D. V. Anosov (Ed.)

Dynamical Systems IX
Dynamical Systems with Hyperbolic Behaviour

动力系统 IX
带有双曲性的动力系统

Dynamical Systems IX

Dynamical Systems with Hyperbolic Behaviour

动 力 系 统 IX

带有双曲性的动力系统

D. V. Anosov (Ed.)

《国外数学名著系列》(影印版)序

要使我国的数学事业更好地发展起来,需要数学家淡泊名利并付出更艰苦地努力。另一方面,我们也要从客观上为数学家创造更有利的发展数学事业的外部环境,这主要是加强对数学事业的支持与投资力度,使数学家有较好的工作与生活条件,其中也包括改善与加强数学的出版工作。

从出版方面来讲,除了较好较快地出版我们自己的成果外,引进国外的先进出版物无疑也是十分重要与必不可少的。从数学来说,施普林格(Springer)出版社至今仍然是世界上最具权威的出版社。科学出版社影印一批他们出版的好的新书,使我国广大数学家能以较低的价格购买,特别是在边远地区工作的数学家能普遍见到这些书,无疑是对推动我国数学的科研与教学十分有益的事。

这次科学出版社购买了版权,一次影印了 23 本施普林格出版社出版的数学书,就是一件好事,也是值得继续做下去的事情。大体上分一下,这 23 本书中,包括基础数学书 5 本,应用数学书 6 本与计算数学书 12 本,其中有些书也具有交叉性质。 这些书都是很新的,2000 年以后出版的占绝大部分,共计 16 本,其余的也是 1990 年以后出版的。这些书可以使读者较快地了解数学某方面的前沿,例如基础数学中的数论、代数与拓扑三本,都是由该领域大数学家编著的“数学百科全书”的分册。对从事这方面研究的数学家了解该领域的前沿与全貌很有帮助。按照学科的特点,基础数学类的书以“经典”为主,应用和计算数学类的书以“前沿”为主。这些书的作者多数是国际知名的大数学家,例如《拓扑学》一书的作者诺维科夫是俄罗斯科学院的院士,曾获“菲尔兹奖”和“沃尔夫数学奖”。这些大数学家的著作无疑将会对我国的科研人员起到非常好的指导作用。

当然,23 本书只能涵盖数学的一部分,所以,这项工作还应该继续做下去。更进一步,有些读者面较广的好书还应该翻译成中文出版,使之有更大的读者群。

总之,我对科学出版社影印施普林格出版社的部分数学著作这一举措表示热烈的支持,并盼望这一工作取得更大的成绩。

王 元

2005 年 12 月 3 日

List of Editors, Authors and Translators

Editor-in-Chief

R. V. Gamkrelidze, Russian Academy of Sciences, Steklov Mathematical Institute, ul. Vavilova 42, 117966 Moscow, Institute for Scientific Information (VINITI), ul. Usievicha 20a, 125219 Moscow, Russia, e-mail: gam@ips.ac.msk.su

Consulting Editor

D. V. Anosov, Steklov Mathematical Institute, ul. Vavilova 42, 117966 Moscow GSP-1, Russia

Authors

D. V. Anosov, Steklov Mathematical Institute, ul. Vavilova 42, 117966 Moscow GSP-1, Russia

S. Kh. Aranson, Institute of Applied Mathematics and Cybernetics, Nizhny Novgorod State University, 603187 Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

V. Z. Grines, Institute of Agriculture, 603078 Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

R. V. Plykin, Institute of Atomic Energy, 249020 Obninsk, Russia

A. V. Safonov, Moscow Aviation Institute, 125871, Moscow, Russia

E. A. Sataev, Institute of Atomic Energy, 249020 Obninsk, Russia

S. V. Shlyachkov, Institute of Atomic Energy, 249020 Obninsk, Russia

V. V. Solodov, Institute of Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 101447 Moscow, Russia

A. N. Starkov, Istra Branch of the Electrotechnical Institute, 143500 Istra, Russia

A. M. Stepin, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

Translator

G. G. Gould, School of Mathematics, University of Wales, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff, South Glamorgan CF2 4AG, Great Britain, e-mail: smaggg@cardiff.ac.uk

