

When the Universe Took a U-Turn

B G Sidharth

World Scientific

When the Universe Took a U-Turn



B G Sidharth
B M Birla Science Centre, Hyderabad, India

World Scientific
NEW JERSEY • LONDON • SINGAPORE • BEIJING • SHANGHAI • HONG KONG • TAIPEI • CHENNAI

Published by

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

5 Toh Tuck Link, Singapore 596224

USA office: 27 Warren Street, Suite 401-402, Hackensack, NJ 07601

UK office: 57 Shelton Street, Covent Garden, London WC2H 9HE

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

WHEN THE UNIVERSE TOOK A U-TURN

Copyright © 2010 by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the Publisher.

For photocopying of material in this volume, please pay a copying fee through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. In this case permission to photocopy is not required from the publisher.

ISBN-13 978-981-4277-81-5

ISBN-10 981-4277-81-9

Printed in Singapore by World Scientific Printers

Dedicated to the memory
of my father and mother





此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Preface

In the late sixteenth century, the German scholar Johannes Kepler inherited a huge amount of data about the positions of the planets, from his mentor Tycho Brahe who had just passed away. The motivated Kepler set upon improving the Greek model of the universe, armed with the latest figures. His technique was all too familiar as it had been tested for nearly two thousand years. He would have to add one more sphere or epicycle as it was called, to the orbit of a planet. Or he would have to slightly shift the centre of a circular orbit. Things like that. But try as he might, and that meant several years of frantic calculations, he could not bridge a tiny discrepancy between Brahe's observations and the model of the universe. The difference arose for the planet Mars, and it was miniscule, one might even say, not worth all these trials and tribulations. Kepler himself confidently declared that this minute discrepancy was really pointing the way to a reformation of astronomy. And so it did! The two thousand years old Greek model of the universe collapsed, when Kepler discovered that by using a slightly non circular orbit, an elliptical orbit in fact, the discrepancy vanished. This heralded the beginning of modern science.

Early in the last century, a similar situation prevailed. Breath taking progress had been made in science in the preceding centuries that had witnessed unparalleled contributions from the likes of Isaac Newton, James Clerk Maxwell and others. And yet there was a small something that didn't add up, a fly in the ointment. This was in the form of the results of some recent experiments which could be explained by the beautiful theories of physics and the universe, almost – but not quite. Once again science took a tumble. The new and minute discrepancies gave birth to the special theory of relativity, the general theory of relativity and Quantum theory, which undoubtedly were the intellectual peaks of the last century. They have gone

a long way in shaping and reshaping our concepts of the universe and indeed technology which touches our lives. At last after millennia of scientific and intellectual quest, everything seemed to be falling in to place.

However, as the last century drew to a close certain discrepancies surfaced. Some of them were miniscule by any standards. For instance the mysterious particle called the neutrino was supposed to be massless. It turned out to have the slightest of masses, much, much lighter than the lightest known particle, the electron.

The realization has been creeping into the scientific community, that we are missing out on something. The awe inspiring, mind blowing contributions of the last century may not be the last word. These concepts were bizarre and upturned the cosy picture of the universe which was inherited from the time of Newton. But perhaps the radical ideas left behind by twentieth century physics are no more than a fore taste. A new cosmos seems to be emerging which is even more bizarre, even more crazy than we could ever imagine. We may well be at another dramatic turning point in our intellectual evolution and conception of the universe, confronting unexpected, even unimaginable concepts of spacetime and the universe. The story is still unfolding.

I have tried to capture the mood and spirit of this new paradigm shift in the following pages. Undoubtedly, in the course of simplification, to reach out to a larger audience, precision would be lost. This is because it is not possible to go into the merciless technical detail. In the process, to help the cause, I have here and there repeated certain ideas and concepts, and have almost always tried to keep the references at a popular level. I am grateful to Prof. Walter Greiner of the Frankfurt Institute of Advanced Studies for useful advice and also my secretary Mrs. Y. Padma and my wife Dr. B.S. Lakshmi for invaluable help in the preparation of the manuscript. My thanks are also due to Prof. K.K. Phua and Lakshmi Narayan of World Scientific, for unstinted cooperation.

