Finite Element Simulation of Heat Transfer

Jean-Michel Bergheau
Roland Fortunier



ISTE
WILEY

Finite Element Simulation of Heat Transfer

TK124
B497

Finite Element Simulation of Heat Transfer

Jean-Michel Bergheau
Roland Fortunier

ISTE

WILEY

First published in France in 2004 by Hermes Science/Lavoisier entitled "Simulation numérique des transferts thermiques par éléments finis"
First published in Great Britain and the United States in 2008 by ISTE Ltd and John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
Translated from the French by Robert Meillier

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms and licenses issued by the CLA. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside these terms should be sent to the publishers at the undermentioned address:

ISTE Ltd
27-37 St George's Road
London SW19 4EU
UK

www.iste.co.uk

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
111 River Street
Hoboken, NJ 07030
USA

www.wiley.com

© ISTE Ltd, 2008
© LAVOISIER, 2004

The rights of Jean-Michel Bergheau and Roland Fortunier to be identified as the authors of this work have been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bergheau, Jean-Michel.
[Simulation numérique des transferts thermiques par éléments finis. English]
Finite element simulation of heat transfer / Jean-Michel Bergheau, Roland Fortunier.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-84821-053-0
1. Heat--Transmission--Mathematical models. 2. Finite element method. I. Fortunier, Roland. II. Title.
TJ260.B45413 2008
621.402'2015118--dc22

2008025105

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library
ISBN: 978-1-84821-053-0

Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI/Antony Rowe, Chippenham, Wiltshire.

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Table of Contents

Introduction 11

PART 1. Steady State Conduction 17

Chapter 1. Problem Formulation 21

1.1. Physical modeling 21
1.1.1. Thermal equilibrium equation 21
1.1.2. Fourier law 22
1.1.3. Boundary conditions 23
1.2. Mathematical analysis 24
1.2.1. Weighted residual method 25
1.2.2. Weak integral formulation 27
1.3. Working example 30
1.3.1. Physical modeling 30
1.3.2. Direct methods 32
1.3.2.1. Analytical integration 32
1.3.2.2. The finite difference method 33
1.3.3. Collocation methods 34
1.3.3.1. Point collocation 35
1.3.3.2. Sub-domain collocation 36
1.3.4. Galerkin method 37
1.3.4.1. Polynomial functions 37
1.3.4.2. Piecewise linear functions 39

Chapter 2. The Finite Element Method 43

2.1. Finite element approximation 43
2.1.1. Mesh 43
2.1.2. Nodal approximation 46
2.2. Discrete problem formulation 48

2.2.1. Element quantities 49
2.2.2. Assembly 51
2.3. Solution 53
2.3.1. Application of temperature boundary conditions 53
2.3.2. Linear system solution 56
2.3.2.1. Direct methods 58
2.3.2.2. Iterative methods 60
2.3.3. Storing the linear system matrix 62
2.3.4. Analysis of results 63
2.3.4.1. Smoothing the heat flux density 64
2.3.4.2. Result accuracy 66
2.4. Working example 68
2.4.1. Finite element approximation 70
2.4.1.1. Mesh 70
2.4.1.2. Nodal approximation 71
2.4.2. Discrete problem formulation 72
2.4.2.1. Element quantities 72
2.4.2.2. Assembly 74
2.4.3. Solution 75
2.4.3.1. Application of boundary conditions 75
2.4.3.2. Solution 77

Chapter 3. Isoparametric Finite Elements 79

3.1. Definitions 79
3.1.1. Reference element 79
3.1.1.1. Triangular element with linear transformation functions . . . 81
3.1.1.2. Quadrangle element with linear transformation functions . . 82
3.1.1.3. Quadrangle element with quadratic transformation functions 84
3.1.2. Isoparametric elements 85
3.1.3. Interpolation function properties 89
3.2. Calculation of element quantities 90
3.2.1. Expression in the reference frame 91
3.2.2. Gaussian quadrature 93
3.2.2.1. 1D numerical integration 94
3.2.2.2. 2D and 3D numerical integration 97
3.3. Some finite elements 99

PART 2. Transient State, Non-linearities, Transport Phenomena 101

Chapter 4. Transient Heat Conduction 105

4.1. Problem formulation 105
4.1.1. The continuous problem 105
4.1.2. Finite element approximation 107
4.1.3. Linear case 109

