

CONTRACT LAW AND THEORY

Third Edition Volume

By 1

ROBERT E. SCOTT

Lewis F. Powell, Jr. Professor *and*
William L. Matheson & Robert M. Morgenthau
Distinguished Professor of Law
University of Virginia

JODY S. KRAUS

Professor of Law,
Caddell and Chapman Research Professor *and*
Professor of Philosophy
University of Virginia



LexisNexis™

Matthew Bender

CONTRACT LAW AND THEORY

Third Edition Volume

By **1**

ROBERT E. SCOTT

Lewis F. Powell, Jr. Professor *and*
William L. Matheson & Robert M. Morgenthau
Distinguished Professor of Law
University of Virginia

JODY S. KRAUS

Professor of Law,
Caddell and Chapman Research Professor *and*
Professor of Philosophy
University of Virginia



LexisNexisTM

Matthew Bender^{*}

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Scott, Robert E., 1944-

Contract law and theory/ Robert E. Scott, Jody S. Kraus.— 3rd ed.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-8205-5514-2

I. Contracts—United States—Cases. I. Kraus, Jody S. II. Title.

KF801.A7 S34 2002

346.7302—dc21

2002073070

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc, used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2002 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 10¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Offices

744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

701 East Water Street, Charlottesville, VA 22902-7587 (804) 972-7600

www.lexis.com

To
Elizabeth, Christy, and Adam
and
Pare and Atticus

Preface to the Third Edition

In the first edition to this casebook, we began with the question: Why do we need another casebook on Contracts? Our claim then, which we believe to be true today, is that this casebook and the approach to the study of contract law that it develops are unique. We began with a belief that colors much of the analysis that follows— theory works. Not only is it more interesting to study legal rules against a background of legal theory, but the effort has practical payoffs as well. There are clear, discernable themes and patterns that underlie much of contract law, and by developing them explicitly we invite the student to develop a working model of contract law. This framework for analyzing and predicting the outcome of contract disputes is then tested through careful case and doctrinal analysis.

Our commitment to the practical uses of theory commits us as well to a functional analysis of contract law. We ask: What discernable purposes are the various legal rules (as announced in cases and statutes) designed to serve? Are the policy goals desirable and how effectively are they implemented through contract doctrine? This functional approach begins with an instrumental analysis that focuses on the incentive effects of contract rules. We often ask a question familiar to students of economics: How are the rules likely to influence the behavior of similarly situated parties in the future? We use this economic perspective as an organizing principle because we believe it does the best job of any contemporary theory in explaining contract law. But both of us recognize that other perspectives on contract law deserve careful attention as well. In particular, throughout the casebook we use autonomy and related moral theory as an alternative framework for analyzing the law of contracts. In short, we believe that a commitment to a functional analysis of contract law does not demand the acceptance of any particular dogma. Skeptics will find that the organizing themes of the book are sufficiently explicit so as to provide ample opportunity for counter-examples and dissent.

The theoretical perspective of the book also shapes our pedagogical objectives. We begin, in Chapter 1, with a thorough doctrinal and theoretical overview. The Chapters that follow are in-depth elaborations of the introductory themes. This approach has several benefits. In particular, once the analytical framework is introduced in Chapter 1, the thick analysis of individual doctrines that follows is more readily digested and integrated by the student learning law for the first time. This allows us to focus in subsequent chapters on the counseling functions that contract lawyers perform. We remind students that they study past disputes in order to draft contractual provisions that will avoid similar problems in the future. We develop this theme through questions and problems as well as textual notes that explore the underlying objectives of parties entering into various contractual relationships.

We continue to work on improving and updating this book because teaching contract law and theory has been so rewarding for us and (apparently) for

our students. We hope those of you who try our approach will experience a similar success.

ROBERT E. SCOTT
JODY S. KRAUS

Acknowledgments

We express our thanks to the authors and publishers for permission to reprint portions of the following copyrighted material:

American Bar Association, *The Model Rules of Professional Conduct* (1992).

The American Law Institute, *Restatement of the Law, 2nd, Contracts*. Copyright © 1981 by The American Law Institute. Reprinted with the permission of The American Law Institute.

The American Law Institute, *Uniform Commercial Code, Articles 1 and 2 with Comments*. Copyright © 1998 by The American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. Reprinted with the permission of the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code.