Contents

Dynamical Systems
with Hyperbolic Behaviour

D.V. Anosov, S.Kh. Aranson, V.Z. Grines, R.V. Plykin,
A.V. Safonov, E.A. Sataev, S.V. Shlyachkov, V.V. Solodov,
A.N. Starkov, A.M. Stepin

Translated from the Russian
by G.G. Gould

Contents

Preface

This volume is devoted to the "hyperbolic theory" of dynamical systems (DS), that is, the theory of smooth DS's with hyperbolic behaviour of the trajectories (generally speaking, not the individual trajectories, but trajectories filling out more or less "significant" subsets in the phase space. Hyperbolicity of a trajectory consists in the property that under a small displacement of any point of it to one side of the trajectory, the change with time of the relative positions of the original and displaced points resulting from the action of the DS is reminiscent of the motion next to a saddle. If there are "sufficiently many" such trajectories and the phase space is compact, then although they "tend to diverge from one another" as it were, they "have nowhere to go" and their behaviour acquires a complicated intricate character. (In the physical literature one often talks about "chaos" in such situations.) This type of behaviour would appear to be the opposite of the more customary and simple type of behaviour characterized by its own kind of stability and regularity of the motions (these words are for the moment not being used as a strict terminology but rather as descriptive informal terms).[1] The ergodic properties of DS's with hyperbolic behaviour of trajectories (Bunimovich et al. 1985) have already been considered in Volume 2 of this series. In this volume we therefore consider mainly the properties of a topological character (see below for further details).[2]

When at the beginning of the 60's the significance of hyperbolicity was recognized, investigations were first made of DS's in which such behaviour of a trajectory was expressed in the sharpest way — hyperbolicity is, so to speak, "total" (the hyperbolic character, noted above, of the change in time of the relative positions of two phase points, namely the original one and the slightly displaced one, holds under any direction of the displacement to one side of the original trajectory) and "uniform" (uniformity of the inequalities expressing the hyperbolicity with respect to the points, the displacements, and time). The precise formulation of this version of hyperbolicity has led to the so-called hyperbolic sets, whose properties (both topological and metric (ergodic)) have aroused great interest. But in ergodic theory a lot of significant work has been done since then, in connection with the weakening of the conditions of hyperbolicity in various directions. In the broadest terms, this progress

---

[1] The general definition of hyperbolicity is such that exponential stability turns out to be a particular case of it (cf. hyperbolicity of periodic trajectories in (Anosov et al. 1985, Chap. 1, Sect. 2.4)), while Morse-Smale systems (Anosov et al. 1985, Chap. 2, Sect. 3), which can be regarded as the simplest DS's, are the objects of hyperbolic theory. Thus it deals with both types of behaviour of trajectories, but its novelty is in connection with what occurs when there are "many" hyperbolic trajectories.

[2] In connection with our mention of the ergodic properties of DS's with hyperbolic behaviour of the trajectories it is appropriate to add that there has recently appeared a textbook on the ergodic theory of smooth DS's (Mañé 1987), almost half of which relates to hyperbolicity in one way or another.

is in connection with technical improvements rather than with new ideas of principle at the paradigmatic level. But however that may be, there have been considerable achievements in the metric theory occasioned by weakening the requirements of hyperbolicity. This has not been done in the topological theory (again speaking in the broadest terms). However, this does not mean that since the 60's there have been no significant achievements beyond the framework of total uniform hyperbolicity in the theory of smooth DS's, or that none of these achievements relates to DS's displaying some weaker form of hyperbolicity in their behaviour. Nevertheless, in the topological theory of DS's (so far?) no precise and workable notion of weakened hyperbolicity has been concocted. It would appear that here the gateway that might lead beyond the realm of total uniform hyperbolicity requires new ideas of principle. (At the same time, there remain many unsolved problems even within the bounds of these conditions.)

