The Am Random House Dictionary for WRITERS AND READERS

Dictionary for MRITERS AND READERS

David Grambs

To the memory of my father, George Lorenzo Grambs, and for my mother, Myrtle Jane Wood Grambs

Copyright © 1984, 1990 by David Grambs

All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without permission in writing from the publisher. All inquiries should be addressed to Reference Department, Random House, Inc., 201 E. 50th St., New York, NY 10022. Published in the United States by Random House, Inc., New York, and simultaneously in Canada by Random House of Canada Limited, Toronto.

Portions of this book were previously published by McGraw-Hill, Inc., as Words About Words in 1984.

Grateful acknowledgment is made to the following for permission to reprint previously published material:

Fell Publishers, Inc.: Excerpts from Al Kelly's Double Life by Alexander Rose. Copyright © 1966 by Alexander Rose. Published by Frederick Fell Publishers, Inc., Hollywood, Florida. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.

Times Books, a division of Random House, Inc.: Excerpt from The Writer's Lawyer by Ronald L. Goldfarb and Gail E. Ross. Copyright © 1989 by Ronald L. Goldfarb and Gail E. Ross. Reprinted by permission of Times Books, a division of Random House, Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

The Random House dictionary for writers and readers / [edited by]
David Grambs.

p. cm.

"Portions of this book were previously published by McGraw-Hill as Words about words."

ISBN 0-679-72860-0

English language—Rhetoric—Dictionaries.
 English language—Usage—Dictionaries.
 Style, Literary—Dictionaries.
 Authorship—Dictionaries.
 Grambs, David. Words about words.
 Random House (Firm)

808'.042'03-dc20

Book design: Charlotte Staub Manufactured in the United States of America

123456789

Random House, Inc., edition

Acknowledgments

Dictionaries are usually produced by sizable staffs of editors and specialists. Because that was not the case with this book, I'm especially indebted to numerous people, almost all of them unremunerated, who gave a helping hand or offered useful suggestions.

Mac Havighurst provided pronunciations for some rare and forbidding words. Paul Schmidt checked foreign-language entries. For help with the supplementary Special Entries, I'm grateful to Donna Woolfolk Cross (propaganda devices), Jeffrey Grambs (journalistic attribution and evasion), Richard J. McSorley (newsroom headline jargon), David Myerson (libel), and Will Shortz (word-game words). Diane Giddis gave her invaluable scrutiny to all the special entries. The erudite Timothy Dickinson offered a dizzying number of suggestions; that I couldn't incorporate more of them is not only my loss but the reader's. Reinhold Aman. Robert K. Barnhart, Stuart Flexner, Charlton Laird, William Morris, and Joseph Shipley responded to particular queries. Thanks are also due to Jackie Abramowitz, Richard Bluestein, Lisa Frost, Gail Greene, Brian McKernan, Megan McKernan, the late Eugene Rachlis, and Jim Trupin. I'm especially indebted to The New York Times for quotations used in the book and to Madeline Kripke for many useful out-of-print books.

Cynthia Merman helped tremendously, and I owe her acquaintanceship to Glenn Cowley. For their help at the offices of Random House, I thank Joe Esposito, Bob Costello, Ellen Lichtenstein, and Chris Mohr. For its restfulness, scenic splendor, and running trails I'm grateful to my favorite working-vacation retreat, Mohonk Mountain House.

Introduction

The Random House Dictionary for Writers and Readers is a different kind of literary dictionary. Its focus is not so much literature, literary history, and belles lettres as it is the nuts and bolts of writing itself. Although many of the words defined are old, their use—or usefulness—today is emphasized. Besides including many terms not found in scholastic literary handbooks and even unabridged American and British dictionaries, the book differs from other literary references in two respects.

First, it is single-mindedly a glossary of terms related to prose and the devices of everyday speech, and hence does not include the numerous poetry (prosody) and classical drama terms commonly saturating other literary handbooks. Nor is this another lexicon of authors, works, or literary characters and periods with a history-of-English-literature slant. My intent has all along been simply to gather in one place words about words, terms of interest to the working writer and to any person who reads with a critical eye and likes to discuss the graces, tricks, and fine points involved in using the English language.

Second, it is a gallimaufry not only of traditional literary terms (allegory, metonymy, onomatopoeia, and the like) but also of hundreds of others related to language and writing: from journalism, linguistics, book reviewing, logic (and illogic), grammar, rhetoric, political speechwriting, editing and publishing, and to some extent advertising. (The highly technical terminology of phonetics is for the most part excluded, and this is not a book about graphology.) The Random House Dictionary for Writers and Readers also includes numerous distinctively British expressions and literary Latin and French borrowings as well as useful terms coined by writers and linguists that appear in no other dictionary.

