

Electrical Transmission in a New Age

Edited by
Dan E. Jackman

ASCE

SEI
Structural Engineering Institute

7-55
E38
2002

ELECTRICAL TRANSMISSION IN A NEW AGE

PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCE

September 9–September 12, 2002
Omaha, Nebraska

EDITED BY
Dan E. Jackman



ASCE *American Society
of Civil Engineers*
1801 ALEXANDER BELL DRIVE
RESTON, VIRGINIA 20191-4400

SEL
Structural Engineering Institute
of the American Society of Civil Engineers



E200301235

Abstract: These proceedings contain formal papers, updates on ASCE Guides, and the findings of current research in the areas of design, analysis, maintenance, and operation of transmission line and substation structures and conductor systems. The ten sessions cover design loads, structure reliability, analysis, design, foundations, research, life extension, lifeline criteria, and line capacity. Updates of ASCE #74 and IEC 60826 are provided on loading. Overviews on the following subjects are provided: ASCE's effort to develop a Manual of Practice for reliability-based structure design, the IEEE/ASCE Foundation Design Guide, the ASCE Substation Design Guide, EPRI research, CIGRE management guidelines, and WGO7—Foundations Guidelines and the American Lifeline Alliance. This work will allow professionals to advance their knowledge as the challenge of a "new age" in electrical transmission intensifies.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Electrical transmission in a new age/ edited by Dan E. Jackman.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-7844-0642-1

1. Electrical power transmission--Congresses. I. Jackman, Dan E.

TK3001 .E39 2002

621.319--dc21

2002026089

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefore. This information should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing this information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies: Authorization to photocopy material for internal or personal use under circumstances not falling within the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act is granted by ASCE to libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$8.00 per article plus \$.50 per page is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. The identification for ASCE Books is 0-7844-0642-1/02/ \$8.00 + \$.50 per page. Requests for special permission or bulk copying should be addressed to Permissions & Copyright Dept., ASCE.

Copyright 2002 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.

All Rights Reserved.

Library of Congress Catalog Card No: 2002026089

ISBN 0-7844-0642-1

Manufactured in the United States of America.

Preface

These proceedings contain papers presented at the “Electrical Transmission in a New Age” conference sponsored by the Structural Engineering Institute of the American Society of Civil Engineers held in Omaha, Nebraska, from September 9 to 12, 2002. The conference was developed to provide an opportunity for new engineers to learn more about the art and science of transmission line engineering, while also providing a forum for professionals to exchange ideas and philosophies.

Electrical transmission is more important than ever in maintaining the electrical grids in this country. These lines are subjected to electrical loads far beyond those of their original design. Additionally, structural design has become more sophisticated with the use of better tools of analysis and increased understanding of the various loading scenarios that significantly affect the reliability of these facilities.

This proceedings covers ten formal sessions including design loads, reliability, analysis, design, foundations, research, life extension, lifeline criteria, and line capacity. The session chairs and editor have reviewed all papers included in these proceedings. All papers are eligible for discussion in the Journal of Structural Engineering. The papers are also eligible for ASCE awards.

Dan E. Jackman, Editor

Acknowledgments

The planning and organization of the conference are the result of many hours of volunteer work by members of the conference committee. The success of the conference is directly related to the dedication and hard work of ASCE's conference and publication staff.

The committee would like to acknowledge the support of exhibitors, sponsors, and participants who contributed to the success of the conference.

