tavares (Economics), Maurício Tannus Dias (Psychology), Ateníster Tarcísio Rego (Automation), Marcelo Franco Porto, Rosilene Ribeiro da Mota (Information Systems), Maria Ester Saturnino Reis e Antônia Maria da Rocha Montenegro (Sociology).
- The professors of UFMG who, with their teachings, alerted me to the complexity of the changes that have taken place in organizations, mostly Allan Claudius Q. Barbosa, Antônio Luiz Marques, Fernando Coutinho Garcia, Jader dos Reis Sampaio, Lúcio Flávio Renault de Moraes, Marco Aurélio Rodrigues, Marlene Catarina de Oliveira Lopes Melo, Mauro Calixta Tavares, Solange Maria Pimenta, Suzana Braga Rodrigues, Talita Ribeiro da Luz e Zélia Miranda Kilimnik.
- The managers and other professionals of several organizations who provided valuable information which supported this work, mostly Alexandre Magno de Abreu, Cleber Silva de Amorim, Herick Pires Marques, Francisco Carlos Barros, Jacqueline Anastácia dos Santos, Márcio José de Souza Chaves, Mauro Pimenta Azevedo, Nilceu José Oliveira, Paulo Roberto Nolli e Rodrigo Pimenta Size-  
nando.
- Daniela Rino Mendes Bok for helping in this English language version.
- Those who have written on Business, Management and Organizations and who sought to do so rigorously and with the intent to improve society. This work was only possible due to the studies that have preceded it.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
 <b>Part I Traditional Structures</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Organizational Structure, Format, Shape, Design and Architecture</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Structure and Characterization of Organizations	7
2.2	Basic Elements of a Structure: Differentiation and Integration	10
2.3	Notes	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Types of Structure</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Initial Concerns with Structure: Prescriptions for an Ideal Format	15
3.2	Bureaucracy: An Attempt to Describe an Organizational Format	17
3.3	Informality and the Strengthening of the Classical Structure	19
3.4	The Questioning of the Pattern: Structuralist Studies	21
3.5	Classifications of Structures: Contingency Approach Researches	22
3.6	Notes	24
<b>4</b>	<b>Henry Mintzberg's Five Basic Configurations</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	The Consistency in the Formation of Structure	27
4.2	Structural Possibilities, Coordination Mechanisms and Contingency Factors	28
4.3	The Main Configurations	30
4.3.1	The Simple Structure	30
4.3.2	The Machine Bureaucracy	31
4.3.3	The Professional Bureaucracy	32
4.3.4	The Divisionalized Form	34
4.3.5	The Adhocracy	35
4.4	Final Comments on Structures and on the Five Configurations Model	36
4.5	Notes	37



