WTO Disciplines on Agricultural Support

Seeking a Fair Basis for Trade

David Orden
David Blandford
Tim Josling

WTO DISCIPLINES ON AGRICULTURAL SUPPORT

Seeking a Fair Basis for Trade

Edited by

DAVID ORDEN

DAVID BLANDFORD

TIM JOSLING



CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107417106

© Cambridge University Press 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011 4th printing 2011 First paperback edition 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
WTO disciplines on agricultural support : seeking a fair basis for trade / [edited by]
David Orden, David Blandford, Tim Josling.

p. cm

ISBN 978-1-107-00544-0 (hardback)

Tariff on farm produce.
 World Trade Organization.
 Competition, Unfair.
 Orden, David.
 Blandford, David.
 Josling, Tim (Tim Edward), 1940–
 Title: World Trade Organization disciplines on agricultural support.

HF2651.F27A289 2011 382´.63-dc22 2010049733

ISBN 978-1-107-00544-0 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-41710-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

FIGURES

2.1 Domestic support categories and constraints in the 1994

	Agreement on Agriculture page 28
2.2	Domestic support categories and constraints in the 2008
	Doha draft modalities 42
3.1	EU domestic support notifications and shadow notifications 68
3.2	$EU\ notifications\ of\ CTAMS\ compared\ with\ commitments\ agreed\ in\ the\ Uruguay$
	Round and proposed in the Doha Round 80
3.3	EU market price support from notifications and OECD 83
4.1	US domestic support notifications and shadow notification 107
4.2	US market price support for dairy from notifications and OECD 121
4.3	US market price support for sugar from notifications and OECD 121
4.4	$US\ notifications\ of\ CTAMS\ compared\ with\ commitments\ agreed\ in\ the\ Uruguay$
	Round and proposed in the Doha Round 124
4.5	Projected composition of US AMS support in 2016 and available
	CTAMS under the Agreement 132
4.6	Projections and proposed Doha commitments for US OTDS,
	CTAMS and overall blue box support 144
4.7	Amounts by which proposed US product-specific Doha commitments
	are projected to be exceeded 145
4.8	Projected composition of US OTDS in 2016 and available OTDS
	under proposed Doha commitments 146
	Political dynamics among Japan's farmers, politicians, MAFF and JA 158
5.2	Market value of paddy fields for agricultural and non-agricultural
	uses in Japan 161
	Japan's production and marketing of rice 163
	Japan's domestic support notifications and shadow notifications 170
5.5	Japan's notifications of CTAMS compared with commitments agreed in the
	Uruguay Round and proposed in the Doha Round 176
5.6	Japan's market price support for rice from notifications and OECD 178
	Poultry prices in Norway and on world markets 192
	Norway's domestic support notifications and shadow notification 196
6.3	Norway's notifications of CTAMS compared with commitment agreed in the
	Uruguay Round 197

6.4	Norway's market price support for poultry from notifications and OECD 210
6.5	Norway's market price support for barley from notifications and OECD 210
6.6	Alternative notifications of Norway's CTAMS 212
7.1	Availability of subsidized credit and value of agricultural
	production in Brazil 228
7.2	Brazil's PRONAF credit and debt rescheduling subsidies 244
7.3	Comparison between Brazil's market-based and subsidized interest
	rates for production and marketing credit 254
7.4	Brazil's notifications of product-specific AMS support compared with
	commitments agreed in the Uruguay Round and proposed in the
	Doha Round 270
8.1	Trends in India's domestic support and total value of agricultural output 300
8.2	India's domestic support as a share of total value of agricultural output 301
8.3	India's rates of market price support for rice and wheat in notifications and
	shadow notifications versus economic measurement 302
9.1	Per capita income of China's urban and rural residents 312
9.2	China's agricultural imports and exports 313
9.3	China's direct payments to grain farmers and levels of production 331