Conference Committee

Dan E. Jackman, P.E.
Consulting Engineer
Chair/Editor

C. Jerry Wong, Ph.D., P.E.
Florida Power & Light
Session I

Habib Dagher, Ph.D.
University of Maine
Session II

Robert E. Nickerson, P.E.
Consulting Engineer
Session III

Ronald E. Randle, P.E.
EDM International, Inc.
Session IV

E. Terence Foster, Ph.D., P.E.
University of Nebraska
Session V

Anthony M. DiGioia, Jr., Ph.D., P.E.
GAI Consultants, Inc.
Session VI

Mark Ostendorp, Ph.D., P.E.
EPRI Solutions, Inc.
Session VII

Andrew H. Stewart
EDM International, Inc.
Session VIII

Leon Kempner, Jr., Ph.D., P.E.
Bonneville Power Administration
Session IX

Gary E. Bowles, P.E.
Electrical Consultants, Inc.
Session X

Sponsors

Black & Veatch Corporation
Electrical Consultants, Inc.
EPRIolutions, Inc.
Falcon Steel
HDR Engineering, Inc.
Hughes Brothers, Inc.
Newmark International, Inc.

Omaha Public Power District
Power Engineers
South East Electrical Exchange
Transmission Distribution
Information Systems
Valmont Industries, Inc.

Exhibitors

Electrical Consultants, Inc.
EPRIolutions, Inc.
GAI Consultants, Inc.
Hughes Brothers, Inc.
IUSI
Laminated Wood Systems, Inc.
Newmark International, Inc.

Power Line Systems, Inc.
Preformed Line Products
Quanta Services
Sabre Tubular Structures
Sterling Towers
Thomas & Betts
Valmont Industries, Inc.

ASCE Staff

James Rossberg
Christina Childs

Susan Davis
Donna Dickert

Contents

DESIGN LOADS *Chair: C. Jerry Wong*

Extreme Wind Load Criteria.....	1
Kishor C. Mehta and Christopher Letchford	
The Development of the Map of Extreme Ice Loads for ASCE Manual 74.....	9
K.F. Jones, R. Thorkildson, and J.N. Lott	
The Estimation and Application of Extremes	32
K.F. Jones and H.B. White	
Longitudinal Design Loads: A Historical Perspective	48
Alan B. Peabody and Ghyslaine McClure	
Update of ASCE Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 74: Guidelines for Electrical Transmission Line Structural Loads	57
Mike Miller, C. Jerry Wong, and H. Brian White	
Evolution of IEC 60826 "Loading and Strength of Overhead Lines"	59
Elias Ghanoun	

NEW ASCE/SEI MANUAL OF ENGINEERING PRACTICE: STRUCTURAL RELIABILITY-BASED DESIGN OF UTILITY POLES *Chair: Habib Dagher*

Structural Reliability-Based Design of Utility Poles: The Need for Reliability-Based Design.....	74
Richard Aichinger, Nelson Bingel, Gary E. Bowles, Habib J. Dagher, James W. Davidson, Fouad Fouad, Magdi Ishac, Brian Lacoursiere, Wesley J. Oliphant, Ronald E. Randle, Martin Rollins, Camille G. Rubeiz, Larry Vandergriend, Michael Voda, David West, Ron Wolfe, C. Jerry Wong, and Alec Zolotoochin	
Structural Reliability-Based Design of Utility Poles: Reliability-Based Design Methodology	83
Richard Aichinger, Nelson Bingel, Gary E. Bowles, Habib J. Dagher, James W. Davidson, Fouad Fouad, Magdi Ishac, Brian Lacoursiere, Wesley J. Oliphant, Ronald E. Randle, Martin Rollins, Camille G. Rubeiz, Larry Vandergriend, Michael Voda, David West, Ron Wolfe, C. Jerry Wong, and Alec Zolotoochin	
Structural Reliability-Based Design of Utility Poles: Loads	98
Richard Aichinger, Nelson Bingel, Gary E. Bowles, Habib J. Dagher, James W. Davidson, Fouad Fouad, Magdi Ishac, Brian Lacoursiere, Wesley J. Oliphant, Ronald E. Randle, Martin Rollins, Camille G. Rubeiz, Larry Vandergriend, Michael Voda, David West, Ron Wolfe, C. Jerry Wong, and Alec Zolotoochin	