For all that, something now has emerged beyond the limits of the original version of hyperbolicity, although, as it seems to me, in the two most important cases, namely, DS's with a Lorenz attractor and certain cascades (DS's with discrete time) on surfaces, it is a question not so much of going beyond these limits, but rather of stretching them. (In essence, what have been weakened in these cases are not total and uniform hyperbolicity, but certain other "accompanying" conditions, so to speak. These are the conditions of continuity and smoothness (as ordinarily encountered in analysis); weakening them consists in violating them in some sense at certain points or on certain lines, and the condition that if a hyperbolic set of a flow contains equilibrium points, then they are isolated points of this set). In one way or another, these DS's are considered in the current volume. In this connection, certain information is also given on their metric properties, whereas questions of ergodic theory relating to hyperbolic sets are not touched upon in this volume; here we have nothing to add to the earlier results (given in (Bunimovich et al. 1985)).

The last two articles of this volume may outwardly appear to have no relation to hyperbolicity. In fact, the origin of their subject matter is only partially and fairly tenuously related to hyperbolicity. However in the development of this theme such connections have arisen and have turned out to be essential. These connections are a sufficient motivation for the inclusion of the last two articles in this volume although, of course, their contents deal with other matters. It is therefore worth saying a few words about these articles.

The investigation of cascades on closed surfaces is closely related to the classification of homeomorphisms of surfaces, more precisely, with the classification of isotopy classes of such homeomorphisms. The latter was the concern of topologists back in the 20's. One of the important questions here consisted in choosing "good" representatives of these isotopy classes; it must be recalled that successful representatives have usually turned out to be of great utility in many respects. Naturally, the representatives of some classes possess no hyperbolic properties. Until the 70's it was only such representatives that were known (leaving out the torus). Essential progress was achieved when "good"

representatives in the remaining isotopy classes had been successfully chosen. Leaving aside "mixed" (in technical jargon, reducible) cases, when the corresponding homeomorphisms behave differently in different parts of the surface, it can be said that the new representatives generate cascades with "typically hyperbolic" properties. Their difference from objects of ordinary hyperbolic theory is related not to the weakening of hyperbolicity conditions, but to the slight breakdown of smoothness at certain points. In this manner, progress in the theory of homeomorphisms of surfaces in the 70's was in no small degree stimulated by the development of the hyperbolic theory of DS's in the 60's.

What has just been said is only one aspect of the theory of cascades on surfaces, this being the best known and most important. In the article included here, it is considered in the general context of this theory.

The article on certain DS's of algebraic origin, namely, homogeneous flows, occupies a special position in this volume. It is, to some extent, devoted to their ergodic properties. It might appear that this is close to Volume 2, but there is no such article there. The latter is partly accounted for by the fact that there was simply no room, due to the abundance of material in Volume 2. But the real reason is more one of principle. The investigation of the ergodic properties of homogeneous flows has its own specific character. Here an important role is played by the theory of Lie groups and their representations, while those ideas and methods forming the subject matter of Volume 2 recede into the background (although, of course, some of this is essentially used). In a number of cases homogeneous flows possess a certain amount of hyperbolicity (partial but uniform) and related to this are the corresponding geometric properties, as is the case throughout this volume. In essence, the algebra is then required for sorting out this geometry (without always mentioning this explicitly).

