Progress in Mathematics Edited by

J. Coates and
S. Helgason

T. A. Springer Linear Algebraic Groups

Birkhauser



T.A. Springer Utrecht 3584 CD

L. A. Springer Linear Algebraic Groups



E8661020

Springer, Tonny Albert, 1926-

CIP - Kurztirelaufnahme der

Uncar algebraic groups/T.A. Springer

Birkhäuser au mi beining Boston · Basel · Stuttgart Author

T.A. Springer Mathematical Institute Budapestlaan 6 Utrecht 3584 CD Netherlands

A. Springer

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Springer, Tonny Albert, 1926-

Linear algebraic groups.

(Progress in mathematics; 9)

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Linear algebraic groups. I. Title. II. Series: Progress in mathematics (Cambridge, Mass.); 9. 512'.55 80-29221 QA171.S718

3-7-43-3029-5

CIP - Kurztitelaufnahme der Deutschen Bibliothek

Springer, Tonny A .:

Linear algebraic groups/T.A. Springer.

Boston; Basel; Stuttgart: Birkhäuser, 1980.

(Progress in mathematics; 9)

ISBN 3-7643-3029-5 NE: GT

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the copyright owner.

© Birkhauser Boston, 1981

3-7643-3029-5

Printed in USA Boston Basel Stuttgeri

Introduction.

These notes contain an introduction to the theory of linear algebraic groups over an algebraically closed ground field. They lead in a straightforward manner to the basic results about reductive groups.

The main difference with the existing introductory texts on this subject (e.g. those of Borel and Humphreys) lies in the treatment of the prerequisites from algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. These texts assume a number of such prerequisites, whereas I have tried to give proofs of everything. I have also tried to limit as much as possible the commutative algebra. For example, the use of the concept of normality has been avoided.

Moreover, in the later chapters most of the facts about root systems which are needed are proved, in an ad hoc manner. Exception must be made for the results on classification of root systems which are used in the last two chapters. The exercises contain additional material. Sometimes use is made later on of the results contained in the easier exercises. Except for a brief discussion in chapter 3 of groups over finite fields, these notes do not contain material about algebraic groups over non algebraically closed ground fields. An adequate treatment of such material would probably require another volume of the same size.

The notes had their origin in a course on linear algebraic groups, given at the University of Notre Dame in the fall of 1978. This course covered most of the material contained in

the first ten chapters. I have added two chapters, with a treatment of the uniqueness and existence theorems for reductive groups.

I am grateful to my colleagues at the University of Notre Dame, in particular 0.T. O'Meara and W.J. Wong, for their invitation to give a course on algebraic groups. I am also grateful to Hassan Azad, for making a first draft of the notes and to F.D. Veldkamp, for a thorough critical reading of the manuscript. Finally I want to thank Renske Kuipers for the efficient preparation of the manuscript.

thing: I have also tried to limit as much as possible the commutative algebra. For example, the use of the concept of nermality has been sucided

Utrecht, October 1980. T.A. Springer.

systems which are needed are proved, in an ad hoc manner.

Exception must be made for the results on classification of root systems which are used in the last two chapters. The exercises contain additional material. Sometimes use is made tater on of the results contained in the easier exercises. Except for a brief discussion in chapter 3 of groups over finite fields, these notes do not contain material about alrest the system on algebraically closed ground fields. An adequate is alment of such a terial would probably

require and the volume of the same size.

The hotes are their rigin in a creas on linear algebraic groups, given a secondary state Dame in the fair of 1978. This contained in

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Contents.

| | | | 1. The contract of the contrac |
|-----|-------|---|--|
| In | trodu | ction | ix |
| 1. | Some | algebraic geometry | 1 |
| | 1.1. | The Zariski topology | 1 |
| | 1.2. | Irreducibility of topological spaces | 3 |
| | 1.3. | Affine k-algebras | 5 |
| | 1.4. | Regular functions, ringed spaces | 8 |
| | 1.5. | Products | 13 |
| | 1.6. | Prevarieties and varieties | 14 |
| | 1.7. | Projective space | 19 |
| | 1.8. | Dimension | 23 |
| | 1.9. | Some results on morphisms | 25 |
| | Appe | ndix | 29 |
| | Note | | 29 |
| | | | - अस्त्व |
| 2 . | Line | ar algebraic groups, first properties | 31 |
| | 2.1. | Algebraic groups | 31 |
| | 2.2. | Elementary properties of algebraic groups | 36 |
| | 2.3. | G-spaces | 38 |
| | 2.4. | Jordan decomposition | 43 |
| | 2.5. | Diagonalizable groups and tori | 51 |
| | 2.6. | One-dimensional groups | 60 |
| | Note | | 6.8 |
| 3. | Doni | article Wiss | |
| ٥. | | vations, differentials, Lie algebras | 70 |
| | | Derivations and tangent spaces | 70 |
| | 3.2. | Differentials, separability | 75 |
| | 3.3 | The Lie'slachna of a linear alachnais | 0.4 |