Structural Reliability-Based Design of Utility Poles: Strength of Single Pole Utility Structures.....	109
Richard Aichinger, Nelson Bingel, Gary E. Bowles, Habib J. Dagher, James W. Davidson, Fouad Fouad, Magdi Ishac, Brian Lacoursiere, Wesley J. Oliphant, Ronald E. Randle, Martin Rollins, Camille G. Rubeiz, Larry Vandergriend, Michael Voda, David West, Ron Wolfe, C. Jerry Wong, and Alec Zolotoochin	

STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Chair: Robert E. Nickerson

Overview of the Applicable Codes and Loads for Co-Location of Telecommunication Equipment on Utility Structures.....	136
Edgar Turcotte	
Flexural Considerations in Steel Transmission Tower Design.....	148
Valérie Robert and Denis R. Lemelin	
Bending Strength of Angles.....	156
Murty K.S. Madugula and Yongcong Ding	
Comparison of Advanced Analysis Techniques to Traditional Methods	167
Erik Jacobsen and Otto Lynch	
Lattice Transmission Tower Analysis: Beyond Simple Truss Model.....	175
L. Kempner, Jr., W.H. Mueller III, S. Kitipornchai, F. Alberman, R.C. de Menezes, and J. BGF da Silva	

DESIGN OF STRUCTURES I

Chair: Ronald E. Randle

The Hawaiian Electric Company: Koolau-Pukele 138kV Line Structures #2 and #9 Replacement Project.....	188
Clinton Y. Char and Jerry L. Lembke	
Composite Utility Poles and Crossarms.....	200
James W. Davidson	
ASCE Substation Structure Design Guide	210
Leon Kempner, Jr. and George T. Watson	
In-Grade Pole Data as a Basis for Design.....	216
Ronald W. Wolfe	

DESIGN OF STRUCTURES II

Chair: E. Terence Foster

Guidelines for Upgrading/Upgrading Existing Latticed Transmission Structures.....	231
Robert E. Nickerson	
Spun Concrete Poles for Electrical Transmission Structure Applications: Continuing to Push the Envelope of the Technology	241
Wesley J. Oliphant and C. Jerry Wong	
Hybrid Pole Solutions.....	249
Dana R. Crissey and Kenneth L. Sharpless	

The Cross Rope Suspension Structure.....	259
Roberto H. Behncke and H. Brian White	

FOUNDATIONS

Chair: Anthony M. DiGioia, Jr.

Self-Supported Wood Pole <i>Fixity</i> at the ANSI Groundline.....	268
Mehran Keshavarzian	
Overview of Some EPRI Research for Transmission Line Structure Foundations	282
Fred H. Kulhawy, Charles H. Trautmann, and Anwar Hirany	
Steel Finned-Pipe Foundations for Single Pole Structures.....	292
Gary J. Reinert, Sr. and F. Barry Newman	
Direct Embedded Poles in Soil and Rock	300
Enrique Bazán, F. Barry Newman, and Anthony M. DiGioia, Jr.	
Overview of IEEE/ASCE Foundation Design Guide and CIGRÉ	
WG 07: Foundations Activities.....	309
Anthony M. DiGioia, Jr.	

CURRENT RESEARCH

Chair: Mark Ostendorp

A Precast Post-Tensioned Segmental Pole System	318
E. Terence Foster, Sherif A. Yehia, and Maher K. Tadros	
Radial Symmetry Effects in Area Moments of Inertia	325
E. Terence Foster	
A Hybrid Monopole Structure for Electrical Transmission Lines.....	331
Fouad H. Fouad and Jeffrey T. Steele	
Validation of the Western Area Power Administration's (WAPA) Fall Protection	
Anchorage Strength Requirements	339
Mark Ostendorp	
Reliability-Based Design of Transmission Line Structures: Direct Approach	
Using the Inverse Reliability Method.....	357
Hong Li and Gouri Bhuyan	

FACILITY LIFE EXTENSION

Chair: Andrew H. Stewart

CIGRE Guidelines for the Management of Existing Overhead Transmission Lines	367
Keith E. Lindsey	
Residual Life Assessment of Transmission Lines with Particular Reference	
to Grillage Foundation Corrosion Problem	373
Asim Haldar, N. Prasad Yenumula, Arthur G. Bursey, and Kyle B. Tucker	
Omaha Public Power District: Transmission Line Maintenance Management	
Program	382
Thomas P. Larsen, Greg J. Schulte, and Jerry O. Webster	

Damage Assessment and Soft Reliability Evaluation of Existing Transmission Lines.....	394
Ibrahim Hathout	

ELECTRICAL LIFELINE PERFORMANCE

Chair: Leon Kempner, Jr.

