Ethnicity and Fertility

the Philippines

Ma. Cecilia Gastardo-Conaco and Pilar Ramos-Jimenez Ethnicity and Fertility in Southeast Asia Series General Editors: Aline K. Wong and Ng Shui Meng

ETHNICITY AND FERTILITY
IN
THE PHILIPPINES

by

Ma. Cecilia Gastardo-Conaco and Pilar Ramos-Jimenez with the assistance of Ruth N. Barniego

Research Notes and Discussions Paper No. 54
INSTITUTE OF SOUTHEAST ASIAN STUDIES
1986

Published by Institute of Southeast Asian Studies Heng Mui Keng Terrace Pasir Panjang Singapore 0511

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

© 1986 Institute of Southeast Asian Studies

ISSN 0129-8828 ISBN 9971-988-07-0

ISERS Institute of Southeast Asian Studies

The Institute of Southeast Asian Studies was established as an autonomous organization in May 1968. It is a regional research centre for scholars and other specialists concerned with modern Southeast Asia. The Institute's research interest is focused on the many-faceted problems of development and modernization, and political and social change in Southeast Asia.

The Institute is governed by a twenty-two-member Board of Trustees on which are representatives from the National University of Singapore, appointees from the government, as well as representatives from a broad range of professional and civic organizations and groups. A ten-man Executive Committee oversees day-to-day operations; it is chaired by the Director, the Institute's chief academic and administrative officer.

The responsibility for facts and opinions expressed in this publication rests exclusively with the authors and their interpretations do not necessarily reflect the views or the policy of the Institute or its supporters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project would not have been possible without the co-operation and valuable support extended to us by many individuals and institutions. We would like to express our gratitude to them and in particular to the following:

- the Philippine Social Science Council, particularly its Secretariat, for providing the administrative support for the duration of the study;
- the Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, specially its Director, Professor Kernial Sandhu, and the regional co-ordinators of the project -- Dr Aline Wong and Dr Ng Shui Meng, for their continued co-operation and encouragement;
- the International Development Research Centre for its financial support to the project;
- the Institute of Philippine Culture, particularly Dr Perla Q. Makil, Director, Dr Virginia Miralao, Chief of Operations, and Ms Thelma Padero, Office Manager, for making available to us their competent personnel in the coding phase of the survey; and Ms Cynthia Feranil, Programmer, for patiently serving as the project's programmer;
- the five institutions affiliated with the PSSC Research Network and their research supervisors and interviewers (whose names are listed in the project staff of this report) for collecting the survey data. The institutions and the individuals who helped us facilitate the fieldwork are:

- Mr Aniceto B. Oliva, Director, Research and Service Centre, Ateneo de Naga, Naga City;
- Dr Peter Gowing, Director, Dansalan Research Centre, Dansalan College, Marawi City;
- Dr Ricardo Galang and Dr Antonietta Bondoc-Tiotuico, Directors, Research and Planning Centre, Angeles University Foundation, Angeles City;
- Ms Ligaya Bautista, Director, Research and Publications Centre, Philippine Christian University, Manila; and
- Ms Teresita Rementeria, Director of the Aquinas Research Bureau, Aquinas University, Legazpi City.
- Dr Rodolfo A. Bulatao, Research Associate, East-West Centre and the brainchild of the regional project, for endorsing our participation in this survey and for providing continued support and interest;
- Dr Gabriel Alvarez, faculty member of the National University of Singapore, Dr Leda Layo-Danao of the College of Nursing, University of the Philippines, and Dr Michael Costello, Research Associate of the Research Institute for Mindanao Culture, Xavier University for their encouragement and faith in our capabilities to undertake this project;
- members of the Technical Committee of the Philippine Commission on Population for endorsing and encouraging us to undertake this study;
- Ms Gloria Caldito, faculty member of the Statistical Centre, University of the Philippines, for her invaluable advice in the sampling design;
- Ms Lorna P. Makil, Senior Research Associate of the Institute of Philippine Culture, Ateneo de Manila University for sharing her expertise with us as the projects research associate for one year (May 1980 to May 1981);
- Ms Ruth N. Barniego, our Senior Researcher, for her unwavering support and involvement in the project to its end;
- Ms Josefa Francisco, Senior Research of the Integrated Research Centre, De La Salle University, for editing the manuscripts of this report;

- Ms Elvira Angeles and Araceli Fampo, PSSC Secretariat staff, for typing the manuscripts;
- our colleagues -- at the Department of Psychology, University of the Philippines and Integrated Research Centre, and De La Salle University -- for their untiring moral support;

Last but not least, this research project would never have been completed without the co-operation of the respondent wives and husbands from the five ethnic groups. To them, our deepest gratitude.