| | 3.4. Jordan decomposition in g | 103 |
|-----|---|-------|
| 4. | Further study of morphisms, applications | 106 |
| | 4.1. General properties of morphisms of varieties | 106 |
| | 4.2. Finite morphisms | 114 |
| | 4.3. Applications to algebraic groups | 117 |
| | 4.4. Semi-simple automorphisms | 121 |
| | Notes | 128 |
| 5. | Quotients | 130 |
| | 5.1. Chevalley's theorem | 130 |
| | 5.2. Construction of quotients | 132 |
| | Notes | 139 |
| | Some value vojna su | . 8.1 |
| 6 . | Solvable groups | 140 |
| | Notes | 152 |
| 7. | Complete varieties. Borel subgroups, parabolic | rombi |
| | subgroups and their properties | 153 |
| | 7.1. Complete varieties | 153 |
| | 7.2. Parabolic subgroups and Borel subgroups of | |
| | a linear algebraic group | 157 |
| | 7.3. Further properties of Borel subgroups | 163 |
| | Notes ocurry Teacter and Description | 170 |
| 8. | Linear action of a torus on a projective variety, | |
| | applications | 171 |
| | 8.1. Fixed points thegas be applied to | 171 |
| | 8.2. Groups of rank 1 | |
| | Notes | 186 |

| 9. | Roots, the Weyl group | 187 |
|-----|--|-----|
| | 9.1. Roots | 187 |
| | 9.2. Relative position of two roots | 193 |
| | 9.3. Borel groups and systems of positive roots, | |
| | the unipotent radical | 195 |
| | 9.4. Semi-simple groups | 20 |
| | Notes | 20 |
| 10. | Further properties of reductive groups | 20 |
| | 10.1. Borel groups and systems of positive roots | 20 |
| | 10.2. Bruhat's lemma | 21 |
| | 10.3. Parabolic subgroups | 22 |
| | Notes | 23 |
| 11. | The uniqueness theorem | 23 |
| | 11.1. Root systems of rank 2 | 23. |
| | 11.2. The structure constants | 23 |
| | 11.3. G as an abstract group | 25 |
| | 11.4. The isomorphism theorem | 26 |
| , | Notes | 27 |
| 12. | The existence theorem | 27 |
| | Notes | 29 |
| Rib | liography | 29 |
| טבט | Laog. ap., y | 40 |
| Cub | icat Inday | 20 |

1. Some algebraic geometry.

1.1. The Zariski topology.

1.1.1. Let k be an algebraically closed field, and put $V = k^n$. The elements of the polynomial algebra $S = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ (abbreviated to k[T]) can be viewed as k-valued functions on V. We say that $v \in V$ is a zero of $f \in k[T]$ if f(v) = 0. Moreover, v is a zero of the ideal I of S if f(v) = 0 for all $f \in I$. We denote by V(I) the set of zeros of the ideal I. If f(v) = 0 is any subset of f(v) = 0 be the ideal formed by the $f \in S$ vanishing on f(v) = 0.

Recall that the <u>radical</u> \sqrt{I} of the ideal I is the ideal of all $f \in S$ such that $f^n \in I$ for some integer $n \ge 1$. A <u>radical</u> ideal is one equal to its radical. It is obvious that all I(X) are radical ideals.

We shall need Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, in two (equivalent)

- 1.1.2. Theorem ("Nullstellensatz") (i) If I is a proper ideal of S then $V(I) \neq \phi$;
- (ii) For any ideal I of S we have I(V(I)) = √I.

 For a proof see, for example [26, Ch. X, §2]. We also give a proof in the appendix to this chapter.
- 1.1.3. Zariski topology on V. A = 1 sais hope if a great X do

The function I + V(I) on ideals of S has the following properties: To isoble and To error entitle and X 3 (x,...,x) = x 11

- (a) V({0}) = V, V(S) = Ø; ml and . x- T. ..., x-, T vd betstenes
- (b) If I = Jothen V(J) = V(I); and more wollow (iii) bas (iii)

- (c) $V(I \cap J) = V(I) \cup V(J);$
- (d) If $(I_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ is a family of ideals and $I = \sum_{\alpha \in A} I_{\alpha}$ their sum then $V(I) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} V(I_{\alpha})$.