**Part II Changes in the Situational Factors**

**5 Automation and Its Consequences for Organizations: From Fordism to Information Technology** ..... 41

5.1 The Use of Machines in Firms ..... 42

5.2 The Fordist Production Line ..... 44

5.3 Numerical Control Technology ..... 46

5.4 The Automation in Processing Production Firms ..... 48

5.5 Information Technology and Automation in Organizations ..... 53

5.5.1 Information Technology and the Automation in Manufacturing Operations ..... 54

5.5.2 Information Technology and Information Systems ..... 55

5.5.3 Information Technology and Automation in Service Operations ..... 56

5.5.4 Summary of the Consequences of Information Technology-Based Automation ..... 58

5.6 Notes ..... 60

**6 The Organizational Environment: From Continuity to Dynamism** ..... 65

6.1 Environmental Factors ..... 66

6.2 Changes in the Environmental Factors ..... 68

6.2.1 Changes in the Macro-environment ..... 68

6.2.2 Changes in the Micro-environment ..... 74

6.3 Changes Related to the Behavior of the Environmental Factors: Complexity and Dynamism ..... 76

6.4 Notes ..... 77

**7 Organizational Strategy: From Integration to Specialization** ..... 83

7.1 Organizational Strategy ..... 83

7.2 Traditional Strategies ..... 85

7.3 Strategic Trends ..... 87

7.3.1 New General Strategies ..... 88

7.3.2 New Functional Strategies ..... 91

7.3.3 The Responsibility for Developing the Strategy and the Strategy Development Process ..... 94

7.4 Final Remarks on Strategies ..... 95

7.5 Notes ..... 95

**Part III Internal Changes**

<b>8 New Organizational Possibilities</b>	103
8.1 Antecedents of the New Organizational Possibilities	103
8.1.1 Management by Objectives	104
8.1.2 Strategic Business Units	104
8.1.3 Work in Teams and Parallel Organizations	105
8.1.4 Quality of Work Life	105
8.1.5 Organizational Development	106
8.1.6 Matrix Structures	106
8.1.7 Strategic Management	106
8.2 New Management Technologies and Models, New Structure Possibilities and Forms of Change Management	107
8.2.1 Total Quality Management	108
8.2.2 Lean Production	108
8.2.3 Reengineering	110
8.2.4 Outsourcing	111
8.2.5 Network Organizations	111
8.2.6 Learning Organizations	112
8.2.7 Entrepreneurship	113
8.2.8 Human Resource Strategic Management	114
8.2.9 Competency Management	115
8.2.10 Empowerment	116
8.2.11 Downsizing and the Flattening of Structures	116
8.3 Final Comments on the New Organizational Possibilities	117
8.4 Notes	118
<b>9 New Coordination Mechanisms</b>	123
9.1 Culture, Norms and Values in Organizations	123
9.1.1 Initial Discussion on and Antecedents of the Studies of Culture in Organizations	123
9.1.2 Informal Organization and the Introduction of Values in Organizational Studies	124
9.1.3 Organizational Culture and Its Recent Studies	126
9.1.4 Cultural Changes and Indoctrination	127
9.1.5 Criticism to Aspects Related to Organizational Culture	128
9.1.6 Culture as a Coordination Mechanism: Final Considerations	130
9.2 Contracts	131
9.2.1 The Use of Firm or the Use of the Market	132
9.2.2 Types of Contracts	134
9.2.3 The Increasing Use of Contracts as a Coordination Mechanism: Final Remarks	135

9.3 Interests, Power, Conflicts and Politics in Organizations ..... 135

9.3.1 Favorable Conditions for the Use of Politics  
as a Coordination Mechanism ..... 136

9.3.2 Politics as a Coordination Mechanism in Organizations:  
Final Considerations ..... 139

9.4 Notes ..... 139

**Part IV The Automated Bureaucracy and Its Variations**

**10 The Automated Bureaucracy ..... 147**

10.1 Description of the Basic Structure ..... 147

10.1.1 The Operating Core ..... 147

10.1.2 The Middle Line ..... 159

10.1.3 Support Staff and Technostructure ..... 161

10.1.4 The Strategic Apex ..... 162

10.2 Conditions for the Automated Bureaucracy ..... 164

10.2.1 Technical Factors Concerning Production  
and Automation ..... 164

10.2.2 The Environment for the Automated Bureaucracy ..... 166

10.2.3 Strategy of the Automated Bureaucracy ..... 168

10.2.4 The Use of Coordination by Contracts ..... 172

10.3 The New Organizational Possibilities and the Automated  
Bureaucracy ..... 173

10.3.1 Participatory and Incremental Changes  
in the Automated Bureaucracy: OD, TQM,  
and Learning Organizations ..... 174

10.3.2 Concerns with People in the Automated Bureaucracy:  
Competency Management and Health,  
and the Strategic Management of People ..... 174