In connection with the discussion of the contents of this volume it is appropriate to mention three related sections of the theory of DS's that are not touched upon or only partly dealt with here. The first is certain questions of bifurcation theory where one has to deal with hyperbolicity. Information on this is contained in one of the earlier volumes of this edition (Arnol'd et al. 1986) (it is worth mentioning another new book (Wiggins 1988)); we have given only a few mentions of this. The second is "one-dimensional dynamics", that is, the study of iterations of maps (in general, non-invertible) in a one-dimensional real or complex domain[3] (in the latter case one talks about conformal dynamics whenever the iterated map is conformal). It arose independently of hyperbolic theory (and, if one is talking about conformal dynamics, somewhat earlier) but received an appreciable stimulus from the

---

[3] The reader should be warned that this terminology is used in another sense, namely, the dynamics of a one-dimensional "chain" (or some other system of particles, etc., on the line). The dimension of the phase space of such a DS is greater than 1 (and increases without bound as the number of particles increases, while if the system has distributed parameters, then it is infinite).

latter when it was realized (around 1970) that there was a "similarity" of behaviour of the trajectories between these two. There is also an influence the other way round: irreversible one-dimensional maps play an important auxiliary or heuristic role in the investigation of certain invertible DS's in higher dimensions that are peculiar to hyperbolic phenomena. It is only in this respect that these maps are referred to in the articles of the present volume. Even if such references had been discussed in greater detail, they would have reflected only part of one-dimensional dynamics and, of course, this arouses interest for other reasons. From a utilitarian point of view, it is simply a question that non-invertible one-dimensional maps arise in various questions of science and technology. Of course, invertible transformations relate more to the original ideas on DS's (as described at the beginning of (Anosov et al. 1985)), but there are nevertheless such problems that directly, or via some indirect route, lead to non-invertible one-dimensional maps.[4] In its conceptual aspects, one-dimensional dynamics admits the almost unique possibility of a fairly full investigation of the complicated behaviour of DS's; that is, the behaviour both in the sense of the qualitative picture in phase space and in the sense of dependence on the parameters.[5] In this connection it is worth mentioning the appropriate literature. In (Bunimovich et al. 1985) there is a small chapter on one-dimensional dynamics (primary attention being given to ergodic questions). In addition to the books and surveys referred to there, one can also mention (Eremenko and Lyubich 1989), (Lyubich 1986), (Sharkovskij et al. 1989), (Sharkovskij, Maistrenko and Romanenko 1986), (de Melo 1989), (Milnor 1990), (Nitecki 1982).

Another contiguous area left completely untouched in this book is hyperbolicity and bifurcations connected with it for infinite-dimensional systems. As is well known, reversion to such systems gives a satisfactory treatment of a number of problems for partial differential equations and for ordinary differential equations with a delay. It is natural that in this connection local questions (or questions of a similar character) should be developed in the first instance; but now hyperbolicity is also brought into play. Apparently, such

---

[4] With regard to "direct" examples it is customary first of all to refer to the "propagandist" article (May 1976). As for "indirect routes", there are apparently various examples; cf. the use of one-dimensional dynamics in the present volume and at the beginning of (Sharkovskij, Maistrenko and Romanenko 1986). Several bibliographical references to applications (both "direct" and "indirect") can be found in (Nitecki 1982).

[5] Thus, relatively amenable to investigation are those one-dimensional DS's for which opposite types of behaviour of the trajectories are realized in different parts of phase space, see the very beginning of this preface. Even if these actual types are known (albeit partially) for "ordinary" DS's (otherwise this book would not have been written!), what is less understood is the complicated way in which they can combine, or what else can occur. (The theory, of course, describes certain versions of such a combination, but unfortunately, it does not exhaust all the "typical" situations. Even for numerical experiments which, it might appear, ought clearly to demonstrate what is occurring in some DS or other, one does not always succeed in finding a convincing theoretical interpretation.)

non-local entities have not been reflected at the textbook or survey-article level.