The proof of these properties is left to the reader (hint for (c): use that IJ \subset I \cap J).

It follows from (a), (c) and (d) that there is a topology on I whose closed sets are the V(I), I running through the ideals of S. This is the Zariski topology. The induced topology on a subset X of V is the Zariski-topology of X. A closed set in V is called an algebraic set.

- i.1.4. Exercises. (1) Let V = k. The proper algebraic sets are
 to factor and all leads and to the factor and them there
 the finite ones.

 Inductor A . 1 ≤ n reget mi amost roll 1 ★ 1 tent vious 2 ★ 1 fla
- (2) Let X be any subset of V. Its Zariski closure is V(1(X)).
- (3) The map I defines an order-reversing bijection of the family of Zariski-closed subset of V onto the family of radical desired part of the family of radical deals of S, its inverse is V.
- (4) The Euclidean topology on Cⁿ is finer than the Zariski topology. going A at I http://www.tbanesserves.com/processerves.
- 1.1.5. Proposition. Let X C V be an algebraic set.
- (i) The Zariski topology of X is T1, i.e. points are closed;
- (ii) Any family of closed subsets of X contains a minimal one;
- (iii) If $X_1 \Rightarrow X_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ is a descending sequence of closed subsets
- of X there is h such that X; = Xh for i > h; it was a such
- (iv) Any open covering of X has a finite subcovering.
- If $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in X$ then x is the zero of the ideal of S generated by $T_1 x_1, ..., T_n x_n$. This implies (i).
- (ii) and (iii) follow from the fact that S is a noetherian ring

[26, Ch. VI, §1], using 1.1.4(3).

To establish (iii) we formulate it in terms of closed sets. We then have to show: if $(I_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ is a family of ideals such that $\cap V(I_{\alpha}) = \emptyset$, then already a finite intersection of $\alpha \in A$ some $V(I_{\alpha})$ is empty. Now using properties (a), (d) of 1.1.3 and 1.1.4(3) we have $\sum_{\alpha \in A} I_{\alpha} = S$. Hence there are finitely many of the I_{α} , say $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{h}$ such that $I_{1} + \ldots + I_{h} = S$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} V(I_{i}) = \emptyset$.

A topological space X with the property (ii) is called <u>noetherian</u>. Notice that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent properties (compare the corresponding properties in noetherian rings, cf. [26, p. 142]). X is quasi-compact if it has the property of (iv).

1.1.6. Exercise. A closed subset of a noetherian space (with the induced topology) is noetherian.

1.2. Irreducibility of topological spaces.

1.2.1. A topological space X is <u>reducible</u> if it is the union of two proper closed subsets. Otherwise X is <u>irreducible</u>.

A subset A C X is irreducible if it is irreducible for the induced topology.

Notice the following fact: X is irreducible if and only if any two non-empty open subsets of X have a non-empty intersection.

- 1.2.2. Exercise. An irreducible Hausdorff space is reduced to
- 1.2.3. Lemma. Let X be a topological space.

- (i) $A \subset X$ is irreducible if and only if its closure \overline{A} is a constant in terms of old with the constant \overline{A} is a constant in terms of old \overline{A} is a constant in terms of old \overline{A} .
- then so is fX.

 then so is fX.

Let A be irreducible. If \overline{A} is the union of two closed subsets A_1 and A_2 , then A is the union of the closed subsets A \cap A_1 and A \cap A_2 , whence (say) A \cap A_1 = A, and A \cap A_1 , \overline{A} \subset A_1 . Hence \overline{A} = A_1 . So \overline{A} is irreducible.

Conversely, if \overline{A} is irreducible, and if A is the union of two closed subsets A \cap B₁, A \cap B₂, where B₁, B₂ are closed in X, then $\overline{A} \subset B_1 \cup B_2$. So $\overline{A} \cap B_1 = \overline{A}$ (say), whence A \cap B₁ = A. The irreducibility of A follows.

The proof of (ii) is easy and can be omitted.

- 1.2.4. Proposition. Let X be a noetherian topological space.
- (i) X is a union of finitely many irreducible closed subsets, say $X = X_1 \cup ... \cup X_s$;
- (ii) If there are no inclusions among the X_i, they are uniquely determined, up to order.