4.2. Time integration 111
4.2.1. Modal method 111
4.2.1.1. Determining the modal basis 112
4.2.1.2. Projection on the modal basis 114
4.2.2. Direct time integration 115
4.2.3. Accuracy and stability of a direct integration algorithm 119
4.2.3.1. Accuracy 120
4.2.3.2. Stability 121
4.2.3.3. Simplified analysis of the stability condition 122
4.2.4. Practical complementary rules 124
4.2.4.1. Space oscillations during thermal shock simulation 124
4.2.4.2. Discrete maximum principle 128
4.2.4.3. Initial temperatures during thermal contact simulation 130
4.3. Working example 135
4.3.1. Physical modeling and approximation 135
4.3.2. Numerical applications 139

Chapter 5. Non-linearities 143

5.1. Formulation and solution techniques 143
5.1.1. Formulation 143
5.1.2. Non-linear equation system solution methods 144
5.1.2.1. Newton-Raphson method 147
5.1.2.2. Substitution method 149
5.1.2.3. Quasi-Newton methods 150
5.1.3. Line search method 152
5.2. Traditional non-linearities 153
5.2.1. Physical properties 153
5.2.2. Flux or volumetric heat source boundary conditions 155
5.2.3. Modeling state changes 157
5.2.3.1. Equivalent specific heat method 158
5.2.3.2. Enthalpy solution method 160
5.3. A temperature-enthalpy formulation 162
5.3.1. Mathematical formulation 163
5.3.2. Example 166

Chapter 6. Transport Phenomena 169

6.1. Highlighting instabilities 169
6.1.1. Thermal balance 169
6.1.2. Treating a simple case 171
6.2. Resolution techniques 174
6.2.1. Upwind technique 175
6.2.2. SUPG method 177
6.2.3. 2D and 3D Petrov-Galerkin formulation 180

PART 3. Coupled Phenomena 183

Chapter 7. Radiation Exchanges in a Chamber 189

7.1. Modeling radiative heat exchanges in a cavity 189
7.1.1. Posing the problem 190
7.1.2. Calculation of view factors 194
7.1.3. Diffusion-radiation coupling 197
7.1.3.1. Tangent matrix 198
7.1.3.2. Substitution matrix 199
7.2. Examples 200
7.2.1. Radiation between two walls 200
7.2.2. Cylinder quenching 203

Chapter 8. Fluid-Structure Coupling in a Pipe 207

8.1. Modeling the fluid 207
8.1.1. Physical model and mathematical formulation 207
8.1.2. Modeling the coupling 210
8.2. Example 212
8.2.1. Physical and geometric modeling 212
8.2.2. Results 213

Chapter 9. Thermometallurgical Coupling 215

9.1. Modeling phase changes 215
9.1.1. Rate of phase changes 215
9.1.1.1. Avrami kinetics 215
9.1.1.2. Martensitic kinetics 217
9.1.2. Numerical integration 217
9.1.3. The case of several phase changes 220
9.1.4. Modeling the coupling 221
9.2. Examples 222
9.2.1. Phase transformation diagrams 223
9.2.2. Steel quenching 227

Chapter 10. Thermochemical Coupling 231

10.1. Finite element simulation of simultaneous diffusion and precipitation 231
10.1.1. Governing equations 232
10.1.2. Finite element formulation 234
10.2. Calculation of precipitation 236
10.2.1. Mathematical formulation 236
10.2.2. Numerical scheme 238
10.3. Examples 239
10.3.1. Calculation of a phase diagram 239
10.3.2. Carbon diffusion in a titanium steel 240

Chapter 11. Electrothermal Coupling 243

11.1. Electrokinetic modeling 243
11.1.1. Weak formulation 243
11.1.2. Modeling the coupling 244
11.1.3. Solving the coupled problem 246
11.2. Resistance welding 248
11.2.1. Implementing the model 249
11.2.2. Results 251

Chapter 12. Magnetothermal Coupling 253

12.1. Introduction 253
12.2. Magnetic vector potential formulation for magnetodynamics 254
12.3. Coupled finite element-boundary element method 257
12.3.1. Finite element formulation 259
12.3.2. Boundary element formulation 260
12.3.3. FEM-BEM coupling 261
12.4. A harmonic balance method for the magnetodynamic problem 261
12.5. Coupling magnetodynamics with heat transfer 263
12.5.1. Iterative coupling 263
12.5.2. A direct method for magnetothermal coupling 265
12.6. Application: induction hardening of a steel cylinder 266

Bibliography 269

Index 277

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Introduction

In their daily practice, professional engineers are often confronted with problems involving complex physical phenomena. Whether they have to analyze the behavior of a product or optimize an implementation process, modeling these phenomena often makes it possible to solve these problems:

– by gaining access to the physical magnitudes characterizing the product and the consequences of their modification,

– by highlighting the main parameters of the process and thus its optimal conditions of use.