TABLES

page 11

43

1.1 Submission dates of domestic support notifications for 1995–2008

2.2 Summary of the December 2008 Doha domestic support draft modalities

3.1 Summary of EU domestic support notifications and shadow notifications,

2.4 World sums and shares of developed and developing countries for key elements of

2.3 Subsets of members identified in the Doha draft modalities on domestic

2.1 Structure of domestic support notifications

domestic support disciplines

support

tion, 1995-2008

	1995/96-2008/09 66
3.2	Composition of EU green box notifications and shadow notifications,
	1995/96–2008/09 70
3.3	Composition of EU blue box notifications and shadow notifications,
	1995/96–2008/09 72
3.4	$Composition\ of\ EU\ Current\ Total\ AMS\ notifications\ and\ shadow\ notifications,$
	1995/96–2008/09 74
3.5	De minimis exemptions from CTAMS in EU notifications and shadow notifica-
	tions, 1995/96–2008/09 76
3.6	Impact of different assumptions about SPS and SAPS direct payments for EU
	2008/09 notification 86
3.7	OTDS, CTAMS and blue box support limits and the de minimis allowance for the
	EU under the Doha draft modalities 90
3.8	Phased reductions of limits on OTDS and CTAMS for the EU27 under the Doha
	draft modalities 92
3.9	Projected levels of EU27 domestic support, 2009/10–2015/16 92
4.1	Summary of US domestic support notifications and shadow notification,
	1995–2008 104
4.2	Composition of US green box notifications and shadow notification,
	1995–2008 108
4.3	Composition of US Current Total AMS notifications and shadow notification,
	1995-2008 110

4.4 US CTAMS notifications and shadow notification by product, 1995–2008
4.5 De minimis exemptions from CTAMS in US notifications and shadow notifica-

116

- 4.6 Alternative summations of US AMS support and fixed direct payments, 1995–2008 126
- 4.7 Projected levels of US domestic support under the Agreement, 2009–16 132
- 4.8 OTDS, CTAMS, blue box and cotton support limits and the *de minimis* allowance for the US under the Doha draft modalities 134
- 4.9 Phased reductions of limits on OTDS, CTAMS and cotton AMS for the US under the Doha draft modalities 135
- 4.10 Product-specific US AMS bindings under the Doha draft modalities 137
- 4.11 Product-specific US blue box bindings and the trade-off with product-specific AMS bindings under the Doha draft modalities 141
- 4.12 Projected levels of US domestic support under the Doha draft modalities, 2010-16 143
 - 5.1 Comparison of household income in Japan, 2003 156
- 5.2 Summary of Japan's domestic support notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 168
- 5.3 Composition of Japan's green box notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 172
- 5.4 Composition of Japan's Current Total AMS notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 174
- 5.5 Projected levels of Japan's domestic support, 2009–15
- 5.6 OTDS, CTAMS and blue box limits and the *de minimis* allowance for Japan under the Doha draft modalities 184
- 6.1 Summary of Norway's domestic support notifications and shadow notification, 1995–2008 194
- 6.2 Composition of Norway's green box notifications and shadow notification, 1995–2008 198
- 6.3 Composition of Norway's blue box notifications and shadow notification, 1995–2008 202
- 6.4 Composition of Norway's Current Total AMS notifications and shadow notification, 1995–2008 204
- 6.5 $\ De\ minimis$ exemptions from CTAMS in Norway's notifications and shadow notification, 1995–2008 208
- 6.6 Alternative notifications of Norway's domestic support, 1995–2007 212
- 6.7 Base rates of support and proposed Doha commitments for Norway 214
- 6.8 Norway's projected notifications of domestic support to comply with proposed Doha commitments under base solution and alternative policy scenarios 216
- 6.9 Projected composition of Norway's support for agriculture under base and Doha scenarios 218
- 7.1 Brazil's production benefitting from price and income support programs, 2004/05–2008/09 232
- 7.2 Summary of Brazil's domestic support notifications and shadow notifications, 1994/95–2007/08 238