Recall that a noetherian space is one with the property of 1.1.5(ii). If (i) is false, the noetherian property and 1.1.6 show that there is a minimal closed subset A of X which is not a finite union of irreducible closed subsets. Then A must be reducible, so A is a union of two proper closed subsets. But these do have the property in question, and a contradiction emerges. This establishes (i).

To prove (ii), assume there are no inclusions among the X_i , and let $X = Y_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_t$ be a second decomposition with the same properties. Then $X_i = \bigcup_i (X_i \cap Y_i)$, and by the irreduci-

bility there is a function $f: \{1, \ldots, s\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, t\}$ with $X_i \subset Y_{f(i)}$. Similarly, there is $g: \{1, \ldots, t\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, s\}$ with $Y_j \subset Y_{g(j)}$. Since $X_i \subset X_{g(f(i))}$, we have $g \circ f = id$, also $f \circ g = id$. This implies (ii).

(b) k[X] is reduced, i.e. 0 is the only nilpotent element of

The X_i are called the (irreducible) components of X.

We now return to the Zariski topology on $V = k^n$.

- 1.2.5. Proposition. A closed subset X of V is irreducible if and only if I(X) is a prime ideal. Let X be irreducible and let $f,g \in S$ be such that $fg \in I(X)$. Then $X = (X \cap V(fS)) \cup (X \cap V(gS))$ and the irreducibility of X implies that $X \in V(fS)$, say, i.e. $f \in J(X)$. So J(X) is prime. Conversely, let I(X) be prime, and let $X = V(I_1) \cup V(I_2) = V(I_1 \cap I_2)$. If $X \neq V(I_1)$, there is $f \in I_1$ with $f \notin I(X)$. Since $fg \in I(X)$ for all $g \in I_2$, it follows from the fact that I(X) is prime that $I_2 \subset I(X)$, whence $X = V(I_2)$. So X is irreducible.
- 1.2.6. Exercise. (1) Let X be a noetherian space. The components of X are the maximal irreducible closed subsets of X.

is an integral domain. If I is an seal in k[X] let V. (I) be

- (2) Any radical ideal I of S is an intersection
- I = $P_1 \cap P_s$ of finitely many prime ideals. If there are a no inclusions among the P_i they are uniquely determined, up to order.

1.3. Affine k-algebras.

1.3.1. We now turn to more intrinsic descriptions of algebraic sets. Let $X \subset V$ be one. The restrictions to X of the polynomial

functions of S form a k-algebra, denoted by k[X], which clearly is isomorphic to S/I(X). The following properties of k[X] are obvious:

- (a) k[X] is a commutative k-algebra of finite type, i.e. there is a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of k[X] such that $k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$;
- (b) k[X] is reduced, i.e. 0 is the only nilpotent element of k[X]. A k-algebra A with the properties of (a) and (b) is called an <u>affine k-algebra</u>. If A is an affine k-algebra there is an r and an algebraic subset X of k^r such that A = k[X]. For $A = k[T_1, \dots, T_r]/I$, where I is the kernel of the homomorphism $k[T_1, \dots, T_r] \to A$ sending T to x_i , this is a radical ideal. We call k[X] the <u>affine algebra</u> of X.

there is the court of the

1.3.2. We next show that the set X together with its Zariski topology is determined by its affine algebra k[X], First observe that (by 1.2.5) X is irreducible if and only if k[X] is an integral domain. If I is an ideal in k[X] let $V_X(I)$ be the set of the $x \in X$ such that f(x) = 0 for all $f \in I$. If Y is a subset of X, let $I_X(Y)$ be the ideal of the $f \in k[X]$ such that f(x) = 0 for all $x \in Y$.

A being an affine algebra, let Max (A) be the set of its maximal ideals. If X is as before, and $x \in X$, denote by M_X the ideal of all $f \in k[X]$ vanishing in x. Then M_X is a maximal ideal (since $k[X]/M_X$ is the field k).

1.3.3. Proposition. (i) The map $x \mapsto M_X$ defines a bijection of X onto Max(k[X]), moreover $x \in V_X(I)$ if and only if $I \in M_X$; (ii) The closed sets of X are the $V_X(I)$, I running through the

We call the M(f) principal open secrets of

ideals of k[X].

Since $k[X] \cong S/I(X)$, the maximal ideals of k[X] correspond to the maximal ideals of S containing I(X). Let M be a maximal ideal of S. Then 1.1.4(3) and 1.1.5(ii) imply that M is the set of all $f \in S$ vanishing in some $x \in k^n$. From this the first point of (i) follows, and the second point is obvious.

(ii) is a direct consequence of the definition of the Zariski topology of X.