10.3.3 Social Responsibility in the Automated Bureaucracy:  
Corporate Citizenship ..... 175

10.3.4 Radical Changes in the Automated Bureaucracy:  
Reengineering and Downsizing ..... 176

10.3.5 External Integration, Alliances, Partnerships,  
Outsourcing and Network Organizations ..... 177

10.4 Problems Associated with the Automated Bureaucracy ..... 177

10.4.1 Technical, Financial and Marketing Problems  
in the Automated Bureaucracy ..... 178

10.4.2 Human Problems in the Operating Core ..... 179

10.4.3 Problems at the Middle Line ..... 182

10.4.4 Problems at the Strategic Apex ..... 183

10.5 Other Issues Related to the Automated Bureaucracy .....	185
10.5.1 Unemployment and Precariousness of Work .....	185
10.5.2 Labor Relations .....	187
10.5.3 The Automated Bureaucracy and the Changes That Occur in Other Relationships in Society .....	188
10.6 Notes .....	189
<b>11 Variations of the Automated Bureaucracy .....</b>	<b>201</b>
11.1 The Automated Bureaucracy in Service Organizations .....	201
11.2 The Automated Bureaucracy and the Changes in the Professional Bureaucracies .....	206
11.3 The Automated Bureaucracy and the Small Firms .....	209
11.4 The Automated Bureaucracy and Processing Production Firms .....	212
11.5 The Automated Bureaucracy and the Adhocracy .....	214
11.6 Notes .....	216
<b>Part V To Finish</b>	
<b>12 Final Comments .....</b>	<b>221</b>
12.1 The Existence of the Automated Bureaucracy .....	221
12.2 The Automated Bureaucracy as a Descriptive Structural Model .....	222
12.3 The Search for Consistency in the Appearance of the Automated Bureaucracy .....	222
12.4 The Automated Bureaucracy as a Supplement to Henry Mintzberg's Classification .....	222
12.5 A Seventh Configuration .....	223
12.6 The Limitations of This Study and the Need for Further Research .....	223
12.7 The Adhocratic Future That Didn't Arrive .....	224
12.8 The Automated Bureaucracy's Positive and Negative Aspects .....	225
12.9 Notes .....	226
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>227</b>

# List of Figures

Fig. 5.1	Similarities at the extremes – automation continuum and the structural features considering Joan Woodward work .....	49
Fig. 5.2	Automation in manufacturing firms by using information technology .....	56
Fig. 6.1	Organization and environment .....	67
Fig. 6.2	Macro-environment and micro-environment .....	67
Fig. 8.1	Management by Objectives .....	104
Fig. 8.2	Strategic Planning and Strategic Management .....	107
Fig. 10.1	Automation in manufacturing firms by using information technology .....	150

# List of Tables

Table 2.1	Elements of organizational structure .....	11
Table 3.1	Main differences between classical authors concerning organizational structure .....	17
Table 3.2	The questioning of the structuralist studios .....	22
Table 3.3	Main variables discussed in contingency theory studies .....	23
Table 4.1	Main coordination mechanisms according to H. Mintzberg ....	29
Table 4.2	Mintzberg's configurations and the coordination mechanisms .....	36
Table 5.1	Traditional production possibilities by using machines .....	43
Table 5.2	Immediate uses of information technology in the automation of operations .....	54
Table 5.3	Automation in service operations – types .....	57
Table 5.4	Automation in service operations – areas .....	58
Table 5.5	Types of automation and some of its effects – summary .....	60
Table 6.1	Environmental complexity and instability .....	68
Table 7.1	Organizational strategies – summary .....	94
Table 8.1	Basic aspects of Total Quality Management .....	109
Table 8.2	Aspects related to the current strengthening of entrepreneurship .....	114
Table 8.3	Human resources management and human resources strategic management .....	115
Table 8.4	Practices of the downsizing .....	117
Table 9.1	Organizational culture: main elements of the concept .....	126
Table 9.2	Criticisms related to organizational culture .....	130
Table 9.3	The use of firm or the use of the market .....	133
Table 9.4	Types of contract according to the transaction cost economics approach .....	134
Table 9.5	Possibilities of emerging political coordination in organizations .....	138

Table 10.1	Activities carried out by workers at the Automated Bureaucracy's operating core .....	156
Table 10.2	Worker's profile in Automated Bureaucracy .....	157
Table 10.3	The coordination by values and norms: main types used in Automated Bureaucracies .....	159
Table 10.4	Managers' functions and roles .....	160
Table 10.5	Changes in the technostructure and in the support staff .....	163
Table 10.6	Strategic apex's functions and roles .....	164
Table 10.7	Conditions for emerging the Automated Bureaucracy .....	172
Table 10.8	Changes regarding the contracts .....	173
Table 10.9	Problems associated with the Automated Bureaucracy .....	185
Table 11.1	Automation in service operations .....	203
Table 11.2	Automation in Professional Bureaucracies .....	209
Table 11.3	Automation in small firms: possibilities .....	211
Table 11.4	Main changes in processing production firms .....	214
Table 11.5	Changes in Adhocracies .....	215

## Chapter 1

# Introduction

From the very beginning of organizational studies, the task of pointing out more appropriate models, forms, and types of organizations has been of general concern. This concern is evidenced by both descriptive and prescriptive objectives. In the first case, scholars have attempted to explain the phenomenon of organizations that have strengthened with time, mainly after the so-called Industrial Revolution. In the second case, other scholars have appeared with more pragmatically intentions, presenting rules, paths, or formulas for success in forming and maintaining organizations.