American Lifelines Alliance Efforts to Improve Electric Power Transmission Reliability.....	403
Stuart P. Nishenko, William U. Savage, Douglas G. Honegger, and Thomas R. McLane	
An Experimental Study on the Dynamic Interaction of Interconnected Electrical Equipment During Earthquakes.....	411
Andre Filiatrault and Spyridon Kremmidas	
A Comparison of Seismic (Dynamic) and Static Load Cases for Lattice Electric Transmission Towers.....	420
Michael J. Riley, Leon Kempner Jr., Wendelin H. Mueller III, and Gina T. Gobo	
A Pragmatic View of Some Important Transmission Line Design Issues.....	427
Ronald J. Carrington and H. Brian White	

LINE CAPACITY

Chair: Gary E. Bowles

Raising the Bar: 345kV Upgrading.....	437
Steven W. Weber and Robert E. Nickerson	
Ground Clearance of Overhead Lines at High Temperatures: Modified Ruling Span Method.....	438
Mehran Keshavarzian and Charles H. Priebe	
Effect of Aluminum Compression on ACSR Conductor Sags at High Operating Temperatures.....	454
Parvez Rashid	
Case Study in Evaluating Today's Line Rating Techniques.....	455
Ronald E. Randle and Lawrence K. Troutman	
Survey Techniques Used to Rate Transmission Lines.....	464
Gary E. Bowles and Blaine Thibodeaux	
Subject Index.....	475
Author Index.....	477

Extreme Wind Load Criteria

Kishor C. Mehta¹, H ASCE, and Christopher Letchford²

Abstract

Determination of wind loads involve many parameters. These parameters can be divided into three categories; wind climate, localized wind characteristics and wind-structure interaction. Using the wind loading formulation of ASCE 7-98, the paper discusses each parameter for calculation of wind loads on transmission line structures.

Introduction

All buildings and structures around the world are affected by extreme winds. As a result, they are designed to resist wind loads induced by windstorms. Some structures, such as one-story reinforced concrete buildings, are not sensitive to wind loads, while other structures, such as transmission line structures, are very sensitive to wind effects. Hence, more attention is given to wind loads for transmission line structures.

Wind is a natural phenomenon that fluctuates continuously. Any wind speed and wind direction record would show that wind fluctuates continuously in space and time. These fluctuations are termed wind characteristics. Also, weather is a random phenomenon with windstorms occurring in an unpredictable manner during the life of a structure. Because of temporal unpredictability of windstorms, basic design wind speed is assessed in a probabilistic sense.

Thus, the design wind loads are assessed using wind climate of the geographical region and local wind characteristics. The fluctuating nature of

¹ Wind Science and Engineering Research Center, Texas Tech University, Box 41023, Lubbock, Texas 79409, phone: (806) 742-3479 ext. 323, fax: (806) 742-3446, Kishor.Mehta@wind.ttu.edu

² Wind Science and Engineering Research Center, Texas Tech University, Box 41023, Lubbock, Texas 79409, phone: (806) 742-3479 ext. 328, fax: (806) 742-3446, Chris.Letchford@wind.ttu.edu

wind is hidden in equivalent static loads used for design purposes. Standards and codes are developed to provide equivalent static loads for a specified probability of exceeding wind speed (wind climate).

This paper discusses the various parameters involved in developing a simple static loading criteria for transmission lines structures. Formulation of the national standard (ASCE 7-98, 2000) is used for discussion of parameters.