This would seem to be an opportune time for publication of a lexicon focused on the craft of writing. Interest by Americans in the state of the language, and not a little concern about the way it is used, has perhaps never been greater than it is nowadays. If there was a groundbreaker for this period of fascination with words and writing, it was probably Edwin Newman's popular Strictly Speaking, a wry report on our native tongue's slippage published

viii Introduction

in the early 1970s and followed quickly by Newman's equally entertaining A Civil Tongue. Since then our bookstores seem always to be chock-full of books appealing to word and language lovers. Many newspapers now carry syndicated columns dealing with nettlesome usage questions—and replying to highly opinionated and nettled readers. If this is not the Age of William Safire, it is the Age of Concern About Our National Literacy. This book adds to the stream. But in being a kind of diagnostic reference (and, I hope, one always enjoyable to leaf through), it adds something usefully new and different.

Many terms here were found by hunting through dictionaries from A to Z, literally page by page: systematically chance discoveries. At other times I searched hopefully, quixotically: Is there a word for this literary mannerism, for that type of phrasing? Is there one for this journalistic device so often encountered in daily newspapers, for that rhetorical trick used by politicians?

The findings of such quests, I discovered, sometimes lay not in any dictionary but in the throwaway but often inspired coinages of lively writers and linguists. Lexicographers tend to frown upon so-called nonce words, those sometime coinages not fully accepted or legitimized by usage. This book unfrowningly welcomes to its pages numerous, and not always gravely serious, coinages pertaining to facets of writing (each identified as "——'s term for . . ."). I hope these will be useful, if not eternal—in most cases denoting something not denoted by any other term. Such coinages remind us that our language—and our language about language—can always profit from new terms that fill a specific need.

Pronunciations have been supplied where they seemed appropriate—that is, for words for which common sense might not be a safe bet. As other dictionaries show, this is a realm of many variants and of differing opinions (notably with Latin terms and in view of often differing British pronunciation), and I have been deliberately selective and simple here. For some of the more unfamiliar entries, a line or two about derivation has been added.

Two other decisions were made regarding format: not to number different meanings of a term and not to interpolate into definitions such labels as "rare," "obsolete," "archaic," and "slang." I am a little distrustful of lexical numbering and subnumbering, and would find my own numbers equally questionable. Labels, like numbered definitions, can certainly be hearful to a reader, but they can also be presumptuous, soon dated—or self-evident. It goes without saying (or labeling) that many of the words and phrases in

this book are uncommon, a mite difficult, and not a part of every-

day speech.

Two other features are central to the book's format, namely, illustrative quotations and twenty-four supplements, or "special entries," on different verbal topics separate from the general, alphabetical lexicon.

Words, even rare or difficult literary words, get lifeblood not from their mere presence in dictionaries but from actual usage in speech and writing. From the outset I felt that illustrative quotations from contemporary or recent writing were indispensable to the readability and richness of *The Random House Dictionary for Writers and Readers*; that is, quotations demonstrating (in almost all cases) an actual use of the term in question by a novelist, essayist, critic, journalist, or linguist. I wanted a broad, varied mix of modern citations, whether single sentences or brief passages, not only from scholars and grammarians but also from contemporary American and British fiction writers, and of course from journalists and book reviewers as well.

Not all entries are accompanied by quotations, but many of them are, and they come from both sides of the Atlantic. Thousands were collected, and final choices were often difficult, not to say agonizing. The citations help to bring some uncommon literary words to life and remind readers that these words not only can be profitably used but in fact are employed more than might be thought.

The special entry supplements cover areas of language-related nomenclature that, so far as I know, have received little attention in other language reference books, topics that invite, however cursorily, some measure of special treatment. A number of these special entries are thesauruslike addenda—lists of authorial adjectives, prose-descriptive adjectives, and publishing's ubiquitous blurb adjectives, for example—more usefully presented collectively. Others are meant as introductions to certain aspects of the English language, from the sly devices of propaganda and advertising to the lingo of word-gamers and the confusions of mixed metaphors. Two of the special entries, on fair use and libel, offer basic guidance on legal questions related to writing, and another provides a rather diverting "Fog Index" formula for assessing prose readability or density.