MA. CECILIA GASTARDO-CONACO and PILAR RAMOS-JIMENEZ Senior Investigators

PREFACE

Ethnicity and Fertility in Southeast Asia Project that commenced in 1980, was an outgrowth of an earlier project, Culture and Fertility in Southeast Asia, which was completed in 1979. Building upon the results of the earlier study, which established that ethnicity was a significant factor underlying the fertility differentials among the various ethnic groups in Southeast Asia, the present project aimed to explore in greater the extent to which ethnicity and ethnic factors like detail ethnic attitudes, ethnic identification and cultural practices reproductive behaviour. Instead of utilizing influenced secondary sources, the project relied on primary data collected through the survey technique. In all, twenty ethnic groups from the five ASEAN countries were surveyed in this study which spanned a total of three years.

A study involving five different countries and so many ethnic groups of diverse cultural and religious backgrounds would invariably pose problems of comparability. To maximize comparability across countries, the study relied on the use of a common core questionnaire as well as a common analytical framework and data analysis procedures. While comparability was important, the incorporation of country-specific factors salient and relevant to the explanation of fertility behaviour was also encouraged. The final research design therefore attempted to be as comprehensive as possible in the exploration of the ethnic dimension in fertility differentials among the various ethnic groups studied.

Three workshops were held during the period of the project to enable the researchers to come together to discuss and resolve problems related to the project. The first workshop was held in May 1980. At this workshop the conceptual framework and the core questionnaire were finalized. In the second workshop held in June 1981, the methods of data analysis were decided. At the

final workshop in September 1982, the country teams presented their preliminary findings. The final reports were completed by December 1983.

A study of this scale obviously also involved many researchers. The researchers were all Southeast Asian social scientists drawn from various disciplines and backgrounds. Some were attached to universities of the region while others were from research institutes or government agencies.

East-West Population the Bulatao from Rodolfo A. intellectual impetus initial Institute provided the project by formulating the conceptual framework and research design for the study as well as the drafting of the preliminary questionnaire. In addition Dr Bulatao together with Dr Aline K. Wong from the National University of Singapore (NUS) and Dr Ng Shui Meng from ISEAS served as co-ordinators of the project. The country teams consisted of:

Indonesia: Dr Mely Tan (National Institute of Economic and Social Research of the Indonesian Institute of Sciences, LEKNAS-LIPI)

Dr Budi Soeradji (Central Bureau of Statistics)

Mr Amri Marzali (Faculty of Letters, University of Indonesia)

Malaysia: Datin Dr Noor Laily Abu Bakar (Malaysia National Family Planning Board, NFPB)

Dr Tan Boon Ann (NFPB)

Mr Tey Nai Peng (NFPB)

Mr Hew Wai Sin (NFPB)

Ms Aminah Abdul Rahman (NFPB)

Ms Ramlah Haji Muda (NFPB)

Ms Nazileh Ramli (NFPB)

Mr Khalipah Mohd Tora (NFPB)

Mr Ng Tuck Seng (NFPB)

Philippines: Ms Pilar Ramos-Jimenez (Philippine Social Science Council, PSSC)

Ms Ma. Cecilia Gastardo-Conaco (University of the Philippines)

Ms Lorna Makil (PSSC)

Ms Ruth N. Barniego (PSSC)

Singapore: Dr Eddie C.Y. Kuo (National University of Singapore, NUS)

Dr Chiew Seen-Kong (NUS)

Thailand: Dr Suchart Prasithrathsint (National Institute of

Development Administration, NIDA)

Dr Suwanlee Piampiti (NIDA)

Mr Thawatchai Arthorn-thurasook

(Mahidol University)

Dr Laddawan Rodmanee (Mahidol University)

Dr Luechai Chulasai (Chiangmai University)

Ms Suranya Bunnag (Prince of Songkla University)

Ms Amporn Chareonchai (Khon Kaen University)

Funding for the project was provided by the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Rockefeller Foundation and the Human Reproduction Programme, Task Force on Psychosocial Research in Family Planning of the World Health Organization (WHO).

The results of the study are being published in six monographs in this series:

Ethnicity and Fertility in Southeast Asia: A Comparative Analysis

Ethnicity and Fertility in Indonesia

Ethnicity and Fertility in Malaysia

Ethnicity and Fertility in the Philippines

Ethnicity and Fertility in Singapore Ethnicity and Fertility in Thailand

> ALINE WONG and NG SHUI MENG Project Co-ordinators and General Editors of the Series

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The main objective of this project is to investigate through a field survey, the nature and causes of fertility differentials among five ethnic groups in the Philippines. This study is part of a cross-national research on ethnic differentials in fertility involving the five member countries of ASEAN.

