A Linguistics Workbook

Richard A. Demers Ann K. Farmer

A Linguistics Workbook

Richard A. Demers Ann K. Farmer © 1986 by The Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

This book was set in Helvetica and Times Roman by Village Typographers, Inc., and printed and bound by Halliday Lithograph in the United States of America.

Excerpt from A Clockwork Orange by Anthony Burgess reprinted by permission of W. W. Norton & Company, Inc. and William Heinemann LTD. Copyright © 1963 by W. W. Norton & Company, Inc. Copyright © 1962 by Anthony Burgess.

Part II, Chamber Music, phonetically transcribed, from *Collected Poems* by James Joyce. Copyright 1918 by B. S. Huebsch, Inc. Copyright 1927, 1936 by James Joyce. Copyright 1946 by James Joyce. Reprinted by permission of Viking Penguin Inc.

Excerpt from the monologue by Moon Zappa, on *Valley Girl*, written by Moon and Frank Zappa, copyright 1982, Munchkin Music, ASCAP. Reprinted by permission.

ISBN 0-262-54045-2

A Linguistics Workbook

Preface

This workbook contains exercises from a wide variety of languages and is thus designed to overcome a (deliberate) limitation in the textbook Linguistics:

An Introduction to Language and Communication. The textbook was restricted to illustrating points with examples from English. As stated there, the main reason for this was "that it is essential that students be able to evaluate critically our factual claims at each step, for this encourages a healthy skepticism and an active approach toward the subject matter" (p. xiv). Given that the students have at least some command of English, we can assume that they are able to draw upon this knowledge to formulate, test, and revise linguistic hypotheses. Thus, they are introduced to the basic methodology of linguistics as a science.

Nevertheless, it is extremely important that students become familiar with the structural properties of languages other than English. In A Linguistics Workbook, therefore, we have included exercises from a wide variety of languages and language families. In several cases we have selected material from particular languages because they illustrate a desired range of possible structural configurations. One of the central themes of linguistics is the search for common principles underlying this vast, but perhaps superficial, diversity of the world's languages.

In some ways the organization of the workbook is novel. The chapters follow the order of presentation in *Linguistics*; thus, the chapter on morphology precedes the chapters on phonology and syntax. We prefer this order for two reasons. First, students have little difficulty relating to words, as opposed to perhaps less intuitively obvious units such as phonological features. Putting morphology first, then, introduces students to linguistic methodology by way of a unit that is familiar to them. Second, words encode not only morphological information but also phonological, syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic information; thus, the word can serve as an intelligible and unintimidating introduction to some of the basic concepts of linguistics.

Another novel aspect of this workbook is that several of the exercises in the chapter on pragmatics (7.7–7.10) would traditionally be placed in a syntax section. Even though these exercises require the student to recognize certain syntactic properties and regularities, we have placed them in the chapter on pragmatics in order to illustrate the numerous ways in which the major moods can be marked in the world's languages. In our exercises on moods we have also included examples of sentence negation, since negation frequently patterns with mood marking in the world's languages.

The workbook contains both a large number and a wide variety of exercises. We have included a wide variety because introductory linguistics courses differ so much in topic selection and emphasis. Some courses stress phonetics and phonemics, for example, whereas others might stress cross-language comparisons or language history.

The exercises also vary in difficulty, from quite simple ones, which deal with particular notions and concepts introduced in *Linguistics*, to rather advanced ones. This range of difficulty makes the workbook appropriate for use in intermediate linguistics courses as well. In our first course in linguistics at the University of Arizona, for example, we mainly explore the properties of English, whereas in our second course we introduce the student to the linguistic properties of a wide variety of languages. We therefore use this workbook in both courses. The advanced exercises also serve another purpose. There are frequently students who become extremely interested in linguistics and wish to do extra work. We have found that many of these exercises are both challenging and stimulating for such students.

Finally, linguists are fond of saying that the best way to learn about linguistics is to do linguistics. This workbook is intended to make doing linguistics possible at an introductory level. We hope that students will find the exercises both interesting and instructive.

Acknowledgments

Many people have been involved in the preparation of this workbook. First, we would like to thank those who contributed the basic ideas for our preliminary versions of exercises: Kathy Budway (Spanish, 2.10), Ken Hale (Irish, 3.19), who also helped us with the Dyirbal (3.16) and Navajo (7.11) problems, Barbara Hollenbach (Copala Trique, 7.9), Tom Hukari, who sent us the limericks written by linguists at the University of British Columbia in Victoria (2.4), Adrienne Lehrer, who suggested the idea behind the Indo-European exercises (6.1–6.3), Susan Steele (Luiseño, 1.10), and Carrie Anne Tam, who supplied the Chingenglish data (2.21).