ASCE 7-98 Formulation of Wind Loads

The national consensus standard, *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures*, ASCE 7-98 (2000) specifies wind loads in Section 6. The formulation of the wind loads for structures is given by the following two equations

$$q_z = 0.00256 K_z K_{zt} K_d V^2 I \quad (1)$$

$$F = q_z G C_f A_f \quad (2)$$

Where F- design wind force (lb, N)

q_z - velocity pressure evaluated at height z (lb/ft², N/m²)

K_z - velocity pressure exposure coefficient evaluated at height z

K_{zt} - topographic factor

K_d - wind directionality factor

V- basic wind speed corresponding to a 3-second gust speed at 33ft (10m) above ground in flat, open terrain (miles/hour, m/s)

I- importance factor

G- gust effect factor

C_f - force coefficient (shape factor)

A_f - area projected on a plane normal to the wind direction (sq ft, sq m)

Each of the terms of equations 1 and 2 have specific role in determining wind loads. The parameters basic wind speed V and importance factor I are related to wind climate. Other parameters in the equations are related to local wind characteristics and to wind-structure interaction.

Basic Wind Speed V

Basic wind speeds given in Figure 6-1 in ASCE 7-98 (2000) are used to determine wind loads. The map of Figure 6-1 is developed using statistical analysis of recorded maximum annual gust speeds at almost 450 stations in the contiguous United States; exceptions are hurricane-prone regions. Peterka and Shahid (1998) used the concept of superstation by combining recorded

data at 8 to 10 stations in a region. The combined data was checked for independence and represented 300 to 400 years of data. The advantage of long length of record is that it significantly narrows (reduces) error-band. Fisher-Tippett Type I extreme value distribution is used to obtain wind speeds associated with different annual probability of exceeding. The map in Figure 6-1 of ASCE 7-98 is for 0.02 annual probability of exceeding wind speed (50-year mean recurrence interval (MRI)). The annual probability of exceeding and MRI are reciprocal of each other. The East coast and Gulf coast of the United States as well as the islands in the Caribbean and Pacific Oceans are affected by hurricane winds (in Guam, hurricane is called typhoon). The wind climate in hurricane-prone regions is distinctly different than the one in the contiguous United States. The wind speed contours in hurricane-prone regions are developed by Vickery and Twisdale (1995) using Monte-Carlo numerical simulation. Since the wind climate of hurricanes is different, it was necessary to calculate wind speeds in coastal regions using 500-year MRI. These wind speeds, then, are divided by $\sqrt{1.3}$ to obtain equivalent 50-year MRI wind speed contours (see Commentary Section C 6.5.4 of ASCE 7-98 (2000) for further explanation). Thus, the wind speeds in the map of Figure 6-1 of ASCE 7-98 (2000) are consistent with 50-year MRI.

The 50-year MRI is a probabilistic term. There are different probabilities of exceeding 50-year MRI wind speed depending on the life of a structure. Table 1 shows the probabilities of exceeding basic wind speed of map of ASCE 7-98 for various life span of a structure. For example, according to Table 1, if a transmission line structure's life is 50 year, there is a 64% chance of exceeding 50-year MRI wind speeds; if the life of the structure is 25 years, there is a 40% probability of exceeding 50-year MRI wind speed. These numbers suggest that there is a good chance of exceeding 50-year MRI wind speed during the life of a transmission line structure.

Table 1. Probability of Exceeding (ASCE 7-98, 2000)

Mean Recurrence Interval (years)	Annual Probability of Exceeding	Life of a Structure			
		1	10	25	50
25	0.04	0.04	0.34	0.64	0.87
50	0.02	0.02	0.18	0.40	0.64
100	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.22	0.40
200	0.005	0.005	0.05	0.10	0.22

Importance Factor I

Importance factor I is used to change basic wind speed from 50-year MRI (0.02 annual probability of exceeding basic wind speed) to 25-year MRI or 100-year MRI. As can be seen in Table 1, 100-year MRI basic wind speed will have 22% chance of exceeding during the structure's life of 25 years. For important structures, higher structural reliability is desired. Importance factor for structures to be designed for 100-year MRI wind speed is 1.15; thus the wind loads are increased by 15%.