Patrick S. Atiyah, *The Rise and Fall of Freedom of Contract*. Copyright © 1979. Reprinted by permission of Oxford University Press.

Ian Ayres and Robert Gertner, "Filling Gaps in Incomplete Contracts: An Economic Theory of Default Rules," 99 *Yale L.J.* 87. Copyright © 1989. Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

Raj Bhala, "A Pragmatic Strategy for the Scope of Sales Law, the Statute of Frauds, and the Global Currency Bazaar," 72 *Denv. U.L. Rev.* 1. Copyright © 1994. Reprinted with permission.

Douglas Baird & Robert Weisberg, "Rules, Standards and the Battle of the Forms: A Reassessment of § 2-207," 68 *Va. L. Rev.* 1217. Copyright © 1982. Reprinted with permission.

Omri Ben-Shahar and Lisa Bernstein, "The Secrecy Interest in Contract Law," 109 *Yale L.J.* 1885. Copyright © 2000. Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

Felix Cohen, "The Basis of Contract," 46 *Harv. L. Rev.* 553. Copyright © 1933 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission of Harvard Law Review.

Comment, "Royce Realty & Developing Inc. v. Arkla Inc.: Two Steps Forward and Two Steps Back in the Take-or-Pay Saga," 20 *Okla. City U.L. Rev.* 219. Copyright © 1995. Reprinted with permission.

Robert Cooter, "Economic Analysis of Punitive Damages," 56 *S. Cal. L. Rev.* 79. Copyright © 1982. Reprinted with permission.

David Charny, "Hypothetical Bargains: The Normative Structure of Contract Interpretation," 89 *Mich. L. Rev.* 1815. Copyright © 1991. Reprinted with permission.

Arthur Corbin, *Contracts* (rev. ed.). Copyright © 1963. Reprinted by permission of West Publishing Co.

Arthur Corbin, "The Interpretation of Words and the Parol Evidence Rule," 50 *Cornell L.Q.* 161. Copyright © 1965. Reprinted with permission.

Arthur Corbin, "Offer and Acceptance, and Some of the Resulting Legal Relations," 26 *Yale L.J.* 169. Copyright © 1917. Reprinted by permission of *Yale Law Journal*.

Richard Danzig, "Hadley v. Baxendale: A Study in the Industrialization of the Law," 4 *J. Legal Stud.* 249. Copyright © 1975. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.

Timothy Davis, "The Illusive Warranty of Workmanlike Performance: Constructing a Conceptual Framework," 72 *Neb. L. Rev.* 981. Copyright © 1993. Reprinted with permission.

Larry A. Dimatteo, "Depersonalization of Personal Service Contracts: The Search for a Modern Approach to Assignability," 27 *Akron L. Rev.* 407. Copyright © 1994. Reprinted with permission.

William Dodge, "The Case for Punitive Damages," 48 *Duke L.J.* 629. Copyright © 1999. Reprinted with permission.

Melvin Eisenberg, "Donative Promises," 47 *Chi. L. Rev.* 1. Copyright © 1979. Reprinted with permission.

Melvin Eisenberg, "The Principles of Consideration," 67 *Cornell L. Rev.* 640. Copyright © 1982. Reprinted with permission.

Melvin Eisenberg, "Third-Party Beneficiaries," 92 *Colum. L. Rev.* 1358. Copyright © 1992. Reprinted with permission.

Allan Farnsworth, *Contracts*. Copyright © 1982. Reprinted by permission of Little, Brown, and Company.

Charles Fried, *Contract as Promise*. Copyright © 1981. Reprinted by permission of Harvard University Press.

Lon Fuller, "Consideration and Form," 41 *Colum. L. Rev.* 799. Copyright © 1941. Reprinted with permission.

Lon Fuller and Melvin Eisenberg, *Basic Contract Law* (4th ed.). Copyright © 1981. Reprinted by permission of West Publishing Co.

Lon Fuller & William R. Perdue, "The Reliance Interest in Contract Damages," 46 *Yale L.J.* 52. Copyright © 1936. Reprinted by permission of *Yale Law Journal*.

Nicklaus Gage, Eleni. Copyright © 1983. Reprinted by permission of Random House.

Grant Gilmore, *The Death of Contract*. Copyright © 1974 by the Ohio State University Press. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.