Physical modeling seems to be the key point when an engineer is thinking of how to solve a scientific problem. Generally speaking, modeling follows these three steps:

1) identifying the essential physical phenomena related to the behavior of a product or process within a given context,

2) defining the mathematical equations (domain of study, partial differential equation(s), boundary conditions and stresses, initial conditions, etc.) governing these phenomena,

3) validating the equations with respect to the necessary data which must be available or accessible by means of measurements as well as the results provided, which must be reliable and reproducible.

The first two points need commenting upon. Firstly, physical modeling has to meet a set objective. It is then obvious that several modeling methods are possible to meet a similar objective. The most relevant model is the simplest one making it possible to meet the set objective. Modeling is first and foremost making (simplifying) assumptions. Some are obvious. For instance, it is unnecessary to take into account heat radiation phenomena in low temperature applications; a mean

exchange coefficient, independent of the temperature, will be sufficient. In other cases, it is far more difficult. For example, how can we define the analyzed field and include the behavior of the parts not addressed by means of carefully selected boundary conditions? This is exactly where the professional engineer's contribution comes in. We will come back to this later on.

The last point of this definition is about the model input data and results. The selection of input data (availability, validity, etc.) often guides the users in the choice of their modeling methods. It is unnecessary, for instance, to use very sophisticated metallurgical transformation models if sufficiently accurate data on the processed material are not available. Result reliability and reproducibility guide the users in the choice and use of the model solution method. The aim of this method (numerical or analytical) is to determine a solution of the problem with minimum approximation. It is obvious that an accurate analytical solution will always be given priority; however, the growing complexity of the model often leads today's users towards approximate numerical solutions. Moreover, commercial numerical simulation software often includes the functions and models required for industrial applications, thus making it possible to take full advantage of the progress of information technology (rapid calculation, memory resources, graphic visual aids, etc.). Consider for instance the boundary value problem related to steady state heat exchanges in a solid occupying a limited field (equation [1.8]), which reveals:

– a volumetric heat source Q most often resulting from other physical phenomena such as the Joule effect in conduction heating or induction heating applications. Is it necessary to model these phenomena by adding the corresponding partial differential equations to the heat equation? This is not certain but then we require simple analytical models to evaluate Q;

– a surface density of the heat flux q applied to a portion of the solid boundary, reflecting the heat exchange between this solid and the outside medium. This can be for instance heat radiation towards an infinite medium or a liquid flow into a quenching bath. Here again, is it necessary to include those phenomena in the modeling process with the addition of complementary equations? It depends on the application considered and the feasibility of such an approach. However, if, whatever the reason, fine modeling of these phenomena was not envisaged, other approaches would then be necessary to determine the boundary conditions. These could be, for instance, simplified analytical models;

– a temperature prescribed on the other portion of the solid boundary. This condition is a limit case of the previous condition. As a matter of fact, either the solid is steeped at this spot in a fluid with a very high exchange coefficient so as to prescribe the fluid temperature on the corresponding boundary, or the user has a measurement.

Experimental measurements will frequently be used to determine the missing input data, but these will be obtained by alternative routes as the data required for modeling are often inaccessible to direct measurements. The methods called inverse methods have developed significantly over the last few years. They are able to couple physical modeling with accessible magnitude experimental measurements by adjusting iteratively an input data until the whole set of calculated results are as close as possible to the measurements.

It is tempting to say after these comments that the easiest model to elaborate is one in which all influential physical phenomena are finely modeled. This is partly true; however:

– Are we really in a position to define the mathematical equations governing these phenomena with a sufficient degree of accuracy to make it worthwhile?

– Are we certain that we are able to have the data required to feed these models all the more so that these models will frequently require unusual data on a different scale, which is thus hardly accessible? Using inverse methods may solve this type of difficulty.

– Will it not be often more difficult to interpret the results than with a simpler model given the amount of information to process? Indeed, will it not be necessary to conduct *a posteriori* the analysis which will not have been carried out *a priori* to eliminate insignificant results?

In fact, everything depends on the problem to solve. Moreover at which point of the domain of study considered should we stop? First, we will take into consideration all the symmetries presented by the problem for which the corresponding boundary conditions are written clearly from a mathematical point of view:

– revolution symmetry: the most productive one as it allows us to carry out 3D analysis on a plane model representing a meridian section of the studied structure,

– symmetry to a plane: very commonly used,

– anti-symmetry to a plane: less natural and often forgotten,

– periodicity conditions on a repetitive structure.

