

Pieter A. M. Seuren



WESTERN LINGUISTICS

AN HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION



Western Linguistics
An Historical Introduction

Pieter A.M. Seuren

 **BLACKWELL**
P u b l i s h e r s

Copyright © Pieter Seuren 1998

The right of Pieter Seuren to be identified as author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

First published 1998

2 4 6 8 10 9 7 5 3 1

Blackwell Publishers Ltd
108 Cowley Road
Oxford OX4 1JF, UK

Blackwell Publishers Inc.
350 Main Street
Malden, Massachusetts 02148, USA

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Except in the United States of America, this book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, resold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Seuren, Pieter A M.

Western Linguistics. An Historical Introduction / Pieter A.M. Seuren

p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-631-20890-9 (alk. paper). — ISBN 0-631-20891-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Linguistics--History. I. Title.

P61.S48 1998

410'.9—dc21

97-42247
CIP

Camera-ready copy supplied by the author
Printed in Great Britain

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

Preface

Modern linguistics has, regrettably, grown accustomed to living without its history. This is unfortunate not only because it makes for a limited horizon and deprives one of the pleasure of being conversant with the past (we all have a deep-seated wish to know about the past: man is a historical animal), but also because it creates the risk of continuously re-inventing the wheel. There are, of course, already quite a number of books available detailing the history of linguistics, but they are often not directly relevant to the concerns and issues that are at play in modern theoretical linguistics, as their principal aim is to achieve a systematic reconstruction of the past. While this is the historian's noble and indispensable task, the linguist is more interested in the historical backgrounds of his actual professional interests. I have, therefore, written this book as a linguist, which I am, not as an historian, which I am not.

The present book thus looks at the history of the subject from the angle of what occupies the minds of present-day theoretical linguists. And since methodology is among the primary concerns nowadays, a great deal of attention has been devoted to issues of methodology and of philosophy of science. The reader will thus find, at often unexpected points in the text, discussions of and references to modern issues in linguistic theory. This has been done with the purpose of making explicit the continuity of the questions at hand. This strategy also made it possible to show more clearly if, when and how progress was made, and how sometimes important issues were lost sight of in modern linguistics, much to its disadvantage.

Given this purpose, it proved necessary to go into the actual issues to a much greater extent than is customary in current textbooks on the history of linguistics. And it requires the 'long view', the identification of those currents of history, both large and small, that have led to the present state of affairs. The book is, therefore, meant as a synthesis of history and theory, so that the student can follow the coming about of the key notions of his subject through the course of time, and thus gain an extra dimension of understanding.

The main guiding principle of this book is given by the question 'If linguistics is justified in claiming the status of a real science (which is doubtful), when and how did the application of scientific methodology come about, and what mistakes have been made in this respect?' It is from this overarching point of view that the book tries to paint the notions, discoveries, principles, techniques that have, through the ages, contributed to the modern state of affairs in general linguistic theory, including its weaknesses, gaps and unevenly distributed interests.

Organizing the book around this question implied a certain selectivity. Unlike current studies on the history of linguistics, this book does not aim at completeness in the sense that everything needs to be mentioned. On the contrary, I have tried to avoid overburdening the reader with details, names and dates, and to concentrate on a handful of large issues that have dominated the history of linguistics throughout. This gives more unity to the book, and it will, hopefully, make for pleasanter reading.

In taking this perspective I have restricted myself to the western or Graeco-Roman tradition, thus neglecting the Chinese, the Indian, the Mesopotamian (cp. Black 1989), the Judaic, and the Arabic traditions, despite their sometimes monumental achievements. This decision is not simply due to considerations of size and of expertise, but to other reasons as well.