Finally, I should like to draw attention to four books of a relatively popular character that bear a relation to our theme. The books (Devaney 1989) and (Ruelle 1989) are introductions to a wide range of questions relating, in particular, to the complex behaviour of trajectories. Further, in such a situation there are so-called "fractal" sets which are quite unlike the usual geometric figures, being so violently "jagged" that it is reasonable to ascribe a fractional dimension to them (whence their name). The book (Falconer 1990) serves as an introduction to this topic. There is an album with coloured diagrams representing the results of numerical experiment giving rise to such objects (three quarters of these diagrams relate to conformal dynamics) and supplied with a certain amount of explanatory text (Pitgen and Richter 1986). The figures are very beautiful—in this respect they produce the same impression both for the specialist and for the complete outsider, mathematician or not.

To read this volume one needs general familiarity with the elements of the theory of DS's, not so much with regard to any advanced theorems, but rather with the general system of concepts, terminology, and so on. All this is contained in the article "Smooth dynamical systems", published in Volume 1 of the present series (Anosov et al. 1985) and to some extent precedes all the articles on DS's included in the other volumes. The requisite material from other branches of mathematics is in the main summarized in the preface to (Anosov et al. 1985), where one can also find the notation of a general mathematical character that is used (which is fairly standard). In certain parts additional material is required which is either recalled or (as the authors hope) is clear from the context. The chapter on homogeneous flows naturally takes up a special position in this respect. The reading of it requires not episodical, but constant (and sufficiently systematic) acquaintance with a number of questions that go beyond the above framework. All this is mentioned at the beginning of that chapter.

D.V. Anosov

References*

Anosov, D.V., Aranson S. Kh., Bronshtein, I.U., Grines, V.Z. (1985): Dynamical Systems I: Smooth Dynamical Systems. Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya 1, 151–242. [English transl. in: Encycl. Math. Sci. 1, 149–230. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1988] Zbl. 605.58001

Arnol'd, V.I., Afraimovich, V.S., Il'yashenko, Yu.S., Shil'nikov, L.P. (1986): Dynamical Systems V: Bifurcation Theory. Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya 5, 5–218. [English transl. in: Encycl. Math. Sci. 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1993]

Bunimovich, L.A., Pesin, Ya.B., Sinai, Ya.G., Yakobson, M.V. (1985): Dynamical Systems II: Ergodic Theory of Smooth Dynamical Systems. Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya 2, 113–232. [English transl. in: Encycl. Math. Sci. 2, 99–206. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1989] Zbl. 781.58018

Devaney, R.L. (1989): An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems. 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley, Redwood City. Zbl. 632.58005

Eremenko, A.Eh., Lyubich, M.Yu. (1989): The dynamics of analytic transformations. Algebra Anal. 1, 1–70. [English transl.: Leningr. Math. J. 1, 563–634 (1990)] Zbl. 717.58029

Falconer, K.J. (1990): Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications. Wiley, New York. Zbl. 689.28003

Lyubich, M.Yu. (1986): The dynamics of rational transformations: the topological picture. Usp. Mat. Nauk 41, No. 4, 35–95. [English transl.: Russ. Math. Surv. 41, No. 4, 43–117 (1986)] Zbl. 619.30033

Mañé, R. (1987): Ergodic Theory and Differentiable Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York. Zbl. 616.28007

May, R.M. (1976): Simple Mathematical Models with very Complicated Dynamics. Nature 261, No. 5560, 459–467

de Melo, W. (1989): Lectures on One-Dimensional Dynamics. Instituto de Matematica Pura et Appl. de CNP-Q

Milnor, J. (1990): Dynamics in One Complex Variable: Introductory Lectures. Preprint Stony Brook: SUNY, Inst. Math. Sci., No. 5

Nitecki, Z. (1982): Topological dynamics on the interval. Ergodic theory and dynamical systems II. Proc. special year, Maryland, 1979–80. Prog. Math. 21, 1–17. Zbl. 506.54035

Peitgen, H.-O, Richter, P.H. (1986): The Beauty of Fractals. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York. Zbl. 601.58003

Ruelle, D. (1989): Elements of Differentiable Dynamics and Bifurcation Theory. Academic Press, Boston. Zbl. 684.58001