Constant 0.00256

The constant 0.00256 in equation 1 reflects mass density of air and dimensions of wind speed. We like to use wind speed in miles per hour, but the resulting pressures are in pounds per square foot. Hence, it is necessary to convert wind speed from miles per hour to feet per second. This conversion is hidden in the constant 0.00256. For SI system where wind speed is in meter per second and pressure is in N/m^2 , this constant is 0.613.

The density of air for temperature of 59° F and sea level barometric pressure of 29.9 inches of mercury is taken as 0.0765 pounds per cubic foot. The air density is strongly affected by elevation above sea level and to some extent by temperature and relative humidity. Table 2 gives air density for various altitude above sea level. The maximum and minimum values of air density reflect the range of density for extreme temperatures and relative humidity. It is advisable to use maximum value of air density at high altitude above sea level unless a meteorologist is consulted to ascertain extreme temperature and relative humidity values during probable windstorms. At 10,000 ft above sea level, the air density (maximum value) is smaller by 23 percent; thus, the wind load can be reduced by the same amount.

Velocity Pressure Exposure Coefficient K_z

The velocity pressure exposure coefficient (exposure coefficient) reflects change in wind speed due to terrain and height above ground. The winds are movement of air. The airflow is retarded due to the friction of ground. The winds are slower close to the ground and are reduced more if ground surface is rougher (e.g. suburban, wooded terrain). ASCE 7-98 (2000) defines four exposure categories; A. large city centers; B. urban, suburban and wooded areas; C. open terrain like airports; and D. area exposed to large body of water. The wind speed map (figure 6-1 of ASCE 7-98) is for Exposure C and

for wind 33 ft (10 m) above ground. The exposure coefficient is designed to adjust wind speed for any height above ground and for one of the four terrains (the coefficient is applied to pressure).

For example, wind pressure at 120 ft above ground in open terrain is 31% higher ($K_{120}=1.31$ for Exposure C) than the one at 33 ft. However, if the structure is located in suburban or heavily wooded area, the pressure is only 4% higher ($K_{120}=1.04$ for Exposure B) than the basic pressure obtained with basic wind speed of the map (at 33 ft in open terrain). Correct assessment of surrounding terrain can provide more accurate wind loads.

Table 2. Air Density (ASCE 7-98, 2000)

Altitude		Ambient Air Density		
Feet	Meters*	Minimum (lb/ft ³)	Average** (lb/ft ³)	Maximum (lb/ft ³)
0	0	0.0712	0.0765	0.0822
2,000	610	0.0675	0.0720	0.0768
4,000	1,219	0.0640	0.0678	0.0718
6,000	1,829	0.0608	0.0639	0.0672
8,000	2,438	0.0577	0.0602	0.0628
10,000	3,048	0.0547	0.0567	0.0588

*1 ft = 0.305 m

**1 lb/ft³ = 16.0 kg/m³

Topographic Factor K_z

Wind flow over hilly terrain is a very complex phenomena. Wind speeds can increase or decrease depending on the shape of the hills and the complex terrain. Literature available for wind flow over complex terrain (Jackson and Hunt, 1975; Lemelin et al, 1988; Walmsley et al, 1986) permits some simplification of wind speed-up criteria over isolated hill and escarpment. Where the terrain is rolling hills or complex terrain, the engineer is guided to use good judgment, seek expert advice, or pursue wind tunnel testing.

ASCE 7-98 (2000) topographic effect criteria are for isolated hill or escarpment (no similar feature 2 miles upwind of the site) that is at least 15 ft high for Exposure C and 60ft high for Exposure B. The wind speed-up is maximum at the crest of a hill or an escarpment. This speed-up depends on the shape of the topographic feature. The wind speed-up reduces as the distance from the crest increases and as the height above ground increases. Thus, there is a speed-up bubble that depends on slope of hill or escarpment, distance from the crest, and height z from the ground. These items are

included in the topographic factor K_z of the ASCE 7-98 (2000). Currently, sufficient information is not available to permit any shielding (reduction of wind speed) due to topographic feature. Engineer would have to resort to wind tunnel testing for a specific site to take advantage of shielding.