The specific objectives of this research are: (1) to provide a systematic description of ethnic differentials in fertility and associated cultural practices in the Philippines for the comparative analysis among the five ASEAN countries; (2) to attempt to explain the differentials within a multivariate framework; (3) to assess the role of fertility differentials in perceptions of ethnic relations; and (4) to suggest policies for dealing with ethnic differentials.

The ethnic groups covered in this survey are the Bicolanos, Ilocanos, Tagalogs, Maranaos and Chinese. There were 2,301 respondents from both the urban and rural areas, distributed as follows: 494 Bicolanos, 500 Ilocanos, 499 Tagalogs, 499 Maranaos, and 309 Chinese.

A comparative interview schedule with 195 questions served as the main research instrument covering three areas: ethnic identification, ethnic attitudes, and cultural practices affecting fertility. A comparative codebook was also utilized for the processing of data. The programmes found in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) were employed in most of the analysis.

The statistical tool used for the multivariate analysis is the multiple classification analysis (MCA). Three dependent variables, namely, children ever born, recent fertility, and the use of effective contraceptive usage were studied using 21 predictors of which 13 were factors and 8 were covariates.

A major finding from the multivariate analysis is the impact ethnicity on the three dependent variables. The MCA showed ethnicity to be a significant and consistently important. Its impact, however, diminished when other factors in predictor. the various explanatory models were considered. The effects of gender balance and child mortality experience on the dependent fertility variables (children ever born and recent fertility), months breast-feeding on children ever born, and access to contraception on the use of effective contraceptive methods were found to lessen the net importance of ethnicity. This would seem to indicate that the impact of ethnicity is influenced by the differential opportunities and experience of the ethnic groups. Thus it might be worthwhile exploring the notion of ethnicity further and how it exactly affects fertility.

Another major finding shows the Christian lowland groups (the Bicolanos, Tagalogs, and Ilocanos) as having similarities in their fertility behaviour patterns while the Maranaos and the Chinese appear as distinct groups. The Chinese seems to have the most advantaged position not only in socio-economic terms but also in their access to contraceptives and other services. Maranaos' poor access to health care and other social services is largely due to its peripheral position -- both physically and institutionally -- in Philippine society. This current state of among the Maranaos necessitates a more vigorous and concerned intervention by health and population personnel. provision of health and family planning services, however, should take into consideration the cultural and institutional factors peculiar to this group. While more assistance is desired for the Maranaos, the provision of health and family planning services to the other groups should also be sustained and enhanced. Although most of the non-Muslim groups know of and do practise family planning, a considerable proportion from the rural (particularly the Ilocanos and Bicolanos) have not availed of any form of family planning methods.

CONTENTS

Page
vi
ix
х
xiii
xvii
1
1
3
8
9
13
15

		Page
ΙΙ	METHODOLOGY	16
	Selection of the Sample Areas and Sampling Procedures	16
	Sample Size	17
	Selection of Respondents	17
	The Research Instruments	20
	Field Procedures	21
	Data Reduction	23
III	THE NATURE OF ETHNIC DIFFERENCES	25
	Ethnic Characteristics	25
	Demographic and Socio-economic Characteristics	41
	Summary	59
I۷	ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN FERTILITY	65
	Fertility Differentials	65
	Fertility Preferences, Sex Preferences, and the Value of Children	66
	Family Planning	75
	Other Proximate Variables Affecting Fertility	87
	Nuptiality: Marriage, Divorce, Spouse Interaction	87
	Summary	108
٧	EXPLAINING ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN FERTILITY	110
	Description of Multiple Classification Analysis Procedures	110

		Page
	Analysis of Children Ever Born	111
	Analysis of Recent Fertility	120
	Analysis of Use of Effective Methods	121
	Summary	130
VI	CONCLUSION	131
APPENDICES 134		
BIBLIOGRAPHY 146		146

.

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
1.1	Population by Mother Tongue (Ethnic Origin) 197	5 10
1.2	Characteristics of Ethnic Groups Studied	12
2.1	Survey Sites per Ethnic Group	18
2.2	Distribution of Rural and Urban Dependents for Each Ethnic Group	19
3.1	Ethnic Identification, Parentage, and Citizenship of Respondents Self-Identified as Belonging to Each Ethnic Group (Percentage Distribution)	28
3.2	Language Usage among Respondents from Each Ethnic Group	30
3.3	Religion and Religiosity of Respondents from Each Ethnic Group	34
3.4	Ethnic Affiliations among Respondents from Each Ethnic Group	36
3.5	Ethnic Attitudes of Respondents from Each Ethnic Group	42
3.6	Demographic Characteristics of Respondents from Each Ethnic Group	46
3.7	Education, Media Exposure, and Efficacy among Respondents from Each Ethnic Group	50