Charles J. Goetz & Robert E. Scott, "Enforcing Promises: An Examination of the Bases of Contract," 89 *Yale L.J.* 1261. Copyright © 1980. Reprinted by permission of *Yale Law Journal*.

Charles J. Goetz & Robert E. Scott, "Liquidated Damages, Penalties and the Just Compensation Principle: Some Notes on an Enforcement Model and a Theory of Efficient Breach," 77 *Colum. L. Rev.* 554. Copyright © 1977. Reprinted with permission.

Victor Goldberg, "Bloomer Girl Revisited or How to Frame an Unmade Picture," 1998 *Wis. L. Rev.* 1051. Copyright © 1998. Reprinted with permission.

Oliver Wendell Holmes, *The Common Law*. Copyright © 1887. Reprinted by permission of Little, Brown, and Company.

Stanley D. Henderson, "Promises Grounded in the Past: The Idea of Unjust Enrichment and the Law of Contracts," 57 *Va. L. Rev.* 1115. Copyright © 1971. Reprinted with permission.

Stanley D. Henderson, "Promissory Estoppel and the Traditional Contract Doctrine," 78 *Yale L.J.* 343. Copyright © 1969. Reprinted by permission of *Yale Law Journal*.

Robert Hillman, "Court Adjustment of Long-Term Contracts: An Analysis Under Modern Contract Law," 1987 *Duke L.J.* 1. Copyright © 1987. Reprinted with permission.

Anthony Kronman, "Mistake, Disclosure, Information and the Law of Contracts," 7 *J. Legal Stud.* 1. Copyright © 1975. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.

Andrew Kull, "Unilateral Mistake: The Baseball Card Case," 70 *Wash. U.L.Q.* 57. Copyright © 1992. Reprinted with permission.

Peter Linzer, "On the Amorality of Contract Remedies—Efficiency, Equity, and the Second Restatement," 81 *Colum. L. Rev.* 111. Copyright © 1981. Reprinted with permission.

Karl Llewellyn, "A Lecture on Appellate Advocacy," 29 *U. Chi. L. Rev.* 627. Copyright © 1962. Reprinted with permission.

Susan Martin-Davidson, "Yes, Judge Kozinski, There Is a Parole Evidence Rule in California— The Lesson of a Pyrrhic Victory," 25 *Sw. U.L. Rev.* 1. Copyright © 1995. Reprinted with permission.

Abraham Melden, *Rights and Persons*. Copyright © 1977. Reprinted by permission of University of California Press.

Timothy Muris, "Cost of Completion or Diminution in Market Value: The Relevance of Subjective Value," 12 *J. Legal Stud.* 379. Copyright © 1983. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.

National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, *Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act*. Copyright © 1999 by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. Reprinted with permission.

Orna Paglin, "Criteria for Recognition of Third Party Beneficiaries' Rights," 24 *N. Eng. L. Rev.* 63. Copyright © 1989. Reprinted with permission.

Pollack, Harcsztark, McGrath & Cavanaugh, "The Capacity of a Mentally Retarded Person to Consent: An American and Jewish Legal Perspective," 20 *N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L.* 197. Copyright © 2000. Reprinted with permission.

Richard Posner, *Economic Analysis of Law*. Copyright © 1992. Reprinted by permission of Little, Brown, and Company.

Richard Posner, "Gratuitous Promises in Economics and Law," 6 *J. Legal Stud.* 411. Copyright © 1977. Reprinted by permission of University of Chicago Press.

Stephen F. Ross and Daniel Tranen, "The Modern Parole Evidence Rule and Its Implications for New Textualist Statutory Interpretation," 87 *Geo. L.J.* 195. Copyright © 1998. Reprinted with permission.

Alan Schwartz, "The Case for Specific Performance," 89 *Yale L.J.* 271. Copyright © 1979. Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

Robert E. Scott, "The Case for Market Damages: Revisiting the Lost Profits Puzzle," 57 *U. Chi. L. Rev.* 1155. Copyright © 1990. Reprinted with permission.

Robert E. Scott and William Stuntz, "Plea Bargaining as a Contract," 101 *Yale L.J.* 1909. Copyright © 1992. Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

A.W.B. Simpson, *A History of the Common Law of Contract*. Copyright © 1975. Reprinted by permission of Oxford University Press.