- 7.3 Composition of Brazil's green box notifications and shadow notifications, 1994/95–2007/08 240
- 7.4 Composition of Brazil's development program notifications and shadow notifications, 1994/95–2007/08 243
- 7.5 Composition of Brazil's AMS notifications and shadow notifications, 1994/95–2007/08 246
- 7.6 Alternative subsidy measurement with Brazil treated as a developed country, 1994/95–2007/08 262
- 7.7 Projections of Brazil's product-specific support for main commodities, 2008/09–2018/19 269
- 7.8 OTDS, CTAMS and blue box support limits and the *de minimis* allowance for Brazil under the Doha draft modalities 271

279

- 8.1 India's exports, 1995/96-2006/07
- 8.2 India's imports, 1995/96-2006/07 280
- 8.3 Summary of India's domestic support notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 284
- 8.4 Composition of India's green box notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 286
- 8.5 Composition of India's development program notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 288
- 8.6 Composition of India's AMS notifications and shadow notifications,1995–2008 290
- 8.7 Projections of India's domestic support in 2015/16 304
- 9.1 Summary of China's domestic support notifications and shadow notifications,
 1995–2008 320
- 9.2 Composition of China's green box notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 324
- 9.3 Composition of China's AMS notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 326
- 9.4 China's direct payments to grain farmers, 2004 and 2005 330
- 9.5 China's market price support under different assumptions, 1996–2008 333
- 9.6 Agricultural taxes in China, 1990–2005 337
- 9.7 Estimated subsidies for irrigation in China, 1996–2007 339
- 9.8 Estimated agricultural electricity subsidies in China, 1996–2005 341
- 9.9 Projected levels of China's domestic support, 2009–16 342
- 10.1 Production shares of Philippine agriculture, 1993 and 2008 355
- 10.2 Structure of Philippine foreign trade, 1980–2008 356
- 10.3 Average weighted tariff rates in the Philippines, 1997–2008 359
- 10.4 Nominal agricultural protection rates in the Philippines, 1980–2008 360
- 10.5 Exchange rates, world agricultural prices, and Philippine domestic wholesale prices, 1980–2008 362
- 10.6 Total Philippine public expenditures on agriculture, 2001–05 365

- 10.7 Distribution of Philippine public agricultural expenditure by commodity group, 2000–05 366
- 10.8 Financial performance of the Philippine National Food Authority, 2000-06 368
- 10.9 Summary of Philippine domestic support notifications and shadow notifications, 1995-2008 370
- 10.10 Composition of Philippine green box notifications and shadow notifications, $1995-2008 \qquad 372$
- 10.11 Composition of Philippine development program notifications and shadow notifications, 1995–2008 374
- 10.12 Composition of Philippine AMS notifications and shadow notifications, 1995-2008 376
- 10.13 Philippine green box and development program support compared with AFMP budgets, 2002–04 378
- 10.14 Projected levels of Philippine domestic support, 2009–15 382
- 11.1 Summary of domestic support notifications and shadow notifications for four developed countries, 1995–2008 394
- 11.2 Summary of domestic support notifications and shadow notifications for four developing countries, 1995–2008 396
- 11.3 Summary of domestic support as percent of total value of agricultural production for four developed countries, 1995–2008 404
- $11.4\ \ Summary\ of\ domestic\ support\ as\ percent\ of\ total\ value\ of\ agricultural\ production\ for\ four\ developing\ countries,\ 1995-2008 \qquad 406$
- 11.5 Doha limits on OTDS, CTAMS, and overall blue box in currency of notification and Doha *de minimis* percentages 411
- 11.6 Projected levels of support (mid 2010s) and Doha constraints (2008 draft modalities fully implemented) for eight countries 418
- A1 Maximum countercyclical payments under 2002 Farm Bill 469

CONTRIBUTORS

DAVID BLANDFORD is Professor in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology and the School of International Affairs at the Pennsylvania State University. He is visiting professor at the University of Reading and served in 2010 as president of the UK Agricultural Economics Society. Prior to joining Penn State as a department head in 1998 he was as a member of the faculty at Cornell University and head of division at the OECD in Paris. At OECD he led the effort to develop the dynamic global agricultural model that continues to be used in its outlook work. He has authored or co-authored over 100 journal articles and book chapters. Among his books, he is co-editor (with Berkeley Hill) of Policy Reform and Adjustment in the Agricultural Sectors of Developed Countries (2006).