B.G. Sidharth

Contents

Preface vii

List of Figures xi

1. The Lord is subtle, but defies commonsense! 1

2. You can be younger than your grandson 11

3. God does not play dice, or does He? 31
   - 3.1 The Classical Catastrophe 31
   - 3.2 Particles Disguised as Waves 37
   - 3.3 The Paradox of Reality 47

4. Time is running backwards isn't it? 57
   - 4.1 Dealing With Billions 57
   - 4.2 Time, the Eternal Enigma 66

5. On a collision course 75
   - 5.1 Bits of Atoms 75
   - 5.2 Fundamental Forces 86
   - 5.3 Quantum Gravity 93
   - 5.4 Strings and Loops 100
   - 5.5 A Critique 109
   - 5.6 Smashing the Atoms 122

6. Law without law 127
   - 6.1 The Perfect Universe 127

6.2 The Lawless Universe 138

7. When the universe took a U turn 151

7.1 The Exploding Universe 151
7.2 Birth Pangs of the Universe 152
7.3 The Infant Universe 154
7.4 A Dark Mystery 156
7.5 The U turn 158
7.6 A Darker Mystery 160
7.7 Other Footprints 164
7.8 A New Dawn 168
7.9 Blow out Infinity 171

Bibliography 177

Index 181

List of Figures

1.1 Greek epicycles and effective motion 5
1.2 An elliptic orbit 7
1.3 The stone tends to rush off along the tangent if the string snaps 8

2.1 Foucalt's pendulum 12
2.2 Stationary and moving charges 15
2.3 Boy running with and against the ship's motion 16
2.4 Light Clock 21
2.5 Ball falling in a stationary elevator 24
2.6 Ball floating in an accelerated elevator 24
2.7 The deviation and bending of light 26
2.8 The bending of light observed during a total solar eclipse . . . 28
2.9 Different speeds of a falling object at different heights 30

3.1 Rutherford's Gold Foil Experiment 34
3.2 Standing Wave 37
3.3 The addition of waves 38
3.4 Interference of waves 39
3.5 The uncertainty spread of a particle 41
3.6 The Stern-Gerlach Experiment 42
3.7 The double slit experiment 46
3.8 Schrodinger's Cat 49
3.9 EPR paradox experiment 51

4.1 Diffusion of molecules 61
4.2 The universe as an apple 67
4.3 Projectiles and Satellite 69
4.4 A random walk 72

5.1 Neutrino Spin 89
5.2 How smooth is the curve? 100
5.3 Regge resonances 101
5.4 A simple pion model 102
5.5 A scattering process from two different perspectives 103
5.6 Noncommutative geometry 105
5.7 Geometry as beads and wires 108
5.8 A closer look at a "bead" 108

6.1 Some perfect solids 128
6.2 Sponge and fisherman's net 131
6.3 Random motion of a molecule 134
6.4 Rapid unphysical fluctuations 136
6.5 Travails of a traveling salesman 139
6.6 IQ spread of people 143

7.1 Planck "Springs" in the quantum vacuum 163
7.2 Precession of perihelion of planet Mercury 165
7.3 The universe of universes is but a bubble. Each dot is a universe in itself 173

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Chapter 1

The Lord is subtle, but defies commonsense!

"The way that can be walked is not the perfect way, the word that can be said is not the perfect word"

-Lao Tse, c. 4th Century B.C.

Malcom, an American astrophysicist was peering into his computer screen carefully examining the tons of data beamed back by NASA's Gamma Ray Large Array Space Telescope, GLAST. This had been blasted off in mid 2008 to relay information on Gamma Rays being belched out from the deepest recesses of the universe. Was there a clue that could end the reign of Einstein's Special Theory of Relativity, a theory that had withstood a century of scrutiny?

A continent away, Jacob, a particle physicist in Europe was meticulously computing, using the equally voluminous data being churned out by the recently kick-started Large Hadron Collider (LHC), in CERN, Geneva. Was there a faint footprint of the Higgs particle that had been eluding detection for over four decades? Was the standard model of particle physics erected more than three decades earlier, the last word?

The year, 2010. Both the GLAST and the LHC are multi-billion dollar contraptions, the culmination of years of planning and labor, eagerly awaited for several years by physicists the world over. These would test two of the greatest intellectual theories fashioned out by the human mind in the last century of the millennium, the Theory of Relativity and Quantum Mechanics.

The story begins some 12000 years ago. The Earth was a frozen planet, much of it a freezing white sheet of ice. Human beings lived in caves and caverns, prowling and wandering like polar bears. They wore furs and used crude stone tools in their efforts to hunt down wild animals for food. But

already the last of the great ice ages had begun to thaw and a new lifestyle was beginning to emerge. Agriculture. People now had to settle down in habitats to tend to their crops and domesticated animal herds.

As people looked around at the universe - the earth, sky and nature, in bewilderment, they discovered that oftentimes hostile nature could also be an ally in the struggle for survival. For example, they could use clubs or fire and later the wheel.