Even a reference meant to be comprehensive must be selective. No matter how rich and complete one tries to make a collection like this, there will always be regretted exclusions and lapses—

x Introduction

otherwise known as glaring omissions. Hundreds of "possibles" never made it into the final manuscript. Early in the project I expected to formulate an infallible rule of thumb regarding which term did and which did not belong between these two covers, but such a surefire axiom always eluded me. Lingering uncertainties about such yes-or-no rulings can be distressing, as can a sinking feeling that irresistible words will never stop turning up and a dictionary never finished. But deadlines, fortunately, have their purpose, and after a point there can be no more second thoughts, only second editions.

For a lexicographer it's heartening to recall that even Dr. Johnson tendered prefatory apologies regarding his dictionary. Like his, The Random House Dictionary for Writers and Readers "was written with little assistance of the learned, and without any patronage of the great; not in the soft obscurities of retirement, or under the shelter of academick bowers, but amidst inconvenience and distraction, in sickness and in sorrow." In my case, that is to say, without being Dr. Johnson, while holding down a full-time office job, and with a bit of phthisis at deadline time.

All in all, my most ardent hope is that you find this dictionary not merely helpful and reliable but also downright inviting to page through. And that it enhances—as merely discovering new terms can do—your awareness of the many subtleties in and motives behind writing both good and bad.

Pronunciation Key

add	lôrd	chest	
	1.02		
rāte	oil -	get	
câre	pool	j oy	
	tŏok	kiln oe	French jeu,
fäther	doubt	sing	soeur
bend	cup	ship ü	French tu,
ēve	bûrn	thick	German über
sit	among	you kh	German ich
īce	hasten	zh: pleasure N	French son,
hot	ə{ possible		vin
cōde	melon		
	focus		

Parentheses around a symbol, as in new $[n(y)\bar{oo}]$, mean that the sound may be pronounced or left out.

A single prime mark, ', follows syllables that are given a primary or strong stress. A double mark, ", follows syllables given a secondary or lighter stress.

The Random House Dictionary for Writers and Readers

The Random House

RANDOM HOUSE · New York

Contents

Acknowledgments vi Introduction vii Pronunciation Key vi

Part I: THE RANDOM HOUSE DICTIONARY FOR WRITERS AND READERS I

Part II: Special Entries 359 Book Publishing Terms 361 Parts of a Book 363 Footnote Abbreviations 364 Accent and Symbol Terms 365 Libel 366 Fair Use 368 Blurb Adjectives 370 Prose-Descriptive Adjectives 372 Authorial Adjectives 373 Dialogue Verbs 376 Journalistic Attribution and Evasion 1918 Propaganda Devices 380 Advertising Weasel Words 383 Newsroom Headline Jargon 385 Mixed Metaphors 387 The Language of Comic Double-talk 389 Irish Bulls 392 Word-Game Words 394 Grammar Adjectives 398 Scholarly (Bibliographic) Terms 400 Rhetoric Terms 402 Bookish Appellations 405 Readability: The Fog Index 407 Some Additional Literary Terms 409

THE RANDOM HOUSE DICTIONARY FOR WRITERS AND READERS



abbreviation n. The shortening of a word or phrase or use of only a few of its letters to represent the whole expression, whether by contraction, omission, use of initial letters only, or symbols; shortened or shorthand form of a word or phrase. Adj. abbreviated; v. abbreviate.

"Yellow Peril" Hadley swept through the school with the speed of a flu epidemic, and it must be said to his credit that Brinker took it well enough except when, in its inevitable abbreviation, people sometimes called him "Yellow" instead of "Peril."

-John Knowles, A Separate Peace

abecedarian adj. Pertaining to the alphabet, or alphabetically arranged; rudimentary; elementary. N. abecedarian.

Sisson's Synonyms, published by Prentice-Hall, and compiled by A. F. Sissons, is a contender in the abecedarian ranks. . . .

-Israel Shenker, Harmless Drudges

ab initio [ab i·nish' $\bar{e}\cdot\bar{o}$] From the beginning: from the very start or outset.

That rather angry declaration of at least some respect for books; that distinctly wistful desire to write a book himself (to "tell it how it really is"—as if the poverty of that phrase did not ab initio castrate the wish it implied!). . . . —John Fowles, The Ebony Tower

- ab irato $[ab \ i \cdot r\ddot{a}' \ t\ddot{o}]$ From an angry man: hence, not reasonable and possibly not to be taken too seriously.
- **abjective** *n*. Newspaper jargon for a published apologetic correction to a previous error.