LARS BRINK is Expert Advisor at Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. He has provided advice on domestic support issues to the Canadian government during the Uruguay Round and Doha Round negotiations, in WTO accession negotiations, and related to dispute cases. He contributes regularly to technical meetings on domestic support in Geneva and elsewhere and has advised China's trade officials on domestic support matters for the past decade. In 2008 he served as president of the Canadian Agricultural Economics Society.

FUZHI CHENG is Research Economist at Noble Group, a commodity trading firm specializing in agricultural, industrial and energy products. In this position he is responsible for quantitative analytics and proprietary trading algorisms to assist traders in strategic positioning and hedging. His current research focuses on fundamental analysis, derivative pricing, volatility modeling and portfolio optimization. He was formerly a post-doctoral fellow at Cornell University and is co-editor (with Per Pinstrup-Anderson) of *Case Studies in Food Policy for Developing Countries* (2009).

CAESAR CORORATON is Research Fellow at the Global Issues Initiative, Institute for Society, Culture, and Environment, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. He has been a Research Fellow at the International Food Policy Research Institute (2005–08) and Senior Research Fellow at the Philippines Institute for Development Studies (1993–2005). His research focuses on poverty, trade, and agriculture. He is co-author (with Erwin Corong) of Philippine Agricultural and Food Policies: Implications on Poverty and Income Distribution (2009).

IVAR GAASLAND is a Senior Researcher at the Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration (SNF), a research institute tied to the Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration (NHH). His research focuses on modeling of agricultural policy, public goods in agriculture and trade policy.

ROBERTO GARCIA is Associate Professor in the Department of Economics and Resource Management at the Norwegian University of Life Sciences. His research focuses on international trade, particularly on agricultural policy and WTO commitments and compliance. He served as a trade advisor to ministries in the Government of Albania during its WTO accession, and continued as a consultant for the country's post-WTO accession compliance efforts and preparation for regional free trade negotiations as part of the EU integration process.

YOSHIHISA GODO is Professor of Economics at Meiji Gakuin University and a part-time lecturer at Waseda University. He is co-author (with Yujiro Hayami) of *Development Economics: From the Poverty to the Wealth of Nations* (2005). His 2006 book *Nihon no Shoku to Nou* (Food and Agriculture in Japan) received the Suntory Prize, one of the most prestigious academic book prizes in Japan. He has served on two advisory boards for the Japanese Prime Minister: the Evaluation Committee for Special Reform Zones (2003–04) and the Council for Regulatory Reform (2002–03).

MUNISAMY GOPINATH is Professor in the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Director of the Graduate Program in Applied Economics at Oregon State University. His research focuses on technical change, economic growth and development, and international trade and investment. He served as an associate editor of the *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* (2004–07) and is co-editor (with Hanho Kim) of *Globalization and the Rural–Urban Divide* (2009).

TIM JOSLING is Senior Fellow at the Freeman Spogli Institute for International Studies and professor emeritus of the Food Research Institute at Stanford University. He is a past president of the UK Agricultural Economics Society, a Fellow of the US Agricultural and Applied Economics Association (AAEA), and a member of the International Food and Agricultural Trade Policy Council (IPC). In the 1970s he developed the concept of the producer subsidy equivalent (PSE) for the FAO, and this was adopted by the OECD as the primary indicator published annually since 1987 of the level of agricultural policy transfers to producers. Among numerous books he has co-authored (with Stefan Tangermann and T. K. Warley) Agriculture in the GATT: Past, Present and Future (1996) and (with John Barton, Judith Goldstein, and Richard H. Steinberg) The Evolution of the Trade Regime: Politics, Law and Economics of the GATT and WTO (2006).