Richard Speidel, "An Essay on the Reported Death and Continued Vitality of Contract," 27 *Stan. L. Rev.* 1161. Copyright © 1975 by the Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior University. Reprinted by permission of Stanford Law Review.

George Triantis, "Contractual Allocations of Unknown Risks: A Critique of the Doctrine of Commercial Impracticability," *Encyclopedia of Law and Economics* 4500. Copyright © 1998. Reprinted with permission.

James J. White & Robert S. Summers, *Uniform Commercial Code* (4th ed.). Copyright © 1995. Reprinted by permission of West Publishing Co.

John Wigmore, *Evidence* (3d ed.). Copyright © 1940. Reprinted by permission of Little, Brown, and Company.

Samuel Williston, *A Treatise on the Law of Contracts*. Copyright © 2000. Reprinted by permission of West Publishing Co.

Maurice Womser, "The True Conception of Unilateral Contracts," 26 *Yale L.J.* 136. Copyright © 1916. Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

Edward Yorio & Stevel Thel, "The Promissory Basis of Section 90," 101 *Yale L.J.* 111. Copyright © 1991. Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

Many of the cases in this book were downloaded from Lexis. The authors gratefully acknowledge the courtesy of LexisNexis Group for permitting them to download the cases without charge. The authors also thank George Cohen, Michael Dooley, Kevin Kordana, and Richard McAdams for using the manuscript version of this edition in their contracts courses and providing valuable comments, and Stephen Barr, Jared Berg, Robert Clarke, Michael Diz, Charles Gartland, Jeffrey Kessler, and Rees Morgan for invaluable research assistance.

Note on Editing

We have omitted numerous footnotes in editing judicial opinions and other secondary sources. In most instances, we have maintained the numbering from the original text for those notes that we have retained. Where footnotes have been renumbered we have noted that fact in the text. Within many of the edited opinions, the paragraph structure has been changed and the citations to other opinions and secondary sources within the body of the case have been deleted without any special note to that effect. Ellipses signal most instances where text has been omitted.

R.E.S.

J.S.K.

SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter 1. AN OVERVIEW OF CONTRACT LAW	1
Chapter 2. ENFORCING PROMISES	131
Chapter 3. THE BARGAIN CONTEXT	237
Chapter 4. CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIPS AND CONDUCT	313
Chapter 5. REGULATING THE BARGAINING PROCESS	401
Chapter 6. IDENTIFYING AND INTERPRETING THE TERMS OF AN AGREEMENT	603
Chapter 7. DEFINING THE TERMS OF PERFORMANCE	697
Chapter 8. MISTAKE AND EXCUSE	785
Chapter 9. CONDUCT CONSTITUTING BREACH	883
Chapter 10. REMEDIES	969
Chapter 11. THIRD-PARTY RIGHTS	1127
Table of Cases	TC-1
Index	I-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter 1. AN OVERVIEW OF CONTRACT LAW	1
A. INTRODUCTION: THE PURPOSES OF CONTRACT LAW . . .	1
B. THE SOURCES AND FUNCTIONS OF CONTRACT LAW . . .	1
C. ENFORCING PROMISES	4
1. What is a Promise?	4
<i>Bailey v. West</i>	5
Notes	9
<i>Lucy v. Zehmer</i>	14
<i>Leonard v. Pepsico, Inc.</i>	19
Essay: An Introduction to Theories of Contract Law	23
Notes	30
2. Indefinite Promises and Open Terms	34
<i>Corthell v. Summit Thread Company</i>	34
<i>Joseph Martin, Jr., Delicatessen, Inc. v.</i> <i>Schumacher</i>	38
Notes	40
3. Which Promises will be Enforced?	44
<i>Hamer v. Sidway</i>	45
<i>St. Peter v. Pioneer Theatre Corp.</i>	46
Notes	53
4. Limitations on Enforcement: Unconscionability	55
<i>Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co. I</i>	56
<i>Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co. II</i>	57
Notes	60
D. PERFORMANCE OF THE OBLIGATION	67
1. Introduction to the Idiosyncratic Bargainer	67
<i>Jacob & Youngs v. Kent</i>	67
Notes	72
2. Allocating Risks	76
<i>Steas v. Leonard</i>	77
Notes	80