We would also like to thank the following people who checked particular exercises and data for us: Adele Barker (Russian, 1.5, 3.10), Jim Cathey (Finnish, 7.8), Christiane Dechert (German, 3.13), Margaret Gibson (Russian, 1.5), Mike Harnish, who helped with all of the exercises involving semantics and pragmatics, Mary Havatone (Navajo, 7.11), Rich Janda (assisted by Sue Foster), who first used the British dialect story (5.3) as an exercise here at the University of Arizona, Stan Lekach (Russian, 1.5, 3.10), Linda Liu (Mandarin Chinese, 7.10), Sirpa Saletta (Finnish, 7.8), Natsuko Tsujimura (all the Japanese exercises), and Ofelia Zepeda (Papago, 2.18, 3.15). None of these people should be held responsible for errors that may be present in these exercises.

Numerous people tested preliminary versions of the workbook. Their comments have been quite helpful in further developing the exercises. In particular we thank Diana Archangeli, Stuart Davis, Sandra Fulmer, Adrienne Lehrer, and Martha Schulte for their feedback.

Ken Hale and Donna Jo Napoli deserve special thanks for reading an earlier version of this workbook in its entirety and making valuable suggestions.

We would like to thank Mark Farmer for the drawings that appear throughout the workbook.

Kathy Todd, who typed several versions of the manuscript, is to be thanked for her accuracy and patience.

We are grateful to Anne Mark, once again, for transforming a mere manuscript into a finished work. She did a super job of copyediting, and her suggestions have improved both the form and content of this work.

Finally, we thank the many students who worked on various versions of these exercises. We would like to think that they learned positive things about linguistics in spite of the fact that the preliminary drafts of virtually all of the exercises needed subsequent refining.

Contents

	Preface	ix
	Acknowledgments	xi
1 Morphology		
	1.1 A Clockwork Orange: Meaning and Form in Context	3
	1.2 Content and Function Words	7
	1.3 Compound and Noun Phrase Ambiguities	11
	1.4 Word Building 1: -ness Affixation (English)	17
	1.5 Word Building 2: -ščik Affixation (Russian)	19
	1.6 Word Building 3: -like Affixation (English)	21
	1.7 Morphological Analysis 1: Telugu	23
	1.8 Morphological Analysis 2: Swahili	27
	1.9 Morphological Analysis 3: Classical Nahuatl (Aztec)	31
	1.10 Morphological Analysis 4: Luiseño (Advanced)	35
2 Phonology	<u> </u>	
	2.1 English Orthography 1: Inconsistencies	41
	2.2 English Orthography 2: Plural	43
	2.3 Reverse Transcription 1	45
	2.4 Reverse Transcription 2	47
	2.5 Transcription 1: Monosyllables	49
	2.6 Transcription 2: Polysyllabics (Advanced)	51
	2.7 Transcription 3: Vowels before r (Advanced)	53
	2.8 Transcription 4: Connected Speech (Advanced)	55
	2.9 Phonetic Variation 1: English /t/	57
	2.10 Phonetic Variation 2: Spanish /b/, /d/, /g/	61
	2.11 The Unifon Alphabet	63
	2.12 Word Building 1: -ity Suffixation	65
	2.13 Word Building 2: -ion Suffixation	67
	2.14 Japanese: Continuative/Progressive (Advanced)	71
	2.15 Japanese: Verb Morphology (Advanced)	75
	2.16 Phonological Rules 1: English (Advanced)	79
	2.17 Phonological Rules 2: Osage (Advanced)	81
	2.18 Phonological Rules 3: Papago (Advanced)	83

	2.19 Phonological Rules 4: Zoque (Advanced)	85
	2.20 Phonological Rules 5: Amharic (Advanced)	89
	2.21 Chingenglish	9 1
3 Syntax		
,	3.1 English Syntax 1: Simple Phrase Structure Rules	95
	3.2 English Syntax 2: Simple NPs, VPs, and PPs	97
	3.3 English Syntax 3: Tree Terminology	101
	3.4 English Syntax 4: Ill-formed Trees	103
	3.5 English Syntax 5: Tree and Sentence Matching	107
	3.6 English Syntax 6: Syntactic Rule Interaction	111
	3.7 English Syntax 7: Possessive NP with a PP (Advanced)	113
	3.8 English Syntax 8: Verb-Particle versus Verb-PP Structure (Advanced)	115
	3.9 Reflexive 1: English	119
	3.10 Reflexive 2: Russian	121
	3.11 Reflexive 3: Japanese	123
	3.12 Reflexive 4: Japanese	125
	3.13 Simple Sentences 1: German	127
	3.14 Simple Sentences 2: Tamil	131
	3.15 Simple Sentences 3: Papago	135
	3.16 Simple Sentences 4: Dyirbal	141
	3.17 Simple Sentences 5: Japanese	147
	3.18 Complex Sentences 1: Japanese	151
	3.19 Complex Sentences 2: Modern Irish (Advanced)	155
4 Semantics		
	4.1 Compositional and Noncompositional Meanings	163
	4.2 Ambiguous Words	167
	4.3 Ambiguous Sentences	171
	4.4 Homophony and Polysemy	173
	4.5 Idioms and Pronouns	175
	4.6 Evaluative and Emotive Meaning	177
5 Language	Variation	
33	5.1 Val-Talk	103
	5.2 Yiddish Loanwords	183
	5.3 British and American English	185 191
6 Language	Change	
- Languaye		
	6.1 Indo-European to English 1	195
	6.2 Indo-European to English 2	199
	6.3 Indo-European to English 3	203
	6.4 Writing Systems: Japanese	205