First, there is the fact, not often recognized in the literature, that all non-western traditions of linguistic inquiry, with the possible exception of China, have been strongly dominated by religion in one form or another. Very often, thought about language was mainly focussed on the interpretation and preservation of ancient sacred texts of divine or semi-divine origin, such as the Bible, the Koran, or the Vedic hymns. In this respect the Graeco-Roman tradition is essentially different. This tradition has been characterized from the very beginning by a sharp rejection of religious thought and is therefore basically secular and non-religious. Neither Homer, whose *Iliad* and *Odyssey* came closest to the status of a canonical text in the Greek world, nor the Christian Bible played a significant part in the coming about of linguistics in the western world. Graeco-Roman linguistics has its origins first in the philosophical question, central to Greek philosophy, of truth as correspondence between what is said and what is the case, and secondly, in the Hellenistic period, in the practical necessity of having to teach Greek to Egyptian and other non-Greek children. Not that the religious element has always been completely absent. On the contrary, there have been episodes, especially in the work of St. Augustin and during the Middle Ages, when attempts were made to turn linguistics into applied theology, but these attempts clearly lost out against the strong secular strand inherited from the Greeks.

Most of the other traditions, on the contrary, show a much stronger influence of, and sometimes even domination by, religious forces. Here too, of course, there are degrees. The Indian tradition, for example, with Pāṇini's work as the most outstanding achievement, seems to have been significantly less religious and more secular than the Judaic or the Arabic traditions, though there, too, occasional secular elements are found. In this respect, the Indian tradition comes closest to what we consider scientific linguistics (cp. Staal 1972). In fact, modern scholarship has recognized many features in ancient Indian grammatical analysis that have been rediscovered in present-day linguistics.

A further reason for excluding the non-western traditions is the fact that they are often also strongly directed at practical, usually political or commercial, aims, such as the development of a writing system, or the furthering of an ideology with the help of a metaphorical interpretation of an ancient canonized text. Basic scientific research, with the primary aim of *understanding*, was hardly ever germane to those traditions. True, as has been said, the Graeco-Roman tradition is rooted at least in part in the necessity to teach Greek as a foreign language and thus had a clear practical purpose as well, but, as is shown in section 1.2, this exercise was, right from the start, permeated by existing philosophically motivated methods of linguistic analysis, and paralleled by purely philosophical investigations of language in the post-

Aristotelian schools of philosophy. Eventually, the practical and the philosophical currents merged to give rise to what is known, in the western world, as traditional grammar.

The decisive reason, however, for not taking other linguistic traditions into account has been the consideration that there is no evidence of any influence from non-western on western linguistics, despite the fact that the interest of western scholars in the other traditions dates back to the first half of the eighteenth century. There may have been some influence the other way round, from western on non-western linguistics, but that can only have been relatively recent, in the general context of the expansion of western power and culture.

This book differs from existing genres also in that I have felt free to express value judgements wherever I considered that to be appropriate, but always with a holy respect for the historical facts and a proper historical perspective. I have presented these value judgements in the hope that the reader will take them to be an invitation to think about the issues and to apply and test his or her own standards and thus form a personal opinion.

Besides bringing history and theory together, the book also aims at a synthesis of grammar and meaning. One thing this book makes clear is that while these two were united in one coherent tradition from Antiquity till the 19th century, modern developments separated them to the point where they became two, even three, distinct streams. For many years, linguists, psychologists and semanticists have formed largely separate groups, each with their own ways of thinking, standards and perspectives. We should, therefore, not be surprised to find that now that they are meeting up again they are finding it difficult to understand each other's viewpoints and methodologies. One purpose of the book is to help bring about a better understanding of the situation, and thus perhaps also a better integration of the academic populations concerned.