	Page
Essay: The Puzzle of Incomplete Contracts	85
3. Excuse for Nonperformance	88
<i>Taylor v. Caldwell</i>	88
Notes	91
Essay: More on Default Rules in Contracts	93
E. REMEDIES FOR NONPERFORMANCE	97
1. Introduction	97
2. The Compensation Puzzle	99
<i>Freund v. Washington Square Press, Inc.</i>	99
Notes	102
Essay: Evaluating Damage Measures With the Coase Theorem	105
3. Specific Performance	112
<i>Klein v. Pepsico, Inc.</i>	113
Notes	115
Essay: Why Isn't Specific Performance Generally Available?	115
4. Limitations on Compensation	118
<i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i>	118
Notes	120
F. BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SUGGESTED READING	127
1. The Functions of Contract Rules	127
2. Enforcing Promises	128
3. Performance of the Obligation	129
4. Remedies for Nonperformance	130
 Chapter 2. ENFORCING PROMISES	 131
A. INTRODUCTION	131
B. THE CONSIDERATION DOCTRINE	132
1. Bargain Versus Gift	132
<i>Hamer v. Sidway</i>	132
<i>Kirksey v. Kirksey</i>	132
Notes	133
<i>St. Peter v. Pioneer Theatre Corp.</i>	134
<i>In re Greene</i>	134
Notes	137

	Page
2. Adequacy of Consideration	144
<i>Batsakis v. Demotsis</i>	144
<i>Wolford v. Powers</i>	147
Notes	148
Essay: The Economic Perspective on Promise-Making	152
C. PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	157
1. Introduction	157
Essay: Corbin and the Restatement	158
2. Promises Made in Intrafamilial Contexts	161
<i>Haase v. Cardoza</i>	162
<i>Ricketts v. Scothorn</i>	164
Notes	166
Essay: Three Views on Promissory Estoppel	171
3. Promises Made in Employment Contexts	174
<i>Feinberg v. Pfeiffer Co.</i>	174
<i>Hayes v. Plantations Steel Co.</i>	178
Notes	183
Essay: Consideration, Promissory Estoppel, and the Expanded Bargain Theory	185
4. Charitable Subscriptions	189
<i>Salsbury v. Northwestern Bell Telephone Co.</i>	189
<i>Congregation Kadimah Toras-Moshe v. DeLeo</i>	192
Notes	193
5. Preliminary and Incomplete Negotiations	198
<i>Coley v. Lang</i>	199
<i>Hoffman v. Red Owl Stores, Inc.</i>	204
Notes	211
6. Promises to Insure	214
<i>East Providence Credit Union v. Geremia</i>	214
Notes	217
Essay: The “Injustice” Element in Promissory Estoppel	220
D. THE MATERIAL BENEFIT RULE	224
1. Past Consideration in General: Promise for Benefit Received	224
<i>Mills v. Wyman</i>	224

	Page
2. Promises for Non-Donative Material Benefits	226
<i>Manwill v. Oylar</i>	226
<i>Webb v. McGowin I</i>	227
<i>Webb v. McGowin II</i>	229
Notes	230
E. BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SUGGESTED READING	235
2. The Consideration Doctrine	235
3. Promissory Estoppel	235
4. Material Benefit Rule	236
 Chapter 3. THE BARGAIN CONTEXT	 237
A. INTRODUCTION	237
B. OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE	238
1. Subjective and Objective Tests of Mutual Assent	238
2. Offer	241
<i>Bailey v. West</i>	241
<i>Lucy v. Zehmer</i>	242
<i>Leonard v. Pepsico, Inc.</i>	242
<i>Dyno Construction Company v. McWane, Inc.</i>	242
<i>Lefkowitz v. Great Minneapolis Surplus Store, Inc.</i>	246
Notes	248
3. Acceptance	252
a. Methods of Acceptance	252
<i>Ever-Tite Roofing Corp. v. Green</i>	252
<i>Ciaramella v. Reader's Digest Association, Inc.</i>	255
Notes	260
b. Silence or Dominion as Acceptance	263
c. The Mailbox Rule	265
4. Revocation of Offers	267
a. Revocation in General	268
b. Irrevocable Offers	270
<i>Pavel Enterprises, Inc. v. A.S. Johnson Company, Inc.</i>	270
Notes	278
C. OFFER AND COUNTEROFFER	280
1. Introduction	280