The advent of agriculture was a major departure in life style from the hunter gathering ways and days. People soon discovered that the drama in the heavens - the rising and setting of the sun, the phases of the moon and the progression of the seasons provided a clock cum calendar so essential for the new science of cultivation. Roughly, the starry sky was the dial of a clock, while the sun and moon were like the hands that indicate the passage of time.

The heavens threw up the different units of time. For instance the sun rises, sets and rises again. This gives birth to the 'day'. The moon passes through its various phases - from full moon through crescent moon back to full moon. This gives rise to the 'month'. Then, the sun appears to have another motion apart from rising and setting. This is a slow west to east drift through the stars. This means that exactly at sunset each day, we see different groups of stars or constellations as we call them, in the west. But after a year, we return to the same pattern of stars at sunset. These basic units of time were used for framing the earliest calendar, which guided ancient agricultural activity.

However as the precision of observations increased, crude calendars gave way to more precise ones in order to keep a track of the seasons, so essential for sowing, harvesting and other agricultural activities. Briefly, the problem which ancient man faced was the following. The month consisted of twenty nine and a half days, while the year consisted of three hundred and sixty five and one fourth days. So twelve months would be three hundred and fifty four days, some eleven days less than the true year. You might say, so what? But this difference of about eleven days would pile up. After about sixteen years, the difference would be about six months – and winter would be summer and summer in winter. A mess!

The ancient Indian calendar, perhaps the precursor of the Sumerian and later calendars overcame this mismatch by using a simple technique. As every three years of twelve months would lead to a shortfall of some thirty three days, an extra month was added every three years, a leap month.

Of course this scheme had to be tweaked even more to bring into step the month and the year.

The point is that the months are computed using the moon. This is very accurate because we can see the backdrop of stars when the moon is present in the sky. However the year is dictated by the sun – for instance the interval between the time when the length of the day (sunrise to sunset) exactly equals the length of the night to the next such occasion. All this happens at the vernal equinox. Similarly there is the autumnal equinox or the winter solstice signalling the longest night and the shortest day and the summer solstice where the opposite happens. It is the year which is important for agriculture, because it is so intimately connected with the seasons. What we are trying to do is to match the months of moon with the year of the sun. Small differences will have to be eliminated. For instance even if we add one extra month every three years, there is still a residual difference of a few days. Such a calendar is called a luni solar calendar and has been the hallmark of ancient agricultural civilizations.

However, one could just use the month as a unit and try to fit in the three hundred and sixty five and one fourth days of the year. Twelve months. This is essentially what has been done over the past two thousand years. Our modern calendar for instance manages to fit in three hundred and sixty five days within the twelve months. The one fourth day could be adjusted by having three years with three hundred and sixty five days and a fourth year, the leap year with an extra day. Again this broad scheme had to be fine tuned to make it precise.

As thought evolved, people began to try to understand and explain what they saw. In the process they built models, that is described new phenomena in terms of concepts or vocabulary they already knew. This is what every growing child does. The pattern has been going on ever since.

The earliest known model builders were the composers of the Rig Veda, several thousand years ago [1]. With amazing insights, they could guess that the apparently flat earth was actually round, describing the earth and sky as two bowls. They went on to describe the sun as a star of the daytime sky and even asked, how is it that though the sun is not bound it does not fall down? And so on and so on. This is an example of a pattern that has repeated itself over the ages: the breakthroughs have been counterintuitive, that is, have defied the apparent dictates of commonsense.

As we will see over and over again, the universe is not what it appears to be. The answers may go against our intuition which is based on our experience. An example of an intuitive model of the universe would be that

of the ancient Egyptians for whom the sky was a ceiling, supported at the extreme ends by mountains. It is difficult to break out of the intuitive mold. Such a departure is often forced upon us, due to the utter inadequacy of old concepts to describe new phenomena.

Perhaps the earliest model of what we today call microphysics or atomic or subatomic physics was proposed by the ancient Indian thinker Kanada who lived around the seventh century B.C. For him the universe was made up of ultimate sub constituents which were in perpetual vibration. Later, Greeks also had an atomic theory, which they may or may not have acquired from India. But there was a crucial difference. Their atoms were static. These concepts were brilliant, but they were much too counterintuitive, much too fanciful to be accepted wholeheartedly. How could smooth marble, for example, be composed of separate discrete bits? They remained on the fringes of speculation and philosophy for over two thousand years.