Gust Effect Factor G

Gust effect factor is often called 'gust response factor.' It is a factor that accounts for the response of a structure due to turbulence in the wind. Two types of response of structure are considered in gust effect factor, (1) background turbulence response, and (2) resonance turbulence response.

The background turbulence response is related to a relatively rigid structure. The response depends on turbulence intensity in wind, size of gust and size of structure. It should be noted that turbulence intensity and size of gust vary with terrain and height above ground. Gust effect factor based only on background turbulence response has a value of less than one since the basic wind speed is a gust speed. Also, the gust size and structure size are related; the larger the structure, the smaller the value of gust effect factor.

The resonance turbulence response is related to frequency of turbulence matching the frequency of vibration of a structure. ASCE 7-98 (2000) specifies that resonance response has to be considered if a structure has fundamental frequency of vibration less than 1 Hz (1 cycle per second or period of a cycle is greater than 1 second); in this case the structure is considered flexible. The resonance turbulence response depends on several factors including turbulence intensity, gust size, fundamental frequency of the structure, structural and aerodynamic damping, structure size and gust correlation. In addition, for transmission line structure, matching of frequency of vibration of tower and conductor is an important parameter. Vann et al (1997) conducted a sensitivity study of transmission line structure for 'gust response factor' for parameters such as pole height, conductor span and pole damping factor. He points out that even though basic wind speed is 3-second gust, the structure will experience resonance response in addition to background turbulence response if it is flexible. The formulation of determination of gust effect factor, which includes resonance turbulence response, is complex. For brevity, the reader is referred to the literature (Davenport, 1962; ASCE 7-98, 2000; ASCE, 1991 under revision).

Force Coefficient C_f

Force coefficients for any structure depends on the size and shape of the structure. Only way to determine force coefficient value for a structure is

through experimentation. Wind tunnel testing is used to obtain force coefficients. Wind tunnel simulates wind and its characteristics at model scale to perform tests on models. The geometric scale can vary from 1:25 to 1:500. Because of the geometric scaling of the model, wind tunnel has certain limitations. For example, it is not feasible to match Reynolds number between prototype and model scale. However, fluid dynamicists working in wind tunnel can account for this mis-match to obtain credible results. The projected area A_f is used in wind tunnel data analysis to obtain force coefficient. Thus, the force coefficient and area of the structure are interrelated; they have to be used in the same way as they were used to obtain force coefficients from wind tunnel.

Summary

Wind loads depend on many parameters. In general parameters can be divided into three categories; wind climate (basic wind speed and importance factor); local wind characteristics (height and terrain exposure, topographic factor, turbulence); and wind-structure interaction (gust effect factor and force coefficients). All these parameters are accounted for in formulation of wind loads in ASCE 7-98 (2000). In some cases, e.g., gust effect factor, the formulation is simplified for a complex phenomenon. As we improve our understanding of each of the parameter, the formulation of wind loads criteria may become more complex, but it will be more accurate. Availability of computer software will ease the burden of complex calculations, and will allow us to estimate more accurately the wind loads.

References

- ASCE, (1991). "Guidelines for electrical transmission line structural loading," *American Society of Civil Engineers Manual of Practice No. 74* (under revision).
- ASCE 7-98 (2000). *Minimum design loads for buildings and other structures*. ASCE.
- Davenport, A.G. (1962). "The response of slender, line-like structures to a gusty wind." Paper No. 6610, *Proc., Institution of Civil Engineers*, 23, 389-408.
- Jackson, P.S. and Hunt, J.C.R. (1975). "Turbulent wind flow over a low hill." *Quarterly J. Royal Meteorological Society*, United Kingdom, 101, 929-955.