Abject because such notices are invariably apologies; objection because they're printed only in response to complaints from the injured parties; and adjective because they are meant to modify the original news report's effect. It should be noted, however, that as they are usually limited to two or three lines of small print hidden in the back section of the publication, abjectives rarely modify anything.

—Joel Homer, Jargon

à bouche ouverte [\ddot{a} $b\bar{o}osh$ $\bar{o}o\cdot vert$] With open mouth: eagerly or uncritically; gullibly

- ab ovo $[ab\ \bar{o}'v\bar{o}]$ From the egg: from the very beginning, hence completely and in great detail; thoroughly or voluminously.
- abracadabra n. Used as a magical word to forestall misfortune or effect a miracle: supposedly magical or curative incantation; overweening nonsense or jargon; gibberish.

The error of all such unhappy viewers with alarm is in assuming that there is enough magic in pedagogy to teach "correct" English to the plain people. There is, in fact, far too little; even the fearsome abracadabra of Teachers College, Columbia, will never suffice for the purpose.

—H. L. Mencken, The American Language

absit invidia verbo $[\ddot{a}b'sit\ in\cdot wid'\bar{e}\cdot \ni wer'b\bar{o}]$ Let it be said without ill will: no offense intended.

absolute comparative See AGENCY COMPARATIVE.

absolute superlative See ABSOLUTE WORD.

- absolute word A word that, extreme or categorical in meaning, in principle cannot be modified: specifically, an adjective or adverb not qualifiable by a "false" comparative or superlative, e.g., "unique," "simultaneous," "eternally," "infinite." Also ABSOLUTE SUPERLATIVE, FALSE COMPARATIVE, INCOMPARABLE, INCOMPARABLE ABSOLUTE.
 - Kael's . . . hyperenthusiasm has earned other critical comments. Some have said that her overuse of absolute superlatives is what one might expect from a critic unable to convince a reader by argument, allusion, or example; in short, her insensate praise reduces her reviews to meaninglessness.

 —John English, Criticizing the Critics
- abstract n. A text summarizing the matter or principal points of a book, article, record, or speech, esp. of an official or technical document; abbreviated or concentrated version; condensation. N. abstracter; v. abstract.
- abstracted form A word affix or element of a familiar expression that is borrowed to form analogous words, to which it carries an evident meaning or connotation, e.g., the suffix "-gate" used to coin "Irangate" (from "Watergate") or "-aholic" (from "alcoholic") in "workaholic." See also BOOSTED COINAGE; PATTERNED FORM.
- abstraction n. The mental separating of common attributes or qualities from distinct, individual objects or beings, or of concepts from particular exemplars; word denoting an idea or in-

tangible quality as opposed to something concrete. Adj. abstract; adv. abstractly.

York Harding might write in graphic abstractions about the Third Force, but this was what it came down to—this was It.

-Graham Greene, The Quiet American

abstract language Writing that is generalized, theoretical, or impersonal, typically with long Latinate words and often passive constructions (contrasted with concrete language).

They don't hear—what worries a good many Americans when they get to work on discursive prose—that in a good many ways American-English is a significantly more abstract language than ours is. We say: "I want to book a seat." You say: "I want to make a reservation."

—C. P. Snow, in Writing in America

abusage n. Improper or ungrammatical word usage; careless or irresponsible language; solecism.

And it is around this fascinating vacuum that the American fetish for correctness, the agony over those droll Victorian antimacassars "usage" and "abusage," so resolutely assembles.

-Richard Lanham, Style

acceptation n. The generally accepted meaning of a word, phrase, sentence, or concept.

accidence n. The part of grammar concerning inflections.

accidental pun See unconscious pun.

accismus See special entry RHETORIC TERMS, p. 402.

accordion sentence Harry Shaw's term for a weak, snowballing sentence that is a sequence of tagged-on subordinate clauses, with each successive clause much like a hasty afterthought, e.g., "This is the man who goes to the club that is near the club that I couldn't join." Also DECAPITABLE SENTENCE.

accusative See special entry GRAMMAR ADJECTIVES, p. 398.

achthronym [ak'thrō·nim"] n. H. L. Mencken's term for an ethnic slur; ethnophaulism.

The English have fewer strangers within their gates, and hence their native armamentarium is smaller, and not a few of the achthronyms . . . they use come from the United States.

-H. L. Mencken, The American Language

acknowledgments See special entry PARTS OF A BOOK, p. 363.