ANDRÉ MELONI NASSAR is Director General of the Institute for International Trade Negotiations (ICONE) where he served as general manager from 2003 to 2007. From 1999 to 2002, he was a senior researcher at the Economic Research Foundation (FIPE) and associate faculty member at the Agribusiness Studies Program (PENSA), University of São Paulo. His areas of interest are international trade policy and modeling long-run scenarios of supply, demand and trade of agricultural, food and biofuel commodities. He has been the lead agricultural economist or coordinator of ICONE projects sponsored by the World Bank, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, the International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, and others.

DAVID ORDEN is Professor and Director of the Global Issues Initiative, Institute for Society, Culture and Environment, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, and Senior Research Fellow at the International Food Policy Research Institute. He has been a visiting fellow at the University of New South Wales in Australia, chairman of the International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium, and visiting professor at Stanford University. His previous books include (with Robert Paarlberg and Terry Roe) Policy Reform in American Agriculture: Analysis and Prognosis (1999) and (with Tim Josling and Donna Roberts) Food Regulation and Trade: Toward a Safe and Open Global System (2004).

ALAN SWINBANK is Emeritus Professor of Agricultural Economics at the University of Reading, UK. His research focuses on the food, farm and bioenergy policies of the European Union and the process of trade liberalization in these products in the WTO. He is co-author (with Carsten Daugbjerg) of *Ideas*, *Institutions*, and *Trade*: *The WTO and the Curious Role of EU Farm Policy in Trade Liberalization* (2009).

DAISUKE TAKAHASHI received his Ph.D. in agricultural science with a major in agricultural economics from the University of Tokyo. In April 2010 he became a post-doctoral research fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science at the University of Tsukuba.

ERLING VÅRDAL is Professor of Economics at the University of Bergen. He has published within the fields of international macroeconomics and agricultural economics. In agricultural economics his research focuses on public goods in agriculture and trade policy.

PREFACE

Agricultural issues are particularly contentious in international trade negotiations. Many of the problems stem from the trade impacts of domestic support policies designed to maintain and stabilize farm incomes. When the World Trade Organization was launched in 1995, agricultural domestic support was brought under its disciplines. Certain trade-distorting support, evaluated in a specific manner, became subject to limits and all support measures to rules-based scrutiny. The economic objective underlying these legal disciplines was to reduce distortions in world agricultural markets. But the rules left countries with wide discretion over the forms and levels of support they provide to their farmers. Fifteen years later, subsequent negotiations in the Doha Round that have attempted to strengthen the initial rules and tighten the commitments have not resulted in an agreement.

This book examines in depth the many compliance and evaluation issues related to WTO disciplines on domestic support. Part one sets up the analysis. The key analytical questions that arise around disciplining domestic support are laid out in Chapter 1. The second chapter provides the necessary institutional background about the negotiation of the Agreement on Agriculture, its fundamental rules, the implementation experience through the WTO's Committee on Agriculture and dispute settlement cases, and the enhanced but complex proposals on domestic support that emerged in the Doha negotiations.

The second and third parts of the book (Chapters 3–10) present assessments of the domestic support notified to the WTO for compliance verification for eight developed and middle-income developing countries. The authors assess the political economy considerations that have driven domestic policy choices since the Agreement came into effect and estimate supplemental ("shadow") support notifications where countries have lagged in providing information. How each country has claimed to have met its WTO obligations given its policy decisions is examined, and evaluations made of the extent to which some of these claims can

xviii Preface

be questioned. The relationship between support as notified under the Agreement and an economic interpretation of the implications of that support is a focus of the analysis throughout the book, especially in the crucial area of market price support. Looking forward, projected support through the mid 2010s is evaluated against the existing disciplines and those emerging in the Doha Round. These eight chapters provide a comprehensive assessment for a diverse set of countries of the interface between domestic support policies and the disciplines agreed to in the WTO that has heretofore not been available.