The book consists of two parts. Part 1 contains the chapters 1 to 4 and deals with the history of what is normally considered to be linguistics proper, concentrating on the study of grammar. Chapter 1 deals with Antiquity, the Middle Ages and the subsequent period till the end of the 17th century. Chapter 2 takes us into the 18th and 19th centuries. During this period the study of language form and the study of meaning began to be separated, the latter being extremely tentative and lost between an incipient psychology and a mind-oriented logic. In the early 20th century logic takes off and goes its own course, leaving grammar and psychology to battle with each other. The chapters 3 and 4 describe the rise of 20th century theoretical linguistics in Europe and in America, respectively, first as structuralist linguistics then as formal grammar, with generative grammar at centre stage in chapter 4. They show, among other things, how, after logic, psychology was also shed by the linguists during the 1930s.

Part 2 is about the study of meaning. Modern semantics has been dominated for some time by logical model-theory. Although, as is shown in chapter 6, model-theoretic semantics is not adequate for natural language, a good know-

ledge of logic is indispensable for a linguist who wants to understand meaning. Chapter 5, therefore, explains the basic notions of logic and describes the main lines of its history, against the background of model-theory. It leads up to chapter 6, which first explains the basic notions of model-theoretic semantics, showing its fundamental weaknesses, and then explains some principles of a more suitable semantics, which has to be discourse-oriented. Anaphora and presupposition play a prominent role there. Chapter 7 discusses the question of how meaning links up with grammar. Two strategies are distinguished, a Platonic tradition according to which sentence meaning is reflected in a separate 'deep' or semantic structure, distinct from surface structure, and an Aristotelian tradition which rejects the notion of a separate semantic structure and wants to see meaning reflected directly in surface structure. The latter is followed mostly by logically oriented, the former by generative linguists. The book ends with a description of the rise and fall of the Generative Semantics movement that came about during the 1960s.

This book is meant for all those who, for professional or other reasons, want to hear about the main issues that have arisen during the two thousand odd years of the history of theoretical linguistics. The professionals will, I hope, find it useful and entertaining to read about historical developments while at the same time being challenged as to the main parameters of their discipline. If this book makes them subject the philosophical and methodological foundations of their work to renewed scrutiny it will have fulfilled its purpose.

It took a lifetime of teaching, reading and thinking to find and mould the insights and to summon the courage required for writing this book. While I was working on it I read, of course, a pile of new literature. But I also reread most of the stuff I went through as a beginning linguist and saw the notes and glosses I had scribbled in the margins of the old books, or found again the chits of scrap paper with my comments on them, and was surprised to find, on the one hand, how intensive my reading had been in those days, but, on the other hand also, how narrow my grasp of the issues. This gave me hope because, apparently, I had not deluded myself in thinking that over the past forty years my understanding of the issues and their history had gained in depth and breadth. It also made me feel that writing this book was a worthwhile exercise.

It was also an extremely pleasant exercise, not least because of the wholehearted support and practical help from my colleagues at the Nijmegen Arts Faculty, especially Ad Foolen, Haike Jacobs, Wus Kloeke, Henk Schotel and Leon Stassen, and also from Camiel Hamans and Jan Noordegraaf, all of whom lent me their books or their knowledge, read parts of the manuscript and made me correct and add enough details for me to realize that my, and perhaps anyone's, knowledge only goes skindeep.

Nijmegen, May 1997

P.A.M.S.

Acknowledgements

The author and publisher gratefully acknowledge the following for permission to reproduce copyright material:

Academic Press, Inc. for extracts from F. Newmeyer, *Linguistic Theory in America. The First Quarter-Century of Transformational Generative Grammar*, 1980.

Addison Wesley Longman Ltd for extracts from G. Bursill Hall, *Grammatica Speculativa of Thomas of Erfurt*, 1972. Reprinted by permission of Addison Wesley Longman Ltd.

Cambridge University Press for extracts from W. von Humboldt, *On Language. The Diversity of Human Language-Structure and its Influence on the Mental Development of Mankind* (trans. Peter Heath), 1988.

Harvard University Press for extracts from W.V.O. Quine, *From a Logical Point of View*, copyright © 1953, 1961, 1980 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted by permission of Harvard University Press.