7 Pragmatic	es			
3	7.1 Identifying the Message	211		
	7.2 Communication Breakdown: The Message Model	215		
	7.3 Literal/Nonliteral Use			
	217 221 223			
	7.5 Performative Verbs versus Perlocutionary Verbs 7.6 Proverbs			
	7.7 Major Moods 1: English			
7.8 Major Moods 2: Finnish		231		
	7.9 Major Moods 3: Copala Trique	235		
7.10 Major Moods 4: Mandarin Chinese		239		
	7.11 Pragmatics: Navajo	243		
8 Psycholog	gy of Language 8.1 Speech Errors	249		
Appendixes	<u> </u>			
	1 How to State Phonological Rules	255		
	2 The Role of Distinctive Features in Phonological Rules	257		
	3 Transcription Key	261		
	4 Chart of Distinctive Features	263		
	5 Some Phrase Structure Rules for English	265		
	6 Grimm's Law	267		
	7 The Message Model of Linguistic Communication	269		
	8 Major Moods	271		
	9 Index of Languages	273		
Bibliograph)V	275		

1 Morphology

1.1 A Clockwork Orange: Meaning and Form in Context

The passage below is taken from Anthony Burgess's novel A Clockwork Orange. Many of the vocabulary items are borrowed (loosely) from Russian. First read the passage, trying to match the "new" words (underlined) with the definitions given in question A. Both structural (syntactic and morphological) clues and context will be helpful in figuring out what the words mean. Then answer questions A and B.

There was me, that is Alex, and my three droogs, that is Pete, Georgie, and Dim, Dim being really dim, and we sat in the Korova Milkbar making up our rassoodocks what to do with the evening, The Korova Milkbar was a milkplus mesto, and you may, O my brothers, have forgotten what these mestos were like, things changing so skorry these days and everybody very quick to forget, newspapers not being read much neither. Well, what they sold there was milk plus something else. They had no licence for selling liquor, but there was no law yet against prodding some of the new veshches which they used to put into the old moloko, so you could peet it with vellocet or synthemesc or drencrom or one or two other veshches which would give you a nice quiet horrorshow fifteen minutes admiring Bog And All His Holy Angels And Saints in your left shoe with lights bursting all over your mozg. Or you could peet milk with knives in it, as we used to say, and this would sharpen you up . . . and that was what we were peeting this evening I'm starting off the story with.

Questions

A. Match each underlined word in the text with one of the definitions on the right, as shown in the first example.

Word	Definition
1. <u>droog</u>	 friend (N)
2	 God (N)
3	 a drug* (N)

4	 thing (N)
5	 quickly (Adv)
6	 mind (N)
7	 place (N)
8	 milk (N)
9	 to produce (V)
10	 to drink (V)
11	 brain (N)

- B. What syntactic and/or morphological evidence can you provide to support your decisions? The first space is filled in as an example.
 - 1. droog. Evidence that droog is a noun: (Syntactic) Droog occurs in the phrase my three droogs. Nouns combine with possessive pronouns (my, his) and adjectives (three, red, happy) to form noun phrases. (Morphological) The plural -s is attached to droog. Context suggests that droog refers to Alex's companions. The definition most compatible with droog, then, is "friend."

2.

3.

^{*}These three words are probably not borrowed from Russian.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

© 1986 MIT. Do not reproduce.

1.2 Content and Function Words

Read the following passage. For each underlined word, answer questions A-F. (A review of pages 55-69 and 80-83 of *Linguistics* will be helpful.) The answers to the questions for the word *meaning* are given as an example.

... almost <u>self-evidently</u>, a style is specific: its <u>meaning</u> is part and parcel of <u>its</u> period, and cannot be <u>transposed</u> innocently. To see other *periods* as mirrors of our own is to turn history into <u>narcissism</u>; to see other *styles* as open to our own style is to turn history into a dream. But such, really, is the dream of the pluralist: he seems to sleepwalk in the museum. (Foster 1982)

Questions

- A. Is the word a content or function word?
- B. Is the word simple or complex?
- C. For each complex word, identify its pieces. That is, does it have a prefix or a suffix? If it has a suffix, is the suffix inflectional or derivational?
- D. What category (part of speech) does the word belong to?
- E. What morphological evidence can you provide to support your answer to question D?
- F. What syntactic evidence can you provide to support your answer to question D?
- meaning. (A) content word; (B) complex; (C) mean+ing (stem+suffix), -ing is derivational; (D) meaning is a noun; (E) -ing attaches to verbs to create nouns; the plural morpheme, -s, can be attached to create a plural noun: meaning+s; (F) meaning is preceded by a possessive pronoun (its); since possessive pronouns combine with nouns to form noun phrases, this is evidence that meaning is a noun.