Sharkovskij, A.N., Kolyada, S.F., Sivak, A.G., Fedorenko, V.V. (1989): Dynamics of One-Dimensional Mappings. Naukova Dumka, Kiev (Russian). Zbl. 759.58001

Sharkovskij, A.N., Maistrenko, Yu.L., Romanenko, E.Yu. (1986): Difference Equations and their Applications. Naukova Dumka, Kiev. [English transl.: Kluwer, Dordrecht 1993] Zbl. 669.39001

Wiggins, S. (1988): Global Bifurcations and Chaos, Analytical Methods. Appl. Mat. Sci. 73, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg. Zbl. 661.58001

---

* For the convenience of the reader, references to reviews in Zentralblatt für Mathematik (Zbl.), compiled using the MATH database, have, as far as possible, been included in this bibliography.

Chapter 1
Hyperbolic Sets

D.V. Anosov, V.V. Solodov[1]

§1. Preliminary Notions

1.1. Definition of a Hyperbolic Set. Hyperbolicity of a compact (two-sided) invariant set A (that is, a set consisting of entire trajectories) of a flow or cascade $\{g^t\}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$ or $t \in \mathbb{Z}$) given on a phase manifold M is defined in terms of the restriction over A of the tangent linear extension $\{Tg^t\}$ (see Anosov et al. 1985, Chap. 1, Sect. 2.2), that is, the properties of the DS $\{Tg^t|p^{-1}A\}$, where $p : TM \to M$ is the natural projection. In other words, we are dealing with the behaviour of the solutions of the variational equations along the trajectories of our DS. (Speaking somewhat freely, we also have in mind not only "true" variational equations (and so on) for a flow, but also their analogues for a cascade $\{g^k\}$. In the latter case, the role of the system of variational equations along the trajectory $\{g^k x\}$ is played by the representation of $Tg^k(x)$ in the form of the composite

$$Tg(g^{k-1}x) \circ \ldots \circ Tg(gx) \circ Tg(x),$$

while the role of the solutions of this system (which is more important) is played by the function of discrete time $k \mapsto Tg^k(x)\zeta$ with $\zeta \in T_x M$. The map $Tg^k(x)$ plays the role of the Cauchy matrix of the system of variational equations along the trajectory $\{g^k x\}$.) It is worth recalling, in connection with the term "tangent linear extension", that in (Anosov et al. 1985, Chap. 3, Sect. 5.2) the more general notion of a linear extension of a DS was introduced. In this terminology the tangent linear extension $\{Tg^t\}$ is, in fact, a linear extension of the DS $\{g^t\}$, while its restriction to the closed invariant subset $TM|A = p^{-1}A$, which is a vector bundle over A, is the linear extension of the DS $\{g^t|A\}$.[2]

First we consider the simpler case of discrete time. By a *hyperbolic set* of a cascade $\{g^k\}$ (or diffeomorphism g) we mean a compact invariant set $A \subset M$

---

[1] Sect. 4.2 was written by V.V. Solodov, the rest by D.V. Anosov.

[2] We take the opportunity to correct a somewhat careless formulation in (Anosov et al. 1985). The phase velocity $\mathbf{V}$ of the flow $\{Tg^t\}$ is $JT\mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{v} : M \to TM$ is the phase velocity of $\{g^t\}$ and J is the standard involution in TTM. We take local coordinates x^i in M and use the local coordinates $(x^i, \delta x^i)$ in TM and $(x^i, \delta x^i, v^i, \delta v^i)$ in TTM associated with them. (The coordinates of a point $X \in TM$ are written in the following order: first the coordinates of the point $x = pX$, then the coordinates of X as a vector in $T_x M$; the latter form the "vector part" of the coordinates of X. Similarly for $Y \in TTM$ first we write the coordinates of $X \in TM$ in the fibre over which Y lies, then the "vector part" of the coordinates of Y, that is, the coordinates of Y as a vector in $T_X TM$.) In this terminology,