- 1.3.4. Exercises. (1) For any ideal I of k[X] we have I_X(V_X(I)) = √I; for any subset Y of X we have V_X(I_X(Y)) = √Y.
 (2) The map I_X defines an order-reversing bijection of the family of Zariski-closed subsets of X onto the family of radical ideals of k[X], its inverse is V_X.
 (3) Let A be an affine k-algebra. Define a bijection of Max(A) onto the set of k-algebra homomorphisms A + k.
- From 1.3.3 we see that the algebra k[X] completely determines
 X and its Zariski topology.

deputer if it its regular in all points of the

The Hallpwing properties are abvious

1.3.5. We also have to consider locally defined functions on X X. For this we need special open subsets of X, which we now define.

If f ∈ k[X], put

 $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}(f) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} | f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0 \}.$

Clearly, this is an open subset, viz. the complement of $V_X(fk[X])$. It is also clear that

recently that the total terms defined a secretary to gain manual properties

 $D(fg) = D(f) \cap D(g), D(f^n) = D(f) \quad (n > 1).$

We call the D(f) principal open subsets of X.

1.3.6. Lemma. (i) If f,g \in k[X] and D(f) \subset D(g) then [X] X solid $f^n \in gk[X]$ for some $n \ge 1$; we see that D(f) \subset D(g) if and only if $f^n \in gk[X]$ which implies (i) $f^n \in gk[X]$ which implies (i) $f^n \in gk[X]$ which implies (i) $f^n \in gk[X]$ tersection of sets of the form $V_X(fk[X])$, which is obvious from the definitions.

$V_X(V_X(1)) = V_X(1)$ for any subset $V_X(1)$ of X we have $V_X(1)_X(1)$. 1.4. Regular functions, ringed spaces. (2) The map $V_X(1)$ defines an order research $V_X(1)$ and $V_X(1)$.

1.4.1. The notations are as before. Let $x \in X$. A k-valued function defined in a neighbourhood U of x is called <u>regular</u> in x if there are g,h \in k[X] such that h(x) \neq 0 and such that there is an open neighbourhood V \in U of x with h(y) \neq 0 and f(y) = g(y)h(y)⁻¹ for all y \in V.

A function f defined in a non-empty open subset U of X is regular if it is regular in all points of U (so for each $x \in X$ there exist g_X, h_X with the properties stated above, they may depend on x). We denote by $\theta_X(U)$ or $\theta(U)$ the k-algebra of regular functions in U.

The following properties are obvious:

- (A) If V is a non-empty open subset of the open set U, the restriction map of functions defines a k-algebra homomorphism O(U) + O(V); resigned and a results are sufficient to the open set U, the restriction map of functions defines a k-algebra homomorphism
- (B) Let $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U$ be an open covering of the open set U. Suppose that for each $\alpha \in A$ we are given $f_{\alpha} \in O(U_{\alpha})$ such that

= lim 0,(V), U running through the open nei f_{α} and f_{β} restrict to the same function on U_{α} \cap U_{α} Then there is $f \in O(U)$ all $\alpha \in A$.

1.4.2. Sheaves of functions.

Let X be a topological space, and suppose for each non-empty open subset U of X a k-algebra O(U) is given such that (A) and (B) hold. Then O is a sheaf of k-valued functions on X (S) (we shall not need the general notion of a sheaf on a topological space). A pair (X, \emptyset) of a topological space and a sheaf of functions is called a ringed space rottos to got a fine A. Let (X,0) be a ringed space; let (Y be a subset of X. We define the induced ringed space (Y,0|Y) as follows. Y is provided with the induced topology. If U is an open subset of Y, then (0|Y)(U) consists of the functions f on U with the following property: there exists an open covering U C U U by open sets of X and for each $\alpha \in A$ an element $f_{\alpha} \in O(U_{\alpha})$ whose restriction to U O U coincides with that of f. Then $0 \mid Y$ is a sheaf of functions on Y. The proof of this fact is left

to the reader. If Y is open in X, then (0|Y)(U)=0(U) for all open U C Y.

1.4.3. Affine algebraic varieties: most me at a mercent 1.2.4.4

The ringed spaces $(X, 0_Y)$ of 1.4.1. are the affine algebraic varieties over k. In the sequel we shall usually drop the 0, and speak of an algebraic variety X, ... In this case, denote by 0_{v-x} the k-algebra of functions regular in x \in X. By definition these are functions defined and regular in some open neighbourhood of x, two such functions being identified if they coincide in some neighbourhood of x (a formal definition