Henry Holt & Co., Inc. for extracts from L. Bloomfield, *Language*, 1933.

Kluwer Academic Publishers for extracts from D. Dowty, *Introduction to Montague Semantics*, 1981; Z.S. Harris, *Papers on Syntax*, 1981.

Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc. for extracts from Ch. Hockett, *A Course in Modern Linguistics*, 1958.

MIT Press for extracts from N. Chomsky, *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*, 1965 and *The Minimalist Program*, 1995; R. Jackendoff, *Semantics and Cognition*, 1983.

Mouton de Gruyter for extracts from N. Chomsky, *Syntactic Structures*, 1957 and *Current Issues in Linguistic Theory*, 1964; W.J.M. Levelt, *Formal Grammars in Linguistics and Psycholinguistics*, Vols I and II, 1974.

Oxford University Press for extracts from A. Gardiner, *The Theory of Speech and Language*, 1932; R. Harris, *The Linguistics Wars*, 1993; W. and M. Kneale, *The Development of Logic*, 1962.

Plenum Press for extracts from N. Chomsky, *The Logical Structure of Linguistic Theory*, 1975.

Prentice Hall for extracts from P.S. Peters, *Goals of Linguistic Theory*, 1972.

Random House UK Ltd for extracts from R. Monk, *Ludwig Wittgenstein. The Duty of Genius*, 1990.

Routledge for extracts from J. Andresen, *Linguistics in America 1769-1924*, 1990; G. Huck and J. Goldsmith, *Ideology and Linguistic Theory*, 1995; B. Russell, *Portraits from Memory*, 1956; Also figure 11 of Ogden and Richards, *The Meaning of Meaning*, 1923.

The University of Chicago Press for extracts from Z.S. Harris, *Methods in Structural Linguistics*, 1951.

The University of Michigan Press for figure 5 from E. Nida, *Morphology*, 1949, p.87.

The University of Wisconsin Press for extracts from L. Hjelmslev, *Prolegomena to a Theory of Language* (trans. F. Whitfield), revised English edition, copyright 1961, 1989. Reprinted by permission of the University of Wisconsin Press.

The publishers apologize for any errors or omissions in the above list and would be grateful to be notified of any corrections that should be incorporated in the next edition or reprint of this book.

Contents

Preface	xi
Acknowledgements	xv
Part 1	1
1 Linguistics from Antiquity till the seventeenth century	3
1.0 Preamble	3
1.1 The tradition of Plato and the Stoa	5
1.2 The Aristotelian tradition	12
1.2.1 Aristotle's theory of truth: where things went wrong	12
1.2.2 The Alexandrian philologists	18
1.3 Anomalism and Analogism, or Ecologism and Formalism	23
1.4 Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages	27
1.4.1 Apollonius Dyscolus, Donatus and Priscian	27
1.4.2 The Middle Ages: Speculative Grammar	30
1.5 The Renaissance and later	37
1.5.1 Linacre and Scaliger	39
1.5.2 Sanctius and the <i>Minerva</i>	41
1.5.3 Port Royal and after	46
2 The eighteenth and nineteenth centuries	49
2.0 Preamble	49
2.1 The running up: Romanticism	51
2.2 Rationalism versus Romanticism in philosophy	54
2.2.1 The paradox of knowledge: two approaches	55
2.2.2 Leibniz and the rationalist tradition	56
2.2.3 Vico as a precursor of the Enlightenment	58
2.3 Linguistics in the eighteenth century	60
2.3.1 Dictionary making in the eighteenth century	61
2.3.2 Romanticist interest in exotic languages	61
2.3.3 The expansion of grammar in eighteenth century France	63
2.3.4 Speculation about the origin of language	74
2.4 The beginnings of comparative philology	79
2.5 The Young Grammarians	89
2.6 The psychological aspect	104
2.6.1 Psychological grammar	104
2.6.2 Wilhelm von Humboldt	108
2.6.3 The confusion around subject and predicate	120
2.6.4 Logic, thought and language: the eternal triangle	133