The fourth part of the book is a final chapter that summarizes the country experiences and draws together important lessons about the usefulness of international disciplines on domestic support. The Agreement has proven porous but some convergence of policies among countries has occurred. We conclude that an agreement in line with the Doha draft modalities that emerged by the end of 2008 (and remain the focus of negotiations) would significantly reduce the future scope for tradedistorting domestic support by developed countries that have provided the highest levels of this support. These proposals would be less restrictive for the emerging-market developing countries. This could eventually imply a significantly different pattern in the incidence of global support for agriculture. Many other issues will also remain to be addressed even if a new WTO agreement on agriculture is concluded in the Doha Round. Without a new agreement, agricultural domestic support policies and their effects will certainly continue to be contentious issues in trade relations among countries.

This book originates from a study of domestic support policies and disciplines conducted under the auspices of the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington, DC. The study was initiated in June 2007 when the Doha negotiators were struggling to find consensus on new rules with incomplete information accessible by governments or publicly available. David Blandford and Tim Josling had been working on an assessment of domestic support and its notification to the WTO for the European Union and the United States. The three editors joined together in September 2007 to develop this book covering eight countries in the context of global agriculture and support policy disciplines.

The study was part of a research and public policy project on Foundation Analysis for Agricultural Trade Reform within IFPRI's Markets, Trade and Institutions Division. Financial support to IFPRI for this project from the Global Development Program of the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation (grants 2007–9399 and 2008–1886) is

PREFACE XIX

gratefully acknowledged. Antoine Bouët and David Orden were the IFPRI project leaders and Ann Tutwiler was the project liaison for the Hewlett Foundation. Support of the Division Director, Maximo Torero, and from Klaus von Geber, director of IFPRI's Communication Division, is appreciated. Shirley Raymundo, Marcelle Thomas, Joy Fabela, Tigist Defabachew, and Mary-Jane Banks provided technical and administrative assistance in the book's preparation. We thank Will Martin at the World Bank for his support of the early analysis of the EU and the US by Blandford and Josling that is further developed in two chapters of this book.

We are indebted for reviews of our manuscript to IFPRI's Publication Review Committee, chaired during the study by Ramón Lopéz and Gershon Feder, and to Cambridge University Press. The reviews contributed substantially to the manuscript's development. We thank the anonymous readers in both cases for their suggestions and recommendations.

During the study opportunities arose for the authors to engage in a number of discussions related to the WTO negotiations. Several events were coordinated with the International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development in Geneva. We thank Ricardo Meléndez-Ortiz, Christophe Bellmann, Jonathan Hepburn and Deborah Vorhies for their facilitation of these activities, and Joanna Hewitt (formerly with the Australian government) and Dimitris Diakosavvas (OECD Secretariat) for facilitating other engagements. Additional venues for presentations included the FAO, German Marshall Fund of the United States, International Food and Agricultural Trade Policy Council, IFPRI, OECD, World Bank, World Trade Institute (Bern), and WTO. At the WTO, we appreciate the participation in a session organized around the study at the 2009 Public Forum of David Walker, chairperson of the Special Session of the Committee on Agriculture, and Valeria Csukasi, chairperson of the Committee on Agriculture. Presentations during the study at professional annual meetings included the Chinese Economic Association in North America, International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium, UK Agricultural Economics Society, and US Agricultural and Applied Economics Association. We thank discussants and audience participants at these presentations and meetings, particularly Carol Goodloe and Anne Effland from the US Department of Agriculture, for many useful observations and comments, as well as those individuals acknowledged by the chapter authors for their contributions.

We are grateful to the authors who prepared the chapters in this volume for their insights, collaboration and cooperation. Completing each XX PREFACE

chapter, with its support estimates and policy projections, was a challenge the authors undertook with diligence and commitment in the shifting context as the study proceeded of ongoing Doha deliberations, unilateral changes in domestic support policies, and intermittent new notifications by countries to the WTO.

Finally, we particularly express our gratitude to Lars Brink. He provided an invaluable perspective and guidance for the entire book drawing on his extensive and ongoing experience addressing domestic support issues for the Canadian government since early in the Uruguay Round. To turn the phrase we use in the context of the notifications, Lars Brink should be recognized in a shadow role as a fourth editor of the book.