Whether for descriptive or prescriptive objectives, the initial trend was to present a unique and universal form for the organizations. On the one hand, it would appropriately explain modern organizations (descriptive character), and on the other, it would reveal the key to organizational success (prescriptive character). In this period, the bureaucracy characterized by Max Weber, the rational organization of labor advocated by F. W. Taylor, and even *Fordism*, which was based on the practices employed by Henry Ford, can be highlighted.

Over time, however, other studies questioning the notion of a unique and universal type of organization have appeared. Several typologies began to emerge, and, as a result, studies with increasing complexity and methodological rigor have been presented.

In the 1970s, following such a trend of complexity and as a result of several studies and researches, Henry Mintzberg offered an organizational taxonomy comprising five basic configurations. Such configurations would explain the forms used in organizations, in accordance with a large number of conditions and structural possibilities. In addition to characterizing the Simple Structure related to small organizations and the bureaucracy with its variations related to the large organizations, the author also described in his mentioned taxonomy an organic structure, not bureaucratic, by using the concept employed by Alvin Toffler: the Adhocracy. According to Henry Mintzberg, the Adhocracy would be an appropriate structure for innovation and for dynamic and complex environments, and, in Alvin



Toffler's vision, it would set itself as a structure standard, and even substitute bureaucracy as a major model for large organizations.

Considering the recent changing scenario, several changes have been imposed on organizations, which, in order to survive, have had to adopt more flexible structures and keep themselves away from the classical model that used to characterize many large firms. Thus, many have argued that the bureaucratic form of organization has become a hindrance for the firms which – from a certain moment – would have to survive in a dynamic and complex environment. Thus, the Adhocracies and their variations would tend to strengthen as post-bureaucratic, post-Taylorist, post-Fordist organizational forms.

However, the several organizational changes that have occurred do not confirm most forecasts made regarding the end of bureaucracy. Conversely, several of these changes go opposite the forecasts, and structures based on Adhocracy are not suitable for many firms. Thus, despite many predictions of an adhocratic future, formal organizations have not easily lost their major bureaucratic features. Instead of being extinguished, bureaucracy has seemingly adapted and has proved itself able to survive by capitalizing on the several advantages its format has always offered, mostly in production that shows certain standardization and gains in scale.

In the face of the new conditions, a new variation of bureaucracy, as the other variations pointed out by Henry Mintzberg, emerges. A different form of organization other than that used by large traditional companies, although it cannot be characterized as an Adhocracy. A kind of organizational structure that seems to be increasingly established both in industry and service activities. A configuration that, in this book, is called the *Automated Bureaucracy*.

From this viewpoint, the aim of this book is to characterize the Automated Bureaucracy and to describe the situations in which it has been strengthened up to the point of substituting many times the traditional bureaucratic structures of large companies. In view of a theoretical construction, this book does not intend to refute previous works but to supplement them, mainly that of Henry Mintzberg and its organizational model of five configurations.

For this, Chaps. 2 and 3 review the literature on types of organizations, and Chap. 4 deals with Henry Mintzberg's basic organizational configurations. These chapters are based on the theoretical construct related to organizational structures and formats, which is the support for the characterization of the Automated Bureaucracy. This first part of the book is understood as essential, since it intends to review important contributions that have made possible the development of studies of organizations and structures, and to clear up relevant concepts as well.

The second part, composed of Chaps. 5–7, deals with the main contingency factors, or situational factors, pointed out in the literature as influential for organizational structures: technology, environment, and strategy. This part discusses how such factors or variables have behaved along the history of organizations, as well as the recent changes they have gone through. Each variable is discussed separately for didactical reasons and by virtue of the cited authors usually emphasizing only one of these factors in their studies. As for technology, specifically, it is worth noting that the discussion is made on technologies of production related to