3 The twentieth century: Europe	140
3.0 Preamble	140
3.1 Structuralism and the problem of mind	141
3.2 Baudouin de Courtenay and de Saussure	144
3.3 The Prague School	157
3.4 The Copenhagen School	160
3.5 The London School	167
4 The twentieth century: America	178
4.0 Preamble	178
4.1 Some early history; Whitney, Boas	179
4.2 Edward Sapir	183
4.3 Leonard Bloomfield	190
4.4 Diversification after Bloomfield	207
4.4.1 American linguistics after World War II	207
4.4.2 The traditionalists: Kenneth L. Pike	211
4.4.3 The formalists: Zellig S. Harris	213
4.4.4 A linguist in the middle ground: Charles F. Hockett	215
4.4.5 Tree diagrams in linguistics: from Wundt to TGG	219
4.5 Generative and early Transformational Grammar	227
4.5.1 Generative Grammar: Zellig S. Harris	227
4.5.2 Early TGG: Zellig S. Harris	231
4.5.3 Early TGG: Noam Chomsky	242
4.6 Consensus on metatheoretical questions?	253
4.6.1 Realism in linguistics: psychological plausibility	253
4.6.2 Criteria of adequacy	256
4.6.3 Empirical access: data, causal question, hypothesis	258
4.7 Formal aspects of grammars	267
4.7.1 The Chomsky hierarchy of grammars and languages	267
4.7.2 The parsing problem	276
4.7.3 The constraints problem and post-1970 Chomskyan theory	279
4.8 Linguistic typology	285
4.8.1 The geographical dimension neglected	285
4.8.2 Greenberg's work on typology and universals	286
4.8.3 The relation between Greenberg's universals and typology	288
4.8.4 Areal and historical universals	289
4.8.5 Problems in language typology	293
4.8.6 Typological versus theoretical universals	296

Part 2	297
5 Predicate calculus: from Aristotle to generalized quantifiers	299
5.0 Preamble	299
5.1 Aristotelian logic	300
5.1.1 Semantic and logical consequence	300
5.1.2 Aristotelian sentence analysis	303
5.1.3 Aristotelian Predicate Calculus and syllogistics	306
5.1.4 Propositional Calculus	309
5.1.5 The defects of Aristotelian Predicate Calculus	312
5.1.6 Truth and the axioms of Aristotelian logic	315
5.2 Nineteenth century formalization	320
5.3 The first wave: the application of algebra to logic	322
5.3.1 Boole algebra as a formal system	323
5.3.2 Application to APC and syllogisms	325
5.3.3 Application to propositional calculus	328
5.3.4 Application to valuation spaces	330
5.4 The second wave: the formalization of logical language	333
5.4.1 Gottlob Frege	333
5.4.2 Bertrand Arthur William Russell	336
5.5 Modern predicate calculus: quantification theory	338
5.5.1 Variables, functions and some model theory	339
5.5.2 The notion of quantifier and more model theory	345
5.5.3 Restricted quantification theory (RQT)	352
5.5.4 Unrestricted quantification theory (UQT)	355
5.5.5 Generalized quantification theory (GQT)	362
6. The study of meaning	367
6.0 Preamble	367
6.1 Logical and model-theoretic semantics	368
6.1.1 Leibniz's <i>Characteristica Universalis</i>	368
6.1.1.1 Proof theory in linguistics?	369
6.1.2 Frege and Russell at the cradle of modern semantics	372
6.1.2.1 Frege's first problem: identity statements	372
6.1.2.1.1 Kripke's theory of proper names	378
6.1.2.2 Frege's second problem: intensional contexts	381
6.1.2.3 Russell's Theory of Descriptions	383
6.1.2.3.1 The problem of identification	383
6.1.2.3.2 The problem of non-existing referents	384
6.1.2.3.3 The problem of reifications	385
6.1.2.3.4 The untenability of the Theory of Descriptions	386
6.1.3 Logical model theory: problems with possible worlds	388
6.1.3.1 Problems with the set of all possible worlds	389
6.1.3.2 Reifications again	390