The insights of the Vedas were camouflaged in allegory and this oral tradition was lost over the millennia. Our legacy of modern science came from the more intuition and experience based Greeks who were expert geometers. They built up over a few centuries, an even more complex cosmic scheme in which the flat earth was at the center, surrounded by a series of transparent material spheres to which the various heavenly objects like the sun, moon, planets and stars were attached.

Why the material or crystalline spheres? Well, they were necessary, for, otherwise they would have had to explain why the moon doesn't crash down on to the earth, for example. Newton was not the first man to notice that the apple falls down!

These were spheres because Plato the Greek thinker, had preached that the circle (or sphere) was a perfect object, due to its total symmetry. Plato and his followers were obsessed with this notion of symmetry and perfection, with origins in geometry. His school, for example proclaimed that no one was allowed in, who did not know geometry. This legacy has come down over the ages – even Einstein swore by geometry. The moon is round, and so is the sun. In a perfect world therefore, everything had to be circular or spherical. The modern word "orbit" comes from the Greek word, orb, for circle. Furthermore these spheres would have to be rotating – otherwise how could we explain the heavenly drama such as the rising and setting of the stars.

As the observations sharpened, the above simple model, first put forward by Anaximenes around 500 B.C. needed modifications [2]. For instance the center of a sphere would not coincide exactly with the earth, but rather

would be eccentric, that is, slightly away from it. Then the spheres themselves had to carry additional spheres called epicycles, themselves spinning and the objects were placed on top of the epicycles. Later, around 100 (A.D.), Ptolemy the Librarian of the Great Library of Alexandria compiled all this knowledge in two astronomical treatises only one of which, the great Astronomer or Al Magest survived. The Ptolemaic universe was a complicated tangle of such spheres and epicycles and epi-epicycles, undergoing complex circular motions. It was a geometrical wonder though. (Cf.Fig.1.1).



Fig. 1.1 Greek epicycles and effective motion

These basic ideas survived for nearly two thousand years, till the time of Copernicus. Nicolaus Copernicus was born in Torun, today in Poland, to a family dealing with copper. By the time he was forty, he settled down in Frauenberg, Germany. In the sixteenth century, in a commonsense defying leap of faith, he put the sun rather than the earth at the center, though much of the Greek baggage like transparent material spheres and the tangle of epicycles remained. Nevertheless it was a dramatic, even absurd idea. Imagine the solid earth with the trees and buildings hurtling through space! Another contra idea that was smuggled in was that the everywhere flat earth was actually round.

Though such contra ideas had been put forward by a Greek, Aristarchus, more than two thousand years before Copernicus, they were rejected even by the scholars. They argued decisively over the centuries that earth could not be traveling or rotating.

By now there had been a major development. Unfortunately the Greeks did not know of the positional system of notation and so their numbers were the clumsy so called Greek numerals. These were not amenable to algebraic computations. However the positional system of notation including the all important concept of zero were already well established in India over two thousand years earlier. No wonder that the ancient Indians were way ahead in computational techniques. For example Brahmagupta in the seventh century was already using second order differences in his interpolation formulas. So also Aryabhatta was using Trignometrical tables and computations around the same time. Moreover, calculus, an indispensable tool, was being used for planetary calculations at least six centuries before Newton [3].

In the eighth century the founder of Baghdad Khalif Al Mansoor invited Indian scholars, and one in particular, Kanka of Ujjain in Central India acquainted the Arabs with not only the decimal system but also Brahmagupta's computational techniques. The decimal system itself reached Europe through Spain as late as the twelfth/thirteenth century. Two mathematicians, Fibonacci and later Abelard of Bath learnt of this new technique and it soon caught on. Copernicus [4] and later astronomers were armed with this new and powerful tool of mathematics.

But the bold work of Copernicus did not go far enough. Early in the seventeenth century, Kepler a young German scholar, noticed that the Copernican model differed from observation by just a whisker, eight minutes of arc, for the orbit of Mars. Kepler had inherited the meticulous observations of his mentor Tycho Brahe [5], and a lesser mortal would have put down this minor discrepancy to an error in observation. On the contrary, Kepler was convinced that the observations were correct and that the discrepancy pointed to a reformation of Astronomy, as he put it.

Kepler in another counterintuitive leap of faith proposed his first two laws of planetary motion in 1609. Some years later the third law followed. Crucially the orbits were ellipses. This went blatantly against Plato's picture of perfection that had survived two thousand years. With a single ellipse Kepler could wipe out the minute discrepancy between theory and observation, for the planet Mars. There were different ellipses too for other

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com