The relevance of a 3D model will then be questioned. If it is true that calculation software and computers make it easy to carry out this type of analysis, a 2D (and even 1D) model is often sufficient (in the case of a repetitive structure in one direction for example) and therefore preferable as the analysis and interpretation of results will always be easier with a 2D than with a 3D model. In all other cases, restricting the domain of study will lead to the definition of appropriate boundary conditions.

It is therefore in the interest of the engineers in charge of physical modeling to think in detail about the relevance of their choices and assumptions. It is the price to pay to take maximum advantage of their models. In any case, before reaching the intensive exploitation stage, it is imperative to ensure the model prediction quality by comparing it with the results of one experiment (at least!).

Most often, analytical methods cannot be applied to the solution of the mathematical equations governing a set of physical phenomena, except if major assumptions reducing the modeling validity are made. The analog method takes advantage of the fact that conduction heat exchanges and electrical conduction phenomena are governed by the same equations. It is thus possible to study conduction heat exchanges by means of more easily accessible measurements carried out on a similar electrical device. However, the field of application of this method is far too restrictive. Therefore, numerical techniques whose use is made possible by the performance of today's computers are used to determine an approximate solution of the set of mathematical equations governing the problem.

The finite difference method which replaces partial derivatives with finite differences at different points of a grid is highly regarded by mechanical engineers. The discrete equation system obtained can also be interpreted as resulting from a complex electrical diagram combining resistances and capacities. This technique is hardly possible with complex geometries.

The finite volume method is also highly regarded by mechanical and thermal engineers. It is based upon a previous division of the geometric domain of study into element volumes. On each element volume, the thermal balance equations are solved. This method is particularly efficient in the case of structured geometries. It is widely used in thermal science and fluid mechanics, but more rarely in other disciplines.

Among the various numerical techniques available today, the finite element method [TOU 81, ZIE 91] is the most widespread owing to:

– its general fields of application (thermal, electromagnetic sciences, solid mechanics, fluid mechanics, etc.),

– its capacity to treat problems with complex geometries,

– its easy implementation.

This can be done as follows:

1) mesh-geometry: the geometric domain to be analyzed, most often resulting from CAD geometric modeling, is divided into a set of element sub-domains (finite elements) interconnected by nodes;

2) solution: the continuous functions sought (the temperature in a heat conduction problem) are replaced with a set of values estimated at the mesh nodes. This approximation, applied to an integral formulation of the problem, leads to a system of equations (linear or non-linear) whose number is equal to the number of values to be estimated;

3) analysis-interpretation of results: at this point, other results can be calculated (for instance, the heat flux density) from those obtained by the direct solution of the equation system. They are then analyzed and interpreted by the user, by means of very efficient graphic visualization resources.

The success of the finite element method is largely due to the significant progress of information technologies, both from a numerical point of view (rapid calculation, memory size available) and from a graphic point of view (3D visual resources). Today a large amount of software makes use of this method. They offer a growing number of functions and are increasingly user-friendly. It should be noted that calculation software displays clear and user-friendly interfaces nowadays and can be used by non-specialist engineers.

However, this apparent facility should not conceal the fact that, whatever the numerical method adopted, the discretization phase impairs the properties of the initial continuous model. Some phenomena present in the continuous model could be erased by the numerical model if we do not pay attention. The meshing phase in the finite element method is therefore very important and it is the user's know-how that will produce a quality approximate solution.

Therefore, the aim of this book is to present the basis and application of the finite element method to the solution of industrial thermal problems. It consists of three parts which the reader may possibly complete by reading a number of books related to this field [COM 94, RED 94, LEW 96, MIN 06].

Part 1, dedicated to the solution of steady state heat conduction problems, introduces the finite element method. Starting with the partial derivative problem and the related boundary conditions, various formulations upon which various discretization methods are based, are presented.

Part 2 extends the field of application of the method to transient state conduction problems, the most common non-linearities and transport phenomena (diffusion convection problems).

The last part, Part 3, deals with coupled problems:

– coupled by boundary conditions: radiation problems, fluid and structure coupling in a piping system,

– including additional state variables: thermometallurgical coupling,

– coupled by partial differential equations: electrothermal coupling, magnetothermal coupling and thermochemical coupling.

This book is a survey of the various thermal problems which professional engineers may have to simulate. The methods presented will allow readers to use in the best way possible a calculation software and design new calculation modules so as to complete their work.

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com