6.1.3.3	Identity across worlds	391
6.1.3.4	Intensional entities	391
6.1.4	The model-theoretic treatment of intensionality	393
6.1.5	Where model theoretic semantics comes to grief	397
6.1.5.1	Predicates of propositional attitude	398
6.1.5.2	Lexical dependency on a knowledge base	400
6.1.5.3	Topic-comment modulation and information structure	401
6.1.5.4	Viewpoint	401
6.2	Cognitive semantics: discourse-dependency	404
6.2.1	Semantics and pragmatics	405
6.2.2	Ordinary Language Philosophy	411
6.2.2.1	Ludwig Wittgenstein	411
6.2.2.2	The Oxford School: Ryle, Austin, Strawson	419
6.2.3	Presuppositions	426
6.2.3.1	History of the presupposition problem	426
6.2.3.1.1	Eubulides of Megara	426
6.2.3.1.2	Peter of Spain and Walter Burley	429
6.2.3.1.3	Frege's approach to presuppositions	430
6.2.3.1.4	Geach on presuppositions	431
6.2.3.1.5	Strawson's analysis of presuppositions	432
6.2.3.2	The projection problem and the entailment analysis	436
6.2.3.3	The discourse nature of presupposition	439
6.2.4	Speech acts	441
6.2.5	Anaphora	446
6.2.6	Discourse-oriented theories of meaning	451
6.2.6.1	The reality of non-existing intensional entities	452
6.2.6.2	Intensional contexts	453
7.	Meaning and grammar	459
7.0	Preamble	459
7.1	Until 1900: all linguistic structure is meaningful	461
7.1.1	The Platonic tradition: Stoa, Sanctius, Port Royal	462
7.1.2	The Aristotelian tradition: Priscian and the Modists	464
7.1.3	The Subject-Predicate debate revisited	467
7.2	After 1900: the autonomy of linguistic structure	468
7.2.1	European structuralism: structure still with 'meaning'	468
7.2.2	American structuralism: structure without meaning	469
7.2.3	Surface semantics and deep structure semantics	471
7.3	Deep structure in Transformational Grammar	474
7.3.1	No competence without meaning: the semantic component	476
7.3.1.1	Katz and Fodor (1963)	476
7.3.1.2	Katz and Postal (1964)	479
7.3.1.3	The <i>Aspects</i> model (1965)	487

7.3.2	Generative semantics	493
7.3.2.1	The untenability of the <i>Aspects</i> model	493
7.3.2.2	Predicate calculus as the language of deep structure	495
7.3.2.3	The generative semantics movement	502
7.3.2.4	The generative semantics model of syntax	510
7.3.2.5	The counterpart: autonomous syntax	511
7.3.3	An analysis of the episode	512
7.4	Epilogue	527
	Bibliography	528
	Index	560

PART 1

CHAPTER 1

Linguistics from Antiquity till the seventeenth century

1.0 Preamble

This chapter is the first leg of our trip through the history of linguistics. It covers the long road from the earliest Greek linguistic conceptualizations in the fifth and fourth centuries BC to the end of the seventeenth century, when grammatical description became a profession. Obviously, it is not possible to cover such a long period completely in barely 45 pages. The mere thought seems frivolous, especially since many of the main lines of thought and some fundamental approaches or 'philosophies' have their roots in Antiquity. But then, there are quite a number of excellent works which, taken together, provide a well-nigh complete survey of existing scholarship regarding this period. We may mention, for example, Allen (1948), Arens (1955), Barwick (1957), Borst (1957-1963), Coseriu (1975), Householder (1994a, 1994b), Hovdhaugen (1982), Pinborg (1967, 1975), Robins (1967), Steintal (1890-1891), Taylor (1994), and many other valuable studies.

The point of this chapter is thus not to provide a complete survey. It is selective in a number of ways. First, we will select and emphasize those notions and techniques which are of special relevance to modern linguistics. Too often one finds that students and professionals alike are not or hardly aware of the earliest origins of the concepts and analytical means they consider central to linguistics, and it is hoped that this chapter will make them realize that many of those concepts and analytical tools do, in fact, go back to the very beginnings of linguistics.

In this context special attention is devoted to the tension between word linguistics and sentence linguistics. We shall see that in the beginning grammatical theory was heavily concentrated on the word as the unit of description and analysis. Only much later did the sentence come up as the primary structural unit, which is the point of view almost universally accepted nowadays. Although the earliest proposals for a sentence linguistics date back to the Stoics (who were unable, unfortunately, to do much about it), and were later elaborated by the 6th century Latin grammarian Priscian and taken up again in the Middle Ages, word linguistics persisted till way into the 20th century: de Saussure and Gardiner, for example, as we shall see in chapter 3, still thought that the sentence was a free, 'creative' product of language use, not a unit of the language system.

We shall likewise emphasize the distinction between underlying semantic form and surface structure, which goes back, essentially, to Plato and even to his great predecessor Heraclitus of Ephesus. This issue is not elaborated but just touched upon from time to time. A much fuller treatment is provided in chapter 7, especially in section 7.1.

The Platonic tradition, with its assumption of an underlying 'semantic' form, contrasts with the Aristotelian tradition, where no such underlying form is postulated. Both traditions have been immensely influential. The enormous influence of Aristotle through his logic and through his categories will become apparent in this and almost all following chapters.

We shall also highlight the importance of the opposition between ecologism and formalism, which finds its counterpart in the ancient controversy between anomalism and analogism, the former a part of the Platonic, the latter of the Aristotelian tradition.

Finally, we stress the historical importance of the eternal triangle of language, thought and world. This triangular relation, brilliantly schematized in Ogden & Richards famous semiotic triangle (1923:11), dominates virtually all thinking about language from the very beginning (the only notable exception being the American structuralist notion of a linguistic theory without meaning – see chapter 4).

A further aim of this chapter is to show what motivated the originators of linguistics. Why did they shape the ideas that form the basis of linguistics? In what context? For what purpose? Here we touch on wider issues of historical and cultural context, including some very practical circumstances, such as the demand for the teaching of Greek as a foreign language (see 1.2.2).

Then we shall, with big strides, enter the Middle Ages and try to find our way through the tangled web of theories and developments of those centuries. This is not an easy task, mainly because ever since they came to an end, the Middle Ages, quite generally, have been subjected to a form of malign neglect so thorough and so vicious that its effects are still felt today, despite the many excellent studies produced by medievalists. There are two related difficulties here. First, we want to make a proper selection of those aspects that are relevant to present-day linguistics, and, secondly, we must impose the correct interpretation, one that is not biased by the modern point of view (we are all prone to giving in to the coquettish wish to see ourselves prefigured in history). This danger is, of course, present everywhere, but especially so in matters concerning the Middle Ages, with their often abstruse and overdifferentiated terminologies. For the purpose of this chapter it seemed best to concentrate on the generally enigmatic theory of Speculative Grammar, which, though despised by later grammarians and philosophers, was kept alive in one form or another in ecclesiastical circles. It thus led some kind of underground, para-academic existence, but its influence remained strong, owing to the power and status of the scholarly institutes run by the Church and its religious orders.

The Renaissance period is, again, treated selectively. We only select three main figures, Linacre, Scaliger, and Sanctius, leaving the many others who worked and wrote on language undiscussed. The most important figure of the three is no doubt Sanctius, who is given pride of place on account of his almost prophetic vision of deep semantic structure (in the Platonic tradition), and his